PREVALENCE OF ECTOPARASITES OF DOGS IN UMUAHIA NORTH AND IKWUANO LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREAS OF ABIA STATE, NIGERIA

¹UKWUEZE, Chigozie Stanley, ²UNIGWE, Robinson Cyprian, ¹UZOKA, Ugonna Henry, ³ONYEABOR, Amaechi and ¹OLOKOR, Wisdom Njoku

¹Department of Veterinary Medicine, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria. ²Department of Veterinary Biochemistry and Animal Production, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria.

³Department of Veterinary Parasitology and Entomology, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria.

Corresponding Author: Ukwueze, C. S. Department of Veterinary Medicine, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria. **Email:** <u>ukwueze.chigozie@mouau.edu.ng</u> **Phone:** +234 803 079 3359

Received September 02, 2023; Revised October 15, 2023; Accepted October 22, 2023

ABSTRACT

A study on the prevalence of ectoparasites of dogs in Umuahia North and Ikwuano Local Government Areas of Abia State, Nigeria was investigated. A total of 220 dogs were examined, using purposive sampling method to select the households within the communities in the two LGA. The animals were examined for ectoparasites and identification was made using standard microscopic examination procedures. 145 dogs had infestation of ectoparasites with overall prevalence of 65.91%. Location showed association (p<0.05) with the occurrence of ectoparasites. Umuahia North and Ikwuano had a prevalence of 58/105, 55.24% and 87/115, 75.65% respectively. Sex had no association (p>0.05) with prevalence of ectoparasites. Males had numerically higher prevalence of 65/96, 67.71% than females 80/124, 64.52%. Breed showed association (p<0.05) with prevalence of ectoparasites. Prevalence was significantly higher in the local breeds 61/111, 77.06%, than in the exotic breeds 84/109, 54.96%. Age had no association (p>0.05) with the occurrence of ectoparasites. Ages 0 – 6 months showed prevalence of 49/75, 65.33%, ages 7 – 12 months showed prevalence of 52/78, 66.67% and above 12 months showed prevalence of 44/67, 65.67%. Species of ectoparasites showed association (p<0.05). Rhipicephalus sanguineus was the most prevalent (38.62%), followed by Haemaphysalis leachi (11.04%) and Ctenocephalides canis (6.21%). However, mixed infestation between R. sanguineus and C. canis was recorded with 44.14% prevalence. In conclusion, there was high prevalence of ectoparasite of public health importance in dogs from the studied area. Hence, the need for public health awareness on the impacts of these ectoparasites and their control measures.

Keywords: Prevalence, Ectoparasites, Dogs, Umuahia north, Ikwuano

INTRODUCTION

Dogs' remarkable success as a companion animal worldwide rests on a set of adaptations to cohabitation with humans (Wynne, 2021). They have contributed immensely to the physical, social and emotional development of children and the well-being of their owners in both developed and under-developed countries (Purewal *et al.*, 2017). The reasons for keeping dogs vary considerably with culture, social and economic activities of individuals (Arong *et al.*, 2011; Abdulkareem et al., 2019). Dogs are mainly kept for guarding, hunting or as pets in most communities (Vanacore, 2023). However, despite the beneficial effects of keeping dogs, they remain a threat to human population as number they harbour bewildering of ectoparasites of zoonotic potentials and thus remain a major threat to public health (Ugbomoiko et al., 2008; Klimpel et al., 2010). The ectoparasites inhabit the external body surfaces of vertebrates, including dogs (Wall and Shearer, 1997). They have considerable pathogenicity and may even cause death, depending on the intensity of infestation, nutrition and immune status of the host (Scott et al., 2001).

The ectoparasites known to infest domestic dogs are ticks, fleas, lice and mites, and they cause considerable pathological conditions such as severe allergic dermatitis and non-pruritic skin disorders (González et al., 2004; Bahrami et al., 2012). Besides the direct damage to the host's skin, some ectoparasites also act as vectors of various diseases in domestic animals such as babesiosis, bartonellosis, ehrlichiosis and anaplasmosis, which are commonly associated with life threatening anaemia (Alcaíno et al., 2002; and Somprasong, Nuchjangreed 2007). Rhipicephalus sanguineus Latreille, 1806 (Ixodida: Ixodidae) and Ctenocephalides canis Curtis, 1826 (Siphonaptera: Pulicidae) are the most encountered ticks and flea species of veterinary importance affecting dogs in most tropical environment (Kamani et al., 2013).

These ectoparasites have devastating impacts on health and economy, as other species of animals such as cat, cattle, sheep, goat, pig, chicken are also affected (Radostits et al., 1994; Odenu et al., 2016; Yakhchali et al., 2016; Agu et al., 2020). Identifying these ectoparasites and understanding their distribution are fundamental for designing control programmes and strategies. In Nigeria, the occurrence of ectoparasite infestations have been well documented (Ugbomoiko et al., 2008; Arong et al., 2011), but climate changes leading to environmental changes have altered the distribution and abundance of ectoparasites in many parts of the country including Abia State.

Thus, this work was designed to ascertain the prevalence and risk factors associated with the occurrence of ectoparasites in dogs in Umuahia north and Ikwuano local government areas of Abia State. It is believed that the outcome of this study will help to create awareness on the public health impacts of ectoparasites of dogs and how to implement strategic control programmes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area: The study was conducted in Umuahia North and Ikwuano Local Government Areas of Abia State. Umuahia North is located between latitude 5.5769° N and longitude 7.5031° E, with 2022 projected population of about 324,900 (City Population, 2023a). Ikwuano is located between latitude 5.4093° N and longitude 7.5897° E, with 2022 projected population of about 200,800 (City Population 2023b). Majority of the inhabitants of Umuahia North are business owners and salary earners. Ikwuano inhabitants consist of farmers, hunters and few wage earners.

Sampling Technique: Purposive sampling method was used to sample dogs in the study area. The risk factors considered in the study were age, sex, breed, location, species and patterns of infestation.

Sample Collection and Identification: Ectoparasites were collected from the dogs by rubbing the entire body with a piece of cotton wool soaked in ether and then the entire fur was combed from the head region to the limbs, onto a clean large white paper spread underneath the dog (Adamu et al., 2012). Ticks were also removed with a pair of forceps. The ectoparasites recovered from each dog were preserved separately in 70% alcohol. The specimens from each dog were transferred to the Veterinary Parasitology Laboratory, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike for identification. Ectoparasites were displayed on a Petri dish, examined using a magnifying hand lens and identified to species level, using morphological features as described by Wall and Shearer (2008).

The *R. sanguineus* and *Haemaphysalis leachi* Audouin, 1826 (Ixodida: Ixodidae) were identified using a magnifying hand lens, with the ectoparasites placed in a Petri dish. *R. sanguineus* was identified by the hexagonal shape of the basis capitulum when viewed dorsally, their possession of a reddish-brown inornate scutum and short mouth parts. The *R. sanguineus* was identified by the colour of the scutum, and this is a distinguishing feature from the *H. leachi. The C. canis* were identified by their characteristic ctenidia (or combs), and possession of a pair of long hind limbs for limping (Wall and Shearer, 2008).

Statistical Analysis: Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Version 23 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York, USA). Descriptive statistics used for the infestations were percentages and frequencies, while the Chi-square (λ^2) statistics was used to test the strength of the association between the variables, and level of significance were detected by the actual p-value if it was equal to or less than 0.05.

RESULTS

A total of 220 dogs were sampled with overall prevalence of 145(65.91%). The location had significant association (p<0.05) with the occurrence of ectoparasites. Umuahia North and Ikwuano had a prevalence of 58/105 (55.24%) and 87/115 (75.65%) respectively ($\chi^2 = 10.18$, d = 1, p = 0.001) (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Distribution of ectoparasites of dogs in Umuahia North and Ikwuano Local Government Areas of Abia State, Nigeria

Sex had no association (p>0.05) with the occurrence of ectoparasites. Males had prevalence of 65/96 (67.71%), while females had 80/124 (64.52%) (χ^2 = 0.25, d = 1, p = 0.026) (Figure 2).



Figure 2: Sex distribution of ectoparasites of dogs in Umuahia North and Ikwuano Local Government Areas of Abia State, Nigeria

Breed had association (p<0.05) with prevalence of ectoparasites. Prevalence was significantly higher in the local breeds 61/111 (77.06%) than in the exotic breeds 84/109 (54.96%) (χ^2 = 11.96, d = 1, p = 0.001) (Figure 3).



Figure 3: Breed distribution of ectoparasites of dogs in Umuahia North and Ikwuano Local Government Areas of Abia State, Nigeria

Furthermore, age had no association (p>0.05) with the occurrence of ectoparasites. Ages 0 – 6 months showed prevalence of 49/75 (65.33%), ages 7 – 12 months had prevalence of 52/78 (66.67%) and those above 12 months of age had prevalence of 44/67 (65.67%) ($\chi^2 = 0.03$, d = 2, p = 0.984) (Figure 4).



Figure 4: Age distribution of ectoparasites of dogs in Umuahia North and Ikwuano Local Government Areas of Abia State, Nigeria

Three species of ectoparasites were identified, namely; *R. sanguineus*, *H. leachi*, and *C. canis*. Species of ectoparasites showed association (p<0.05) with the occurrence of ectoparasites. *R. sanguineus* was the most prevalent (38.62%), followed by *H. leachi* (11.04%) and then *C. canis* (6.21%). However, mixed infestation between *R. sanguineus* and *C. canis* was recorded with a prevalence of 44.14% (χ^2 = 24.50, d = 3, p = 0.000) (Figure 5).



Figure 5: Species composition of ectoparasites of dogs in Umuahia North and Ikwuano Local Government Areas of Abia State, Nigeria

DISCUSSION

This present study revealed an overall prevalence of 145(65.91%) in the population of dogs sampled. This result was almost similar to 68.2% and 71.2% prevalence recorded in Ibadan, Oyo State and Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria respectively (Ojo *et al.*, 2019; Shilereyo *et al.*, 2022). The result is however at variance

with 81.4% and 98.5% prevalence reported in Ilorin and some selected neighboring rural communities (Omaran, Oke-oyi, Ile-Apa, Osin-Tunji, Osin Aremu and Tanke iledu) in Kwara State and Ijebu communities, Ogun State, Southwestern Nigeria respectively (Agbolade et al., 2008; Abdulkareem et al., 2019). Similar high prevalence (95.05%) has been reported in dogs from Jimma town, southwest Ethiopia (Tadesse et al., 2019). These variations in ectoparasite density may be attributed to differences in environmental and management conditions, and geographical locations that favour the development and transmission of these ectoparasites (Nayak et al., 1997; Shilereyo et al., 2022).

The most prevalent ectoparasite species recorded was R. sanguineus (38.62%), followed by *H. leachi* (11.04%) and *C. canis* (6.21%). These results corroborated the finding of Elom et al. (2015), who reported R. sanguineus as the most abundant tick in two Local Government Areas (Ikwo and Ezza) of Ebonyi State, Nigeria. Similarly, Troyo et al. (2012) and Adamu et al. (2014) reported R. sanguineus as the most abundant ectoparasites in slaughtered dogs in Maiduguri, Nigeria. R. sanguineus has also been reported as the most prevalent tick of rural dogs in the municipality of São Vicente Pernambuco, Northeastern Férrer, Brazil (Dantas-Torres et al., 2009). Furthermore, the dominance of *R. sanguineus* among other ticks of dogs had been reported in Iran (Mirani et al., 2017) and Costa Rica (Troyo et al., 2012). Several studies have attributed the abundance of *R. sanguineus* to suitable environmental factors; high temperature, rainfall and humidity (Konto et al., 2014; Okoli et al., 2016; Foley et al., 2019; Muhanguzi et al., 2020).

The prevalence was found to be significant between the two locations studied, with a higher prevalence recorded in Ikwuano than in Umuahia North, which may be attributed to the fact that Ikwuano is situated in the rural area, with little or no veterinary care available for the dogs. Several studies have demonstrated that ectoparasites of dogs are commonly found among dogs in rural areas (Dantas-Torres *et al.*, 2009; Costa-Junior *et al.*, 2012; Abdulkareem *et al.*, 2019).

Sex had no association with the occurrence of ectoparasites in the studied dogs. This result implies that ectoparasites infestation is not gender-dependent and was in agreement with the findings of Agu et al. (2020) who did not observe any significant difference in ectoparasite infestations due to sex of dogs in Nsukka cultural zone, Enugu State, Nigeria. It has also been reported by Omudu et al. (2012) that sex does not play a major role in the susceptibility of dogs to parasitic infestations. The result of this study on sex disagreed with Aldemir (2007) and Tadesse et al. (2019) that found higher prevalence in female and male dogs in their various studies in Turkey and Ethiopia respectively.

The age of dogs had no association with prevalence of ectoparasites in this study. This result was in agreement with Agu *et al.* (2020), but contradicted the findings of Abdulkareem *et al.* (2019) who found younger dogs 0 - 6 months to be more susceptible to ectoparasites infestation than the adult dogs. Previous workers have reported confinement to houses as a leading factor to greater exposure to ectoparasites re-infestation as well as less efficient grooming of adult dogs, which predisposes other dogs to high risk of ectoparasitic infestation (Eckstein and Hart, 2000; Abdulkareem *et al.*, 2019; Agu *et al.*, 2020).

The local breeds were found to be more susceptible to the ectoparasites infestation than the exotic breeds. This result was in agreement with the reports of Bryson et al. (2000) in Africa Northwest Province, South and Abdulkareem et al. (2019) in Kwara State, Nigeria. Agu et al. (2020) on the contrary observed a higher prevalence of ectoparasites infestation in exotic breeds than the local breeds. The higher prevalence in local breeds may be attributed to the fact that most of the local breeds sampled in this study were on semi free range management, with little or no veterinary care, which exposes them to parasitic infestations.

The close bonds of these animals with their owners as recorded in this study present risk of zoonotic infections for the dog owners and other inhabitants of these communities. The presence of other susceptible animals (cat, goat and sheep) may contribute to the high prevalence of ectoparasites recorded because where dogs have frequent contact with other animals harbouring the parasites, there is a resulting higher risk of infestation in the dogs (Bryson *et al.,* 2000).

Conclusion: This study demonstrated a relatively high ectoparasites infestation in the study area, which raises a public health alarm especially among the rural dwellers of Ikwuano LGA; hence the need for the integrated multidisciplinary one health approach, involving veterinary and medical care personnel, as well as public enlightenment and awareness on the zoonotic impact of these parasites. Regular control measures are important to keep the arthropods in check, as they are vectors of other diseases of dogs. Veterinary and animal health clinics should be established in the study areas to enable dog owners have adequate access to affordable veterinary care and treatment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are most grateful to the technologist of Department of Veterinary Parasitology, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Mr. Sampson Gurama and all the technical staff who help out in the identification of the parasite.

REFERENCES

- ABDULKAREEM, B. O., CHRISTY, A. L. and SAMUEL, U. U. (2019). Prevalence of ectoparasite infestations in owned dogs in Kwara State, Nigeria. *Parasite Epidemiology and Control*, 4: e00079. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parepi.2018.e</u> 00079
- ADAMU, M., TROSKIE, M., OSHADU, D. O., MALATJI, D. P., PENZHORN, B. L. and MATJILA, P. T. (2014). Occurrence of tick-transmitted pathogens in dogs in Jos, Plateau State, Nigeria. *Parasites and Vectors*, 7: 119. <u>https://doi.org/</u> <u>10.1186/1756-3305-7-119</u>

- ADAMU, N. B., ADAMU, J. Y. and SALISU, L. (2012). Prevalence of ecto-, endo- and haemoparasites in slaughtered dogs in Maiduguri, Nigeria. *Revue de Médecine Vétérinaire*, 163(4): 178 – 182.
- AGBOLADE, O., SOETAN, E., AWESU, A., OJO, J., SOMOYE, O. and RAUFU, S. (2008). Ectoparasites of domestic dogs in some Ijebu communities, Southwest Nigeria. *World Applied Science Journal*, 3(6): 916 – 920.
- AGU, N. G., OKOYE, I. C., NWOSU, C. G., ONYEMA, I., IHEAGWAM, C. N. and ANUNOBI, T. J. (2020). Prevalence of ectoparasites infestation among companion animals in Nsukka cultural zone. *Annals of Medical* and *Health Sciences Research*, 10(5): 1050 – 1057.
- ALCAÍNO, H. A., GORMAN, T. R. and ALCAÍNO, R. (2002). Flea species from dogs in three cities of Chile. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 105(3): 261 – 265.
- ALDEMIR, O. S. (2007). Epidemiological study of ectoparasites in dogs from Erzurum region in Turkey. *Revue de Médecine Vétérinaire*, 158(3): 148 – 151.
- ARONG, G. A., SHITTA, K. B., JAMES-RUGU, N. N. and EFFANGA, E. O. (2011). Seasonal variation in the abundance and distribution of ixodid ticks on Mongrel, Alsatian and mixed breeds of dogs (*Canis familiaris*) in Jos, in Plateau State, North-Central Nigeria. *World Journal of Science and Technology*, 1(4): 24 – 29.
- BAHRAMI, A. M., DOOSTI, A. and AHMADY_ASBCHIN, S. (2012). Cat and dogs ectoparasite infestations in Iran and Iraq boarder line area. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 18(7): 884 – 889.
- BRYSON, N., HORAK, I., HOHN, E. and LOUW, J. (2000). Ectoparasites of dogs belonging to people in resource-poor communities in Northwest Province, South Africa. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, 71(3): 175 – 179.
- CITY POPULATION (2023a). Umuahia North Local Government Area in Nigeria. City Population. <u>https://citypopulation.de/en</u>

/nigeria/admin/abia/NGA001015umuahi anorth/ Accessed September 17, 2023.

- CITY POPULATION (2023b). *Ikwuano Local Government Area in Nigeria.* City Population. <u>https://www.citypopulation.</u> <u>de/en/nigeria/admin/abia/NGA001005ik</u> <u>wuano/</u> Accessed September 17, 2023.
- COSTA-JUNIOR, L. M., REMBECK, K., MENDONÇA, F. L. D. M., AZEVEDO, S. C., PASSOS, L. M. F. and RIBEIRO, M. F. B. (2012). Occurrence of ectoparasites on dogs in rural regions of the state of Minas Gerais, Brazil. *Revista Brasileira de Parasitologia Veterinaria*, 21(3): 237 – 242.
- DANTAS-TORRES, F., MELO, M. F., FIGUEREDO, L. A. and BRANDÃO-FILHO, S. P. (2009). Ectoparasite infestation on rural dogs in the municipality of São Vicente Férrer, Pernambuco, Northeastern Brazil. *Revista Brasileira de Parasitologia Veterinaria*, 18(3): 75 – 77.
- ECKSTEIN, R. A. and HART, B. L. (2000). Grooming and control of fleas in cats. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, 68(2): 141 – 150.
- ELOM, M. O., ALO, M. N., NWORIE, A., USANGA, V. U., UGAH, U. I. and ALEGU, L. U. (2015). Ecto-and intestinal parasitic fauna of domestic dogs in two rural areas of Ebonyi State, Nigeria: Public health zoonotic jeopardy. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 3(4): 444 – 448.
- FOLEY, J., TINOCO-GRACIA, L., RODRIGUEZ-LOMELÍ, M., ESTRADA-GUZMÁN, J., FIERRO, M., MATTAR-LOPEZ, E., PETERSON, A., PASCOE, E., GONZALEZ, Y., HORI-OSHIMA, S. and ARMSTRONG, P. A. (2019). Unbiased assessment of abundance of *Rhipicephalus sanguineus sensu lato* ticks, canine exposure to spotted fever group Rickettsia, and risk factors in Mexicali, México. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 101(1): 22 – 32.
- GONZÁLEZ, A., DEL C CASTRO, D. and GONZÁLEZ, S. (2004). Ectoparasitic species from *Canis familiaris* (Linné) in Buenos Aires province, Argentina.

Veterinary Parasitology, 120(1-2): 123 – 129.

- KAMANI, J., BANETH, G., MUMCUOGLU, K. Y., WAZIRI, N. E., EYAL, O., GUTHMANN, Y. and HARRUS, S. (2013). Molecular detection and characterization of tickborne pathogens in dogs and ticks from Nigeria. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 7(3): e2108. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002108</u>
- KLIMPEL, S., HEUKELBACH, J., POTHMANN, D. and RÜCKERT, S. (2010). Gastrointestinal and ectoparasites from urban stray dogs in Fortaleza (Brazil): high infection risk for humans. *Parasitology Research*, 107: 713 – 719.
- KONTO, M., BIU, A. A., AHMED, M. I. and CHARLES, S. (2014). Prevalence and seasonal abundance of ticks on dogs and the role of *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* in transmitting *Babesia* species in Maiduguri, North-Eastern Nigeria. *Veterinary World*, 7(3): 119 – 124.
- MIRANI, F., YAKHCHALI, M. and NAEM, S. (2017). A study on ectoparasites fauna of dogs in suburbs of Ghilanegharb, Kermanshah province, Iran. *Journal of Veterinary Research*, 72(1): 7 14.
- MUHANGUZI, D., BYARUHANGA, J., AMANYIRE, W., NDEKEZI, C., OCHWO, S., NKAMWESIGA, J., MWIINE, F. N., TWEYONGYERE, R., FOURIE, J., MADDER, M. and SCHETTERS, T. (2020). Invasive cattle ticks in East Africa: morphological and molecular confirmation of the presence of *Rhipicephalus microplus* in southeastern Uganda. *Parasites and Vectors*, 13: 165. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s1307</u> <u>1-020-04043-z</u>
- NAYAK, D. C., TRIPATHY, S. B., DEY, P. C., RAY, S. K., MOHANTY, D. N., PARIDA, G. S., BISWAL, S. and DAS, M. (1997). Prevalence of canine demodicosis in Orissa (India). *Veterinary Parasitology*, 73(3-4): 347 – 352.
- NUCHJANGREED, C. and SOMPRASONG, W. (2007). Ectoparasite species found on domestic dogs from Pattaya district, Chon Buri province, Thailand. *Southeast*

Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health, 38(1): 203 – 207.

- ODENU, R. A., MOHAMMED, B. R., SIMON, M. K. and AGBEDE, R. I. S. (2016). Ectoparasites of domestic chickens (*Gallus gallus domesticus*) in Gwagwalada Area Council, Abuja, Nigeria-West Africa. *Alexandria Journal for Veterinary Sciences*, 51(1): 140 – 146.
- OJO, G. A., ADEKEYE, T. A. and AWOBODE, H. O. (2019). Prevalence of single and mixed parasitic infections of dogs in Egbeda communities, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria. *Sokoto Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, 17(4): 25 – 34.
- OKOLI, I. C., OKOLI, C. G. and OPARA, M. (2006). Environmental and multi-host infestation of the brown dog tick, *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* in Owerri, south-east Nigeria – a case report. *Veterinarski Arhiv*, 76(1): 93 – 100.
- OMUDU, E. A., ATU, B. O. and AYASHAR, J. (2007). Epidemiological survey of canine babesiosis in Makurdi, Nigeria. *Animal Research International*, 4(3): 745 – 749.
- PUREWAL, R., CHRISTLEY, R., KORDAS, K., JOINSON, C., MEINTS, K., GEE, N. and WESTGARTH, C. (2017). Companion animals and child/adolescent development: A systematic review of the evidence. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 14(3): 234. https://doi.org/10.3390%2Fijerph14030 234
- RADOSTITS, O. M., BLOOD, D. C. and GAY, C. C. (1994). *Veterinary Medicine: A Textbook of the Diseases of Cattle, Sheep, Pigs, Goats and Horses.* 8th Edition, Bailliere Tindall Limited, Kent, United Kingdom.
- SCOTT, D. W., MILLER, W. H. and GRIFFIN, C.
 E. (2001). Parasitic skin diseases. Pages
 423 516. *In*: SCOTT, D. W., MILLER,
 W. H. and GRIFFIN, C. E. (Eds.). *Mullers and Kirk's Small Animal Dermatology*.
 Sixth Edition, W. B. Saunders Company,
 Philadelphia, USA.
- SHILEREYO, M., MAGIGE, F., RANKE, P. S., OGUTU, J. O. and RØSKAFT, E. (2022).

Ectoparasite load of small mammals in the Serengeti ecosystem: effects of land use, season, host species, age, sex and breeding status. *Parasitology Research*, 121(3): 823 – 838.

- TADESSE, T., TILAHUN, B., MENGISTU, S., ALEMU, S., ZERYEHUN, T. and KEFYALEW, D. (2019).
 Prevalence and species distribution of ectoparasit5te of dogs in Jimma town, Oromia Regional State, Southwest Ethiopia. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 7(2): 1154 1157.
- TROYO, A., CALDERÓN-ARGUEDAS, Ó., ALVARADO, G., VARGAS-CASTRO, L. E. and AVENDAÑO, A. (2012). Ectoparasites of dogs in home environments on the Caribbean slope of Costa Rica. *Revista Brasileira de Parasitologia Veterinária*, 21(2): 179-183.
- UGBOMOIKO, U. S., ARIZA, L. and HEUKELBACH, J. (2008). Parasites of importance for human health in Nigerian dogs: high prevalence and limited knowledge of pet owners. *BMC Veterinary Research*, 4: 49. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/174661</u> 48-4-49

- VANACORE, C. B. (2023). *Dog.* Encyclopedia Britannica. <u>https://www.britannica.com/</u> <u>animal/dog</u> Accessed September 17, 2023
- WALL, R. and SHEARER, D. (1997). *Veterinary Entomology: Arthropod Ectoparasites of Veterinary Importance*. Springer Science and Business Media, Berlin, Germany.
- WALL, R. and SHEARER, D. (2008). *Veterinary Ectoparasites: Biology, Pathology and Control.* 2nd Edition, Wiley-Blackwell, Hoboken, New Jersey, USA.
- WYNNE, C. D. (2021). The indispensable dog. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12: 2730. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.656</u> <u>529</u>
- YAKHCHALI, M., HAJIPOUR, N., MALEKZADEH-VIAYEH, R., ESMAEILNEJAD, B., NEMATI-HARAVANI, T., FATHOLLAHZADEH, M. and JAFARI, R. (2017). Gastrointestinal helminths and ectoparasites in the stray cats (Felidae: *Felis catus*) of Ahar municipality, Northwestern Iran. *Iranian Journal of Parasitology*, 12(2): 298 – 304.



This article and articles in Animal Research International are Freely Distributed Online and Licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License</u> (CC-BY 4.0) https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/