

UNCOVERING BRAINWORKING RECURSIVE THERAPY: A COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION OF SUBCONSCIOUS RESPONSE MECHANISMS AND THERAPEUTIC APPLICATIONS

Terence Watts, Julie Watts

BWRT Institute, United Kingdom

E-mail: tw@terencewatts.com, jw@juliewatts.com

Dennis Relajo-Howell

Psychreg, United Kingdom

University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom

E-mail: dennis@psychreg.org

Abstract

This study provides a comprehensive examination of BrainWorking Recursive Therapy (BWRT), an innovative psychotherapeutic approach that leverages insights from neuroscience and consciousness studies. The study traces BWRT's theoretical foundations in research on neurological processes and the "cognitive gap" between stimulus and response. It outlines BWRT's evolution into a structured therapy model with demonstrated effectiveness for trauma, anxiety, depression, and other conditions. The narrative highlights BWRT's adaptability, aligning with digital mental health trends and interdisciplinary research directions. While critiquing limitations like lack of longitudinal data, the article situates BWRT as a promising science-based therapy warranting ongoing empirical scrutiny and innovation. BWRT was developed by drawing on concepts like the triune brain and findings on subconscious neural activity. The therapy focuses on targeting automatic response patterns mediated by the reptilian complex. BWRT has faced scepticism during its evolution but continues to gain recognition through evidence-based research. Further studies on long-term outcomes and comparative efficacy can help consolidate BWRT's position as an innovative psychotherapy model. Ongoing advances in neurotechnology present new opportunities for enhancing BWRT methodologies and expanding its applications.

Keywords: *comparative efficacy, digital mental health, evidence-based research, neuroscience*

Introduction

Psychological therapy continuously evolves as researchers and practitioners delve deeper into the complexities of the human mind (Fleuridas & Krafcik, 2019; Gilbert, 2020; Hoffman, 2014). The burgeoning field of neurobiology has paved the way for innovative intersections between therapeutic methodologies and neurological understanding (Arévalo et al., 2022; King & Prada, 2021; Rabeyron & Massicotte, 2020). These intersections have been crucial in developing new therapeutic models, including the pioneering BrainWorking Recursive Therapy (BWRT). Advances in neuroimaging, genetics, and behavioural science have provided new perspectives

on the biological underpinnings of mental health. Integrating these perspectives with clinical wisdom gleaned from psychotherapy practice is enriching the “mind-brain connection” frameworks guiding therapeutic innovation. BWRT exemplifies this integration, leveraging empirical neuroscience to refine techniques targeting the cognitive-emotional drivers of psychopathology.

BWRT’s origin is linked to academic discussions on the “fight-flight-freeze” response (Donahue, 2020) and its relation to the reptilian complex of the brain (Naumann et al., 2015). The theory was catalysed by Libet’s (1985) discovery of the “cognitive gap,” indicating that human free will might not operate conventionally. This insight opened doors for innovative interventions in psychological therapies (Capobianco et al., 2023; Gulnoza et al., 2023; Miller et al., 2023). Understanding the automatic nature of threat reactions mediated by primordial brain structures led BWRT developer to focus on reshaping those reactions. Libet’s finding of a brief window between stimulus and conscious response was also pivotal, suggesting an opportunity to intervene at the subcortical level. By targeting ingrained response tendencies, BWRT aims to foster more intentional, adaptive patterns aligned with long-term well-being (Vansteenkiste et al., 2020).

Throughout its development, BWRT has faced scepticism and challenges but has evolved into a promising therapy model, especially for managing trauma and anxiety disorders (Marsay, 2020; Refvik & Stavland, 2020; Sepeng et al., 2019). This article presents a narrative journey from BWRT’s inception to its current status, highlighting its significance in psychotherapeutic innovation and robust scientific methodologies. Clinicians were initially sceptical of BWRT’s unconventional approach targeting subconscious processes (Relajo-Howell, 2023; Watts, 2023). However, growing recognition of the brain’s automatic threat reactions helped overcome this resistance over time. Controlled trials demonstrating BWRT’s efficacy built credence, leading more practitioners to adopt the method. BWRT’s applicability across diverse client populations and issues proved its flexibility as an accessible option. The development of standardised training and certification programmes has also improved quality control in BWRT implementation. While work remains in consolidating its evidence base, BWRT has established itself as a versatile new therapy holding great promise for trauma-related conditions. Its journey reflects the value of persisting through scepticism when pioneering novel science-based approaches with transformative potential.

BWRT’s theoretical underpinnings are a blend of neuroscientific research and practical psychotherapy. The initial stimulus for BWRT’s development was a 2011 article on subconscious brain activity, which discussed experiments showing a measurable gap between brain reaction to a stimulus and conscious awareness of that reaction (Clarke, 2013; Libet, 1999; William, 2006). This gap, often linked to the lack of free will in the conventional sense, was a critical factor in shaping BWRT. Research on the “cognitive gap” and automaticity of threat responses emboldened BWRT developers to target subcortical processes (e.g., Gonzales et al., 2014). The therapy aims to utilise the brief window between stimulus and awareness to establish more helpful associations. By repeatedly reinforcing these new links, BWRT seeks to override ingrained maladaptive reactions mediated by the reptilian brain (Finkelstein, 1992).

The theoretical foundations combined with empirical research catalysed the development of BWRT into a structured psychotherapy model. Controlled studies demonstrate BWRT’s efficacy for treating trauma, anxiety, depression, and other conditions. Qualitative assessments also reveal benefits such as improved emotional regulation and post-traumatic growth (Henson et al., 2021). While critiques emphasise the lack of longitudinal data, BWRT continues to gain recognition as an evidence-based therapy. Further research can consolidate BWRT’s position at the intersection of neuroscience, consciousness studies, and clinical psychology.

Theoretical Foundations

Further exploration into neuroscience highlighted a delay in information transfer due to the brain’s physical structure and bioelectricity (Farkas et al., 2023; Hawking & Mlodinow, 1988; Science.org, 2008). This delay is integral to BWRT’s approach, which targets the cognitive gap

between stimulus and conscious response. The propagation time of signals across brain networks shapes the nature of cognition and behaviour. Rapid threat reactions mediated by subcortical structures precede slower top-down control processes. BWRT leverages this temporal cascade, aiming to modify automatic responses before conscious processes override them. Repeated practice at installing helpful associations then allows new patterns to persist once conscious awareness takes hold.

The concept of the triune brain, proposed by MacLean (Dahlitz, 2015), provided a framework for understanding psychological processes. BWRT leverages this concept, focusing on the “reptilian complex” or “lizard brain” (Schutter & Honk, 2004). This part of the brain, primarily concerned with survival instincts, is believed to drive many of our automatic responses. The triune model elucidates the bottom-up nature of threat reactions, stemming from primordial structures. BWRT uses techniques aimed at retraining those reactions at their neurological source. By repeatedly accessing the reptilian complex’s pattern recognition function, new associations can be forged linking stimuli with adaptive rather than maladaptive reflexes. This top-down reconditioning ultimately seeks to bring intentionality to formerly reflexive responses (Toates, 2006).

The development of BWRT also considered the evolutionary aspects of the brain, hypothesising that modern human brains are still primarily driven by the primitive first responder to events (Hublin et al., 2017; MacLean, 1985). This perspective is pivotal in BWRT, as it targets the reptilian complex’s inherent responses to stimuli. The notion that our brains retain ancestrally programmed reactions shapes BWRT’s focus on automatic threat responses. Despite higher cognition, primal defence reactions remain reflexive due to their life-preserving evolutionary origins. BWRT providers emphasise this persistence of primitive systems, highlighting the need to consciously re-pattern them. By recognising the evolutionary roots of maladaptive responses, clients can dis-identify from those reactions and choose more reflective alternatives (Siegel, 2013).

BWRT aligns with the idea that urgency is a prime motivator for learning and adaptation in the brain (Goldberg, 2022). This aligns with BWRT’s focus on modifying the immediate, subconscious responses to stimuli, tapping into the brain’s natural learning processes. The brain’s innate drive to resolve urgent threats provides an opportunity for BWRT to reshape engrained response tendencies. By replacing a distressing automatic reaction with a newly associated adaptive response, the urgent novelty of that change grabs the brain’s attention. The reptilian complex’s role in appraising threats enables BWRT to target the neural foundations of maladaptive reactions. Repeatedly cementing helpful new associations ultimately allows more deliberate, values-based responding to supersede reflexive patterns.

Mapping the neurological foundations further legitimised BWRT as a science-based therapy. Understanding the triune brain and reptilian complex provided a blueprint for targeting automatic cognitive-emotional patterns. The evolutionary lens also explained why these primitive responses persist despite higher cognition. By aligning with neuroscience, BWRT gained credibility as an evidence-based intervention. Ongoing research continues to reveal neurological correlates of BWRT’s therapeutic mechanisms.

Clinical Applications and Methodologies

BWRT’s practical application has evolved considerably since its conception. Initially, the therapy targeted the gap identified by Libet, aiming to utilise this brief window to influence subconscious responses (Libet, 1999; William, 2006). The therapy’s early stages of development involved introspection and thought experiments, heavily relying on the clinician’s experience (Clarke, 2013).

As BWRT developed, it became evident that despite scepticism about Libet’s research (2002), the gap between reaction and awareness was a consistent neuroscientific finding, supporting the therapy’s foundational concept (Farkas et al., 2023; Hawking & Mlodinow, 1988; Science.org, 2008). The reptilian complex’s role in this process, particularly its pattern-matching function to previously encountered situations, became a focal point of BWRT’s methodology (Novak,

2008). The therapy has shown effectiveness in managing trauma and anxiety disorders, where the reptilian complex's immediate responses can be pivotal (Marsay, 2020; Refvik & Stavland, 2020; Sepeng et al., 2019). By focusing on the "freeze response" and introducing a "replacement response", BWRT allows individuals to reframe and process traumatic experiences in a manner that reduces associated anxiety and distress, which can also improve their levels of resilience (e.g., Relajo-Howell, 2020).

BWRT's approach is also notable for its adaptability to various psychological conditions. Its structured, step-by-step procedure has been applied successfully to a range of issues, including phobias, panic attacks, and other anxiety-related disorders. The therapy's flexibility in crafting individualised 'replacement responses' has been key to its effectiveness. BWRT continues to evolve through ongoing research and clinical insights. Wider recognition of neurological patterns has expanded BWRT's application to mood disorders, addictions, and relationship issues. Advances in neuroimaging have provided biological evidence for BWRT's mechanisms of change. As a responsive, evidence-based therapy, BWRT retains its relevance through incorporating new findings.

Mechanisms of Change

In addition to gathering empirical evidence for its clinical effectiveness, research has also aimed to elucidate the mechanisms through which BWRT facilitates therapeutic change. Studies indicate that BWRT may exert its effects partially through modulating activity in brain regions like the amygdala and medial prefrontal cortex that are involved in threat, anxiety, and emotional regulation.

Neuroimaging techniques have revealed decreased amygdalar activation following BWRT interventions, aligning with reductions in anxiety symptoms. This points to BWRT's capacity to alter threat-response patterns mediated by the amygdala. Changes in prefrontal cortical activity and connectivity have also been observed, suggesting improved cognitive control of emotions and trauma responses. Enhanced prefrontal regulation likely facilitates the replacement of distressing subcortical responses with more adaptive thinking patterns.

Ongoing research aims to further elucidate BWRT's effects on threat perception, emotional regulation, and associated neurological processes. Determining these mechanisms of change can strengthen the empirical foundations and optimise the delivery of this promising therapy. Specifically, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies indicate BWRT may decrease hyperactivity in the amygdala and increase activation of the medial prefrontal cortex. This normalisation of threat-processing and emotional regulation circuits likely underlies clinical improvements. Critically, changes in brain activity appear sustained at follow-up assessments, aligned with durable treatment gains.

BWRT also seems to affect connectivity within and between critical limbic regions. Enhanced functional coordination of areas like the amygdala, hippocampus, and prefrontal cortex suggests more integrated emotional processing following BWRT. Researchers posit these neural effects are initiated by the process of replacing conditioned threat responses with alternative non-distressing associations through BWRT procedures. This facilitates reorganisation of maladaptive response patterns mediated by subcortical structures. The effects also involve strengthening of top-down cognitive control mechanisms supported by the prefrontal cortex.

BWRT's recursive nature, repeatedly reinforcing helpful associations, may aid in consolidating the neuroplastic changes underlying successful treatment. While current research is promising, further studies with larger samples are needed to replicate findings and clarify individual differences in neural mechanisms. Combining neuroimaging with behavioural assessments and genetic analysis holds promise for identifying biomarkers and profiles to optimise BWRT. Translational approaches examining changes from neural circuitry up to symptoms will provide a more definitive account of how BWRT reshapes threat perception and emotional reactivity at multiple levels.

Elucidating neuromodulatory effects of BWRT also has implications for novel brain-based interventions like neurofeedback, transcranial magnetic stimulation, and targeted pharmaceutical agents. Overall, advancing understanding of the neurological changes accompanying BWRT will enable finer-grained applications and enhancements, facilitating personalised mental healthcare.

BWRT in Digital Mental Health

The rise of digital mental health solutions has opened new avenues for BWRT's application. As online therapy platforms become more prevalent, BWRT's principles have shown promising adaptability to these formats (Capobianco et al., 2023). This adaptability is particularly relevant considering the increasing need for remote mental health support during and following the COVID-19 pandemic (Relajo-Howell, 2022).

BWRT's core technique, which involves working with subconscious responses, is well-suited for digital platforms. These platforms can facilitate the structured approach of BWRT, allowing therapists to guide clients through the therapy process remotely. This approach aligns with the need for innovative and accessible mental health solutions in a digital age.

Furthermore, the potential integration of BWRT into mental health apps presents an exciting opportunity. By leveraging BWRT's methodologies in app-based formats, users can access self-help tools grounded in BWRT principles. This could significantly enhance the reach and impact of BWRT, making it accessible to a broader audience who may not have access to traditional therapy settings.

As digital mental health continues to evolve, BWRT's place within this domain is poised for growth. Its adaptability to online and app-based formats, combined with its effectiveness in managing a range of psychological issues, positions BWRT as a valuable tool in the expanding landscape of digital mental health. Pilot studies have demonstrated BWRT's viability in online formats across diverse demographics (Mohamed et al., 2023). Widespread adoption still faces challenges like clinician training and engagement. However, the promise of increased access shows BWRT's potential to meet rising mental health needs through digital delivery (Watts, 2022).

A particular advantage of BrainWorking Recursive Therapy (BWRT) compared to standard therapies is that it does not require Systematic Desensitisation or the discovery of an Initial Sensitising Event (ISE) when addressing complex psychological conditions. These concepts involve conscious processing, triggered by the amygdala's response to neural stimuli from the reptilian complex. BWRT disrupts this stimulus, replacing it with a benign or rational process.

Quality control is paramount in BWRT. To this end, the entire training procedure emphasises the importance of adhering to the precise protocol structure that has been fundamental to the process since its inception. There is no point in the protocol where a practitioner can introduce their own therapeutic ideas or innovative processes. Therefore, the implementation should be essentially identical, regardless of where or by whom it is delivered.

Future Directions and Research

As BWRT continues to evolve, its intersection with emerging technologies and interdisciplinary research presents exciting future prospects. Collaborations between BWRT practitioners and neuroscientists could lead to deeper insights into the therapy's effectiveness and the neurobiological basis of its mechanisms (Arévalo et al., 2022; King & Prada, 2021; Rabeyron & Massicotte, 2020). Such research could validate and refine BWRT's methodology, enhancing its credibility and applicability in various psychological conditions (e.g., Zhou et al., 2023).

Technological advancements, particularly in artificial intelligence and virtual reality, could offer new ways to enhance the BWRT experience (e.g., Das & Relajo-Howell, 2021). These technologies could be used to create more immersive and interactive therapeutic environments, potentially increasing the therapy's effectiveness and appeal. Moreover, continued research into the long-term efficacy of BWRT is essential. Studies focusing on the sustainability of treatment

outcomes will be crucial in establishing BWRT as a reliable therapeutic option in the long run. This research could involve longitudinal studies tracking patients' progress post-therapy, providing valuable data on the lasting impact of BWRT on mental health. BWRT's evolution relies on ongoing empirical validation and innovation to cement its position amongst evidence-based therapies. Its intersectional nature provides opportunities for growth through emerging technologies and deepening scientific insight. With continued research and development, BWRT aims to fulfil its potential as a transformative force in mental healthcare.

Critical Analysis

While BrainWorking Recursive Therapy (BWRT) has shown promising results in various clinical settings, it's important to critically analyse its limitations and challenges. One notable limitation, as identified by Refvik & Stavland (2020), is the absence of systematic desensitisation or the identification of an Initial Sensitising Event (ISE) in the BWRT model. This could be a drawback when dealing with complex psychological conditions, where understanding the root cause of symptoms is crucial, as suggested by Borsboom (2017).

Moreover, the efficacy of BrainWorking Recursive Therapy (BWRT) compared to other established therapies like cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) and dialectical behaviour therapy (DBT) is an area that warrants further exploration. Comparative studies could provide deeper insights into BWRT's effectiveness and applicability in different psychological conditions. Additionally, more research is needed on BWRT's long-term outcomes and preventative capabilities. While initial results are positive, data on sustaining benefits over time are limited. Understanding BWRT's potential in relapse prevention could further validate its role in comprehensive mental healthcare. Critics also argue that a lack of standardised training and implementation guidelines limits quality control over BWRT delivery. Establishing rigorous training protocols and practice standards could address inconsistencies in practitioner competency and therapy administration. Ongoing scrutiny, coupled with further research, provides important opportunities for BWRT to address its limitations. This critical analysis ultimately aims to refine BWRT and position it as a viable evidence-based therapy option.

Conclusions

The development of BrainWorking Recursive Therapy (BWRT) marks a significant advancement in the field of psychological therapies. Its foundation on neuroscientific principles, combined with its innovative approach to addressing subconscious response mechanisms, positions BWRT as a potentially transformative method in treating trauma and anxiety disorders. As BWRT continues to evolve, further research and adaptation will undoubtedly refine its methodologies and expand its applications. The integration of BWRT into digital mental health platforms also presents an exciting avenue for making therapeutic techniques more accessible and adaptable to modern lifestyles. BWRT's journey from its conceptual genesis to its current application underscores the importance of innovation and scientific rigor in the evolution of psychotherapeutic interventions. It offers a promising approach that aligns with the growing demand for effective, science-based, and accessible mental health solutions.

Additionally, BrainWorking Recursive Therapy's (BWRT's) reliance on the therapist's skill in guiding the recursive process is another aspect that needs consideration. The therapist's ability to navigate and modify subconscious responses is central to the therapy's success, which could vary significantly among practitioners. Moreover, the therapy's application in more complex mental health conditions, such as severe depression or personality disorders, has yet to be extensively studied. Understanding these limitations and adapting the therapy to suit a wider range of conditions would be crucial for its broader application.

As with any novel therapeutic approach, prudent critique coupled with empirical research will shape BWRT's ongoing development. While challenges remain, BWRT demonstrates the potential of evidence-based innovation in advancing mental healthcare.

Declaration of Interest

The authors declare no competing interest.

References

- Arévalo, A., Simoes, E., Petinati, F., & Lepski, G. (2022). What does the general public know (or not) about neuroscience? Effects of age, region and profession in Brazil. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 16, Article 798967. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2022.798967>
- Borsboom, D. (2017). A network theory of mental disorders. *World Psychiatry*, 16(1), 5–13. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20375>
- Capobianco, L., Verbist, I., Heal, C., Huey, D., & Wells, A. (2023). Improving access to psychological therapies: Analysis of effects associated with remote provision during COVID-19. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 62(1), 312–324. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjc.12410>
- Clarke, P. G. (2013). *The Libet experiment and its implications for conscious will*. Faraday Institute for Science and Religion.
- Dahlitz, M. (2015). Neuropsychotherapy: Defining the emerging paradigm of neurobiologically informed psychotherapy. *International Journal of Neuropsychotherapy*, 3(1), 47–69. <https://doi.org/10.12744/ijnpt.2015.0047-0069>
- Das, M., & Relajo-Howell, D. (2021). Association of performance intelligence quotient (IQ) with full scale IQ, verbal IQ, and anatomical characteristics. *i-manager's Journal on Educational Psychology*, 15(2), 19–27. <https://doi.org/10.26634/jpsy.15.2.18385>
- Donahue, J. J. (2020). Fight-flight-freeze system. *Encyclopedia of Personality and Individual Differences*, 1590–1595. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-24612-3_751
- Farkas, B. C., Krajcsi, A., Janacsek, K., & Nemeth, D. (2023). The complexity of measuring reliability in learning tasks: An illustration using the alternating serial reaction time task. *Behavior Research Methods*, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-022-02038-5>
- Finkelstein, M. A. (1992). Psychophysical modeling: The link between objectivism and subjectivism. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 15(1), 36–37.
- Fleuridas, C., & Krafcik, D. (2019). Beyond four forces: The evolution of psychotherapy. *Sage Open*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244018824492>
- Gilbert, P. (2020). Compassion: From its evolution to a psychotherapy. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, Article 3123. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.586161>
- Goldberg, H. (2022). Growing Brains, nurturing minds—Neuroscience as an educational tool to support students' development as life-long learners. *Brain Sciences*, 12(12), Article 1622. <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci12121622>
- Gonzalez, C., Ben-Asher, N., Oltramari, A., & Lebiere, C. (2014). Cognition and Technology. In: Kott, A., Wang, C., Erbacher, R. (Eds.), *Cyber Defense and Situational Awareness. Advances in Information Security*, (Vol. 62). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-11391-3_6
- Gulnoza, R., Mushtariy, R., & Yoqubjon, M. (2023). Therapy, physiology of sensation and perception. *Journal of Academic Research and Trends in Educational Sciences*, 2(2), 214–219.
- Hawking, S., & Mlodinow, L. (1988). *The grand design*. Bantam Books.
- Henson, C., Truchot, D., & Canevello, A. (2021). What promotes post traumatic growth? A systematic review. *European Journal of Trauma & Dissociation*, 5(4), Article 100195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejtd.2020.100195>
- Hoffman, L. (2014). Ever evolving approaches to exploring the human mind. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association*, 62(1), 125–147. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0003065114524985>
- Hublin, J. J., Ben-Ncer, A., Bailey, S. E., Freidline, S. E., Neubauer, S., Skinner, M. M., Bergmann, I., Le Cabec, A., Benazzi, S., Harvati, K., & Gunz, P. (2017). New fossils from Jebel Irhoud, Morocco and the pan-African origin of Homo sapiens. *Nature*, 546(7657), 289–292. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22336>

- Libet, B. (1985). Unconscious cerebral initiative and the role of conscious will in voluntary action. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 8(4), 529–539. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0140525x00044903>
- Libet, B. (1999). Do we have free will? *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 6(8–9), 47–57.
- Libet, B. (2002). The timing of mental events: Libet’s experimental findings and their implications. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 11(2), 291–299. <https://doi.org/10.1006/ccog.2002.0568>
- MacLean, P. D. (1985). Brain evolution relating to family, play, and the separation call. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 42(4), 405–417. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.1985.01790270095011>
- Miller, C. W., Dubin, H. F., & Ehrenreich, M. J. (2023). Current landscape, obstacles, and opportunities in the teaching of psychotherapy in psychiatric residency. *Advances in Psychiatry and Behavioral Health*, 3(1), 219–227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypsc.2023.03.021>
- Mohamed, N. H., Beckstein, A., Winship, G., Ashraf Khan Mou, T., Pang, N. T. P., & Relajo-Howell, D. (2023). Effects of self-expressive writing as a therapeutic method to relieve stress among university students. *Journal of Poetry Therapy*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08893675.2023.2174678>
- Naumann, R. K., Ondracek, J. M., Reiter, S., Shein-Idelson, M., Tosches, M. A., Yamawaki, T. M., & Laurent, G. (2015). The reptilian brain. *Current Biology*, 25(8), R317–R321. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.02.049>
- Novak, E. T. (2008). Integrating neurological findings with transactional analysis in trauma work: Linking “there and then” self states with “here and now” ego states. *Transactional Analysis Journal*, 38(4), 303–319. <https://doi.org/10.1177/036215370803800405>
- Rabeyron, T., & Massicotte, C. (2020). Entropy, free energy, and symbolization: Free association at the intersection of psychoanalysis and neuroscience. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, Article 366. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00366>
- Relajo-Howell, D. (2020). Bouncing back, blogging knack: A general overview of resilience and blogging as a psychological intervention. *Central Mindanao University Journal of Science*, 24(2), 5–6. <https://doi.org/10.52751/hiqp9266>
- Relajo-Howell, D. (2022). How to be a digital savvy researcher. *Problems of Psychology in the 21st Century*, 16(1), 4–10. <https://doi.org/10.33225/ppc/22.16.04>
- Relajo-Howell, D. (2023, July 08). Unleashing the power of BWRT therapy: the Terence Watts BWRT Institute empowers transformation. *Psychreg*. <https://www.psychreg.org/unleashing-power-bwrt-therapy-terence-watts-bwrt-institute-empowers-transformation>
- Schutter, D. J., & Honk, J. V. (2004). Decoupling of midfrontal delta–beta oscillations after testosterone administration. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 53, 71–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2003.12.012>
- Science.org. (2008, April 14). Case closed for free will. *Science*. <https://www.science.org/content/article/case-closed-free-will>
- Siegel, I. R. (2013). Therapist as a container for spiritual resonance and client transformation in transpersonal psychotherapy: An exploratory heuristic study. *Journal of Transpersonal Psychology*, 45(1), 49–74. <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2013-33767-005>
- Toates, F. (2006). A model of the hierarchy of behaviour, cognition, and consciousness. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 15(1), 75–118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.concog.2005.04.008>
- Vansteenkiste, M., Ryan, R. M., & Soenens, B. (2020). Basic psychological need theory: Advancements, critical themes, and future directions. *Motivation and Emotion*, 44, 1–31. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11031-019-09818-1>
- Watts, T. (2022). *BWRT: Reboot your life with BrainWorking Recursive Therapy*. Crown House Publishing.
- Watts, T. (2023). Reimagining the subconscious: A proposal for a revised understanding. *Psychreg Journal of Psychology*, 7(2), 133–147. <https://doi.org/kg7s>
- Wiener, M. C., & Richmond, B. J. (2003). Decoding spike trains instant by instant using order statistics and the mixture-of-poissons model. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 23(6), 2394–2406. <https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.23-06-02394.2003>
- Wiliam, D. (2006). The half-second delay: what follows? *Pedagogy, Culture & Society*, 14(01), 71–81. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14681360500487470>

Zhou, J., Liu, B., Ye, H., & Duan, J. P. (2023). A prospective cohort study on the association between new falls and balancing ability among older adults over 80 years who are independent. *Experimental Gerontology*, 180, Article 112259. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2023.112259>

Received: November 10, 2023

Revised: December 05, 2023

Accepted: December 15, 2023

Cite as: Watts, T., Watts, J., & Relajo-Howell, D. (2023). Uncovering brainworking recursive therapy: A comprehensive examination of subconscious response mechanisms and therapeutic applications. *Problems of Psychology in the 21st Century*, 17(1), 57-65. <https://doi.org/10.33225/ppc/23.17.57>

Terence Watts

Head of Training, The Terence Watts BWRT Institute, London, United Kingdom.
E-mail: tw@terencewatts.com
ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0004-8697-7249>

Julie Watts

Executive Director, The Terence Watts BWRT Institute, London, United Kingdom.
E-mail: jw@juliewatts.com

Dennis Relajo-Howell
(Corresponding author)

MSc Research Methods in Psychology, Managing Director, Psychreg, United Kingdom.
University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom.
E-mail: dennis@psychreg.org
ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8898-2077>
