

NAVIGATING ASSESSMENT ALIGNMENT IN BLENDED LEARNING: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF MAJOR AND NON-MAJOR SUBJECTS

Marivic R. Mitschek¹
Rosanna A. Esquivel

Received 14.12.2023.
Accepted 28.01.2024.

Keywords:

Blended Learning, Correlation and Regression Tree Algorithm, curriculum development, digital transformation.

ABSTRACT

A powerful pedagogical strategy has evolved in the age of developing educational technology: blended learning, which combines traditional instruction with online resources. In particular, it examines distinctions between major and non-major subjects in order to address the essential issue of assessment alignment in blended learning. While investigations frequently evaluate the effectiveness of blended learning, few examine how examinations fit with its distinctive features.

The assessment alignment in major and non-major subjects is compared in this study using the Correlation and Regression Tree (CART) model. Determining how assessments should align and how to make sure they measure topic mastery in this blended setting are among the key issues discussed. The study contributes to conversations about curriculum design and policy by assessing the literature and examining assessment procedures. The study offers knowledge that can be used to create evaluations for blended learning that are efficient while also promoting engagement among learners and academic success. Through this investigation, teachers receive tips on instructional design that will help them in the digital age to promote a unified learning experience across all topics.



© 2024 Journal of Innovations in Business and Industry

1. INTRODUCTION

The rapid progress of educational technology in recent years has fundamentally changed how we approach instruction and learning. The careful blending of conventional classroom instruction with online and digital resources is known as blended learning, and it has become recognized as a potent instructional strategy that brings the best of both worlds together. It is crucial to make sure that examinations, a crucial component of the learning process, are properly aligned with the primary objectives and goals of each topic as instructors continue to use this continually evolving form of education.

The important topic of evaluating alignment within the framework of blended learning is explored in-depth in the aforementioned study, which focuses on the variations in congruence across major and non-major subjects. There is a lack of in-depth investigations that examine how assessments, particularly those in major and non-major subjects, align with the distinctive characteristics of this instructional modality, despite the fact that there has been a lot of research on the effectiveness of blended learning in improving the performance of students (Klein & Tamin, 2019; Clark & Mayer, 2016).

This study aims to provide answers to numerous important concerns by carefully evaluating the alignment of examinations in major and non-major

¹ Corresponding author: Marivic R. Mitschek
Email: marivic.mitschek@gmail.com

subjects within a blended learning environment. Do these two types of subjects' alignment of judgments differ noticeably from one another? How can educators, while adjusting to the hybrid character of blended learning, guarantee that assessments effectively measure the mastery of content and skills in both major and non-major subjects? What techniques may be used to improve the fit between assessments and learning goals, thereby enhancing the effectiveness and coherence of the educational process for students?

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

This study article uses an empirical examination of assessment processes in various educational institutions along with a thorough review of the prior literature to address these problems (Dziuban et al., 2018; Hew & Cheung, 2019). Teachers, instructional professionals, and policymakers in the education sector can collaborate to improve methods of evaluation and create a more equitable and productive educational setting for all students by developing a more comprehensive awareness of the alignment difficulties experienced in blended education for both major and non-major subjects (Miller et al., 2021).

This research investigation adds insightful information to the ongoing discussion surrounding efficient instructional planning, student participation, and the realization of positive learning outcomes in the digital age by examining the alignment between assessments and the particular demands of blended learning across major and non-major subjects (Means et al., 2019; Cavanaugh, 2017).

Examining assessment alignment in the context of blended instruction, which combines traditional face-to-face educational experiences with online and digital elements, is the main objective of this study. With its ability to provide flexibility, engagement, and increased learning possibilities, this educational strategy has significantly increased in popularity in recent years. The evaluations utilized in this approach must, however, adequately measure the desired learning objectives while meeting the specific requirements of both major and non-major courses as blended learning evolves to develop.

Any possible difference in assessment alignment between major and non-major subjects is a crucial aspect to investigate. Non-major courses include a wider range of disciplines and can be studied by learners from a variety of educational backgrounds, whereas major subjects frequently serve as the core courses within a particular field of study. Due to the different levels of topic proficiency, baseline information, and educational objectives, the alignment of assessments in many different areas may show variances.

It is crucial to comprehend whether there are appreciable variations in the alignment of assessments between major and non-major disciplines. Teachers, instructional designers, and educational administrators

can use these insights to build evaluation mechanisms that are efficient for each topic area while taking into account their particular needs in the blended learning environment.

Additionally, this study aims to investigate the precision with which assessments in the blended learning modality measure subject knowledge and abilities. Assessments are crucial in determining how well students comprehend, apply, and retain the course contents. It is essential to make sure that the examinations appropriately represent the learning objectives of the course in the context of blended learning, when students engage with both conventional classroom activities and online resources. This study aims to provide insight on the efficacy of existing assessment procedures within the blended learning paradigm by examining the alignment of assessments.

In the end, the study looks for ways to improve how evaluations and instructional goals are aligned in blended learning. By addressing this problem, the study hopes to advance the creation of more efficient assessment techniques that smoothly integrate the objectives of blended learning, giving students in both major and minor topics a unified and productive learning experience. Through this investigation, instructors can acquire essential tips and tricks for making sure evaluations reinforce the desired learning goals while also adjusting to the unpredictable aspect of blended learning.

Blended learning is an approach that combines conventional classroom techniques with online materials as a result of the quick development of technology in education (Garrison & Vaughan, 2018). The alignment of assessments becomes increasingly important for optimal learning when educators adopt this dynamic approach (Hew & Cheung, 2017).

While evidence has shown that blended learning has advantages, there hasn't been much focus on how evaluations are aligned, especially in major and minor courses. The need to investigate evaluation systems that work in the mixed learning context is emphasized by Klein & Tamin (2019). Assessments must support instructional goals in order for results to be successful, according to Clark and Mayer (2016).

This study explores the gap in assessment alignment within the context of blended learning, drawing on an examination of the body of previous research and empirical investigation (Dziuban et al., 2018; Hew & Cheung, 2019). Educators can collaborate improve techniques by recognizing alignment issues in main and non-major topics (Miller et al., 2021).

This study provides insights into instructional design and student participation by comparing assessment alignment between major and non-major topics (Means et al., 2019; Cavanaugh, 2017). Assessments that accurately measure the intended learning outcomes are necessary for maximizing the adaptability and participation potential of blended learning (Graham, 2017). This study investigates methods to improve

the development of higher-order thinking skills while still addressing critical lower-level thinking skills. Based on the aforementioned results, it is evident that examinations for general education subjects primarily emphasize the analytical abilities of "Create," "Remember," and "Evaluate," while evaluations for course-specific subjects prioritize the cognitive skills of "Create," "Remember," and "Analyze."

These findings suggest that both general education and course-specific coursework are carefully created to encourage a broad spectrum of cognitive talents, which are essential for students' overall intellectual development and growth. This analysis illustrates the institution's dedication to providing a balanced curriculum that emphasizes the development of a variety of cognitive talents, giving students the necessary skills and capacities to meet both current and future challenges.

The results shown in the picture provide important information about the levels of assessment and cognitive abilities the academic program is aiming at. This demonstrates the organization's unwavering commitment to giving pupils a thorough and balanced education.

The decision tree that the researcher built included a wide range of attributes, including course mode, academic year, course and topic-level outcomes, assessment results, grading, term of assessment, and assessment style. The researcher used the Classification and Regression Tree (CART) approach within the Weka 3.8.6 software to construct the decision tree. The extent to which the educational goals were met was evaluated using this extensive list of criteria.

The decision tree's accuracy was evaluated using a 10-fold cross-validation method. As evaluative factors, a variety of measures including Kappa statistics, Matthews Correlation Coefficient, and a confusion matrix were constructed. The alignment of numerous parameters was examined using the software's visualization tool.

The study's findings have ramifications for both curriculum development and policy concerning education. The study provided a thorough evaluation of Outcome-Based Education (OBE) in blended learning environments by examining archived blended courses. In the field of technological innovation, these findings are especially important since they provide useful information for informing curriculum design choices and influencing policy concerning education.

The subsequent studies in this area will be guided by how decision tree analysis and data mining methods were used in this work. These techniques give instructors and educational designers an adequate foundation for data-driven decision-making, allowing for a more objective and empirical assessment of the effectiveness of various approaches to instruction.

Particularly, the focus of the study on archived blended courses is an important factor because it allowed researchers to assess the effectiveness of OBE in the actual world rather than just using modeled

circumstances. This emphasis increases the study's findings' relevance and adaptability to contemporary instructional techniques.

5. SUMMARY

The study used a variety of parameters, including course mode, year of study, course and topic-level outcomes, evaluation outcomes, grading, examination period, and assessment technique, to create a decision tree using the Classification and Regression Tree (CART) approach within the Weka 3.8.6 software. These characteristics were carefully chosen to gauge their fit with learning goals. The accuracy of the decision tree was assessed by the study using a 10-fold cross-validation approach, which also included confusion matrix, Matthews correlation coefficient, and kappa statistics. The software's display function was used to investigate how different parameters lined up.

The investigation has consequences for developing curricula and setting policies for education, especially in the area of technological advancements. It conducted a thorough analysis of outcome-based education (OBE) in blended learning environments by assessing recorded blended courses. This study establishes a significant foundation for prospective studies by using decision tree analysis and data mining methods to evaluate instructional strategies quantitatively and make data-driven decisions.

6. CONCLUSIONS

The results of the research highlight the importance of evaluating assessment alignment in blended education settings. A complex knowledge of OBE's efficacy is made possible by the decision tree model's usage of a wide range of attributes along with its thorough evaluation metrics. The study's conclusions are relevant and applicable in modern educational environments thanks to the real-world appraisal of archived blended courses.

7. RECOMMENDATION

Multiple suggestions are put forth in light of the investigation's findings. To start, educational institutions ought to think about implementing decision tree analysis and data mining methods to evaluate and enhance assessment alignment. These approaches provide an evaluation of teaching strategies that is more quantitative and objective. The study also highlights the significance of topic-level and course-level objectives in curriculum design, recommending that teachers concentrate on these elements to guarantee students' comprehensive learning. The assessment must be expanded to include a range of fields of study, and it must be investigated how the results might be used to

guide the development of curricula and educational policies in a variety of fields. Finally, this field needs more research.

Acknowledgement: I am grateful for the guidance of my adviser and the support from De La Salle University-Dasmariñas (DLSUD) and AUF Graduate School. Their contributions have been instrumental in the successful completion of this study, reflecting the collaborative spirit and academic excellence of both institutions.

References:

- Chen, B., Seilhamer, R., Bennett, L., & Bauer, S. (2020). An empirical study on the effectiveness of adaptive learning in a blended learning environment. *Computers & Education*, 151, 103854.
- Clark, R. C., & Mayer, R. E. (2016). *E-learning and the science of instruction: Proven guidelines for consumers and designers of multimedia learning* (4th ed.). Wiley.
- Cavanaugh, C. S. (2017). The effectiveness of interactive multimedia in education. In *Hand-book of Research on Human Development in the Digital Age* (pp. 1-22). IGI Global.
- Dziuban, C., Picciano, A., Moskal, P. D., & Graham, C. R. (Eds.). (2018). *Blended learning: Research perspectives* (Vol. 2). Routledge.
- Garrison, D. R., & Vaughan, N. D. (2018). *Blended learning in higher education: Framework, principles, and guidelines*. Routledge.
- Gašević, D., Dawson, S., Rogers, T., & Gasevic, D. (2020). Learning analytics should not promote one size fits all: The effects of instructional conditions in predicting academic success. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 45, 100725.
- Gračić, A., & Marinković, D. (2018). The impact of blended learning on student performance in a communication course. *Telematics and Informatics*, 35(1), 1-11.
- Hew, K. F., & Cheung, W. S. (2017). Students' and instructors' use of massive open online courses (MOOCs): Motivations and challenges. *Educational Research Review*, 20, 45-58.
- Hew, K. F., & Cheung, W. S. (2019). Designing and implementing blended learning in higher education. In *Blended learning in higher education: Framework, principles, and guidelines* (pp. 27-45). Springer.
- Klein, J. D., & Tamin, R. (2019). Aligning assessments to course objectives in blended learning environments. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 41, 1-10.
- Means, B., Toyama, Y., Murphy, R., Bakia, M., & Jones, K. (2019). *Evaluation of evidence-based practices in online learning: A meta-analysis and review of online learning studies*. US Department of Education.
- Miller, R. B., Greene, B. A., Montalvo, G. P., Ravindran, B., & Nichols, J. D. (2021). Engagement in blended learning environments: An exploration of online and face-to-face instructional delivery. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 48, 100794.

Marivic R. Mitschek

Angeles University Foundation
Graduate School,
Philippines.

marivic.mitschek@gmail.com

ORCID: 0009-0009-0074-1531

Rosanna A. Esquivel

Angeles University Foundation
Graduate School,
Philippines

esquivel.rosanna@gmail.com

