## **Treatment of Chronic Ankle Instability: A Review**

Edvin Selmani<sup>1</sup>, Agron Dogjani<sup>1</sup>

https://doi.org/10.32391/ajtes.v3i2.52

#### Abstract

Treatment of chronic ankle instability remains a challenge for orthopedic surgeon. Surgical procedures for this disorder include anatomic direct repair, anatomic reconstruction with an autograft or allograft, and arthroscopic repair. Open direct repair is commonly used for patients with sufficient ligament quality. Reconstruction incorporating either an autograft or an allograft is another promising option in the short term, although the longevity of this procedure remains unclear. Use of an allograft avoids donor site morbidity, but it comes with inherent risks. Arthroscopic repair of chronic lateral ankle instability can provide good to excellent short- and long-term clinical outcomes, but the evidence supporting this technique is limited. Deterioration of the ankle joint after surgery is also a concern. Studies are needed on not only treating ligament insufficiency but also on reducing the risk of ankle joint deterioration. *Keywords:* ankle instability, treatment, chronic

\**Corresponding Author*: Edvin Selmani = **E-mail:** selmaniedvin@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup>University Hospital of Trauma, Tirana, Albania

#### Full Text

#### Introduction

Management of chronic lateral ankle instability (CLAI) is a challenge for the orthopedic surgeon because it is common source of ankle а dysfunction.<sup>1</sup> This disorder may involve mechanical and/or functional instability. The anterior talofibular ligament (ATFL) and calcaneofibular ligament (CFL) are the major static lateral ligamentous stabilizers.<sup>2</sup> The ATFL is the primary constraint to inversion stress in plantar flexion. Most patients experiencing mechanical instability have either an ATFL injury alone or combined ATFL and CFL injuries.<sup>2</sup>

Conservative treatment is often successful in patients with CLAI. When symptoms persist despite an adequate trial of nonsurgical management, surgical treatment aimed at restoring ankle stability is typically indicated. Several surgical techniques has been described, including anatomic direct repair with or without local tissue anatomic ligament augmentation, reconstruction either using an autograft or an allograft, and arthroscopic repair. Anatomic direct repair with or without inferior retinaculum extensor (IER) augmentation remains the first-line surgical treatment of CLAI, except in the setting of malalignment or in a patient with global laxity or in whom robust soft tissue is absent. However, a 2011 Cochrane review concluded that clinical evidence is

insufficient to determine the optimal surgical strategy for this pathology.<sup>3</sup>

## **Surgical Treatment**

Traditionally, surgical management of CLAI has been classified as nonanatomic anatomic. or Nonanatomic procedures, which typically involve tenodesis of the peroneus brevis tendon, include a variety of techniques aimed at stabilizing the talocrural joint<sup>1</sup> (Figure 1). Eventhough nonanatomic techniques can provide successful short-term outcomes, their use is controversial.





Studies have shown impairment of ankle and subtalar joint function subsequent to nonanatomic procedures.<sup>4,5</sup> In one prospective study comparing the Chrisman-Snook and the modified Broström procedures in 40 patients with CLAI, several patients treated with a nonanatomic procedure reported that their ankles felt "too tight," a sensation not reported by those undergoing anatomic procedures.<sup>6</sup> In addition, follow-up studies have shown that patients undergoing nonanatomic surgery, such as a Watson-Jones tenodesis or an Evans procedure, experienced unsatisfactory long-term outcomes.<sup>7,8</sup> Recently, Sammarco<sup>9</sup> found that wound complication rates were higher among patients undergoing nonanatomic tenodesis than in those undergoing anatomic procedures.

As a result of these concerns, the use of nonanatomic procedures has Nevertheless, declined. the technique is still considered in patients requiring total ankle arthroplasty or cavovarus reconstruction and in patients in hindfoot whom the has been realigned, necessitating а more robust lateral ligament reconstruction.

procedures Anatomic aimed at replacing the deficient ATFL and CFL are broadly categorized as either direct repair of the injured ligament or ligaments, or anatomic reconstruction with an autograft or allograft. Direct repair is indicated for patients with adequate ligamentous remnants, whereas anatomic reconstruction is indicated for those with obesity, generalized ligamentous laxity, prior unsuccessful stabilization procedures, and poor or insufficient ligamentous remnants.<sup>10</sup>

In cases with concomitant ATFL and CFL injuries, surgical treatment of the CFL is not always indicated. The CFL has been proposed as a primary stabilizer of the subtalar joint, and

injury to the ligament has been associated with progression of subtalar instability. In addition, clinical and radiologic accurate diagnosis of CFL tears is challenging.<sup>11</sup> Furthermore, the role of the CFL in this process remains controversial. Wang et al<sup>12</sup> found that sectioning the CFL had no effect on the stability of the subtalar joint subsequent to open reduction and internal fixation for calcaneal fractures. Maffulli et al<sup>13</sup> recently assessed isolated ATFL repairs in 42 patients with CLAI whose CFL injury had been repaired. In 38 of those patients, the mean American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society ankle-hindfoot score improved from preoperatively 51 to 90 postoperatively (mean follow-up, 8.7 Therefore, the years). authors suggested that surgery may not be required to manage a CFL injury.

The IER has been incorporated in surgical management of CLAI to augment the strength of anatomic ATFL procedures and confer longterm stability to the subtalar joint.1 Good to excellent outcomes with low complication rates have been reported with this modification.<sup>1,14,15</sup> Similarly, Aydogan et al<sup>16</sup> reported that IER augmentation protected the primary ATFL repair in a cadaver study. In contrast, Behrens et al<sup>17</sup> reported significant no biomechanical difference in initial ankle stability with or without IER augmentation. Recent anatomic and clinical studies also suggest that incorporation of the IER may not provide clinical and radiologic advantages over traditional anatomic repair.<sup>18,19</sup>

The use of concomitant arthroscopy with CLAI reconstruction has recently increased, as the result of the limited ability of MRI to accurately show the intra-articular frequently lesions involved in CLAI.<sup>20</sup> A large database study that although demonstrated concomitant arthroscopy produced a rate, was revision it higher associated with a lower incidence of subsequent invasive procedures, including ankle arthrodesis.<sup>21</sup>

#### Anatomic Direct Repair

Anatomic direct repair is generally accepted as the first-line surgical treatment of CLAI. This procedure involves the use of native ligament remnant(s) with or without local tissue for reinforcement. The most common types of anatomic direct repair are the Broström procedure, the Gould modification, and the Karlsson modification<sup>1,2</sup> (*Figure 2*). These reparative techniques are appealing because of their low cost, minimal invasiveness, procedural simplicity, and low complication rates. However, anatomic direct repair is not recommended for patients insufficient with ligamentous tissue, prior unsuccessful stabilization procedures, high body mass index, generalized ligamentous or laxity.<sup>10,13,22</sup>



Direct repair has shown promising functional outcomes, with most patients demonstrating good to excellent results.<sup>1,23-25</sup> Bell et al<sup>23</sup> performed the Broström procedure on 31 patients. In the 22 patients evaluated at a mean follow-up of 26.3 years, the mean overall numeric ankle function score was 91.2 (out of standard deviation, 100; 10.2). Tourné et al<sup>24</sup> reported long-term patients results in 150 after retensioning ligamentous and reinforcement with the use of the extensor retinaculum. After a mean follow-up of 11 years, 93% of the patients had satisfactory results, with no deterioration of the articular surface detected on radiographs. A 2009 retrospective case series by Li et al<sup>25</sup> investigated outcomes after anatomic direct repair in an athletic population and found that 49 of the 52 high-demand athletes assessed had returned to their preinjury level performance of 2 years postoperatively.

Different variations in anatomic direct repairs have been reported. In a study by Karlsson et al,<sup>26</sup> 60 patients were randomly assigned to receive direct repair with either IER reinforcement or bone-tunnel techniques. At a mean follow-up of 3.1 years, no significant difference was found between the treatment groups in terms of either functional outcome or mechanical instability.

Biomechanical studies have similarly revealed no significant differences in tensile strength and stiffness between direct repair techniques and suture anchor stabilization.27 Cho et al<sup>28</sup> compared 20 patients treated with bone-tunnel techniques with 20 patients treated with suture-anchor techniques а prospective in randomized study. The mean time to follow-up was 28.4 months in the bone tunnel group and 29.2 months in the suture anchor group. No significant difference was observed between these techniques after evaluation with the Karlsson scale, the Sefton grading system, and stress radiographs.

Recently, direct repair has been augmented with ligament tape. In a biomechanical study using cadaver specimens, Viens et al<sup>29</sup> compared suture tape augmentation alone, direct repair with suture tape augmentation, and an intact ATFL. The ATFL with suture tape augmentation was found to be at least as strong as the native ATFL. Similarly, in a study comparing tape augmentation with a native ATFL in a cadaver model, Willegger et al<sup>30</sup> determined that the two had similar degrees of biomechanical stability. In a biomechanical study, Schuh et al<sup>31</sup> compared direct repair, suture anchor, and suture anchor combined with ligament tape augmentation. They found that the ligament tape augmentation technique provided statistically superior performance in

terms of angle at failure (P = 0.02) and failure torque (P = 0.04) compared with the traditional Broström and suture anchor techniques.

A prospective study by Cho et al<sup>32</sup> evaluated clinical outcomes of the internal brace technique<sup>29</sup> using suture tape in 34 patients with chronic ankle instability. At the final follow-up (>2 years), the mean foot and ankle outcome scores had significantly improved from a mean of 63.1 preoperatively to 93.2 (P < 0.001). In addition, both "talar tilt angle and anterior talar translation had significantly improved to an average of 4.5° and 4.1 mm, respectively" (P < 0.001).

Currently available evidence indicates that anatomic direct repair in patients with CLAI has the potential to provide good to excellent shortand long-term clinical outcomes. Modifications in technique are expected to improve functional outcomes; however, because of the novelty of the procedures, definitive conclusions regarding their use are premature.

#### Anatomic Reconstruction

Anatomic reconstructions has two general categories: those using autografts and those using allografts. Currently, these surgical strategies are indicated for patients with poorquality ligament remnants, a previously unsuccessful lateral ankle repair, a high body mass index, or generalized ligamentous laxity or patients for whom direct repair may not be an option.10 For example, Dierckman and Ferkel<sup>10</sup> reported that approximately 20% of patients with CLAI were not suitable candidates for anatomic repair, instead requiring anatomic reconstruction with a graft.

## Autograft

The advantage of using autografts for tendon reconstruction is superior tissue quality. However, an disadvantage of this strategy is the possibility of donor site morbidity. Options include local grafts (ie, peroneal longus, extensor digitorum longus) and free grafts (ie, Achilles tendon, plantaris, palmaris longus, bone-patellar tendon, hamstrings).<sup>1</sup>

Several authors have reported good short-term clinical outcomes after reconstruction anatomic using autograft.<sup>1,21,33</sup> al<sup>33</sup> Takao et described anatomic reconstruction using an autologous gracilis tendon and an interference fit anchoring system in 21 patients with CLAI. All patients achieved mechanical stability radiographs. on stress However, although good short-term outcomes have been reported with procedure, no study this has described long-term outcomes.

In another study, Kennedy et al<sup>22</sup> performed a hybrid anatomic lateral ligament reconstruction technique substituting that involved а peroneus longus autograft for the native ATFL in 57 athletes (*Figure 3*). achieved All patients had mechanical stability at a mean of 32 months after surgery, and 91% had returned to their previous level of

sports activity.



Figure 3

## Allograft

Allografts avoid the risk of donorsite morbidity, conferring shorter surgery times and less postoperative pain. Furthermore, as knowledge materials potential about has evolved, allografts have become increasingly popular options for treating patients with CLAI. Several sources have been used to manage lateral ankle instability, including the toe extensor and/or flexor, fascia lata, hamstrings, plantaris, anterior tibialis. and peroneus longus tendons.<sup>1</sup> several There are disadvantages allografts, to however, including inherent an low) (albeit risk of disease transmission and infection associated with the graft, as well as delayed biologic healing and higher cost.<sup>10</sup>

Clanton et al<sup>34</sup> recently addressed concerns about the tensile strength of allografts in a biomechanical study. The authors investigated the strength and stiffness of intact ATFLs and allograft reconstructions of the ATFL and found that the allografts demonstrated strength and stiffness similar to that of the native ligament.

Similar to the use of autografts, anatomic reconstructions using allografts in the management of CLAI can provide good to excellent short-term outcomes.<sup>1,35-37</sup> In the largest case series to date, Jung et al<sup>35</sup> prospectively reviewed 70 patients (72 ankles) treated with anatomic reconstruction using semitendinosus tendon allografts. At an average of 22.1 months postoperatively, they evaluated 64 of these patients (66 ankles) and found that the mean American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society score improved from 71 to 91 (P < 0.05) and the mean Karlsson-Peterson score increased from 55 to 90, whereas talar tilt decreased from 15° to 4°. Xu et al<sup>36</sup> retrospectively compared allograft autograft reconstruction with procedures and found no significant difference in clinical outcomes, talar tilt, or talar shift between treatment groups at a minimum follow-up of 12 months. Dierckman and Ferkel<sup>10</sup> retrospectively described outcomes with an anatomic reconstruction technique in an athletic population. In their cohort, 71% of athletes were either one level below their preinjury Tegner activity level or had returned to their previous level of play at a mean follow-up of  $38 \pm 30$  months. Matheny et al<sup>37</sup> compared anatomic repair involving IER reinforcement with allograft reconstruction and reported that "allograft reconstruction produced similarly favorable outcomes, including high patient satisfaction [and] high function and activity levels."

Anatomic reconstruction using autografts and allografts in patients with CLAI provides good to excellent short-term outcomes. However, surgical techniques vary, and it remains unclear which procedures are most beneficial in the long term.

Arthroscopic repair for CLAI is becoming increasingly popular.<sup>38</sup> This minimally invasive procedure is performed using primarily suture anchors and is thought to reduce postoperative pain and complications while hastening recovery. A strong ligamentous remnant of high quality is an important indicator for arthroscopic repair.

Studies have demonstrated no significant differences in the amount of load to joint failure between arthroscopic and standard open procedures in matched ankles.<sup>39</sup> In addition, studies of arthroscopic repair for CLAI have reported good to excellent clinical outcomes.<sup>1,40-42</sup> Nerv et al<sup>40</sup> conducted the longest follow-up study of arthroscopic ligament repair of CLAI to date, in which 94.7% of patients had good to excellent clinical results at a mean follow-up of 9.8 years. Acevedo and Mangone<sup>41</sup> reported that, in 73 patients who underwent arthroscopic ligament repair for the treatment of CLAI, Karlsson-Peterson scores improved from a mean of 28.3 preoperatively to a mean of 90.2 at a mean follow-up of 28 months; 69 of 73 patients were satisfied with the results.

Only two clinical studies have compared the outcomes of arthroscopic repair with those of open anatomic repair in the treatment of CLAI. Matsui et al<sup>42</sup>

retrospectively reviewed 55 ankles and found that patients in the arthroscopic group had less pain 3 days after surgery and returned to daily activities quicker than patients in the open repair group. However, the authors also found no significant difference in clinical scores between the groups at 1 year postoperatively. In a randomized controlled trial, Yeo et al<sup>43</sup> reported no difference in or radiologic clinical outcomes arthroscopic between anatomic repair and open anatomic repair groups.

Arthroscopic repair in the treatment of CLAI may provide good to shortlong-term excellent and However, clinical outcomes. arthroscopic repair is more technically demanding than an open procedure.<sup>38</sup> In addition, few studies have compared arthroscopic repair with open procedures. In a recent systematic review, Matsui et al44 found that quality evidence was insufficient for recommending the use of а minimally invasive procedure.

# Other Considerations in Patients With CLAI

Despite providing adequate ankle stabilization, standard open procedures may not prevent joint deterioration. In a retrospective study of nonaugmented anatomic direct repair of lateral ankle ligaments for CLAI involving 21 patients, Muijs et al45 reported that grade I osteoarthritis was observed at a mean follow-up of 13 years in 7 of the 15 patients who did not have preexisting arthritis. After another 6 years of follow-up, five of these seven patients also developed grade I osteoarthritis in the contralateral ankle, with one patient progressing to grade II osteoarthritis. In a case series of 38 patients followed for a mean of 8.7 years after a Broström procedure, 5 patients had grade I arthritic changes and 3 had grade II arthritic changes.<sup>13</sup>

The reasons for these degenerative arthritic changes have been explored in multiple studies.46-48 Prisk et al46 demonstrated in cadaver specimens that the lateral ankle ligament reconstruction technique does not completely restore native contact mechanics of the ankle joint or hindfoot motion patterns. Two subsequent studies by Huebner et  $al^{47,48}$ reported that catabolic reactions after acute injury increase the risk of degenerative changes, even in a mechanically stable joint.

Current evidence indicates that CLAI may be a precursor to posttraumatic osteoarthritis of the ankle.<sup>49,50</sup> Therefore, some surgeons advocate concomitant ankle arthroscopy with ankle ligament reconstruction. This practice is somewhat controversial, however, and data supporting its use are insufficient.

A correlation between cavovarus foot deformity and CLAI has been documented.<sup>51</sup> In 20 ankles requiring ligament revision of lateral Strauss et a152 reconstruction, demonstrated that most the commonly associated condition was hindfoot varus alignment (28%).

Irwin et al<sup>53</sup> reported good clinical outcomes in 22 patients who underwent lateral ankle ligament reconstruction and realignment osteotomy for cavovarus foot deformity.

In patients with CLAI and foot deformities, simultaneous correction of the deformity may be necessary success of an ankle for the procedure. In stabilization the professional athlete, mechanical realignment may best be deferred until the end of his or her playing career because recovery times can be prolonged.

## Complications

Sammarco<sup>9</sup> reported that complication rates after nonanatomic and anatomic procedures were 9.7% and 3.8%, respectively, for nerve and 4.0% and injuries 1.6%. respectively, for wound problems. Recurrent instability, meanwhile, may be the result of four principle inadequate anatomic causes: reconstruction, functional instability, reinjury, and predisposing factors.9 Predisposing factors include ligamentous laxity, long-standing instability, high functional demand, and cavovarus deformity.<sup>9,51</sup> As noted, anatomic reconstruction procedures were associated with lower rates of recurrent instability than were nonanatomic procedures and anatomic direct repair procedures.9

Arthroscopic procedures for CLAI have been associated with relatively high complication rates.<sup>38</sup> In a

systematic review, Wang et al<sup>38</sup> reported that 31 of 178 patients with ATFL who were treated with arthroscopic anchor suture placement experienced complications, mostly comprising nerve damage, but the relatively high complication rate may be the result of variations in technique. The high rate of sensory nerve injury may be the result of the presence of a communicating branch between the superficial peroneal and sural nerves inferior to the fibula. In one cadaver study, this communicating branch was observed in 58% of specimens examined, and the average distance from this branch to the crest of the lateral malleolus was 4.7 cm.54

Acevedo et al<sup>55</sup> defined a so-called safe zone at a distance of 1.5 cm from the tip of the fibula, which is not near the communicating branch reported in their anatomic study. Awareness of this safe zone may help surgeons avoid nerve injuries during arthroscopic procedures.

#### Summary

Several topics regarding the surgical management of CLAI are under debate. Successful outcomes of these procedures may depend on ligament quality and patient characteristics. Because of issues inherent in nonanatomic procedures, use of this technique is decreasing. Standard open direct repair has had continued widespread use in patients with sufficient ligament quality. This procedure can provide good to excellent clinical outcomes, potentially lasting >20 years.

Reconstruction techniques incorporating autografts are another promising option for CLAI in the short term, although the longevity of this procedure is unclear. In contrast, anatomic reconstructions using allograft can provide equivalent outcomes without the risk of donor-

#### References

- 1. Yasui Υ, Murawski CD, Takao Wollstein Α, Μ, Kennedy IG: Operative treatment of lateral ankle instability. JBJS Rev 2016;4(5):01874474-201605000-00006.
- Broström L: Sprained ankles: VI. Surgical treatment of "chronic" ligament ruptures. Acta Chir Scand 1966;132(5):551–565.
- 3. De Vries JS, Krips R, Sierevelt IN, Blankevoort L, van Dijk CN: Interventions for treating chronic ankle instability. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2011;8(8):CD004124.
- Rosenbaum D, Bertsch C, Claes LE: NOVEL Award 1996: 2nd prize tenodeses do not fully restore ankle joint loading characteristics. A biomechanical in vitro investigation in the hind foot. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon) 1997;12(3):202–209.
- 5. Bahr R, Pena F, Shine J, Lew WD, Tyrdal S, Engebretsen L: Biomechanics of ankle ligament reconstruction: An in vitro comparison of the Broström repair, Watson-

site morbidity but with potential inherent risks and costs. Interest in arthroscopic repair has also grown. Although arthroscopy may provide good to excellent clinical outcomes in both the short- and long-term, evidence supporting its use is limited.

> Jones reconstruction, and a new anatomic reconstruction technique. Am J Sports Med 1997;25(4):424–432.

- 6. Hennrikus WL, Mapes RC, Lyons PM, Lapoint JM: Outcomes of the Chrisman-Snook and modified-Broström procedures for chronic lateral ankle instability: A prospective, randomized comparison. Am J Sports Med 1996;24(4):400–404.
- 7. Van der Rijt AJ, Evans GA: The long-term results of Watson-Jones tenodesis. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1984;66(3):371–375.
- 8. Karlsson Ţ, Bergsten Τ, Lansinger O, Peterson L: Lateral instability of the ankle treated bv the Evans procedure: А long-term clinical and radiological follow-up. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1988;70(3):476-480.
- 9. Sammarco VJ: Complications of lateral ankle ligament reconstruction. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2001;391:123–132.
- 10. Dierckman BD, Ferkel RD: Anatomic reconstruction with a semitendinosus allograft for chronic lateral ankle

instability. Am J Sports Med 2015;43(8):1941–1950.

- 11. Lee BH, Choi KH, Seo DY, Choi SM, Kim GL: Diagnostic validity of alternative manual stress radiographic technique detecting subtalar instability with concomitant ankle instability. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2016;24(4):1029–1039
- 12. Wang CS, Tzeng YH, Lin CC, Huang CK, Chang MC, Radiographic Chiang CC: evaluation of ankle joint stability after calcaneofibular ligament elevation during open reduction and internal fixation of calcaneus fracture. Foot Ankle Int 2016;37(9):944-949.
- 13. Maffulli N, Del Buono A, Maffulli GD, et al: Isolated anterior talofibular ligament Broström repair for chronic lateral ankle instability: 9-year follow-up. Am J Sports Med 2013;41(4):858–864.
- 14. Gould N, Seligson D, Gassman J: Early and late repair of lateral ligament of the ankle. Foot Ankle 1980;1(2):84–89.
- 15. Lee KT, Park YU, Kim JS, Kim JB, Kim KC, Kang SK: Longterm results after modified Brostrom procedure without calcaneofibular ligament reconstruction. Foot Ankle Int 2011;32(2):153–157.
- 16. Aydogan U, Glisson RR, Nunley JA: Extensor retinaculum augmentation

reinforces anterior talofibular ligament repair. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2006;442:210–215.

- 17. Behrens SB, Drakos M, Lee BJ, et al: Biomechanical analysis of Brostrom versus Brostrom-Gould lateral ankle instability repairs. Foot Ankle Int 2013;34(4):587–592.
- 18. Dalmau-Pastor M, Yasui Y, JD, Karlsson Calder I, Kerkhoffs GM, Kennedy JG: Anatomy of the inferior extensor retinaculum and its role in lateral ankle ligament reconstruction: A pictorial essay. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2016;24(4):957-962.
- 19. Jeong BO, Kim MS, Song WJ, SooHoo NF: Feasibility and outcome of inferior extensor retinaculum reinforcement in modified Broström procedures. Foot Ankle Int 2014;35(11):1137–1142.
- 20. Staats K, Sabeti-Aschraf M, Apprich S, et al: Preoperative MRI is helpful but not sufficient to detect associated lesions in patients with chronic ankle instability. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2017; May 15
- 21. Yasui Y, Murawski CD, Wollstein A, Kennedy JG: Reoperation rates following ankle ligament procedures performed with and without concomitant arthroscopic procedures. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2017;25(6):1908–1915.

- 22. Kennedy JG, Smyth NA, Fansa AM, Murawski CD: Anatomic lateral ligament reconstruction in the ankle: A hybrid technique in the athletic population. Am J Sports Med 2012;40(10):2309– 2317.
- 23. Bell SJ, Mologne TS, Sitler DF, Cox JS: Twenty-six-year results after Broström procedure for chronic lateral ankle instability. Am J Sports Med 2006;34(6):975–978.
- 24. Tourné Y, Mabit C, Moroney PJ, Chaussard C, Saragaglia D: Long-term follow-up of lateral reconstruction with extensor retinaculum flap for chronic ankle instability. Foot Ankle Int 2012;33(12):1079– 1086.
- 25. Li X, Killie H, Guerrero P, Busconi BD: Anatomical reconstruction for chronic lateral ankle instability in the high-demand athlete: Functional outcomes after the modified Broström repair using suture anchors. Am J Sports Med 2009;37(3):488-494.
- 26. Karlsson J, Eriksson BI, Bergsten T, Rudholm O, Swärd L: Comparison of two anatomic reconstructions for chronic lateral instability of the ankle joint. Am J Sports Med 1997;25(1):48–53.
- 27. Brown CA, Hurwit D, Behn A, Hunt KJ: Biomechanical comparison of an all-soft suture anchor with a modified

Broström-Gould suture repair for lateral ligament reconstruction. Am J Sports Med 2014;42(2):417–422.

- 28. Cho BK, Kim YM, Kim DS, Choi ES, Shon HC, Park KJ: Comparison between suture anchor and transosseous suture for the modified-Broström procedure. Foot Ankle Int 2012;33(6):462–468.
- 29. Viens NA, Wijdicks CA. Campbell KJ, Laprade RF, Clanton TO: Anterior talofibular ligament ruptures: Biomechanical Part 1. comparison augmented of Broström repair techniques with the intact anterior talofibular ligament. Am J Sports Med 2014;42(2):405-411.
- 30. Willegger M, Benca E, Hirtler al: **Biomechanical** L, et stability of tape augmentation anterior for talofibular (ATFL) repair ligament compared to the native ATFL. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2016;24(4):1015-1021.
- 31. Schuh R, Benca E, Willegger M, et al: Comparison of Broström technique, suture anchor repair, and tape augmentation for reconstruction of the anterior talofibular ligament. Knee Sports Surg Traumatol Arthrosc 2016;24(4):1101-1107.
- 32. Cho BK, Park KJ, Kim SW, Lee HJ, Choi SM: Minimal

invasive suture-tape augmentation for chronic ankle instability. Foot Ankle Int 2015;36(11):1330–1338.

- 33. Takao M, Oae K, Uchio Y, Ochi M, Yamamoto H: Anatomical reconstruction of the lateral ligaments of the ankle with a gracilis autograft: A new technique using an interference fit anchoring system. Am J Sports Med 2005;33(6):814–823.
- 34. Clanton TO, Viens NA, Campbell KJ, Laprade RF, Wijdicks CA: Anterior talofibular ligament ruptures: Part 2. Biomechanical comparison of anterior talofibular ligament reconstruction using semitendinosus allografts with the intact ligament. Am J Sports Med 2014;42(2):412-416.
- 35. Jung HG, Shin MH, Park JT, Eom JS, Lee DO, Lee SH: Anatomical reconstruction of lateral ankle ligaments using free tendon allografts and biotenodesis screws. Foot Ankle Int 2015;36(9):1064– 1071.
- 36. Xu X, Hu M, Liu J, Zhu Y, Wang B: Minimally invasive reconstruction of the lateral ankle ligaments using semitendinosus autograft or tendon allograft. Foot Ankle Int 2014;35(10):1015–1021.
- 37. Matheny LM, Johnson NS, Liechti DJ, Clanton TO: Activity level and function

after lateral ankle ligament repair versus reconstruction. Am J Sports Med 2016;44(5):1301–1308.

- 38. Wang J, Hua Y, Chen S, Li H, Zhang J, Li Y: Arthroscopic repair of lateral ankle ligament complex by suture anchor. Arthroscopy 2014;30(6):766–773.
- 39. Drakos SB, MC, Behrens С, Paller D, Murphy DiGiovanni CW: Biomechanical comparison of an open vs arthroscopic approach for lateral ankle instability. Foot Ankle Int 2014;35(8):809-815.
- 40. Nery C, Raduan F, Del Buono A, Asaumi ID, Cohen M, Maffulli N: Arthroscopicassisted Broström-Gould for chronic ankle instability: A long-term follow-up. Am J Sports Med 2011;39(11):2381– 2388.
- 41. Acevedo JI, Mangone P: Ankle instability and arthroscopic lateral ligament repair. Foot Ankle Clin 2015;20(1):59–69.
- 42. Matsui К, Takao М, Miyamoto W, Matsushita T: Early recoverv after arthroscopic repair compared to open repair of the anterior talofibular ligament for lateral instability of the ankle. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2016;136(1):93-100.
- 43. Yeo ED, Lee KT, Sung IH, Lee SG, Lee YK: Comparison of all-inside arthroscopic and

open techniques for the modified Broström procedure for ankle instability. Foot Ankle Int 2016;37(10):1037-1045.

- 44. Matsui K, Burgesson B, Takao Stone Ī, Guillo М, S, Glazebrook M; ESSKA AFAS Instability Ankle Group: Minimally invasive surgical treatment for chronic ankle instability: systematic А review. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2016;24(4):1040-1048.
- 45. Muijs SP, Dijkstra PD, Bos CF: Clinical outcome after anatomical reconstruction of the lateral ankle ligaments Duquennoy using the technique in chronic lateral instability of the ankle: A long-term follow-up study. J Bone Ioint Surg Br 2008;90(1):50-56.
- 46. Prisk VR, Imhauser CW, O'Loughlin PF, Kennedy JG: Lateral ligament repair and reconstruction restore neither contact mechanics of the ankle joint nor motion patterns of the hindfoot. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2010;92(14):2375–2386.
- 47. Huebner KD, Shrive NG, Frank CB: New surgical model of post-traumatic osteoarthritis: Isolated intraarticular bone injury in the rabbit. J Orthop Res 2013;31(6):914–920.
- 48. Huebner KD, Shrive NG, Frank CB: Dexamethasone inhibits inflammation and

cartilage damage in a new model of post-traumatic osteoarthritis. J Orthop Res 2014;32(4):566–572.

- 49. Onur TS, Wu R, Chu S, Chang W, Kim HT, Dang AB: Joint instability and cartilage compression in a mouse model of posttraumatic osteoarthritis. J Orthop Res 2014;32(2):318–323.
- 50. McKinley TO, Tochigi Y, Rudert MJ, Brown TD: The effect of incongruity and instability on contact stress directional gradients in human cadaveric ankles. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2008;16(11):1363–1369.
- 51. Fortin PT, Guettler J, Manoli A II: Idiopathic cavovarus and lateral ankle instability: Recognition and treatment implications relating to ankle arthritis. Foot Ankle Int 2002;23(11):1031–1037.
- 52. Strauss JE, Forsberg JA, Lippert FG III: Chronic lateral ankle instability and associated conditions: A rationale for treatment. Foot Ankle Int 2007;28(10):1041– 1044.
- 53. Irwin TA, Anderson RB, Davis WH, Cohen BE: Effect of ankle arthritis on clinical outcome of lateral ankle ligament reconstruction in cavovarus feet. Foot Ankle Int 2010;31(11):941–948.
- 54. Drizenko A, Demondion X, Luyckx F, Mestdagh H, Cassagnaud X: The

communicating branches between the sural and superficial peroneal nerves in the foot: A review of 55 cases. Surg Radiol Anat 2004;26(6):447–452.

55. Acevedo JI, Ortiz C, Golano P, Nery C: ArthroBroström lateral ankle stabilization technique: An anatomic study. Am J Sports Med 2015;43(10):2564–2571.