



Quantitative analysis of phytoplankton of Ghagardara dam, District Nanded, Maharashtra, India

Pawar SK

Department of Zoology, Gramin Mahavidyalaya, Vasantnagar, (kotgyal), Tq. Mukhed Dist. Nanded, (M.S.)India

Manuscript details:

Received: 16.06.2019
Revised: 02.09.2019
Accepted: 17.09.2019
Published: 30.09.2019

Editor: Dr. Arvind Chavhan

Cite this article as:

Pawar SK (2019) Quantitative analysis of phytoplankton of Ghagardara dam, District Nanded, Maharashtra, India, *Int. J. of Life Sciences*, Volume 7(3): 573-576.

Copyright: © Author, This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial - No Derives License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

Available online on
<http://www.ijlsci.in>
ISSN: 2320-964X (Online)
ISSN: 2320-7817 (Print)

ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted to the Quantitative Analysis of Phytoplankton of Ghagardara Dam of Kandhar Taluka in Nanded District, Maharashtra, India. During the year June 2017 to May 2018. Presently 4367 Phytoplankton genera representing various groups, 24 species of Chlorophyceae, 17 species of Bacillariophyceae, 07 species of Euglenophyceae, 15 species of Cyanophyceae. Among Phytoplanktons particularly Chlorophyceae was the dominant group throughout the study. The highest count of 716 species was record in the month of May.

Keywords: Ghagardara dam, Phytoplankton, Chlorophyceae, Bacillariophyceae, Euglenophyceae.

INTRODUCTION

The Phytoplankton diversity and density is controlled by water quality and other biotic communities in a water body (Reid and Wood, 1976). Phytoplankton functions as the primary producers in the aquatic biotopes. Hence the quality and quantity of Phytoplankton population bear much influence on the production potential of an aquatic ecosystem. The Phytoplanktons are the most sensitive floating communities which is being the first target of water pollution, thus any undesirable changes in aquatic ecosystem affects diversity as well as biomass of plankton community (Summarwar, 2012). The Phytoplanktons constitute bulk of primary producers and are the base of food chain in every water body (Belkhode, 2016). Phytoplankton is an important source of carbon in Photosynthesis activity to the aquatic ecosystem. It is primary producer and first link to in life which depend on it for food purpose. In food chain it helps as a source of oxygen (Pawar, 2017a, 2017b, 2018a, 2018b, 2018c, Sharma *et al.* 2015, Summarwar, 2012) plankton plays an important role in an aquatic environment. If maintains the food chain of aquatic ecosystem. If the quantity of floating plankton increases on surface of water it turns to water pollution and affects on planktonic diversity as well as biomass of the plankton. Basically some elements are most important for the phyto-

plankton like phosphate, silicates and nitrogen which is directly correlated with amount of phytoplankton. This proves that the compactness of phytoplankton is parallel to fishery production in aquatic ecosystem (Nilgule *et al.* 2016). Phytoplankton shows any changes due to natural and human activities it directly effects on aquatic food chain. Phytoplankton are eaten by Zooplankton, aquatic insects, fish and other organisms (Pawar, 2017a, 2017b, 2018a, 2018b, 2018c).

The present investigation has been undertaken to study a quantitative analysis of Phytoplankton in Ghagardara Dam. Qualitative and quantitative analysis of Phytoplankton assessment were carried out. The Ghagardara Dam is an earthen pond 315 m. in length with maximum height 19.84 m. Full tank level (FTL) 468.70 m. and maximum water level (M.W.L.) 471.20 m. The water of dam is one of the most important aquatic resources. The water of dam is used irrigation, fish culture and drinking purposes. Hence qualitative and quantitative studies of Phytoplanktons are of great importance in dam water body.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The water samples for phytoplankton analysis were collected from the dam for a period of 12 months starting from June 2017 to May 2018. The sample was collected with the help of plankton net. The sample was taken in 500 ml. bottle and preserved in 4% formalin. The samples were collected monthly in the

morning between 6:00 A.M. to 9:00 A.M. The quantitative and qualitative analysis was carried out by taking 20 m/s of concentrate obtained by siphoning the super ant liquid. Identification of phytoplankton in different class of different genera was carried out under research microscope. Phytoplanktons were counted by drop count method and the results were converted to organisms per ml of water. The identification was done up to generic level as described by Fritsch. (1956), Desikachary (1959) and APHA (2005), Dixit (1936).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The total number of phytoplankton and monthly average phytoplankton number per ml are given Table. The prominent group of phytoplankton identified during present study was chlorophyceae, cyanophyceae, Bacillariophyceae and Euglenophyceae. The list of phytoplanktons observed is given below:

1) Chlorophyceae :

Micrasterias species, oedogonium patulum, pediastrum duplex, pediastrum duplex, pediastrum simples, scenedesmus armatus, scenedesmus carinatus, scenedesmus obliquus, spirogyra, Ankistrodesmus falcatus, chlamydomonas conferta, chlorella conglanerata, chlorella ulgoris, cladophora, closterdium limmeticum, cosmarium contractum, Helimeda species, Hydrodictyon, Ulothrix Zonta, Ulothrix gonata, Zygnema species, Pleurococcus sp., Stigeochaete sp., Pithophora.

Table 1: Month wise Quantitative Analysis (No/Lit) of Different Phytoplankton groups of Ghagardara Dam During the Year June 2017 to May 2018.

Phytoplankton Group	Monsoon Season				Winter Season				Summer Season				Total
	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	March	April	May	
1	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Chlorophyceae	103	81	49	38	80	114	135	179	198	215	229	270	1691
Euglenophyceae	39	32	21	29	37	32	27	23	75	82	91	105	593
Bacillariophyceae	72	64	39	36	57	107	169	215	228	172	168	224	1551
Cyanophyceae	56	35	26	22	28	68	36	21	18	30	75	117	532
Total Phytoplankton	270	212	135	125	202	321	367	438	519	499	563	716	4367




Figure 1: Different Phytoplankton Groups in Ghagardara Dam. During the Year June 2017 to May 2018.

2) Cyanophyceae :

Merismopedia punctata, microcystis aeruginosa, Notoc, Phormidium mucoid, Phormidium tenue, Oscillatoria irriguda, Oscillatoria foreau, Oscillatoria chlorine, Oscillatoria limosa, Anabaena bekkii, Anabaena constricta, Anacystis species, Aphanotheca nidulanus, Gloeocapsa sp., microcystis.

3) Bacillariophyceae :

Fragillaria capurina, Navicula gracills, N. radiosa, N. Viridula, Nitzschia subtilis, N. recta, N. vermicularis, Achanthes exigua, A. exilis, A. hungarica, Synedra affinis, Bacillaria paradoxa, Diatom sp., Diatom vuloare, Synedra ulna, cyclotella sp., Rhopalodia sp..

4) Euglenophyceae :

Euglena stellata, Euglena acus, Euglena granulate, Euglena viridis, Euglena pisciformis, Euglena acus, Euglena anabaena var. minima. The monthly variations in the density of different group of phytoplankton is shown in the table.

The present observation is similar to those observation made by other workers. Somani and

Pejaver (2003), Bhagat and Meshram (2007), Khapekar and Patil *et al.* (2008), Shankarsan and Jameson (2006), Ugale *et al.* (2005). Telkhade *et al.* (2009), Shaikh *et al.* (2012), Chauhan and Lanjewar (2016), Belkhode and Sitre (2016).

REFERENCES

- APHA (2005) Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water, AWWA, WPCF, New York, 21st edition.
- Belkhode PP and Sitre SR (2016) Phytoplankton diversity of Dham river of Wardha, Maharashtra, India. *Indian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Life Sciences*, 6 (1), Pp: 10-13.
- Belkhode PP and Sitre SR (2016) Phytoplankton Diversity of Dham River of Wardha, Maharashtra, India. *Indian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Life Science*, 6 (1), Pp : 10-13.
- Bhagat VB and Meshram CB (2007) Zooplankton dynamic of Ambadi Dam, near Akot, Dist. Akola, Maharashtra, *J. Aqua. Biol.* Vol. 22 (1): 19-20.
- Chavhan RN and Lanjekar S (2016) Observations and Studies on Floral Diversity in Lake Mendki, Bramhapuri, District

- Chandrapur, M.S. India. *Gurukul International Multidisciplinary Research Interdisciplinary Research Journal*, 4 (5), Pp : 43-46.
- Desikachary TV (1959) Cyanophyta. Indian Council of Agriculture Research, New Delhi.
- Dixit SC (1936) The algae of Kolhapur, India *Hydrbiologia* (3-4) : 209-305.
- Fritch FE (1935, 1956) The structure and Reproduction of the Algae Vol.I Published by Cambridge University Press London.
- Khapekar RR and Deshpande SV (2007) Phytoplankton Composition and Assessment of Pollution Status of Naik lake, Nagpur (M.S.), *J. Aqua. Biol.* Vol. 22 (2) : 21-26.
- More YS and Nandan SN (2003) Hydrobiological Study of algae of Panzara dam (Maharashtra).
- Nilgule KB and Ustad IR (2016) Studies of some Environmental factors and Diversity of Phytoplanktons in Godavari River at Pravara Sangam (M.S.) India, *World Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* Vol. 5 (4), Pp : 2520-2533.
- Patil GP, Kedar GT and Yeole SM (2008) Zooplankton biodiversity study of two water bodies in Washim District, Maharashtra. *J. Aqua. Biol.* Vol. 23 (1) : 13-17.
- Pawar SK (2017a) Physico-chemical analysis of water in Vishnupuri dam, Nanded (M.S.) India,, *Int. J. of Life Sciences*, Volume 5(4): 754-757.
- Pawar SK (2017b) Fish diversity in relation to fish economics of Isapur dam, from Pusad, Yavatmal District (Maharashtra), India, *Int. J. of Life Sciences*, Volume 5(1): 133-136.
- Pawar SK (2017c) Population kinetics and seasonal fluctuation of phytoplankton of Vishnupuri dam, Nanded district, (M.S) India. *Int. Res. Journal of Science & Engineering*,; 5 (3): 231-234.
- Pawar SK (2017d) Population kinetics and seasonal fluctuation of zooplankton of Vishnupuri dam, Nanded district, (M.S) India. *Int. Res. Journal of Science & Engineering*, 5 (3): 227-230.
- Pawar SK (2017e) The study on fish diversity in the Vishnupuri dam, Nanded (M.S.) India, *Int. J. of Life Sciences*, Volume 5(1): 137-139.
- Pawar SK (2017f) Water quality assessment of Vishnupuri dam, in Nanded District, Maharashtra, India, *Int. J. of Life Sciences*, Volume 5(4): 758-761.
- Pawar SK (2018a) Assessment of phytoplankton of Karadkhed Dam, District Nanded, Maharashtra, India. *Int. Res. Journal of Science & Engineering*, (2): 137-140.
- Pawar SK (2018b) Determination of physico-chemical parameters of Vishnupuri Dan, Nanded District, Maharashtra, India. *Int. Res. Journal of Science & Engineering*, 6 (1): 26-30.
- Pawar SK (2018c) Assessment of Zooplankton of Karadkhed Dam, District Nanded, Maharashtra, India, *Int. J. of Life Sciences*, Volume 6(3): 825-828.
- Reid GK and Wood RD (1976) Ecology of Inland Water and Estuaries D. Van Norstand Co. New York, Pp : 485.
- Sankarsan Sahoo and James Danies Jameson (2006) : Zooplankton Diversity in Cattle Waste fed fishpond. *J. Aqua. Biol.* Vol. 21 (2) : 45-50.
- Shaikh PR and Bhosle AB (2012) Plankton Biodiversity of Siddheshwar Dam in Hingoli, Maharashtra, India. *Journal of Environmental Research and Development*, 7 (2A), Pp : 905-916.
- Sharma Jyoti, Parashar Alka, Bagre Pratibha and Qayoom Imtiya (2015) Phytoplanktonic Diversity and its Relation to Physico-chemical Parameters of Water at Dogarwada Ghat of River Narmada, current world Environment Vol. 10 (1) Pp : 206-214.
- Somani VU and Pejaver MK (2003) Dynamics of Chlorophyceae in Phytoplankton of lake masunda, Thane (M.S.), *J. Aqua. Biol.* 18 (2) : 21-25.
- Summarwar S (2012) Studies on Plankton diversity in Bisalpur Reservoir. *International Journal of Life Ciencias Biotechnology and Pharma Research*, 1 (4), Pp : 65-72.
- Telkhade PM, Dahegaonkar, NR, Khinchi PJ, Rohankar LH and Zade SB (2009) Seasonal Variation in Phytoplankton of Tadoba Lake, Tadoba Andheri Tiger Reserve (TATR), Distt. Chandrapur (M.S.), India. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, 1 (2) : Pp : 170-172.
- Ugale BJ, Hiware CJ, Jadhav BV and Pathan DM (2005) Zooplankton diversity in Jagatunga samudra reservoir, Kandhara, Nanded District (M.S.), *J. Aqua. Biol.* Vol. 20 (2) : 49-52.