Al-Sultany and Mohammed

Iraqi Journal of Science, 2018, Vol. 59, No.1B, pp: 369-376 DOI: 10.24996/ijs.2018.59.1B.15





ISSN: 0067-2904

Comparison between Bayesian and Maximum Likelihood Methods for parameters and the Reliability function of Perks Distribution

Shurooq A. Al-Sultany*, Sahar A. Mohammed

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Al-Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq.

Abstract

In this paper, we have derived Bayesian estimation for the parameters and reliability function of Perks distribution based on two different loss functions, Lindley's approximation has been used to obtain those values. It is assumed that the parameter behaves as a random variable have a Gumbell Type Π prior with non-informative is used. And after the derivation of mathematical formulas of those estimations, the simulation method was used for comparison depending on mean square error (MSE) values and integrated mean absolute percentage error (IMAPE) values respectively. Among of conclusion that have been reached, it is observed that, the LE-NR estimate introduced the best perform for estimating the parameter λ .

Keywords: Perks distribution, Reliability function, Lindley's approximation, Mean square error, Integrated mean absolute percentage error.

مقارنة طريقة بيز مع الامكان الاعظم لمعلمات ودالة المعولية لتوزيع باركس

شروق احمد كريم ، سحر احمد محمد

قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم، الجامعة المستنصرية، بغداد، العراق.

الخلاصة

في هذا البحث تم اشتقاق مقدرات بيز لمعلمات ودالة المعولية لتوزيع باركس وبالاعتماد على دالتي خسارة وتم استخدام تقريب ليندلي للحصول على نلك القيم.وتم افتراض ان المعلومات الاولية للمعلمات تخضع لتوزيع كامبل من النوع الثاني. وبعد اشتقاق الصيغ الرياضية لتلك المقدرات تم الاستعانة باسلوب المحاكاة لاجراء المقارنة وبالاعتماد على معيار متوسط مربعات الخطأ ومتوسط النسبة المئوية التكاملية للخطأ المطلق.من بين λ. قدم افضل اداء لتقدير معلمة LE-NR

1. Introduction

Perks distribution (PD) has been introduced by perks [1]. The PD plays an important role in actuarial Science include: models for pensioner mortality data [2], parametric mortality projection models [3].

The moments for this distribution do not appear to be available in closed form [4]. The PD has its probability density function as

where β is the shap parameter and λ is the scale parameter.

^{*}Email: shuroq80@yahoo.com

2. Maximum Likelihood Estimators

Let $\underline{x} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ be a random sample of size n from PD. The complete –data likelihood function is :

$$l(\beta,\lambda|\underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{x}(x_{i}; \beta,\lambda)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \beta \lambda e^{\lambda x_{i}} \frac{(1+\beta)}{(1+\beta e^{\lambda x_{i}})^{2}}$$

$$= \beta^{n} \lambda^{n} e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}} \frac{(1+\beta)^{n}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1+\beta e^{\lambda x_{i}})^{2}} \qquad (5)$$

$$\Rightarrow \ell(\theta,\lambda|x) = ln l(\theta,\lambda|x) = n \ln \theta + n \ln \lambda + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} + n \ln (1+\theta) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} ln (1+\theta e^{\lambda x_{i}}) = (6)$$

 $\Rightarrow \ell(\beta, \lambda | \underline{x}) = \ln l(\beta, \lambda | \underline{x}) = n \ln \beta + n \ln \lambda + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + n \ln (1 + \beta) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln (1 + \beta e^{\lambda x_i}) \dots (6)$ Therefore, to obtain the maximum likelihood estimates of β and λ , we find

$$\frac{\partial\ell(\beta,\lambda|\underline{x})}{\partial\beta} = \frac{n}{\hat{\beta}} + \frac{n}{1+\hat{\beta}} - 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{e^{i\lambda_{i}}}{1+\beta e^{\hat{\lambda}x_{i}}} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial\ell(\beta,\lambda|\underline{x})}{\partial\lambda} = \frac{n}{\hat{\lambda}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} - 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\hat{\beta}x_{i}e^{\hat{\lambda}x_{i}}}{1+\hat{\beta}e^{\hat{\lambda}x_{i}}} = 0$$
(8)

mmmmWe propose here to use Multivariate Newton Raphson (MNR) algorithm to determine the maximum Likelihood estimates of the parameters.

Multivariate Newton- Raphson (MNR) Algorithm

In this algorithm, the solution of the likelihood equation is obtained through an iterative procedure as follows: [6] let $(\beta^{(0)}, \lambda^{(0)})$ denote the starting values of β , λ at the $(k+1)^{\text{th}}$ step

where *CC* and *FF* denote the first order derivatives of the natural log- likelihood with respect to the parameter β and λ respectively and *AA*, *BBand QQ* denote the second –order derivatives of the natural log-likelihood with respect to the parameters are obtained as follows.

$$BB = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \beta \partial \lambda} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda;\underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}$$

The iteration process then continues until $|\beta^{(k+1)} - \beta^{(k)}| < 0.0001$ and $|\lambda^{(k+1)} - \lambda^{(k)}| < 0.0001$.

Now , depending on the invariant property of MLE, the MLEs of R(t) of PD at time t via MNR algorithm can be obtained as,

$$\hat{R}_{ML}(t) = \frac{(1+\hat{\beta})}{\left(1+\hat{\beta}e^{\hat{\lambda}t}\right)}; t \ge 0$$
(13)

3. Bayes Estimations

Consider the prior distributions of β and λ of PD are taken to be in dependent Gumbel type II (1, *a*) and Gumbel type II(1, b) With pdfs.

and

The joint posterior density function of β and λ is,

$$h(\beta,\lambda|\underline{x}) = \frac{\Pi(\beta,\lambda|\underline{x})}{\int_{\lambda} \int_{\beta} \Pi(\beta,\lambda|\underline{x}) d\beta d\lambda}$$

Where

The squared error loss function (SEIF) was proposed by Legendre (1805) and Gauss (1810) in order to develop least square theory. The formula of this loss function for θ is , [7]

$$L(\hat{\theta}_s, \theta) = (\hat{\theta}_s - \theta)^2$$
(18)

where θ_s is an estimate of θ based on SEIF.

Therefore, Bayes estimator based on SEIF represents the mean of the posterior probability density function.

Entropy loss function was first introduced by James and stein for the estimation of dispersion matrix of the multivariate normal distribution. The formula of Entropy loss function (ELF) for θ is, [8]

Where $\hat{\theta}_{e}$ is an estimate of θ based an ELF. now, according to equation (20), Bayes estimator of θ based on EIF is obtained as,

$$\hat{\theta}_{Be} = \left[E_h \left(\frac{1}{\theta} | \underline{x} \right) \right]^{-1} \tag{21}$$

where $E_h\left(\frac{1}{\theta}|\underline{x}\right) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\theta} h(\theta|\underline{x}) d\theta$ $h(\theta|x)$ is the posterior distribution.

According to equation (18) and (20), $\hat{g}_{\beta\beta}(\beta,\lambda)$ and $\hat{g}_{\beta\beta}(\beta,\lambda)$ denote Bayes estimation of any function of the parameters, say $g(\beta,\lambda)$, based on SELF and the ELF respectively. where

we can approximate these Bayes estimators by using the Lindley's approximation form to obtain Bayes estimators of the parameters and the reliability function of PD. Lindley's Approximation

Where

Where $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\lambda}$ are the MLE's of β and λ respectively.

$$\rho_{\beta\lambda} = \left[\frac{-\partial^{2}\ell\left(\beta,\lambda|\underline{x}\right)}{\partial\beta\partial\lambda}\right]^{-1}; \rho_{\lambda\beta} = \left[\frac{-\partial^{2}\ell\left(\beta,\lambda|\underline{x}\right)}{\partial\lambda\partial\beta}\right]^{-1}; \rho_{\beta\beta} = \left[\frac{-\partial^{2}\ell\left(\beta,\lambda|\underline{x}\right)}{\partial\beta^{2}}\right]^{-1}; \rho_{\lambda\lambda} = \left[\frac{-\partial^{2}\ell\left(\beta,\lambda|\underline{x}\right)}{\partial\lambda^{2}}\right]^{-1};$$

and,
$$\hat{g}_{\beta} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial \beta}\Big|_{\beta=\widehat{\beta}}$$
; $\hat{g}_{\beta\lambda} = \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial \beta \partial \lambda}\Big|_{\beta=\widehat{\beta}}$; $\hat{g}_{\beta\beta} = \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial \beta^2}\Big|_{\beta=\widehat{\beta}}$
 $\hat{g}_{\lambda} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda}\Big|_{\beta=\widehat{\beta}}$; $\hat{g}_{\lambda\beta} = \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta}\Big|_{\beta=\widehat{\beta}}$; $\hat{g}_{\beta\lambda} = \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial \lambda^2}\Big|_{\beta=\widehat{\beta}}$; $\hat{g}_{\beta\lambda} = \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial \lambda^2}\Big|_{\beta=\widehat{\beta}}$; $\lambda=\widehat{\lambda}$

Differentiating the natural Log-joint prior density function $q(\beta, \lambda)$, given by equation (25), partially with respect to β and λ

$$\hat{q}_{\beta} = \frac{\partial ln\Pi(\beta,\lambda)}{\partial\beta} \bigg|_{\beta=\hat{\beta}} = \frac{\left(-2\hat{\beta}+a\right)}{\hat{\beta}^{2}};$$
$$\hat{q}_{\lambda} = \frac{\partial ln\Pi(\beta,\lambda)}{\partial\lambda} \bigg|_{\beta=\hat{\beta}} = \frac{\left(-2\hat{\lambda}+b\right)}{\hat{\lambda}^{2}}.$$

Now,

$$\hat{\ell}_{\beta\beta} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta, \lambda | \underline{x})}{\partial \beta^2} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \hat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \hat{\lambda}}} = AA \text{ as in equ.(10);}$$
$$\hat{\ell}_{\beta\lambda} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta, \lambda | \underline{x})}{\partial \beta \partial \lambda} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \hat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \hat{\lambda}}} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta, \lambda; \underline{x})}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \hat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \hat{\lambda}}} = \hat{\ell}_{\lambda\beta} = BB \text{ as in equ. (11)}$$

$$\hat{\ell}_{\lambda\lambda} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta,\lambda|\underline{x})}{\partial\lambda^2} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = QQ \text{ as in equ. (12)}$$

$$\hat{\ell}_{\beta\beta\beta} = \frac{\partial^3 \ell(\beta,\lambda|\underline{x})}{\partial\beta^3} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \widehat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \widehat{\lambda}}} = \frac{2n}{\beta^3} + \frac{2n}{(1+\beta)^3} - 4\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{e^{\lambda x_i}}{1+\beta e^{\lambda x_i}}\right)^3$$

$$\hat{\ell}_{\lambda\lambda\lambda} = \frac{\partial^3 \ell(\beta, \lambda | \underline{x})}{\partial \lambda^3} \Big|_{\substack{\beta = \hat{\beta} \\ \lambda = \hat{\lambda}}} \\ = \frac{2n}{\lambda^3} - 2\sum_{i=1}^n \beta x_i^3 \left(\frac{e^{\lambda x_i}}{1 + \beta e^{\lambda x_i}} \right) + 6\sum_{i=1}^n \beta^2 x_i^3 \left(\frac{e^{\lambda x_i}}{1 + \beta e^{\lambda x_i}} \right)^2 - 4\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\beta x_i e^{\lambda x_i}}{1 + \beta e^{\lambda x_i}} \right)^3$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\ell}_{\beta\lambda\beta} &= \frac{\partial^{3}\ell(\beta,\lambda|\underline{x})}{\partial\beta\partial\lambda\partial\beta}\Big|_{\beta=\hat{\beta}} = \hat{\ell}_{\lambda\beta\beta} = \frac{\partial^{3}\ell(\beta,\lambda|\underline{x})}{\partial\lambda\partial\beta\partial\beta}\Big|_{\beta=\hat{\beta}} = \hat{\ell}_{\beta\beta\lambda} = \frac{\partial^{3}\ell(\beta,\lambda|\underline{x})}{\partial\beta\partial\beta\partial\lambda}\Big|_{\beta=\hat{\beta}} \\ &= 4\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \left(\frac{e^{\lambda x_{i}}}{1+\beta e^{\lambda x_{i}}}\right)^{2} - 4\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta x_{i} \left(\frac{e^{\lambda x_{i}}}{1+\beta e^{\lambda x_{i}}}\right)^{3} \\ \hat{\ell}_{\lambda\lambda\beta} &= \frac{\partial^{3}\ell(\beta,\lambda|\underline{x})}{\partial\lambda\partial\lambda\partial\beta}\Big|_{\beta=\hat{\beta}} = \hat{\ell}_{\lambda\beta\lambda} = \frac{\partial^{3}\ell(\beta,\lambda|\underline{x})}{\partial\lambda\partial\beta\partial\lambda}\Big|_{\beta=\hat{\beta}} = \hat{\ell}_{\beta\lambda\lambda} = \frac{\partial^{3}\ell(\beta,\lambda|\underline{x})}{\partial\beta\partial\lambda\partial\lambda}\Big|_{\beta=\hat{\beta}} \\ &= -2\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} \left(\frac{e^{\lambda x_{i}}}{1+\beta e^{\lambda x_{i}}}\right) + 6\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta x_{i}^{2} \left(\frac{e^{\lambda x_{i}}}{1+\beta e^{\lambda x_{i}}}\right)^{2} - 4\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\beta x_{i})^{2} \left(\frac{e^{\lambda x_{i}}}{1+\beta e^{\lambda x_{i}}}\right)^{3} \end{split}$$

3.1Approximate Bayes Estimate of β , λ and R(t) based on SELF

Assume that $g(\beta, \lambda) = \beta$ in equation (26) and then, $g\beta = 1$, $g\beta\beta = g\lambda\lambda = g\lambda = g\beta\lambda = g\lambda\beta = 0$. $\hat{\beta}_{BS} = E_h(\beta|\underline{x})$

where $\hat{\beta}_{Bs}$ denote the Bayes estimate of β based on SELF according to Lindley's approximation. Now, assume that $g(\beta, \lambda) = \lambda$ in equation (26) and then, $g\lambda = 1$, $g\beta\beta = g\lambda\lambda = g\beta = g\lambda\beta = g\beta\lambda = 0$. $\hat{\lambda}_{Bs} = E_h(\lambda | \underline{x})$

where $\hat{\lambda}_{Bs}$ denote the Bayes estimate of λ based on SELF according to Lindley's approximation and, assume that $g(\beta, \lambda) = R(t) = \left(\frac{1+\beta}{1+\beta e^{\lambda t}}\right)$ in equation (26) and then,

$$g_{\beta} = \frac{1}{1+\beta e^{\lambda t}} - \frac{(1+\beta)e^{\lambda t}}{(1+\beta e^{\lambda t})^{2}}$$

$$g_{\beta\beta} = \frac{-2e^{\lambda t}}{(1+\beta e^{\lambda t})^{2}} + \frac{2(1+\beta)e^{2\lambda t}}{(1+\beta e^{\lambda t})^{3}}$$

$$g_{\beta\lambda} = \frac{-2\beta te^{\lambda t}}{(1+\beta e^{\lambda t})^{2}} - \frac{te^{\lambda t}}{(1+\beta e^{\lambda t})^{2}} + \frac{2\beta t(1+\beta)e^{2\lambda t}}{(1+\beta e^{\lambda t})^{3}}$$

$$g_{\lambda} = -\frac{\beta t(1+\beta)e^{\lambda t}}{(1+\beta e^{\lambda t})^{2}}$$

$$g_{\lambda\lambda} = -\frac{\beta (1+\beta)t^{2}e^{\lambda t}}{(1+\beta e^{\lambda t})^{2}} + \frac{2(\beta t)^{2}(1+\beta)e^{2\lambda t}}{(1+\beta e^{\lambda t})^{3}}$$

$$\hat{R}_{Bs}(t) = E_{h}(R(t)|\underline{x})$$

where $\hat{R}_{Bs}(t)$ denote the Bayes estimate of R(t) based on SELF according to Lindley's approximation.

_3.2Approximation Bayes Estimate of β , λ and R(t) based on ELF Assume that $g(\beta, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\beta}$ in equation (26) and then,

$$g_{\beta} = \frac{-1}{\beta^2}, g_{\beta\beta} = \frac{2}{\beta^3}, g_{\lambda} = g_{\lambda\lambda} = g_{\beta\lambda} = g_{\lambda\beta} = 0.$$
$$\hat{\beta}_{Be} = \left[E_h \left(\frac{1}{\beta} | \underline{x} \right) \right]^{-1}$$

where $\hat{\beta}_{Be}$ denote the Bayes estimate of β based on ELF according to Lindley's approximation. Now, assume that $g(\beta, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ in equation (26) and then,

$$g_{\lambda} = \frac{-1}{\lambda^{2}}, g_{\beta\beta} = \frac{2}{\lambda^{3}}, g_{\beta} = g_{\beta\beta} = g_{\beta\lambda} = g_{\lambda\beta} = 0.$$
$$\hat{\lambda}_{Be}(\beta, \lambda) = \left[E_{h}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} | \underline{x}\right) \right]^{-1}$$

where $\hat{\lambda}_{Be}$ denote the Bayes estimate of λ based on ELF according to Lindley's approximation. and, assume that $g(\beta, \lambda) = \frac{1}{R(t)} = \left(\frac{1+\beta e^{\lambda t}}{1+\beta}\right)$ in equation (26) and then,

$$g_{\beta} = \frac{e^{\lambda t}}{1+\beta} - \frac{\left(1+\beta e^{\lambda t}\right)}{\left(1+\beta\right)^{2}}$$

$$g_{\beta\beta} = \frac{-2e^{\lambda t}}{\left(1+\beta\right)^{2}} + \frac{2\left(1+\beta e^{\lambda t}\right)}{\left(1+\beta\right)^{3}}$$

$$g_{\lambda} = \frac{\beta t e^{\lambda t}}{1+\beta}$$

$$g_{\lambda\lambda} = \frac{\beta t^{2} e^{\lambda t}}{1+\beta}$$

$$\hat{R}_{Be}(t) = \left[E_{h}\left(\frac{1}{R(t)}|\underline{x}\right)\right]^{-1}$$

where $\hat{R}_{Be}(t)$ denote the Bayes estimate of R(t) based on ELF according to Lindley's approximation.

4. Simulation Study and Results

A Monte Carlo simulation study has been considered to assess the behavior of the obtained estimators for the unknown parameters along with the reliability function of PD. The simulation program has been written by using MATLAB (R2010 b) program.

The general description of the basic four stage of simulation study as follow:

Stage (1): *Choose the sample size (n): n= 15, 60 and 100

*set the true values for the parameters β and λ of PD

 (β) : β =0.5 and 1

 $(\lambda) = 0.5 \text{ and } 1.$

* Choose the value of hyper- parameters associated with Gumbel typeII prior distributions to be a=b=c=d=0.0001 in order to deal with anon-information.

*Choose four time(t) to assess the estimating reliability function:

t= 1, 2, 3, 4.

*choose the number of sample replicated (L): L= 1000.

<u>Stage (2)</u>: Generate a random sample, say \underline{x} of size n distributed as PD through the adoption of inverse transformation method by

$$x = \frac{1}{\lambda} ln \left\{ \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-u} - 1 \right) \right\}; 0 < u < 1$$

Where u has the U(0,1) distribution

<u>Stage (3)</u>: The initial values required for iterative proceeding algorithm, $\lambda^0 = e^1$, $\beta^0 = e^{-3}$.

<u>Stage (4)</u>: Repeat the above steps 1000 times and then compare the different estimators for the parameters according to mean squared error and compare different estimators of reliability function with different times according to integrated mean absolute percentage error (IMAPE) as,

$$MSE(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{L} (\hat{\beta}_j - \beta)^2}{L}$$
....(25)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{L} (\hat{\lambda}_j - \lambda)^2$$

$$MSE(\hat{\lambda}) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{j} (n_j)}{j}$$

.....(26)

IMAPE(
$$\hat{R}(t)$$
) = $\frac{1}{L} \sum_{j=1}^{L} \left\{ \frac{1}{n_t} \sum_{i=1}^{n_t} \left| \frac{[R_j(t_i) - \hat{R}_j(t_i)]}{R_j(t_i)} \right| \right\}$...(27)

Where,

 $\hat{\beta}_{j}, \hat{\lambda}_{j}$ is the estimate of β and λ respectively at the jth run.

L: is the number of sample replicated.

 n_t : is the number of times chosen to be (4).

 $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{j}(\mathbf{t}_{i})$: is the estimates of *R* (*t*) of the jth run and ith time.

The computational results have been summarized in Tables-(1, 3).

5. Conclusions and Recommendations

From Table-1, approximate Bayes estimate of λ based on ELF via Multivariate Newton- Raphson Algorithm (LE-MNR) introduced the best perform compared with approximate Bayes estimate of λ based on SELF via Multivariate Newton- Raphson Algorithm (LS-NR) for all sample sizes and for all cases.

From Table-2, LS-MNR estimate introduced the best perform compared with LE-MNR according to Lindley'spproximation to estimate β of PD for for all cases and for all sample sizes except for large sample size with $\lambda = \beta = 0.5$.

From Table-3, LE-MNR estimate introduced the best perform compared with LS-MNR according to Lindley's approximation to estimate R(t) of PD for all cases and for all sample sizes except with $\lambda = \beta = 0.5$ for moderate and large sample sizes.

Based on above conclusions, we recommend

1-choosing LE-MNR to compute Bayes estimates of λ of PD for all sample sizes.

2-choosing LS-MNR to compute Bayes estimates of β of PD especially for small and moderate sample sizes.

Ν	LS-NR	LE-NR	Best Bayes Estimate			
$\lambda = 0.5, \beta = 0.5$						
15	8.7403284	3.5242685	LE-NR			
60	3.3423432	2.3470552	LE-NR			
100	2.5465965	2.0684431	LE-NR			
$\lambda = 0.5, \beta = 1$						
15	5.7705938	3.2152459	LE-NR			
60	5.6961760	2.5993199	LE-NR			
100	5.3056150	2.4448109	LE-NR			
$\lambda = 1, \beta = 0.5$						
15	6.4042988	2.9285690	LE-NR			
60	5.4974443	2.8097650	LE-NR			
100	5.0957422	2.3290137	LE-NR			

3- choosing LE-MNR to compute Bayes estimates of R(t) of PD especially for small sample size. **Table 1-**MSE values for Bayes Estimates of λ of PD

n	LS-NR	LE-NR	Best Bayes Estimate			
$\lambda = 0.5, \beta = 0.5$						
15	0.4336745	138.9139602	LS-NR			
60	0.4223907	1.5252986	LS-NR			
100	0.4221468	0.3835587	LE-NR			
$\lambda = 0.5, \beta = 1$						
15	1.7960259	412.8577770	LS-NR			
60	1.7534983	55.0697165	LS-NR			
100	1.6756107	11.4447716	LS-NR			
$\lambda = 1, \beta = 0.5$						
15	0.4665281	299.6428458	LS-NR			
60	0.3995523	1.9794051	LS-NR			
100	0.3981496	0.6421438	LS-NR			

Table 2-MSE values for Bayes Estimates of β of PD

Table 3-IMAPE values for Bayes Estimates of R(t) of PD

n	LS-NR	LE-NR	Best Bayes Estimate		
$\lambda = 0.5, \beta = 0.5$					
15	0.6271342	0.5056127	LE-NR		
60	0.4492340	0.4715720	LS-NR		
100	0.3985375	0.4563974	LS-NR		
$\lambda = 0.5, \beta = 1$					
15	0.6025052	0.5642312	LE-NR		
60	0.5532955	0.4745899	LE-NR		
100	0.5068743	0.4718160	LE-NR		
$\lambda = 1, \beta = 0.5$					
15	0.7339687	0.5539619	LE-NR		
60	0.6778311	0.4868786	LE-NR		
100	0.6776365	0.4745774	LE-NR		

References

- 1. Perks, W. 1932. On Some experiments in the graduation of Mortality Statistics. *Journal of The Institute of Actuaries*, 43: 12-57.
- 2. Richards, S. J. 2008. Applying Survival Models to Pensioner mortality data. *British Actuarial Journal*, 14(2): 257-303.
- 3. Haberman, S. and Renshaw, A. 2011. A comparative Study of Parametric mortality projection Models. *Insur. Math. Econ.*, 48: 35-55.
- 4. Nadarajah, S. and Bakar, S.A. 2013. A new R package for actuarial survival models. *Comput Stat* ,28: 2139–2160.
- **5.** Chaudhary, A.K and Kumar, V. **2013**. A Bayesian Analysis of Perks Distribution via Markov Chain Monte Carlo Simulation. *Nepal Journal of Science and Technology*, **14**(1): 153-166.
- 6. Pak, A., Parham, G.A. and Saraj, M. 2013. Inference for the Weibull Distribution Based on Fuzzy Data. *Revista Colombiana de Estadistica*, 36(2): 337-356.
- 7. Ali, S., Aslam, M., Abbas, N. and Kazmi, S. 2012. Scale Parameters Estimation of the Laplace Model using different Asymmetric loss Function. *International Journal of Statistics and Probability*, 1(1): 105-127.
- 8. Pandey, H. and Rao, A.K. 2009. Bayesian estimation of the shape parameter of a generalized Pareto Distribution under asymmetric loss function. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 38(1): 69-83.
- **9.** Aljuaid, A. **2013.** Estimation the parameters of an Exponentiated inverted weibull Distribution under type -II censoring. *Applied Mathematical statistics*, **7**(35): 1721-1736.