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#### Abstract

The main goal of this paper is to study applications of the fractional calculus techniques for a certain subclass of multivalent analytic functions on Hilbert Space. Also, we obtain the coefficient estimates, extreme points, convex combination and hadamard product.
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## 1- Introduction:

Let $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m})$ represents a class of functions as below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+m}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad(p, m \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2, \ldots\}), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic and multivalent in the open unit disk $\grave{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$.
Let $K(p, m)$ represents a subclass of $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m})$ contains functions of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}-\sum_{n=p+m}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad\left(a_{n} \geq 0 p, m \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2, \ldots\}\right), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f \in Ł(p, m)$ is said to be starlike of order $\delta(0 \leq \delta<p)$ if it satisfies the condition:

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\delta, \quad(z \in \grave{U})
$$

and is said to be convex of order $\delta(0 \leq \delta<\mathrm{p})$ if it satisfies the condition:

[^0]$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\delta, \quad(z \in \grave{U}) .
$$

Denote by $S_{\mathrm{m}}^{*}(\mathrm{p}, \delta)$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{p}, \delta)$, the classes of Multivalent starlike and convex functions of order $\delta$, respectively, which were introduced and studied by Owa [1]. It is known that (see [2] and [1])

$$
\mathrm{f} \in C_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{p}, \delta) \text { if and only if } \frac{z \mathrm{f}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathrm{p}} \in S_{\mathrm{m}}^{*}(\mathrm{p}, \delta) .
$$

The classes $S_{m}^{*}=S^{*}(p, \delta)$ and $C_{1}(p, \delta)=C(p, \delta)$ were studied by Owa [3].
Let 'H be a complex Hilbert Space. Using $T$ as a linear operator on 'H. For a complex analytic $f$ on the unit disk Ù, $f(\mathrm{~T})$ is represented as operator know by the usual Riesz-Dunford integral [4]

$$
f(T)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c} f(z)(z I-T)^{-1} d z,
$$

where I is the identity operator on ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{c}$ is a positively oriented simple closed rectifiable contour lying in $\grave{U}$ and containing the spectrum $\alpha(T)$ of $T$ in its interior domain [5]. Also $f(T)$ can be defined by the series

$$
f(T)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} T^{n}
$$

which converges in the norm topology [6].
Definition (1.1) [7]:
The fractional integral operator of order $\zeta(\zeta>0)$ is known by

$$
Ð_{T}^{-\zeta} f(T)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta)} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{T^{\zeta}(\mathrm{t} T)}{(1+\mathrm{t})^{1-\zeta}} \mathrm{dt},
$$

where $f$ is analytic function in a simple connected region of $z$-plane containing the origin.
Definition (1.2) [7]:
The fractional derivative for operator of order $\zeta(0 \leq \zeta<1)$ is defined by

$$
Ð_{\mathrm{T}}^{\zeta} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~T})=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\zeta)} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dT}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{~T}^{1-\zeta} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{tT})}{(1-\mathrm{t})^{\zeta}} \mathrm{dt},
$$

where $f$ is analytic in a simply connected region of the $z$-plane containing the origin.
For $f \in K(p, m)$, from Definitions (1.1) and (1.2) by applying a simple calculation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
Ð_{T}^{-\zeta} f(T)=\frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+\zeta+1)} T^{p+\zeta}-\sum_{n=p+m}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+\zeta+1)} a_{n} T^{n+\zeta} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Ð_{T}^{\zeta} f(T)=\frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p-\zeta+1)} T^{p-\zeta}-\sum_{n=p+m}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\zeta+1)} a_{n} T^{n-\zeta} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Definition (1.3):

A function $f \in K(p, m)$ is defined in the class $\mathrm{EK}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}, \beta, \sigma, \vartheta, \mathrm{T})$ iff satisfies the inequality:

$$
\left\|T^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(T)-p\left(T f^{\prime}(T)-f(T)\right)\right\|<\vartheta\left\|(p-\beta \sigma)\left(T f^{\prime}(T)-f(T)\right)+(\beta-1) T^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(T)\right\|, \quad(1.5)
$$

where $0<\beta \leq 1,0 \leq \sigma<\frac{1}{2}, 0<\vartheta \leq 1$ and for all operator T with $\|\mathrm{T}\|<1$ and $\mathrm{T} \neq \varnothing$ ( $\varnothing$ denote the zero operator on 'H).
The operators on Hilbert Space were considered by Xiaopei [8], Joshi [9], Chrakim et al. [10], Ghanim and Darus [11], Selvaraj et al. [7] and Wanas [12].

## 2- Coefficient Estimates:

In this section, we obtain coefficient estimates for the function $f$ to be in the class $\mathrm{tK}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}, \beta, \sigma, \vartheta, \mathrm{T})$.
Theorem(2.1): Let $f \in K(p, m)$ be defined by (1.2). Then $f \in \mathrm{EK}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}, \beta, \sigma, \vartheta, \mathrm{T})$ for all $\mathrm{T} \neq \varnothing \mathrm{iff}$ $\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty}(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)] a_{\mathrm{n}} \leq \beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)$,
where $0<\beta \leq 1,0 \leq \sigma<\frac{1}{2}, 0<\vartheta \leq 1$.
The result is sharp for the function f given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{p}}-\frac{\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{n} \geq 2, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Assume that the inequality (2.1) considered. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|T^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{T})-\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{Tf} f^{\prime}(\mathrm{T})-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~T})\right)\right\|-\vartheta\left\|(\mathrm{p}-\beta \sigma)\left(\mathrm{Tf} f^{\prime}(\mathrm{T})-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~T})\right)+(\beta-1) \mathrm{T}^{2} \mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{T})\right\| \\
& =\left\|\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty}(\mathrm{n}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n}) a_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{n}}\right\| \\
& -\vartheta\left\|\beta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma) \mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{p}}-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty}(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})] a_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{n}}\right\| \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty}(\mathrm{n}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n}) a_{\mathrm{n}}\|\mathrm{~T}\|^{\mathrm{n}}-\vartheta \beta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{p}} \\
& \\
& \quad+\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})] a_{\mathrm{n}}\|\mathrm{~T}\|^{\mathrm{n}} \\
& \leq \sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty}(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta v(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)] a_{\mathrm{n}}-\vartheta \beta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $f \in Ł К(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}, \beta, \sigma, \vartheta, \mathrm{T})$.
To show the converse, assume that $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{Ł} К(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}, \beta, \sigma, \vartheta, \mathrm{T})$. Therefore

$$
\left\|\mathrm{T}^{2} \mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{T})-\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~T} \mathrm{f}^{\prime}(\mathrm{T})-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~T})\right)\right\|<\vartheta\left\|(\mathrm{p}-\beta \sigma)\left(\mathrm{T} \mathrm{f}^{\prime}(\mathrm{T})-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~T})\right)+(\beta-1) \mathrm{T}^{2} \mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{T})\right\|
$$

gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n-1)(p-n) a_{n} T^{n}\right\| \\
& <v\left\|\beta(p-1)(p-\sigma) T^{p}-\sum_{n=p+m}^{\infty}(n-1)[\beta(n-\sigma)+(p-n)] a_{n} T^{n}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting $\mathrm{T}=r(0<r<1)$ in the a above inequality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty}(\mathrm{n}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n}) a_{\mathrm{n}} r^{\mathrm{n}}}{\beta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma) r^{\mathrm{p}}-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty}(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})] a_{\mathrm{n}} r^{\mathrm{n}}}<\vartheta \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking (2.3) with $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty}(\mathrm{n}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n}) a_{\mathrm{n}} \\
<\vartheta \beta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})] a_{\mathrm{n}}
\end{gathered}
$$

or

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty}(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)] a_{\mathrm{n}} \leq \beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)
$$

which is the property is proved.
Corollary (2.1): If $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{ŁK}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}, \beta, \sigma, \vartheta, \mathrm{T})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\mathrm{n}} \leq \frac{\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]}, \quad \mathrm{n} \geq 2 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3- Extreme Points:

We obtain here an extreme points of the class ŁК $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}, \beta, \sigma, \vartheta, \mathrm{T})$.
Theorem (3.1): Let $f_{p}(z)=z^{p}$ and $f_{n}(z)=z^{p}-\frac{\beta \vartheta(p-1)(p-\sigma)}{(n-1)[\beta \vartheta(n-\sigma)+(p-n)(1+\vartheta)]} z^{n}, n \geq p+m$.
Then $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{ŁK}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}, \beta, \sigma, \vartheta, \mathrm{T})$ if and only if can be expressed in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\tau_{\mathfrak{p}} z^{\mathfrak{p}}+\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} \tau_{\mathrm{n}} f_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{z}) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where ( $\tau_{\mathrm{p}} \geq 0, \tau_{\mathrm{n}} \geq 0, \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}$ ) and $\tau_{\mathrm{p}}+\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} \tau_{\mathrm{n}}=1$.
Proof: Suppose that $f$ is expressed in the form (3.1). Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z) & =\tau_{p^{2}} z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+m}^{\infty} \tau_{n}\left[z^{p}-\frac{\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}\right] \\
& =\mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{p}}-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} \tau_{\mathrm{n}} \frac{\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]}{\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)} \times \tau_{\mathrm{n}} \frac{\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]} \\
&=\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} \tau_{\mathrm{n}}=1-\tau_{\mathrm{p}} \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $f \in \pm K(p, m, \beta, \sigma, \vartheta, T)$.
Conversely, suppose that $f \in \mathrm{EK}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}, \beta, \sigma, \vartheta, \mathrm{T})$, we may set

$$
\tau_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]}{\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)} a_{\mathrm{n}},
$$

where an is given by (2.4). Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(z)=z^{p}-\sum_{n=p+m}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}=z^{p}-\sum_{n=p+m}^{\infty} \tau_{n} \frac{\beta \vartheta(p-1)(p-\sigma)}{(n-1)[\beta \vartheta(n-\sigma)+(p-n)(1+\vartheta)]} z^{n} \\
=z^{p}-\sum_{n=p+m}^{\infty}\left(z^{p}-f_{n}(z)\right) \tau_{n}=\left(1-\sum_{n=p+m}^{\infty} \tau_{n}\right) z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+m}^{\infty} \tau_{n} f_{n}(z) \\
=\tau_{p^{p}} z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+m}^{\infty} \tau_{n} f_{n}(z) .
\end{gathered}
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

## 4- Convex Combination:

Theorem (4.1): The class $\mathrm{EK}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}, \beta, \sigma, \vartheta, \mathrm{T})$ is closed under convex combinations.
Proof: For $i=1,2, \ldots$, let $\mathrm{f}_{i} \in \pm К(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}, \beta, \sigma, \vartheta, \mathrm{T})$, where $\mathrm{f}_{i}$ is given by

$$
f_{i}(z)=z^{p}-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} a_{\mathrm{n}, i^{\mathrm{z}}}
$$

Then by (2.1), we have
$\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty}(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)] a_{\mathrm{n}, i} \leq \beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)$,
For $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_{i}=1,0 \leq v_{i} \leq 1$, the convex combination of $f_{i}$ may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_{i} f_{i}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{p}}-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_{i} a_{\mathrm{n}, i}\right) \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (4.1), we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty}(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_{i} a_{\mathrm{n}, i}\right) \\
=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_{i}\left(\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty}(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)] a_{\mathrm{n}, i}\right) \\
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_{i}(\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma))=\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_{i} f_{i}(\mathrm{z}) \in Ł К(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{~m}, \beta, \sigma, \vartheta, \mathrm{~T})
$$



## 5- Applications of the Fractional Calculus:

Theorem (5.1): If $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{ŁK}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}, \beta, \sigma, \vartheta, \mathrm{T})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Ð_{\mathrm{T}}^{-\zeta} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~T})\right\| \leq \frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\zeta+1)}\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{p}+\zeta}\left[1+\frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\zeta+1) \beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}+\zeta+1)(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-\sigma)-\mathrm{m}(1+\vartheta)]}\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{m}}\right] \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Đ_{\mathrm{T}}^{-\zeta} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~T})\right\| \geq \frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\zeta+1)}\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{p}+\zeta}\left[1-\frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\zeta+1) \beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}+\zeta+1)(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-\sigma)-\mathrm{m}(1+\vartheta)]}\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{m}}\right] . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the function $f$, the result is sharp as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}-\frac{\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}{(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-\sigma)-\mathrm{m}(1+\vartheta)]} z^{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}},(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{~m} \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Let $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{ŁK}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}, \beta, \sigma, \vartheta, \mathrm{T})$. By (1.3), we have

$$
\frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\zeta+1)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1)} \mathrm{T}^{-\zeta} Ð_{\mathrm{T}}^{-\zeta} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~T})=\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{p}}-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{n}+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\zeta+1)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{n}+\zeta+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1)} a_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{n}}
$$

Setting

$$
\Psi(\mathrm{n}, \zeta)=\frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{n}+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\zeta+1)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{n}+\zeta+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1)}, \quad(\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{~m} \in \mathbb{N})
$$

we get

$$
\frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\zeta+1)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1)} \mathrm{T}^{-\zeta} Ð_{\mathrm{T}}^{-\zeta} f(\mathrm{~T})=\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{p}}-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} \Psi(\mathrm{n}, \zeta) a_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{n}}
$$

Since for $\Psi(n, \zeta), \Psi$ is a decreasing function, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\Psi(\mathrm{n}, \zeta) \leq \Psi(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}, \zeta)=\frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\zeta+1)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}+\zeta+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1)} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by the application of Theorem (2.1) and (5.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\zeta+1)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1)} \mathrm{T}^{-\zeta} Ð_{\mathrm{T}}^{-\zeta} f(\mathrm{~T})\right\| \leq\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{p}}+\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} \Psi(\mathrm{n}, \zeta) a_{\mathrm{n}}\|\mathrm{~T}\|^{\mathrm{n}} \\
\leq\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{p}}+\Psi(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}, \zeta)\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}} \sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} a_{\mathrm{n}} \\
\leq\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{p}}+\frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\zeta+1) \beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}+m+\zeta+1)(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-\sigma)-\mathrm{m}(1+\vartheta)]}\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{p}+m},
\end{gathered}
$$

which gives (5.1) Similarly, we also have also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\zeta+1)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1)} \mathrm{T}^{-\zeta} Ð_{\mathrm{T}}^{-\zeta} f(\mathrm{~T})\right\| \geq\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{p}}-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} \Psi(\mathrm{n}, \zeta) a_{\mathrm{n}}\|\mathrm{~T}\|^{\mathrm{n}} \\
& \quad \geq\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{p}}-\Psi(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}, \zeta)\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}} \sum_{\substack{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}}^{\infty} a_{\mathrm{n}} \\
& \quad \geq\|\mathrm{T}\|^{p}-\frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\zeta+1) \beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(p-\sigma)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}+\zeta+1)(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-\sigma)-\mathrm{m}(1+\vartheta)]}\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives (5.2).
By taking $\zeta=1$ in Theorem (5.1), we obtain the following corollary:
Corollary (5.1): If $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{ŁK}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}, \beta, \sigma, \vartheta, \mathrm{T})$, then

$$
\left\|\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{Tf}(t \mathrm{~T}) d t\right\| \leq \frac{\|\mathrm{T}\|^{p+1}}{\mathrm{p}+1}\left[1+\frac{\beta \vartheta\left(\mathrm{p}^{2}-1\right)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}{\left((\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m})^{2}-1\right)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-\sigma)-\mathrm{m}(1+\vartheta)]}\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{m}}\right]
$$

and

$$
\left\|\int_{0}^{1} \operatorname{Tf}(t \mathrm{~T}) d t\right\| \geq \frac{\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{p}+1}}{\mathrm{p}+1}\left[1-\frac{\beta \vartheta\left(\mathrm{p}^{2}-1\right)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}{\left((\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m})^{2}-1\right)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-\sigma)-\mathrm{m}(1+\vartheta)]}\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{m}}\right]
$$

Proof: By Definition (1.1) and Theorem (5.1) for $\zeta=1$, we have $Ð_{T}^{-\zeta} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{T})=\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{Tf}(t \mathrm{~T}) d t$, the result is true.

Theorem (5.2): If $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{ŁK}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}, \beta, \sigma, \vartheta, \mathrm{T})$, then
and

$$
\left\|Ð_{\mathrm{T}}^{\zeta} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~T})\right\| \geq \frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}-\zeta+1)}\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{p}-\zeta}\left[1-\frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}-\zeta+1) \beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-\zeta+1)(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-\sigma)-\mathrm{m}(1+\vartheta)]}\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{m}}\right] .
$$

The result is sharp for the function f given by (5.3).
Proof: Let $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{ŁK}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}, \beta, \sigma, \vartheta, \mathrm{T})$. By (1.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}-\zeta+1)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1)} \mathrm{T}^{\zeta} Ð_{\mathrm{T}}^{\zeta} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~T}) & =\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{p}}-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{n}+1) \Gamma(p-\zeta+1)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{n}-\zeta+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1)} a_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{n}} \\
& =\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{p}}-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{n}, \zeta) a_{\mathrm{n}} T^{\mathrm{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{n}, \zeta)=\frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{n}+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}-\zeta+1)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{n}-\zeta+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1)}, \quad(\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{~m} \in \mathbb{N})
$$

Since for $\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}, \mathcal{M}$ is a decreasing function, thus we have

$$
0<\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{n}, \zeta) \leq \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}, \zeta)=\frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}-\zeta+1)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-\zeta+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1)}
$$

Also, by using Theorem (2.1), we get

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} a_{\mathrm{n}} \leq \frac{\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}{(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-\sigma)-\mathrm{m}(1+\vartheta)]}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}-\zeta+1)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1)} \mathrm{T}^{\zeta} Ð_{\mathrm{T}}^{\zeta} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~T})\right\| \leq\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{p}}-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} \Psi(\mathrm{n}, \zeta) a_{\mathrm{n}}\|\mathrm{~T}\|^{\mathrm{n}} \\
& \geq\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{p}}+\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}, \zeta)\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}} \sum_{\substack{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}}^{\infty} a_{\mathrm{n}} \\
& \quad \leq\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{p}}-\frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\zeta+1) \beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}+\zeta+1)(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-\sigma)-\mathrm{m}(1+\vartheta)]}\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathrm{Đ}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\zeta} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~T})\right\| \leq & \frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}-\zeta+1)}\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{p}-\zeta}[1 \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}-\zeta+1) \beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-\zeta+1)(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-\sigma)-\mathrm{m}(1+\vartheta)]}\|\mathrm{T}\|^{\mathrm{m}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and by the same way, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\zeta} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~T})\right\| \geq & \frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}-\zeta+1)}\|T\|^{\mathrm{p}-\zeta}[1 \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}-\zeta+1) \beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{p}+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-\zeta+1)(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}-\sigma)-\mathrm{m}(1+\vartheta)]}\|T\|^{\mathrm{m}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 6- Hadamard product

Let the function $\mathrm{f}_{j}(\mathrm{z})(j=1,2)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{j}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{p}}-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} a_{\mathrm{n}, j} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}, \quad\left(a_{\mathrm{n}, j} \geq 0\right) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The modified Hadamard product of $f_{1}(z)$ and $f_{2}(z)$ is defined by

$$
\left(f_{1} * f_{2}\right)(z)=z^{p}-\sum_{n=p+m}^{\infty} a_{n, 1} a_{n, 2} z^{n}=\left(f_{2} * f_{1}\right)(z)
$$

Theorem(6.1): Let the function $\mathrm{f}_{j}(\mathrm{z})(j=1,2)$ be in the class $\mathrm{ŁK}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}, \beta, \sigma, \vartheta, \mathrm{T})$. Then $\left(f_{1} * f_{2}\right)(z) \in Ł К(p, m, \eta, \sigma, \vartheta, T)$, where

$$
\eta \leq \frac{\beta^{2} \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)}{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]^{2}-\beta^{2} \vartheta^{2}(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)} .
$$

The result is sharp for the functions $\mathrm{f}_{j}(\mathrm{z})(j=1,2)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{j}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{p}}-\frac{\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}},(j=1,2) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Employing the technique used earlier by Atshan and Buti [13], we need to find the largest $\eta$ such that

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\eta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]}{\eta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)} a_{\mathrm{n}, 1} a_{\mathrm{n}, 2} \leq 1 .
$$

Since $\mathrm{f}_{j}(\mathrm{z}) \in Ł К(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}, \beta, \sigma, \vartheta, \mathrm{T}),(j=1,2)$, we readily see that

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]}{\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)} a_{\mathrm{n}, 1} \leq 1
$$

and

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]}{\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)} a_{\mathrm{n}, 2} \leq 1 .
$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]}{\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)} \sqrt{a_{\mathrm{n}, 1} a_{\mathrm{n}, 2}} \leq 1 . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus it is sufficient to show that

$$
\frac{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\eta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]}{\eta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)} a_{\mathrm{n}, 1} a_{\mathrm{n}, 2} \leq \frac{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]}{\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)} \sqrt{a_{\mathrm{n}, 1} a_{\mathrm{n}, 2}}
$$

or equivalently, that

$$
\sqrt{a_{\mathrm{n}, 1} a_{\mathrm{n}, 2}} \leq \frac{\eta[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]}{\beta[\eta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]} .
$$

Hence, in the right of inequality (6.3), it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]} \leq \frac{\eta[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]}{\beta[\eta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]} . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\eta \leq \frac{\beta^{2} \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)}{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]^{2}-\beta^{2} \vartheta^{2}(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)}
$$

Theorem (6.2): Let the functions $\mathrm{f}_{j}(\mathrm{z}),(j=1,2)$ defined by (6.1) be in the class $£ K(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}, \beta, \sigma, \vartheta, \mathrm{T})$. Then the function

$$
h(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty}\left(a_{\mathrm{n}, 1}^{2}+a_{\mathrm{n}, 2}^{2}\right) \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}
$$

belong to the class $\mathrm{EK}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}, \delta, \sigma, \vartheta, \mathrm{T})$, where

$$
\delta=\frac{2 \beta^{2} \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]^{2}-2 \beta^{2} \vartheta^{2}(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)} .
$$

The result is sharp for the function $f_{j}(\mathrm{z})(j=1,2)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{j}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{z}^{p}-\frac{\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: By using Theorem (2.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty}\left[\frac{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]}{\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}\right]^{2} a_{\mathrm{n}, 1}^{2} \\
& \leq\left[\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]}{\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)} a_{\mathrm{n}, 1}^{2}\right]^{2} \leq 1, \tag{6.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty}\left[\frac{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]}{\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}\right]^{2} a_{\mathrm{n}, 2}^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\left[\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]}{\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)} a_{\mathrm{n}, 2}^{2}\right]^{2} \leq 1 . \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (6.6) and (6.7) that

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]}{\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}\right]^{2}\left(a_{\mathrm{n}, 1}^{2}+a_{\mathrm{n}, 2}^{2}\right) \leq 1 .
$$

Therefore, we need to find the largest $\delta$ such that

$$
\frac{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\delta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]}{\delta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]}{\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}\right]^{2}
$$

That is

$$
\delta \leq \frac{2 \beta^{2} \vartheta(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)}{(\mathrm{n}-1)[\beta \vartheta(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)+(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n})(1+\vartheta)]^{2}-2 \beta^{2} \vartheta^{2}(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{p}-\sigma)(\mathrm{n}-\sigma)} .
$$
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