Available online on 15.05.2015 at http://jddtonline.info

Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics

Open access to Pharmaceutical and Medical research

© 2015, publisher and licensee JDDT, This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, provided the original work is properly cited

RESEARCH ARTICLE

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF GLIMEPIRIDE AND GLICLAZIDE IN TYPE 2 DIABETES PATIENTS ON SAFETY, EFFICACY AND TOLERABILITY

Raju Chipirishetti*¹, Aruna Kumari Bondu², Mir S Adil³, Ramya Reddy Challa⁴

¹ Sr. Pharmacist and Admin Call Health Services Pvt. Ltd. Hyderabad, India

² Sr. Pharmacist, Apollo Health City, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad, India

³ Clinical Pharmacist, Apollo Health City, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad, India

⁴ Pharmacist, Apollo Health City, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad, India

ABSTRACT:

Background and Objective: Impaired glucose tolerance and impaired fasting glycaemia are risk categories for future development of diabetes and cardiovascular disease. Apart from being the leading cause of renal failure, it also increases the risk of lower limb amputations, visual impairment and tuberculosis. Higher incidence of Diabetes Mellitus in the last decade has compelled us to conduct the present study with an objective to establish safety, efficacy and tolerability of gliclazide against glimepiride in the treatment of type 2 Diabetes Mellitus.

Methodology: The present study was single centered, prospective and observational conducted after obtaining approval from the ethics committee. A total of 40 patients who visited the outpatient block of Apollo hospital at Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad were enrolled in the study. A questionnaire was used to record the response of subjects participated in the study.

Results: A higher proportion of patients belonged to the age group of 41-50 years. Gliclazide did not result in any ADR while glimepiride caused eight ADRs. Average reduction of FBS and PPBS in gliclazide group was found to be 52.5% and 41.3% respectively. Corresponding figures for glimepiride were 56.9% and 32.3%. HbA1c reduction in gliclazide and glimepiride group was 2.44 and 1.91 respectively. Both drugs were found to be well tolerated by the patients.

Conclusion: Gliclazide was found to be superior in terms of safety and efficacy. Hence it can be concluded from the study that gliclazide is a better option in diabetes when compared to glimepiride.

Keywords: diabetes mellitus, efficacy, gliclazide, glimepiride, safety, tolerability

INTRODUCTION

Impaired glucose tolerance and impaired fasting glycaemia are risk factors for future development of diabetes and cardiovascular disease. In some age groups, people with diabetes have a two-fold increase in the risk of stroke. Diabetes is the leading cause of renal failure in both developed and developing countries. Lower limb amputations are at least 10 times more common in people with diabetes than in non-diabetic individuals in developed countries; more than half of all non-traumatic lower limb amputations are due to diabetes. It is one of the leading causes of visual impairment and blindness in developed countries.

People with diabetes require at least two to three times the health-care resources compared to people who do not have diabetes, and diabetes care may account for up to 15% of national health care budgets.⁵ In addition, the risk of tuberculosis is three times higher among people with diabetes.⁶

Type 1 indicates the processes of beta-cell destruction that may ultimately lead to diabetes mellitus in which "insulin is required for survival" to prevent the development of ketoacidosis, coma and death. Type 2 is the most common form of diabetes and is characterized by disorders of insulin action and insulin secretion, either of which may be the predominant feature.⁷

Diabetes is treated either by insulin or by oral antidiabetic drugs. Most common types of oral anti-diabetic drugs include sulphonylureas and biguanides. The sulphonylureas include gliclazide, glimepiride, glibenclamide, and tolbutamide. These agents stimulate the pancreas to produce more insulin than it otherwise would at a particular blood sugar level.

METHODOLOGY

ISSN: 2250-1177

The present study was single centered, prospective and observational conducted over duration of six months with an objective to establish safety, efficacy and tolerability of gliclazide against glimepiride in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus after obtaining approval from the ethics committee. A total of 40 patients who visited the outpatient block of Apollo hospital at Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad were enrolled in the study.

*Corresponding Author Raju .Chipirishetti Sr. Pharmacist and Admin Call Health Services Pvt. Ltd. Hyderabad, India Contact: +91-9948989575 Email: raju.chipirishetti@gmail.com

CODEN (USA): JDDTAO

Table 1: Drug brands of gliclazide and glimepiride used in the study

| Drug | Name | Company | |
|-------------|------------------|----------------|--|
| Gliclazide | Diamicron | Serdia | |
| | Diamicron MR | Serdia | |
| | Glimicron Serdia | | |
| | Mylan-Gliclazide | Mylan | |
| Glimepiride | Amaryl | Sanofi-Aventis | |
| | Glimpid | Ranbaxy | |
| | Glimy | Dr. Reddy's | |

A questionnaire was used to record the response of subjects participated in the study. Drug brands that were used in the study are depicted in table 1. Statistical mean and percentages were used to analyze the findings.

RESULTS

Equal distribution of patients was done between two groups in the study, however variation was found according to gender. Majority (45%) of patients were from the age group of 41-50 years, while just 2.5% were from 71-80 years as shown in table 2. In terms of safety, gliclazide did not show any adverse drug reaction within the six months study period of treatment but glimepiride group evidenced few ADRs as seen in table 3. However, the subjects continued the treatment as the symptoms were not severe. Fasting blood sugar (FBS) and post-prandial blood sugar (PPBS) levels of both groups were analyzed every month during the study.

Table 2: Distribution of patients based on gender and age

| Age group | Glimepiride | | Gliclazide | | Total |
|-----------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | Male | Female | Male | Female | |
| 21-30 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 4 (10.0%) |
| 31-40 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 (15.0%) |
| 41-50 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 14 (45.0%) |
| 51-60 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 10 (25.0%) |
| 61-70 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 (12.5%) |
| 71-80 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 (2.5%) |
| Total | 9 (22.5%) | 11 (27.5%) | 8 (20.0%) | 12 (30.0%) | 40 (100%) |

Table 3: Tabular representation of Safety in both the groups i.e. Gliclazide and Glimepiride

| Drug | Adverse Drug Reaction | | | Total ADRs |
|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|
| | Diarrhoea | Gastric Irritation | Weight Gain | |
| Gliclazide | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glimepiride | 4 | 1 | 3 | 8 |

Table 4: Tabular representation of values showing average FBS and PPBS levels (mg/dL) in gliclazide and glimepiride groups during six months study period

| Months | Glic | Gliclazide | | epiride |
|--------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| | FBS | PPBS | FBS | PPBS |
| Month 1 | 206.3 | 330.6 | 212.1 | 315.4 |
| Month 2 | 185.4 | 308.4 | 198.3 | 296.6 |
| Month 3 | 165.7 | 286.5 | 179.1 | 277.4 |
| Month 4 | 139.9 | 250.7 | 156.4 | 255.7 |
| Month 5 | 120.0 | 219.1 | 135.9 | 228.5 |
| Month 6 | 108.3 | 194.0 | 120.6 | 210.8 |
| Average reduction* | 98.0 (52.5%) | 136.6 (41.3%) | 91.5 (56.9%) | 104.6 (33.2%) |

*Average reduction is from month one to month six

Average reduction of FBS and PPBS in gliclazide group was found to be 52.5% and 41.3% respectively. Corresponding figures for glimepiride were 56.9% and 32.3% as shown in table 4.

The data of HbA1c levels of both groups Gliclazide group and Glimepiride group were collected, tabulated, analyzed and compared during total study period of

both groups. Table 5 shows the tri-monthly average HbA1C levels of gliclazide and glimepiride group during the total study period which shows better control of diabetes in gliclazide group compared to glimepiride group. At the end of the study, HbA1c reduction in gliclazide and glimepiride group was 2.44 and 1.91 respectively.

Table 5: HbA1c levels of both groups

| Month | Gliclazide | Glimepiride |
|-----------|------------|-------------|
| Month 1 | 8.82 | 8.71 |
| Month 4 | 6.38 | 6.80 |
| Reduction | 2.44 | 1.91 |

The data of tolerability of both groups was collected, tabulated and compared. It was found that both groups were showing well tolerance towards their respective drug during the study period.

DISCUSSION

Safety of gliclazide was better than glimepiride in the present study, which coincides with a previous study where 50% fewer hypoglycemic episodes were reported in gliclazide group than glimepiride users. Gliclazide was found to be better in terms of efficacy in the present study. Similar observations were reported by a study conducted on 870 type 2 diabetes patients of India and

Malaysia.¹⁰ Both drugs were found to be well tolerated by the subjects during the study.

CONCLUSION

Gliclazide was found to be superior in terms of safety and efficacy. Hence it can be concluded from the study that gliclazide is a better option in diabetes when compared to glimepiride.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Levitan B. A meta-analysis of prospective studies. Archives of internal medicine 2004; 164: 2147–2155
- Boden-Albala B. Diabetes, fasting glucose levels and risk of ischemic stroke and vascular events: findings from the northern manhattans study (NOMAS). Diabetic care 2008; 31: 1132-1137
- Icks A. Incidence of lower-limb amputations in the diabetic compared to the non-diabetic population. Findings from nationwide insurance data, Germany, Experimental and clinical endocrinology & Diabetes 2009; 117: 500–504
- Resnikoff S, Global data on visual impairment in the year. Bulletin of the world health organization 2004
- Zhang P. Global healthcare expenditure on diabetes for 2010 and 2030. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice 2010; 87: 293-301

- Jeon CY, Murray MB, Diabetes mellitus increases the risk of active tuberculosis: a systematic review of 13 observational studies. Plos medicine 2008; 5: 152
- Definition, Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus and it Complications, World Health Organization, Department of Noncom - Communicable Disease Surveillance, Geneva.
- 8. Rubin CS, Hirsch A, Fung C, Rosen OA. Insulin-stimulated protein phosphorylation in 3T3-L1 preadipocytes. Biol. Chem 1978; 253: 7570-7578
- Giuseppe Derosa, MD, PhD Arq Bras Endocrinol Metab. 2010;54/3:312-318
- 10. Arvind S Current Medical Research Opinion 2012; 28: 1-8