

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine

journal homepage:www.elsevier.com/locate/apjtm



Document heading

doi:

Efficacy of larvicidal and pupicidal activity of *Catharanthus roseus* aqueous and solvent extracts against *Anopheles stephensi* Liston and *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say (Diptera: Culicidae)

Selladurai Subarani<sup>1</sup>, Selvi Sabhanayakam<sup>1</sup>, Chinnaperumal Kamaraj<sup>2\*</sup>, Gandhi Elango<sup>2</sup>, Mohamed Abdul Kadir<sup>3</sup>

### ARTICLE INFO

# Article history: Received 10 October 2012 Received in revised form 15 November 2012 Accepted 15 December 2012 Available online 20 August 2013

Keywords: Catharanthus roseus Anopheles stephensi Culex quinquefasciatus Larvicidal activity Pupicidal activity Medicinal plant

### ABSTRACT

Objective: To investigate the larvicidal and pupicidal activities of aqueous, ethyl acetate and methanol extracts of Catharanthus roseus (C. roseus) against malaria and filariasis vectors. Methods: The larvicidal and pupicidal activities of C. roseus leaf extracts were tested against the fourth instar larvae and pupae of Anopheles stephensi (An. stephensi) and Culex quinquefasciatus (Cx. quinquefasciatus). The mortality was observed after 24 and 48 h post the treatment. The data were subjected to probit analysis to determine the lethal concentrations ( $LC_{50}$  and  $LC_{90}$ ) at which 50% and 90% of the treated larvae or pupae of the tested species were killed. Results: The larval and pupal mortality were observed after 24 and 48 h of exposure of aqueous, ethyl acetate and methanol extracts of C. roseus; no mortality was observed in the control group. The LC<sub>50</sub> values against the fourth-instar larvae of An. stephensi were 68.62 and 72.04 mg/mL for the aqueous extract, 82.47 mg/mL for the ethyl acetate extract, and 78.80 and 86.64 mg/ mL for the methanol extract, while the aqueous, ethyl acetate and methanol extracts had LC<sub>50</sub> values of 85.21, 76.84 and 94.20 mg/mL against the fourth-instar larvae of Cx. quinquefasciatus. The aqueous, ethyl acetate and methanol extracts had  $LC_{50}$  values of 118.08, 182.47 and 143.80 mg/mL against the pupae of An. stephensi and 146.20, 226.84 and 156.62 mg/mL against the pupae of Cx. quinquefasciatus, respectively. Conclusions: The aqueous and methanol extracts of C. roseus leaves had an excellent potential to control the malarial vector An. stephensi and filariasis vector Cx. quinquefasciatus.

### 1. Introduction

Mosquitoes constitute a major public health problem as vectors of serious human diseases like malaria, filariasis, Japanese encephalitis, dengue fever, chikungunya, and yellow fever. Mosquitoes alone transmit disease to more than 700 million people annually<sup>[1,2]</sup>. *Anopheles stephensi* (*An. stephensi*) transmits malaria in the plains of rural and urban areas of India. Malaria afflicts 36% of the world population, i.e., 2020 million in 107 countries and territories

Tel: +91 97891 26627 E-mail: kamaraj84@hotmail.com situated in the tropical and subtropical regions. In the South East Asian Region of WHO, out of about 1.4 billion people living in 11 countries, 1.2 billion (85.7%) are exposed to the risk of malaria, most of whom live in India. Of the 2.5 million reported cases in South East Asia, India alone contributes about 70% of the total cases[3].

Culex quinquefasciatus (Cx. quinquefasciatus) is the vector of lymphatic filariasis which is a widely distributed tropical disease with around 120 million people being infected worldwide and 44 million people having common chronic manifestation<sup>[4]</sup>. Natural products of plant origin with insecticidal properties have been tried in the recent past for control of variety of insect pests and vectors. Plants are considered as a rich source of bioactive chemicals and they may be an alternative source of mosquito control agents. Natural products are generally preferred because of their less harmful nature to non-target organisms and due to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Zoology, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar-608002, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Post Graduate and Research Department of Zoology, C. Abdul Hakeem College, Melvisharam-632509, Vellore District, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry, MSS Wake Board College, Mudurai-625020, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Chinnaperumal Kamaraj, Ph.D., Research Scholar, Post Graduate and Research Department of Zoology, C. Abdul Hakeem College, Melvisharam-632509, Vellore District, Tamil Nadu, India.

their innate biodegradability[5].

Today, synthetic insecticides are at the forefront of mosquito-controlling agents. However, the environmental threat that these chemicals pose, effects on non-target organisms and the resistance of mosquitoes to insecticides have all increased during the last five decades<sup>[6]</sup>. These limitations therefore necessitate the search for new control method which may replace these synthetic insecticides for controlling mosquitoes which are more environmentally safe and also biodegradable and target specific against the mosquitoes. In fact, many researchers have reported the effectiveness of plant extracts or essential oils against mosquito larvae<sup>[7]</sup>.

Several plants have been demonstrated toxic effects on mosquito larvae. Saxena et al. discovered growth inhibitory and juvenile hormone mimicing activity in the larvae of Cx. quinquefasciatus treated with acetone extracts of Ageratum conyzoides, Cleome icosandra and Tridax procumbens[8]. Annona squamosa and Lansium domesticum showed larvicidal potential against Cx. quinquefasciatus[9]. Leaf acetone, chloroform, ethyl acetate, hexane, and methanol extracts of Aegle marmelos, Andrographis lineata, Andrographis paniculata, Eclipta prostrata and Tagetes erecta showed good larvicidal activity against the fourthinstar larvae of Cx. tritaeniorhynchus[10]. Ethyl acetate and methanol extracts of Acacia concinna, Cassia siamea, Coriandrum sativum, Cuminum cyminum, Lantana camara, Nelumbo nucifera, Phyllanthus amarus, Piper nigrum and Trachyspermum ammi exhibited good larvicidal and repellent activities against An. stephensi and Cx. quinquefasciatus[6].

Catharanthus roseus (L.) G. Don (C. roseus; formerly Vinca rosea L.) is an important medicinal plant that accumulates dimeric terpenoid indole alkaloids like vinblastine and vincristine in its leaves. The dried leaf powder of C. roseus was used to assess the bioefficacy on Aedes aegypti (Ae. aegypti)[11].

In the light of earlier literature, it is known that larvicidal and pupicidal agents play a vital role in controlling mosquitoes in their breeding sites, but vector resistance still remains unanswered. In addition, they show a negative impact in areas of beneficial and non-target organisms. In view of the recently increased interest in developing plantorigin insecticides as an alternative to chemical insecticides, this study was undertaken to assess the larvicidal and pupicidal potential of the extracts from *C. roseus* against two medically important species, *An. stephensi* (malaria vector) and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* (filarial vector).

#### 2. Materials and methods

# 2.1. Plant collection

Leaves of *C. roseus* (Apocynaceae) were collected from Cuddalore District, Tamil Nadu, India. It was authenticated by a plant taxonomist from Department of Botany, Annamalai University. Voucher specimen has been deposited in the

laboratory of Zoology, Annamalai University.

# 2.2. Mosquito culture

Larvae of *An. stephensi* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* were collected from pond and stagnant water areas of Annamalainagar and identified in Zonal Entomological Research Centre (Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu, India). The colony and larvae were kept in plastic and enamel trays containing tap water. They were maintained and all the experiments were carried out at (27±2) °C and 75%–85% relative humidity under 14 h/10 h light and dark cycles. The larvae were fed on a diet composed of Brewer's yeast, dog biscuits and algae collected from ponds in a ratio of 3:1:1[12]. The feeding was continued until the larvae transformed into the pupal stage.

# 2.3. Maintenance of pupae

Pupae were transferred from the trays to a cup containing tap water and were maintained in an insectary (45 cm×45 cm×40 cm) where adults emerged. Adults were maintained in glass cages and were continuously provided with 100 g/L sucrose solution in a jar with a cotton wick. On day 5, the adults were given a blood meal from a pigeon placed in resting cages overnight for blood–feeding by females. Glass Petri dishes with 50 mL of tap water lined with filter paper were kept inside the cage for oviposition[12].

# 2.4. Preparation of solvent extract of C. roseus leaves

The dried leaves of *C. roseus* (650 g) were powdered mechanically using a commercial electrical stainless steel blender and extracted with 1 000 mL of aethyl acetate and 1 000 mL of methanol (Qualigens, Mumbai, India) in a Soxhlet apparatus separately until exhaustion. The extract was concentrated under reduced pressure 22–26 mm Hg (1 mmHg=0.133 kPa) at 45 °C and the residue obtained was stored at 4 °C.

# 2.5. Preparation of aqueous extract of C. roseus leaves

Around 500 g air–dried *C. roseus* leaf powder was soaked in 2 000 mL of distilled water for about 24 h. The aqueous extract was then evaporated under reduced pressure in a rotary evaporator, and the residue was dissolved in a small quantity of water and subjected to freeze–drying. Freeze–dried extracts was collected in small glass bottles and kept at 0 °C for further evaluation.

# 2.6. Larval toxicity test

During preliminary screening with the laboratory trial, the mosquito larvae were collected from the insect-rearing cage. One gram of the crude extract was first dissolved in 100 mL of respective solvent and distilled water (stock solution). From the stock solution, 50 mg/mL was prepared with dechlorinated tap water. Polysorbate 80 (Qualigens, Mumbai, India) was added as an emulsifier at a volume ratio of 0.05%

in the final test solution. The larvicidal activity was assessed by the procedure of WHO[13]. For bioassay test, the larvae were taken in five batches of 20 in 249 mL of water and 1.0 mL of the desired plant extracts concentration (10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 mg/mL). The control was set up with respective solvent, distilled water and polysorbate 80. The numbers of dead larvae were counted after 24 and 48 h of exposure, and the percentage of mortality was reported from the average of three replicates. The experimental media in which 100% mortality of larvae occurred alone were selected for dose–response bioassay.

# 2.7. Pupal toxicity test

A laboratory colony of the mosquito pupae was used to observe the pupicidal activity of *C. roseus*. Twenty–five individuals of freshly emerged pupae were kept in a 500–mL glass beaker containing 249 mL of dechlorinated water and 1 mL of desired plant extract concentration (10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 mg/mL). At each tested concentration, 2–5 trials were made and each trial consisted of three replicates. The control was set up with respective solvent, distilled water and polysorbate 80. The numbers of dead pupae were counted after 24 and 48 h of exposure, and the percentage of mortality was reported from the average of three replicates.

# 2.8. Dose-response bioassay

From the stock solution, different concentrations ranging from 10 to 50 mg/mL were prepared. Based on the preliminary screening results, the crude solvent and aqueous extracts of *C. roseus* leaves were subjected to dose–response bioassay for larvicidal and pupicidal activity against the larvae and pupae of *An. stephensi* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus*. The numbers of dead larvae and pupae were counted after 24 and 48 h of exposure, and the percentage of mortality was reported from the average of three replicates.

# 2.9. Statistical analysis

The data of average larval and pupal mortality were subjected to probit analysis for calculating lethal concentration of 50% and 90% (LC<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>90</sub>) and other statistics at 95% fiducial limits of the upper confidence limit and lower confidence limit, and chi–square values were calculated by using the FORTRAN program[14] for rapid determination of lethal concentration. Results with P<0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

#### 3. Results

# 3.1. Larvicidal activity of C. roseus leaf extract against An. stephensi and Cx. quinquefasciatus

The mortality rates of the fourth-instar larvae of *An. stephensi* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* exposed to the crude extracts of *C. roseus* leaves are presented in Table 1. Among

the different treatment groups, 100% mortality in the larvae of An. stephensi was observed when they were exposed to 50 mg/mL aqueous or methanol extracts for 24 and 48 h or to the 50 mg/mL ethyl acetate extract for 48 h. For Cx. quinquefasciatus, 100% mortality in the larvae was observed when they were exposed to 50 mg/mL aqueous, methanol or ethyl acetate extracts for 48 h. The  $LC_{50}$  and  $LC_{90}$  values of the extracts against the larvae of An. stephensi and Cx. quinquefasciatus at 48 h are shown in Table 1. The chisquare values were significant at P<0.05 level (Table 1).

# 3.2. Pupicidal activity of C. roseus leaf extract against An. stephensi and Cx. quinquefasciatus

Table 2 illustrates the considerable pupal mortality after the treatment with the aqueous, ethyl acetate and methanol extracts of C. roseus leaves. After 48 h of exposure to the extracts at the concentration of 50 mg/mL, 100% mortality was observed in the pupae, except that 93% mortality was observed in the pupae treated with the ethyl acetate extract. The LC<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>90</sub> values of the extracts against the pupae of An. stephensi and Cx. quinquefasciatus at 48 h are represented in Table 2.

#### 4. Discussion

In this study, the aqueous, methanol and ethyl acetate extracts of C. roseus leaves showed potential larvicidal and pupicidal activities against An. stephensi and Cx. quinquefasciatus. The biological activity of the experimental plant extracts may be attributed to the presence of various compounds, including phenolics, terpenoides, flavonoids and alkaloids[15], which may jointly or independently contribute to produce larvicidal and pupicidal activity against An. stephensi and Cx. quinquefasciatus. Alam et al. has demonstrated the petroleum ether fraction of C. roseus possesses good larvicidal properties against An. stephensi (LC<sub>50</sub>=150 mg/L)[16]. Other extracts of several plants have also been proved to have larvicidal activity against *An*. stephensi and Cx. tritaeniorhynchus. The methanol extract of Ervatamia coronaria (Family: Apocynaceae) leaves showed good larvicidal activity against larvae of Cx. quinquefasciatus (LC<sub>50</sub>=72.41 mg/L; LC<sub>90</sub>=65.67 mg/L at 24 h), Ae. aegypti  $(LC_{50}=62.08 \text{ mg/L}; LC_{90}=136.55 \text{ mg/L} \text{ at 24 h}) \text{ and } An. \text{ stephensi}$  $(LC_{50}=127.24 \text{ mg/L}; LC_{90}=120.86 \text{ mg/L} \text{ at } 24 \text{ h})[17].$  The methanol extract of Ervatamia coronaria also showed potential larvicidal activity against the early third instar of An. subpictus (LC<sub>50</sub>=86.47 mg/L; LC<sub>90</sub>=159.59 mg/L) and Cx. tritaeniorhynchus  $(LC_{50}=131.53 \text{ mg/L}; LC_{90}=245.00 \text{ mg/L})[18]$ . The petroleum ether extract of Rauvolfia serpentine (Family: Apocynaceae) seeds showed strong activity against the third instar larvae of Cx. quinquefasciatus after 24 h of exposure[19].

Our results agree with those obtained from some previous studies. The leaf methanol extract of *Cassia fistula* was tested for larvicidal activity against *Cx. quinquefasciatus* and *An. stephensi*, respectively with the LC<sub>50</sub> values of 17.97 and 20.57 mg/L[20-24]. The aqueous extract of *Calotropis* 

 Table 1

 Larval toxicity of the extracts of C. roseus leaves against An. stephensi and Cx. quinquefasciatus.

Extract	Mosquito	Extract concentration (mg/mL)	Mortality (%)		LC <sub>50</sub>	LC <sub>90</sub>	Chi square
			24 h of exposure	48 h of exposure	(LCL-UCL) (mg/mL)	(LCL-UCL) (mg/mL)	value (df=4)
Aqueous extract	An. stephensi	50	100±1.20		68.62±8.42 (28.39–46.26)	184.85±16.50 (84.26–116.19)	12.86
		40	72±2.06		(28.39-40.20)	(84.20-110.19)	
		30	52±1.82				
		20	28±1.40				
		10	17±1.82				
Aqueous extract	An. stephensi	50		100±0.00	72.04±10.64 (36.28–52.08)	236.36±24.20 (120.50–168.46)	16.06
		40		86±2.18	(30.26-32.06)	(120.30–108.40)	
		30		68±1.20			
		20		46±1.42			
		10		23±1.68			
Aqueous extract	Cx. quinquefasciatus	50	89±2.60	100±0.00	85.21±0.84 (18.64–28.75)	262.70±26.82 (52.92–79.31)	10.52
		40	68±2.81	84±1.82			
		30	41±1.06	60±4.20			
		20	36±1.28	42±1.87			
		10	28±1.10	38±2.69			
Ethyl acetate extract	An. stephensi	50	79±2.60	100±0.00	82.47±10.89 (92.72-144.22)	254.33±17.58 (126.44-187.22)	10.79
		40	58±2.81	86±0.82			
		30	42±1.06	68±1.60			
		20	26±1.28	43±1.48			
		10	18±1.10	24±2.04			
Ethyl acetate extract	Cx. quinquefasciatus	50	86±3.02	100±0.00	76.84±10.04 (142.46–72.81)	248.62±17.89 (184.09–129.14)	14.78
		40	74±0.82	86±2.40			
		30	52±4.24	74±1.64			
		20	40±1.27	62±1.32			
		10	32±4.60	48±2.04			
Methanol extract	An. stephensi	50	100±0.00		78.80±8.78 (42.34–77.27)	220.54±12.68 (96.78–157.95)	10.75
		40	76±2.82				
		30	62±4.20				
		20	42±1.87				
		10	22±2.69				
Methanol extract	An. stephensi	50		100±0.00	86.64±12.86	268.60±18.56	16.84
	•	40		84±2.60	(48.62–96.14)	(128.16–186.62)	
		30		68±3.24			
		20		46±2.08			
		10		28±4.20			
Methanol extract	Cx. quinquefasciatus	50	86±1.87	100±0.00	94.20±14.06 (38.43-86.46)	286.50±22.60 (132.62-178.40)	14.80
		40	64±2.02	84±1.82			
		30	52±3.80	60±4.20			
		20	30±2.67	42±1.87			
		10	26±2.06	38±2.69			

The mortality (Mean $\pm$ SD) is the mean value of three replicates. Nil mortality was observed in the control. The LC<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>90</sub> (Mean $\pm$ SE) is the lethal concentration at which 50% and 90% of the exposed larvae are killed, respectively. LCL: lower confidence limit; UCL: upper confidence limit; df: degree of freedom. All the chi–square values were significant at P<0.05 level.

**Table 2** Pupal toxicity of the extracts of *C. roseus* leaves against *An. stephensi* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus*.

Extract	Mosquito	Extract	Extract Mortality (%)		LC <sub>50</sub>	LC <sub>90</sub>	Chi square
		concentration (mg/mL)	24 h of exposure	48 h of exposure	(LCL-UCL) (mg/mL)	(LCL-UCL) (mg/mL)	value (df=4)
Aqueous extract	An. stephensi	50	73±1.26	100±0.00	118.08±10.26	314.18±26.82	16.28
		40	69±2.06	89±2.18	(68.43–96.14)	(184.36–268.29)	
		30	56±1.82	68±1.20			
		20	34±1.40	45±1.42			
		10	15±1.82	26±1.68			
Aqueous extract	Cx. quinquefasciatus	50	89±1.60	100±0.00	146.20±12.24 (86.14–108.26)	328.30±28.02 (196.09-279.40)	14.42
		40	65±2.56	76±1.56			
		30	32±1.46	58±3.20			
		20	29±1.03	32±2.23			
		10	17±1.76	26±2.59			
Ethyl acetate extract	An. stephensi	50	68±2.60	100±0.00	182.47±16.80	364.38±27.48	18.70
		40	58±3.81	88±0.82	(110.62-146.40)	(186.44–277.29)	
		30	32±1.26	66±1.72			
		20	29±1.85	43±1.52			
		10	12±1.05	24±1.04			
Ethyl acetate extract	Cx. quinquefasciatus	50	72±3.02	93±2.00	226.84±16.04	368.09±27.82	15.08
		40	64±1.82	78±1.56	(125.84–188.06)	(219.14–284.09)	
		30	32±2.36	64±1.64			
		20	20±1.07	42±1.65			
		10	12±2.60	38±1.09			
Methanol extract	An. stephensi	50	84±1.80	100±0.00	143.80±12.78	346.54±24.68	18.05
		40	68±1.40	64±1.82	(96.30-127.27)	(192.78-276.95)	
		30	46±2.68	52±3.20			
		20	24±2.82	36±1.86			
		10	16±3.54	26±4.04			
Methanol extract	Cx. quinquefasciatus	50	86±1.10	100±0.00	156.62±18.37	362.48±24.12	17.24
		40	60±3.06	78±1.11	(116.30 - 142.60)	(214.80-294.20)	
		30	45±1.34	55±2.23			
		20	26±1.07	36±1.23			
		10	13±1.10	22±2.79			

The mortality (Mean $\pm$ SD) is the mean value of three replicates. Nil mortality was observed in the control. The LC $_{50}$  and LC $_{00}$  (Mean $\pm$ SE) is the lethal concentration at which 50% and 90% of the exposed larvae are killed, respectively. LCL: lower confidence limit; UCL: upper confidence limit; df: degree of freedom. All the chi–square values were significant at P<0.05 level.

procera leaves had 50% of adult emergence inhibition of 277.90 and 183.65 mg/L respectively for *An. arabiensis* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, and the pupal stage was not affected till a concentration of 5 000 mg/Ll<sup>25</sup>]. The larvicidal, growth inhibiting and repellent actions of *Dalbergia sissoo* oil was evaluated against *An. stephensi*, *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* under laboratory conditions and no adult emergence was observed at a concentration of 4 mL/m<sup>2</sup>[26].

The pure limonoids of neem seed were tested for biological, larvicidal, pupicidal, adulticidal, and antiovipositional activities against *An. stephensi*, and the results showed that the larval mortality was dose-dependent with the highest dose of 1 mg/L azadirachtin evoking almost 100% mortality, affecting pupicidal and adulticidal activities and significantly decreased fecundity and longevity of *An. stephensi*[27]. In the study of Kamaraj *et al.*, the petroleum ether extract of *Cassia auriculata* leaves and methanol extract of its flowers caused the highest mortality against the larvae of *An. subpictus* and *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus*[12].

All the toxins used in vector control pose some hazards to

the user and also to the aquatic environment. Hence, this research is mainly focused on finding newer insecticides which are more effective, biodegradable and easily available at low cost. In our observation, the aqueous, ethyl acetate and methanol extracts of *C. roseus* leaves possessed higher larvicidal and pupicidal activities against the malaria and filariasis vectors.

In conclusion, an attempt has been made to evaluate the possible role of medicinal plant extracts in the control of mosquitoes. Natural products are generally preferred in vector control measures due to their less deleterious effect on non-target organisms and their innate biodegradability. In the context of resistance developed by the mosquito larvae against chemical insecticides, it is worthwhile to identify new active compounds from natural products against mosquitoes. Hence, the results reported here open the possibility of further investigations on the larvicidal and pupicidal efficacy of medicinal plant extracts, and they may be exploited against mosquitoes. The bioassay-guided fractionation, purification and isolation of pure compounds

from the crude aqueous and methanol extract of *C. roseus* leaves are in progress.

#### Conflict of interest statement

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Zoology Department of Annamalai University for providing the facilities to carry out this work.

## References

- Jang YS, Kim MK, Ahn YS, Lee HS. Larvicidal activity of Brazilian plant against *Aedes aegypti* and *Culex pipiens* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Agric Chem Biotechnol* 2002; 45(3): 131–134.
- [2] Taubes G. Vaccines. Searching for a parasite's weak spot. Science 2000; 290(5491): 434–437.
- [3] Kondrachine AV. Malaria in WHO Southeast Asia region. *Indian J Malaria Res* 1992; 29(3): 129–160.
- [4] Bernhard L, Bernhard P, Magnussen P. Management of patients with lymphoedema caused by filariasis in north–eastern Tanzania: alternative approaches. *Physiotherapy* 2003; **89**(12): 743–749.
- [5] Rasheed M, Afshan F, Tariq RM, Siddiqui BS, Gulzar T, Mahmood A, et al. Phytochemical studies on the seed extract of *Piper nigrum* Linn. *Nat Prod Res* 2005; **19**(7):703–712.
- [6] Wattanachai P, Tintanon B. Resistance of Aedes aegypti to chemical compounds in aerosol insecticide products in different areas of Bangkok, Thailand. Commun Dis J 1999; 25(2): 188–191.
- [7] Kamaraj C, Rahuman AA, Bagavan A, Elango G, Zahir AA, Santhoshkumar T. Larvicidal and repellent activity of medicinal plant extracts from Eastern Ghats of South India against malaria and filariasis vectors. *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2011; 4(9): 698-705.
- [8] Saxena RC, Dixit OP, Sukumaran P. Laboratory assessment of indigenous plant extracts for anti-juvenile hormone activity in *Culex quinquefasciatus. Indian J Med Res* 1992; 95: 204–206.
- [9] Monzon RB, Alvior JP, Luczon LL, Morales AS, Mutuc FE. Larvicidal potential of five Philippine plants against Aedes aegypti (Linnaeus) and Culex quinquefasciatus (Say). Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 1994; 25(4): 755–759.
- [10] Elango G, Rahuman AA, Bagavan A, Kamaraj C, Zahir AA, Venkatesan C. Laboratory study on larvicidal activity of indigenous plant extracts against *Anopheles subpictus* and *Culex tritaeniorhynchus*. *Parasitol Res* 2009; 104(6): 1381-1388.
- [11] Vadeyar LK, Hooli AA, Holihosur SN, Kallapur SV. Bioefficacy of Vinca rosea leaf powder on Aedes aegypti L. J Biopest 2010; 3(1 special issue): 189–191.
- [12]Kamaraj C, Bagavan A, Rahuman AA, Zahir AA, Elango G, Pandiyan G. Larvicidal potential of medicinal plant extracts against Anopheles subpictus Grassi and Culex tritaeniorhynchus Giles (Diptera: Culicidae). Parasitol Res 2009; 104(5): 1163-1171.

- [13]World Health Organization. Informal consultation on the evaluation and testing of insecticides. CTD/WHO PES/IC/ 96.1. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 1996, p. 69.
- [14] Reddy PJ, Krishna D, Murthy US, Jamil K. A microcomputer FORTRAN program for rapid determination of lethal concentration of biocides in mosquito control. *Comput Appl Biosci* 1992; 8(3): 209– 213.
- [15]Wong SK, Lim YY, Abdullah NR, Nordin FJ. Antiproliferative and phytochemical analyses of leaf extracts of ten Apocynaceae species. *Pharmacognosy Res* 2011; **3**(2): 100–106.
- [16] Alam MF, Safhi MM, Chopra AK, Dua VK. Toxicological properties of several medicinal plants from the Himalayas (India) against vectors of malaria, filariasis and dengue. *Trop Biomed* 2011; 28(2): 343–50.
- [17]Mathivanan T, Govindarajan M, Elumalai K, Krishnappa K, Ananthan A. Mosquito larvicidal and phytochemical properties of Ervatamia coronaria Stapf. (Family: Apocynaceae). J Vector Borne Dis 2010; 47(3): 178–180.
- [18]Govindarajan M, Sivakumar R, Amsath A, Niraimathi S. Larvicidal efficacy of botanical extracts against two important vector mosquitoes. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2012; 16(3): 386–392.
- [19]Das D, Chandra G. Mosquito larvicidal activity of Rauvolfia serpentina L. seeds against Culex quinquefasciatus Say. Asian Pac J Trop Med 2012; 5(1): 42–45.
- [20]Govindarajan M, Jebanesan A, Pushpanathan T. Larvicidal and ovicidal activity of *Cassia fistula* Linn. leaf extract against filarial and malarial vector mosquitoes. *Parasitol Res* 2008; **102**(2): 289–292
- [21]Nagappan R. Evaluation of aqueous and ethanol extract of bioactive medicinal plant, *Cassia didymobotrya* (Fresenius) Irwin & Barneby against immature stages of filarial vector, *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say (Diptera: Culicidae). *Asian Pac J Trop Biomed* 2012; 2(9): 707– 711.
- [22]Singha S, Adhikari U, Chandra G. Smoke repellency and mosquito larvicidal potentiality of *Mesua ferra* L. leaf extract against filarial vector *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed 2011; 1(Suppl 1): S119–S123.
- [23]Suman TY, Elumalai D, Vignesh A, Kaleena PK, Murugesan K. Evaluation of larvicidal activity of the aerial extracts of a medicinal plant, Ammannia baccifera (Linn) against two important species of mosquitoes, Aedes aegypti and Culex quinquefasciatus. Asian Pac J Trop Dis 2012; 2(Suppl 1): S352–S355.
- [24]Senthilkumar A, Venkatesalu V. Larvicidal potential of Acorus calamus L. essential oil against filarial vector mosquito Culex quinquefasciatus (Diptera: Culicidae). Asian Pac J Trop Dis 2012; 2(4): 324–326.
- [25] Elimam AM, Elmalik KH, Ali FS. Efficacy of leaves extract of Calotropis procera Ait. (Asclepiadaceae) in controlling Anopheles arabiensis and Culex quinquefasciatus mosquitoes. Saudi J Biol Sci 2009; 16(2): 95–100
- [26] Ansari MA, Razdan RK, Tandon M, Vasudevan P. Larvicidal and repellent actions of *Dalbergia sissoo* Roxb. (F. Leguminosae) oil against mosquitoes. *Bioresour Technol* 2000; **73**(3): 207–211.
- [27]Senthil Nathan S, Kalaivani K, Murugan K, Chung PG. Effects of neem limonoids on the malarial vector *Anopheles stephensi* Liston (Diptera: Culicidae). *Acta Trop* 2005; 96(1): 47–55.