Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine

journal homepage:www.elsevier.com/locate/apjtb

Document heading

Preliminary investigation on antimicrobial and proteolytic property of the epidermal mucus secretion of marine stingrays

Rathinam Vennila, Kalainesan Rajesh Kumar*, Shankar Kanchana, Muthuvel Arumugam, Shanmugam Vijayalakshmi, Thangavel Balasubramaniam

Center of Advanced Study in Marine Biology, Annamalai University, Portonovo, P.O. Box 608 502, Tamil Nadu, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 17 August 2011
Received in revised form 5 September 2011
Accepted 27 September 2011
Available online 15 October 2011

Keywords:
Antimicrobial
Epidermal mucus
Proteolytic
Stingray
Dasyatis sephen
Himantura gerrardi
Disc diffusion method
Human pathogens

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the antibacterial, antifungal, minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and the protease activity of the stingray mucus *Dasyatis sephen* (*D. sephen*) and *Himantura gerrardi* (*H. gerrardi*). **Methods:** Antimicrobial activity of crude aqueous, acidic and organic mucus extract was evaluated by disc diffusion method against human pathogens, MIC of the active samples were determined by spectrophotometric method and the protease activity which is responsible for the antimicrobial activity was determined by using zymogram method. **Results:** The crude acidic extracts of both the species showed antibacterial activity against *Salmonella typhi* (*S. typhi*), *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Streptococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), *Vibrio cholerae* (*V. cholerae*) and the acidic extracts of both the species exhibit antifungal activity against all the tested pathogens. Remaining extracts didn´t show any inhibitory activity. The acidic extracts of *H. gerrardi* is significantly active against *S. typhi, E. coli, V. cholerae, Trichophyton mentagrophytes* (*T. mentagrophytes*), *Alternaria alternaria* (*A. alternaria*), *Trichophyton rubrum* (*T. rubrum*), *Candida tropicalis* (*C. tropicalis*) at the minimum concentration of 16 μg/mL, but the acidic extract of *D. sephen* required 32 μg/mL of protein to inhibit *S.typhi, E. coli, Aspergillus niger* (*A. niger*), penicillium sp, *T. mentagrophytes*, *A. alternaria*. Both the *D. sephen* and *H. gerrardi* shows the proteolytic activity above the molecular mass of > 66 KDa. The characterization of protease class using inhibitors showed the presence of both serine and metallo protease in the the samples. **Conclusions:** Protease activity present in the sting ray mucus is one of the key factor responsible for the antimicrobial activity and the results proved the role of mucus in the innate immunity.

1. Introduction

The fish skin is covered by epidermal layer and mucus, act as a primary wall between internal and external environment. This heterogeneous group of organisms occupy an apparent crossroads between the innate immune response and the appearance of the adaptive immune response. Importantly, immune organs homologues to those of the mammalian immune system are present in fish. However, their structural complexity is less, potentially limiting the capability to generate fully functional adaptive immune responses against pathogen invasion[1]. Therefore the fishes are depending on their innate immune mechanism for protection against invading pathogens. The innate immune components includes the mucus layer on the skin, gills and Gastrointestinal (GI) tract, constituents of the blood such as natural killer cells and phagocytes[2]. The epidermal mucus contains a key component of innate immunity that protects from the unfavorable conditions and prevents foreign substances from invading. The epidermal mucus is secreted by the epidermal goblet cells composed mainly of water and gel forming macromolecules such as mucin, and other glycoproteins, *etc*[3]. This mucus secretion is thought to perform number of functions including lubricant[4], mechanical protective function, osmoregulation, locomotion, immunological role and intraspecific chemical communication[5]. Mucus protects against attacks by gnathiids, acting like mosquito nets in humans, a function of cocoons and an efficient physiological adaptation for preventing parasite infestation that is not used by any other animal[6]. The mucus layer on the surface of the fish

*Corresponding author: RK Rajesh kumar, CAS in Marine Biology, Faculty of Marine Science, Annamalai University, Portonovo-608 502, India.
Tel: +91-99761 55576
Fax: +91-4144-243555
E-mail: rk.rajeshkumar@yahoo.co.in

Foundation Project: This work was financially supported by Ministry of Earth Science "Drugs from the Ocean". (grant No. G4/12319/2007)

is continuously replaced which possibly prevents stable colonization by parasites, bacteria and fungi. Skin secretions contains a wide variety of polypeptides with antimicrobial properties. Proteases are considered to be a antimicrobial proteins which involved in the regulatory production of antimicrobial peptides. In addition fish mucus also contains a variety of biologically active substances such as lysozyme, lectins, flavoenzymes, immunoglobulins, C-reactive protein, apolipoprotein A-I and antimicrobial peptides which gives protection to fish from potential pathogens[7-11].

Antimicrobial activity of epidermal mucus extracts against a broad range of microbial pathogens was observed by many researchers, but those works were focused towards on marine microbial strains and notably there is no information available on the antimicrobial function of epidermal mucus of stingray. The goal of the present study was to investigate the *in vitro* antimicrobial activity in the epidermal mucus of the stingray family which includes *Dasyatis sephen* (*D. sephen*) and *Himantura gerrardi* (*H. gerrardi*) species against human pathogens (grampositive and gramnegative bacteria, fungi) and assessed the presence of protease activity by using casein as a substrate and we have also determined the protease classes present in the mucus by using various inhibitors.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Mucus collection and extraction

The stingray *D. sephen* and *H. gerrardi* was freshly collected with the help of fisherman of Portonovo coast, Tamil nadu, India. Mucus secretions were carefully scraped from the dorsal side of the body using spatula. The mucus was immediately transferred to the laboratory and stored at -70 ℃ until use. A portion of mucus was lyophilized and suspended in phosphate buffer (PBS) (pH 7.4) at 1 mg/mL concentration to give the aqueous extract (Extract A).

The mucus samples were mixed with 10% acetic acid in the ratio of 1:1 and placed in a boiling water bath for 5 minutes and it was cooled, centrifuged at 18000 xg for 35 min at 4 ℃. The resulting supernatant was evaporated overnight, mixed in water (Extract B) and then assayed for antimicrobial activity.

The organic extract was prepared by the method of Hellio *et al*[13] with slight modification. The lyophilized powder (1 mg/mL) was suspended in 95% ethanol and centrifuged at 11000 xg for 30 mins. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was resuspended in 95% ethanol for 3 more times. The ethanol extracts were pooled, evaporated, suspended with distilled water to give 50 mL as final volume and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (4×50 mL). The aqueous phases was lyophilized (Extract C), while the Dichloromethane phase (Extract D) were pooled and evaporated, the resulting dried samples obtained from both the phases were dissolved in water and 5% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) respectively and used for further analysis.

2.2. Microorganisms and media

Bacterial strains used were *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), *Salmonella typhi* (*S. typhi*), *Klebsiella pneumonia* (*K. pneumonia*), *Klebsiella oxytoca* (*K. oxytoca*), *Vibrio cholerea* (*V. cholerea*), *Streptococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella paratyphi* (*S. paratyphi*). The fungal pathogens used were *Candida tropicalis* (*C. tropicalis*), *Aspergillus niger* (*A. niger*), *Penicillium* sp., *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* (*T. mentagrophytes*), *Alterneria alteneria* (*A. alteneria*), *Candida albicans* (*C. albicans*), *Rhizopus* sp., *Mucor* sp., *Trichophyton rubrum* (*T. rubrum*). All eight species of bacterial strains were maintained in nutrient agar and the fungal strains were maintained in potato dextrose agar (PDA).

2.3. Agar disc diffusion method

The screening of antimicrobial activity of the mucus extracts were carried out in the agar disc diffusion method using Muller Hinton agar (MHA) medium for antibacterial activity and PDA for antifungal activity.

The bacterial and fungal inocula were prepared from the colonies of 24 h culture on nutrient agar and PDA medium. The inocula was adjusted with McFarland density to obtain final concentration of approximately 10^4 and 10^6 CFU/mL for the fungi and the bacteria respectively. 30 μg of each extracts were imbibed in Whatmann AA filter paper and applied on the test media which were previously inoculated with each test strain. Plates were incubated at 37 ℃ for bacteria or 28 ℃ for fungus. Inhibition zones were measured after 24 h of incubation[13]. Standard disk of erythromycin (15 mcg/disc) and nystatin (100 units/disc) served respectively as the positive antibacterial and antifungal controls.

2.4. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)

MIC was determined by serially diluting the active acidic extracts in the concentration of 8, 16, 24, 32, 40, and 48 μg/mL. Microorganism (2×10^8) were grown in liquid medium consisting of Mueller Hinton for bacteria and RPMI 1640 for fungi. broth at 37 ℃ and media at 28 ℃. MIC represents the lowest concentration required to inhibit the growth of microorganism. All assays were carried out for four times and the control test was carried out with the solvents in the concentration of 5% DMSO[14].

2.5. Protease activity

Effect of protease was determined by using substrate SDS-PAGE analysis (10% acrylamide) gels containing 2 mg/mL casein by the method of Barbaro *et al*[15]. Samples were mixed with non-reducing sample buffer and the gel

was run at 20 mA. The gel was washed twice in 2.5% Triton X-100 for 20 min and incubated in the incubation buffer (0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 8.5) for 16 h. The gel was stained in Coomassie brilliant blue followed by destaining. Clear areas in the gel indicate the enzymatic activity. For the inhibitor assays 5 mM Na_2-EDTA (Metallo protease), and 1.0 mM Phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) (Serine protease) was added to the gel washing and incubation buffers and then gels were stained and destained as above.

2.6. Statistical analysis

Results were expressed as Mean±SD (n=4). One way ANOVA followed by Duncans multiple range test was used to analyze data, using SPSS windows version 11.5, and $P< 0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

In the present investigation, eight mucus extracts were tested against the highly susceptible bacterial and fungal strains. The results revealed that the higher degree of inhibition (Table 1) was confined in the acidic mucus extracts of *D. sephen* and *H. gerrardi* (Extract B) against *S. typhi*, *E. coli*, *V. cholerae*, *K. pneumoniae*, *S. aureus*. In the case of antifungal activity, the acid extracts have potent activity against all the fungal pathogens (Table 2). Incubation with high concentration of other extracts was sensitive to all the pathogens tested (data not shown).

When the acidic extracts of both the species were further assayed for the MIC, it showed a broad range of activity against the pathogens. The inhibitory concentration of both the species were found to be vary for different strains tested. The *H. gerrardi* was found to inhibit the pathogens more actively than the *D. sephen* in the lower concentration. The results showed that *S. typhi*, *E. coli*, *V. cholerea*, *T. mentagrophytes, A. alternaria, T. rubrum, C. tropicalis* were inhibited by the *H. gerrardi* with the minimum protein concentration of 16 μg/mL. But the acidic extracts of *D. sephen* requires 32 μg/mL to inhibit the growth of *S. typhi*, *V. cholerea, E. coli*, *T. mentagrophytes, A. niger, Penicillium* sp, *A. alternaria* (Table 3). The inhibitory concentration of the *H. gerrardi* fish mucus was found to be 1 times lower than the *D. sephen* mucus against *S. typhi*, *E. coli*, *V. cholerea, T. mentagrophytes*, *A. alternaria* and 1.5 times lower in *T. rubrum* and *C. tropicalis* strains.

The zymogram of both the species shares the proteolytic activity above the molecular range of 66 kDa (Figure 1). To determine the protease class, we assayed the protease activity in the presence of various inhibitors. The PMSF and EDTA partially suppressed the caseinolytic activity (Figure 1b, 1c).

Table 1
Inhibition zone of fish mucus extracts against different bacterial (Mean ± SD) (mm).

Extracts		*S. typhi*	*K. pneumoniae*	*S. aureus*	*E. coli*	*K. oxytoca*	*V. cholerea*	*S. aureus*	*S. paratyphi*
D. sephen	A	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
	B	13.04±0.31	9.21±0.27	10.56±0.53	13.06±0.64	–	13.09±0.19	–	–
	C	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
	D	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
H. gerrardi	A	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
	B	15.65±0.82	11.01±0.25	13.08±0.54	15.54±0.44	–	15.23±0.51	–	–
	C	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
	D	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

A: aqueous extract; B: acidic extract; C: organic extract. –: No inhibitory activity. *Zone in mm indicates the distance from the border of the disc to the edges of the clear zone. Each values represents mean ± SD of four independent experiments (*P < 0.05).

Table 2
Inhibition zone of fish mucus extracts against different bacterial (Mean ± SD) (mm).

Extracts		*A. niger*	*Penicillium* sp	*T. mentagophyte*	*A. altneria*	*T. rubrum*	*C. tropicalis*	*C. albicans*	*Mucor* sp.
D. sephen	A	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
	B	14.67±1.13	13.85±0.85	13.67±0.65	14.43±1.03	12.24±0.94	12.97±0.59	11.78±0.87	10.01±0.65
	C	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
	D	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
H. gerrardi	A	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
	B	14.00±0.43	12.46±0.75	15.05±1.13	15.52±1.40	16±1.34	15.90±0.98	12.78±0.65	12.97±0.83
	C	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
	D	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

A: aqueous extract; B: acidic extract; C: organic extract. –: No inhibitory activity. *Zone in mm indicates the distance from the border of the disc to the edges of the clear zone. Each values represents mean ± SD of four independent experiments (*P < 0.05).

Table 3
MIC of acidic mucus extracts of D. sephen and in μg/mL.

	Pathogens	*D. sephen*	*H. gerrardi*
Bacteria	*S. typhi*	32.02±2.91	16.12±0.86
	K. pneumoniea	48.54±3.08	40.02±3.54
	S. aureus	40.07±2.41	32.27±1.84
	E. coli	32.34±1.89	16.04±1.08
	K. oxytoca	-	-
	V. cholerea	32.65±2.08	16.62±0.92
	Staphylococcus aureus	-	-
	S. paratyphi	-	-
Fungi	*A. niger*	32.27±2.41	32.02±1.92
	Penicillium sp	32.84±2.09	40.64±2.84
	T. mentagrophytes	32.50±1.93	16.04±1.34
	A. alternaria	32.12±2.11	16.21±0.94
	T. rubrum	40.35±3.41	16.54±1.08
	C. tropicalis	40.57±2.84	16.04±1.24
	C. albicans	40.13±3.38	40.36±3.14
	Mucor sp	48.25±3.21	48.85±4.07

MIC is the minimum concentration required to inhibit the bacterial growth. Each point represents the Mean ± SD (n=4).



Figure 1. Proteolytic activity of the epidermal mucus secretion. 2 mg/mL casein was mixed in SDS-PAGE (10%) without inhibitors (a) or with inhibitors PMSF (b), EDTA(c). Number on the left corresponds to the position of the molecular mass. Clear areas in the gel indicate the activity.

4. Discussion

Fishes are habitually surrounded by water, which contains a wide variety of pathogenic and non-pathogenic microorganisms. Previous literature demonstrated the role of mucus and its components in various fish species[16-20] suggesting that the epidermal mucus acts as a first line of defense against the pathogens. In our present report, the mucus extracted with the acidic solvent (acetic acid) of both the mucus in order to obtain the basic peptide or protein show potent antimicrobial activity[21]. This observation was similar to the previous reports on the acidic mucus extracts of brook trout, haddock and hagfish[9] and contradicts to the mucus of *Pollachius virens*, *Gadus morhua*, *Labrus bergylta*, *Scophthalmus rhombus*, Platichthys flesus and *Solea solea* extracted with polar solvent ethanol and non-polar solvents DMSO , which have been reported to show wide spectral antibacterial activities[12,22-27].

Organic extracts (Extract C and D) do not show any antimicrobial activity suggesting that small molecules such as secondary metabolites present in the mucus extracted using organic solvents, may not be the most active antimicrobial components in the mucus of the examined stingray species[28]. Perhaps the antimicrobial activity is due to the microbial flora which remains in the mucus of the fish species. Further studies are required to determine the source of the activity.

In the case of MIC the acidic mucus extract of *H. gerrardi*, it showed inhibitory activity in the range of 16 to 48 μg/mL and *D. sephen* exhibited activity in the range 32 to 48μg/mL which is similar to previous studies carried out in hagfish, brooktrout, haddock[9]. So the particular acidic extracts have to further characterized, which can be possibly act as an antimicrobial compounds against these pathogens.

Proteases have a significant role in the innate immune mechanism and these proteases are classified into serine, cysteine, aspartic and metallo protease based on the chemical group responsible for the catalysis[29]. In the present study, the protease activity of both the acidic mucus was observed above the molecular range of 66 kDa. The inhibitors PMSF and EDTA partially suppressed the caseinolytic activity and this analysis shows the presence of more than one type of proteases in the skin mucus, the metallo (which are involved in the activation of pro-cathepsin D) and the serine protease (trypsin like activity) suggesting that mucus affects the survival of invading pathogens[30-32].

These preliminary assays indicated that the acidic mucus extracts have potential antimicrobial activity indicating that basic antimicrobial peptides or acidic soluble proteins is responsible for the defensive purposes against the invading pathogens. These mucus remains as an interesting source for new antimicrobial compounds and hence further characterization should be carried out.

Acknowledgments

Authors are grateful to Director MoES for financial assistance through "Drugs from the Ocean" program funded by MoES, India and to the authorities of Annamali University for providing facilities. The authors thank Mr. TV Suresh, (Research scholar, CAS Marine Biology, Portonovo) for helping in species identification. The authors also thank the fishermen in Portonovo for helping in the collection of stingrays.

Conflict of interest statement

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Tort L, Balasch J C, Mackenzie S, Fish immune system. A crossroads between innate and adaptive responses. *Inmunologia* 2003; **22:** 277-286.

[2] Subramanian S, MacKinnon SL, Ross NW. A comparative study on innate immune parameters in the epidermal mucus of various fish species. *Comp Biochem Physiol* 2007; **148**: 256-263.

[3] Martinez-Antón A, de Bolós C, Garrido M, Roca-Ferrer J, Barranco C, Xaubet A, et al. Mucin genes have different expression patterns in healthy and diseased upper airway mucosa. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2006; **36**: 448-457.

[4] Rosen M, Cornford N. Fluid friction of fish slimes. *Nature* 1971; **234**: 49-51.

[5] Knowles MR, Boucher RC. Mucus clearance as a primary innate defense mechanism for mammalian airways. *J Clin Invest* 2002; **109**: 571-577.

[6] Alexandra S Gutter, Jennifer G Rumney, Tane Sinclair-Taylor, Peter Waldie, Craig E Franklin. Fish mucous cocoons: the 'mosquito nets' of the sea. *Biol Lett* 2011; **7**(2): 292-294.

[7] Ellis AE. Innate host defense mechanisms of fish against viruses and bacteria. *Dev Comp Immunol* 2001; **25,** 827-839.

[8] Whyte SK. The innate immune response of finfish - A review of currentknowledge. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* 2007; **23**: 1127-1151.

[9] Subramanian S, Ross NW, MacKinnon SL. Comparison of antimicrobial activity in the epidermal mucus extracts of fish. *Comp Biochem Physiol* 2008; **150**: 85-92.

[10] Villarroel F, Bastías A, Casado A, Amthauer R, Concha MI. Apolipoprotein A-I, an antimicrobial protein in Oncorhynchus mykiss: evaluation of its expression in primary defence barriers and plasma levels in sick and healthy fish. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* 2007; **23**: 197-209.

[11] Kitani Y, Kikuchi N, Zhang G, Ishizaki S, Shimakura K, Shiomi K, et al. Antibacterial action of L-amino acid oxidase from the skin mucus of rockfish *Sebastes schlegelii*. *Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol* 2007; **149**: 394-400.

[12] Hellio C, Pons AM, Beaupoil C, Bourgougnon N, Gal YL. Antibacterial, antifungal and cytotoxic activities of extracts from fish epidermis and epidermal mucus. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2002; **20**: 214-219.

[13] Galeano E, Martinez A. Antimicrobial activity of marine sponges from Uraba Gulf, Colombian caribean region. *J Med Mycol* 2007; **17**: 21-24.

[14] National Committee for Clinical Laboratory standards. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically. Approved standard M7-A3. 3rd ed. Villanova, PA: National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards; 1993.

[15] Barbaro KC, Knysak I, Martins R, Hogan C, Winkel K. Enzymatic characterization antigenic cross-reactivity and neutralization of dermonecrotic activity of five Loxosceles spider venom of medical importance in the Americas. *Toxicon* 2005; **45**: 489-499.

[16] Zhao X, Findly RC, Dickerson HW. Cutaneous antibody-secreting cells and B cells in a teleost fish. *Dev Comp Immunol* 2008; **32**: 500-508.

[17] Kuppulakshmi C, Prakash M, Gunasekaran G, Manimegalai G, Sarojini S. Antibacterial properties of fish mucus from *Channa punctatus* and *Cirrhinus mrigala*. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2008; **12**: 149-153.

[18] Domingos MO, Franzolin MR, Dos Anjos MT, Franzolin TM, Barbosa Albes RC, et al. The influence of environmental bacteria in freshwater stingray wound-healing. *Toxicon* 2011; **58**: 147-153.

[19] Nagashima Y, Sendo A, Shimakura K, Kobayashi T, Kimura, Fujii T. Antibacterial factors in skin mucus of rabbitfishes. *J Fish Biol* 2001; 58: 1761-1765.

[20] Sarmasik A. Antimicrobial peptides: a potential theraupeutic alternative for the treatment of fish diseases. *Turk J Biol* 2002; **26**: 201-207.

[21] Diamond G, Zasloff M, Eck H, Brasseur M, Maloy WL, Bevins CL. Tracheal antimicrobial peptide, a cysteine-rich peptide from mammalian tracheal mucosa: peptide isolation and cloning of a cDNA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1991; **88**: 3952-3956.

[22] Subramanian B, Selvam P, Kolandhasamy P, Solomon RSR. Antimicrobial and hemolytic activity of fish epidermal mucus *Cynoglossus arel* and *Arius caelatus*. *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2011; **4**(4): 305-309.

[23] Kanagasabapathy S, Samuthirapandian R, Kumaresan M. Preliminary studies for a new antibiotic from the marine mollusk *Melo melo* (Lightfoot, 1786). *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2011; **4**(4): 310-314.

[24] Bhimba BV, Meenupriya J, Joel EL, Naveena DE, Kumar S, Thangaraj M. Antibacterial activity and characterization of secondary metabolites isolated from mangrove plant *Avicennia officinalis*. *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2011; **3**(7): 544-546.

[25] Madhumitha G, Saral AM. Preliminary phytochemical analysis, antibacterial, antifungal and anticandidal activities of successive extracts of *Crossandra infundibuliformis*. *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2011; **4**(3): 192-195.

[26] Johnson M, Wesely EG, Kavitha MS, Uma V. Antibacterial activity of leaves and inter-nodal callus extracts of *Mentha arvensis* L. *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2011; **4**(3): 196-200.

[27] Darabpour E, Motamedi H, Mansour S, Nejad S. Antimicrobial properties of *Teucrium polium* against some clinical pathogens. *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2011; **4**(3): 124-127.

[28] Shapo JL, Moeller PD, Galloway SB. Antimicrobial activity in the common seawhip, *Leptogorgia virgulata* (Cnidaria: Gorgonaceae). *Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol* 2007; **148**: 65-73.

[29] Aranishi F, Nakane M. Epidermal proteinases of the European eel. *Physiol Zoo*l 1997; **70**: 563-570.

[30] Aranishi F, Nakane M. Epidermal proteinases of the Japanese eel. *J Aquat Anim Health* 1997; **10**: 35-42.

[31] Cho JH, Park IY, Kim HS, Lee WT , Kim MS, Kim SC. Cathepsion D produces antimicrobial peptide parasin I from histone H2a in the skin of fish. *FASEB J* 2002; **16**: 429-431.

[32] Hjelmeland K, Christie M, Raa J. Skin mucus protease from rainbow trout, *Salmogairdneri Richardson*, and its biological significance. *J Fish Biol* 1983; **23**: 13-22.