

Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine



journal homepage: www.apjtb.com

Document heading doi: 10.12980/APJTB.4.2014APJTB-2014-0274 © 2014 by the Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine. All rights reserved.

Final thoughts on antibiotic use: wake up call for the oral health care professionals

Ramasamy Chidambaram^{*}

Faculty of Dentistry, Department of Prosthodontics, AIMST University, Semeling 08100, Jalan Bedong, Kedah Darul Aman, Malaysia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 26 May 2014 Received in revised form 29 May 2014 Accepted 10 Jun 2014 Available online 28 Jun 2014

Keywords: Antibiotic prophylaxis Dental practitioners Dental practice Microbial resistance

ABSTRACT

Antibiotic treatment is a form of pharmacotherapy with the specialty of rendering both etiological and curative action. Dentists traditionally administer antibiotics, to restrain contagions and to handle the prevailing dental ailments. Penicillins have been the drug of choice to be opted by the dental practitioners in their routine dental practice. Prudent application of antibiotics in concoction with surgical therapy is the most pertinent mode to cure odontogenic contagions. Considerable prescriptions of antibiotics are ascribed especially in cases which induce bleeding in the oral cavity. Regardless of the obvious indications, perplexity prevails between the oral health care professional's pertaining to the administration of antibiotics in coalition with dental maneuvers. Dreadful ailments have been cured after the incipience of antibiotics in 1929. Besides it also leads to cumbersome consequences by virtue of its misuse. Irrational usage of antibiotic therapy leads to false positive effects on both health and financial grounds and poses a threat to the microbial resistance. The present communication aims to delineate the absolute indications, consequences of abuse and imperative factors to be considered while prescribing antibiotics in routine dental practice.

1. Introduction

The breakthrough of antibiotics came to existence in 1929 when the Scottish bacteriologist Alexander Fleming, documented on the bactericidal activity of specimens of a penicillium genre^[1]. Antibiotics are the biggest endowment of the 20th aeon to health care industry. These medications are imperative in the sustentation and prophylaxis of contagion in patients at liability of confronting microbial ailment. Majority of the orofacial contagions emanate from the odontogenic contagions and thereby, administration of antibiotics has eventually metamorphosed as a cardinal approach in everyday dental practice. Antibiotics are among the most frequently prescribed drugs by dentists, who can therefore be said to pronominally contribute to the global consumption of antibiotics. The prime utilization of antibiotics is insinuated in dental practice when the clinical circumstance presents objective evidence. Regardless of the obvious indications, perplexity prevails between the oral health care professionals pertaining to the administration of antibiotics in coalition with dental maneuvers^[1]. Many natural health proficients insure that dentistry is the medical discipline resposible for some of the antibiotics abuse. Dental practitioners ought to be alarmed of the cause reliant to the antibiotic abuse and ameliorate their dexterity in antibiotic prescription. The present communication aims to delineate the absolute indications of antibiotics, dialectics and consequences of misuse and the imperative factors to be contemplated while prescribing antibiotics in heyday dental practice.

^{*}Corresponding author: Dr. Ramasamy Chidambaram, Lecturer, Faculty of Dentistry, Department of Prosthodontics, AIMST University, Semeling 08100, Jalan Bedong, Kedah Darul Aman, Malaysia.

Tel: 016 - 4724370

E-mail: dr.ramasamyc@gmail.com

Foundation Project: Supported by AIMST University Research Grant Scheme.

2. Absolute indications of antibiotics

Antibiotic treatment is a form of pharmacotherapy with the specialty of rendering both etiological and curative action. The fundamental principle of antibiotic prophylaxis is to select the appropriate antibiotic, determine the dosage, route of administration and prescribe them for the required duration.

2.1. Antibiotics in endodontic maneuvers

Dentists prescribe medications for the management of multifarious oral conditions, specifically orofacial infections^[2]. Administration of antibiotics rationally in concoction with local treatment is the most pertinent mode to cure odontogenic contagions. The endodontic dentistry is considered to acquire abundant medications when compared with its other disciplines[3]. Orofacial contagions do benefit aftermath of the supportive administration of antibiotics; meanwhile these medicaments should also serve as adjutant for explicit maneuvers. Pulpitis and periapical abscess are the accepted clinical perspectives which demand the need of systemic antibiotics^[4]. Oral clinicians are urged to prescribe antibiotics without resolving the local factor, whereas many of the endodontic infections do not require the service, if the dialectic factor involved in the contagion is eradicated. The prevailing situation strictly vindicates the utilization of antibiotics after the root canal procedures as unhealthy practice and generates infinitesimal response post-operatively^[5,6]. Replete obturation and closure of the pulp space from the oral environment averts the administration of antibiotics. Clinical scenarios where the patients present with an intraoral swelling, due to acute apical abscess do not desire the regimen of systemic antibiotics. Considering the medical status of the patient and if the patient exhibits an extra oral swelling, the antibiotics can be recommended after the debridement of the pulp space with calcium hydroxide. When the pulpal inflammation is confined, the excision of the tissue is acknowledged as the treatment of choice rather than prescribing antibiotics. Most of the dental infections entail a conservative and operative approach towards the management of pulpal and periodontal inflammations like root canal therapy, restorations and removal of the tooth if the prognosis is unfavorable.

2.2. Antibiotics in oral surgical procedures and infective endocarditis

Infective endocarditis is a critical contagion transpiring on the endothelial shroud of the heart, particularly at the valves. Dental procedures such as tooth extraction, periodontal surgery, scaling, rubber dam placement, and root canal therapy can induce bacteremia, but these maneuvers are trigger factors for few cases of endocarditis. Antibiotic aid is strongly imputed in patients with high risk of infective endocarditis, where it's administered as prophylactic antibiotics[7]. The American Health Association authenticates that all dental manoeuvres that comprehend operation of gingival tissue or the periapical region of teeth or breaching of the oral mucosa deserve antibiotic prophylaxis^[8]. Oral health care professionals advise medications for clinical situations pertaining to endodontic, oral surgical, and periodontal conditions. Considerable prescriptions of antibiotics are ascribed especially in cases which induce bleeding in the oral cavity. The clinical practice guidelines for antibiotic prophylaxis acknowledge that oral surgical procedures like orthognathic surgery, major preprosthetic surgery and extensive tumor surgery demand the need of an antibiotic^[9]. Selected antibiotic should be persuasive over the microbial flora which is basically found in the oral cavity, like Staphylococcus, Streptococcus, enteric and anaerobic bacteria. Penicillin and its derivatives gratify the above requirements and provide a curative action by eliminating the bacteria^[10].

As a matter of course, removal of tooth is a routine surgical procedure carried out in the dental practice. Antibiotics are recommended to medicate the major oral surgical procedures, but the former maneuver implicates a necessity only in vulnerable patients. Furthermore, researches admit that the plausible infection rate succeeding third molar elicitation is considerably meager when compared with the other dentition[11,12]. Traditional applications of antibiotics fail to ameliorate the infection rate following the extraction of third molar. Conjointly, couple of studies do ratify the former statement and deny its usance preceding last molar evulsion^[13,14]. Poeschl et al., reported that the prevalence of surgical infection was negligible, aftermath on the antibiotic prophylaxis in minor oral surgical procedures^[15,16]. On the contrary, literature also mutually supports the service of antibiotics following impacted molars^[17-22]. Martinez et al., documented the incorporation of postoperative antibiotics (amoxicilin/ clavulanate) as successful therapy in ostetotomy cases. The researchers do justify that the prophylaxis is mandatory for dentoalveolar surgery only in complicated circumstances,

especially in total osseous impaction^[23]. Present references corroborate the administration of antibiotics to the sound inmates as an absolute contradiction, owing to the inessential threat induced in patients' wellbeing. Furthermore, pre/post operative administration of antibiotics fails to produce amelioration in the anticipated symptoms such as pain, swelling on the aftermath of the impaction^[24]. With the existing controversies in the antibiotic therapy of oral surgical procedures, adhering to the imperative protocol such as a clean surgery eradicates the necessity of the antibiotic administration in major cases. The excluding patients who require the service of antibiotics during intra oral surgical procedures in dental office are with immune compromised host and high risk patients of endocarditis cardiopathies.

2.3. Antibiotics with special care in dentistry

The conservative utilization of antibiotics ought to be adhered especially for renal and liver transplant and pregnant patients vulnerable to infection^[1]. Absorption and exclusion of certain drugs are unwonted in renal impairment patients. Amendments of dosage are mandatory and desired with such deteriorated kidney function. Dose amendments are imperative in patients with renal failure to evade aggrandized plasma drug accumulation[25]. Penicillins, clindamycin and cephalosporins can be prescribed at the regular doses, and are proposed to be the admissible antibiotics of preference[1]. Precisely, antibiotic regimen is advised for the renal transplant patients during the initial months, even when a routine dental check up or a minor treatment is undertaken as bacterial contamination is effectively controlled by implementation of the antibiotic therapy. The liver transplant patients demand considerable dose amendments with respect to erythromycin, clindamycin and metronidazole^[1]. The latter drug is acclaimed to amalgamate with alcohol and preferably averted by virtue of its disulfiram repercussion^[26]. Betalactum antibiotics (penicillin, ampicillin, cephalexin, cefazolin, cetriaxone) are subsisted to be safe drugs and routinely advocated owing to its broad spectrum activity^[26]. In addition, the antibiotic regimen for liver transplant patients is encouraged corresponding to the perilousness of the contagions. The degree of contamination encountered during the dental operative procedures and the intensity of the liver ailment determines the necessity of antibiotic prophylaxis in these patients.

Pregnant women exhibit multifarious oral symptoms like gingival inflammation, dry mouth, periodontal manifestations who thereby demand special care during dental treatment. The American Board of Pediatric Dentistry suggests the practice of seeking professional oral care, as a precautionary measure during the first trimester, thereby to elude the predicaments^[27]. The second trimester is noted to be the safe period to exercise the minor atraumatic oral procedures such as scaling and restorative treatment^[28]. The recommended guidelines recuperated from the literature pertinent to the indication of antibiotics during pregnancy vindicates that pregnant women with high risk of infective endocarditis should seek the aid of antibiotics, preceding the dental manoeuvre^[29]. The usage of tetracycline is absolutely contraindicated by virtue of its staining characteristics produced on the neonatal teeth. Penicillin, clindamycin and cephalosporin are renowned to be standard drugs which are administered during pregnancy^[1]. Besides, the dental practitioners should make efforts to delineate the treatment plan, before the implementation of oral care to avert the stress and anxiety amidst the dental maneuvers^[30]. The dialectic behind the secure usage in these susceptible patients is to deduce the risk of developing resistance to present antibiotic therapies^[8,31-35]. Antibiotics ought to be supported in risky patients, preferably to manage the ailment and avert the contagious diffusion of contagions[36,37]. Penicillins have been the drug of choice to be opted by the dental practitioners in their routine dental practice[38-42], or a relative drug notably amoxicillin^[4,43-49], followed by metronidazole^[44,45]. Consecutive drug in the mainstream is clindamycin, which is subsisted to be an alternative in patients allergic to penicillin^[50]. Apart from the cautious application of antibiotics, consultation of the medical expert is mandatory before executing invasive dental procedures in these perilous patients[1,51-53].

3. Dialectics and consequences of antibiotics abuse

Dreadful ailments have been cured after the incipience of antibiotics in 1929, besides it also leads to cumbersome consequences by virtue of its misuse^[1,3]. Practitioner's insufficient knowledge and awareness pertaining to the indications of antibiotics prove to be the causative elements for overusing the medicaments. The ancillary factor which urges the dental experts to misuse antibiotics is the personal requests of patients, to prescribe redundant dosages, thereby forced to do an unhealthy practice. Unwise prescription of antibiotics by the dentists precipitates the initiation of antibiotic resistance^[4,39,54].

Anti microbial resistance (AMR) threatens to sweep us to the pre-antibiotic era and this information ought to be a loud wake up call for the entire health care specialities. Furthermore, antibiotic prescribing may be involved with unfavourable side effects ranging from gastrointestinal disturbances to fatal anaphylactic shock and development of resistance. Studies reveal that many oral microbes such as Streptococcus spp., Prevotella spp., Fusobacteria spp., Haemophilus spp., Veillonella spp., Porphyromonas gingivalis, Aggregatibacter actinomycetem comitans, and Actinomyces have attained resistance to multitudinal antibiotics^[55,56]. The occurrence of AMR can be deduced by restricting the prescription, plausibly advocating only for certain cases ensuing the affirmation of culture and sensitivity analysis. Wistfully a cross sectional survey conducted among the dental experts updates that one fourth of the participants were not aware about the investigations^[57]. Preference of the latter tests should be vested in clinical practice which ensures a healthy and ethical approach. The service of antibiotics in dental discipline should be contemplated as an adscititious therapy instead of a permanent treatment for the oral infections^[1]. Supplemental factors to be cultivated during routine practice subsume the perpetuation of previous dental records and chiefly the data respective to the antibiotics prescribed. Collaterally, the patients should be instructed to precisely follow the advice of dental proficient's rather than taking advantage of counter sale medications.

4. Conclusion

Dentists commonly administer antibiotics, to restrain contagions and to handle the prevailing dental ailments. Their service is basically recommended as prophylaxis for risky patients with compromised immune system, intrusive oral surgical procedures, and to impede the systemic spread of the infection^[58]. Recouped information from the literature strongly admits that the administration of antibiotics demands a rational application pertaining to dentistry. The required dosage, inmates present medical situation and surgical peril factors must be contemplated before the initiation of the regimen. Culture specimens should be performed, predominantly in patients exhibiting vulnerable contagions so as to elude the extraneous susceptibility of drugs^[59]. Appropriate and correct use of antibiotics is indispensable to ensure that effective and safe treatment is available and practices that may enhance microbial resistance are avoided. Overlooking the drastic

increase in prescription of antibiotics, the irrational usage leads to false positive effects on both health and financial grounds^[60]. Dental practitioners must become better educated about the judicious use of antibiotics and the dangers and cost of their overuse and misuse. Cultivating the mentioned prodigious protocols during antibiotic prescription in routine dental practice, could incredibly allay the growth of AMR and benefit the patient's wellbeing. The evidence and information contingent to dental implant procedures and medically compromised patients (coronary artery disease, breast cancer, penile prosthesis implantation) encountered in dental practice have to be discussed further.

5. Recommended guidelines

The authenticated protocols recuperated from the documented report are as follows:

Many of the endodontic infections demand a conservative and operative approach towards the management of periodontal and pulpal inflammation. The antibiotics are recommended preferably, considering the patient's medical status and the evidence of an extra-oral swelling.

Dental maneuvers are not the trigger factors for infective endocarditis; in the meantime the services of antibiotic prophylaxis are authenticated in patients with high risk of cardiopathies and imperil health.

Each and every minor oral surgical procedure does not require the support of antibiotic regimen. It's advocated principally on the account of ostectomy degree and patient's medical condition.

Communion with the medical specialist is imperative preceding the dental treatment in pregnant, lactating women, renal and liver transplant patients who are susceptible to contagions.

Administer antibiotics as a supplement rather than an ersatz for surgical technique.

Conflict of interest statement

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

References

- Ramu C, Padmanabhan TV. Indications of antibiotic prophylaxis in dental practice. *Asian Pac J Trop Biomed* 2012; 2(9): 749–754.
- [2] Dar-Odeh N, Ryalat S, Shayyab M, Abu-Hammad O. Analysis of

clinical records of dental patients attending Jordan University Hospital: documentation of drug prescriptions and local anaesthetic injections. *Ther Clin Risk Manag* 2008; **4**(5): 1111– 1117.

- [3] Ramasamy C. Cardinal decision for an endodontist to make: is not only, in which infection to use antibiotic, but whether to use one at all? *Asian J Pharm Clin Res* 2014; 7: 4–5.
- [4] Mainjot A, D'Hoore W, Vanheusden A, Van Nieuwenhuysen JP. Antibiotic prescribing in dental practice in Belgium. *Int Endod* J 2009; 42(12): 1112–1117.
- [5] Walton RE, Chiappinelli J. Prophylactic penicillin: effect on post-treatment symptoms following root canal treatment of asymptomatic periapical pathosis. *J Endod* 1993; **19**(9): 466–470.
- [6] Pickenpaugh L, Reader A, Beck M, Meyers WJ, Peterson LJ. Effect of prophylactic amoxicillin on endodontic flare-up in asymptomatic, necrotic teeth. J Endod 2001; 27(1): 53-56.
- [7] Maestre Vera JR, Gómez-Lus Centelles ML. Antimicrobial prophylaxis in oral surgery and dental procedures. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2007; **12**(1): E44–E52.
- [8] Wilson W, Taubert KA, Gewitz M, Lockhart PB, Baddour LM, Levison M, et al. Prevention of infective endocarditis: guidelines from the American Heart Association: a guideline from the American Heart Association Rheumatic Fever, Endocarditis, and Kawasaki Disease Committee, Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, and the Council on Clinical Cardiology, Council on Cardiovascular Surgery and Anesthesia, and the Quality of Care and Outcomes Research Interdisciplinary Working Group. *Circulation* 2007; **116**: 1736–1754.
- [9] Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. Antibiotic prophylaxis in surgery. Edinburgh, Scotland: Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. [Online] Available from: http://www.sign.ac.uk/guidelines/fulltext/104/index.html [Accessed on 28th May 2014]
- [10] Alfter G, Schwenzer N, Friess D, Möhrle E. Perioperative antibiotic prophylaxis with cefuroxime in oral-maxillofacial surgical procedures. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 1995; 23(1): 38-41.
- [11] Loukota RA. The effect of pre-operative perioral skin preparation with aqueous povidone-iodine on the incidence of infection after third molar removal. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1991; 29(5): 336-337.
- [12] MacGregor AJ. Aetiology of dry socket: a clinical investigation. Br J Oral Surg 1968; 6(1): 49–58.
- [13] Antibiotic use in dentistry. ADA Council on Scientific Affairs. J Am Dent Assoc 1997; 128(5): 648.
- [14] Fouad AF, Rivera EM, Walton RE. Penicillin as a supplement in resolving the localized acute apical abscess. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 1996; 81(5): 590–595.
- [15] Peterson LJ. Antibiotic prophylaxis against wound infections in oral and maxillofacial surgery. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1990; 48(6): 617-620.
- [16] Poeschl PW, Eckel D, Poeschl E. Postoperative prophylactic

antibiotic treatment in third molar surgery—a necessity? *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2004; **62**(1): 3–8.

- [17] Piecuch JF, Arzadon J, Liebich SE. Prophylactic antibiotics for third molar surgery: A supportive opinion. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1995; 53(1): 53-60.
- [18] Arteagoitia I, Diez A, Barbier L, Santamaría G, Santamaría J. Efficacy of amoxicillin/clavulanic acid in preventing infectious and inflammatory complications following impacted mandibular third molar extraction. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2005; 100(1): e11-e18.
- [19] Monaco G, Staffolani C, Gatto MR, Checchi L. Antibiotic therapy in impacted third molar surgery. Eur J Oral Sci 1999; 107(6): 437-441.
- [20] Sekhar CH, Narayanan V, Baig MF. Role of antimicrobials in third molar surgery: prospective, double blind, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical study. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2001; 39(2): 134-137.
- [21] Bulut E, Bulut S, Etikan I, Koseoglu O. The value of routine antibiotic prophylaxis in mandibular third molar surgery: acute phase protein levels as indicators of infection. *J Oral Sci* 2001; 43(2): 117–122.
- [22] Capuzzi P, Montebugnoli L, Vaccaro MA. Extraction of impacted third molars. A longitudinal prospective study on factors that affect postoperative recovery. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1994; 77(4): 341–343.
- [23] Martínez Lacasa J, Jímenez J, Ferràs V, García-Rey C, Bosom M, Solà-Morales O, et al. A double blind, placebocontrolled, randomised, comparative phase III clinical trial of pharmacokinetically enhanced amoxicillin/clavulanate 2000/125, as prophylaxis or as treatment vs placebo for infectious and inflammatory morbidity after third mandibular molar removal (TMR). Program and Abstracts of the 43rd InterScience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy; 2013; Chicago, USA. Washington, DC: American Society for Microbiology.
- [24] Bortoluzzi MC, Capella DL, Barbieri T, Pagliarini M, Cavalieri T, Manfro R. A single dose of amoxicillin and dexamethasone for prevention of postoperative complications in third molar surgery: a randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled clinical trial. *J Clin Med Res* 2013; 5(1): 26–33.
- [25] Fabuel LC, Esteve CG, Perez MGS. Dental management in transplant patients. J Clin Exp Dent 2011; 3(1): e43-e52.
- [26] Patton LL. The ADA practical guide to patients with medical conditions. UK: Wiley–Blackwell; 2012.
- [27] American Academy on Pediatric Dentistry Council on Clinical Affairs Committee on the Adolescent. Guideline on oral health care for the pregnant adolescent. *Pediatr Dent* 2008–2009; 30: 102–106.
- [28] Kurien S, Kattimani VS, Sriram RR, Sriram SK, Rao VKP, Bhupathi A, et al. Management of pregnant patient in dentistry. *J Int Oral Health* 2013; 5(1): 88–97.

- [29] Sexton DJ. Patient information: antibiotics before procedures (Beyond the basics). [Online] Available from: http://www. uptodate.com/contents/antibiotics-before-procedures-beyondthe-basics [Accessed on 26th May 2014]
- [30] Chidambaram R. Protocols for management of hypertensive patient in dental office. *Int J Med Dent* 2013; **3**(4): 267–269.
- [31] Nishimura RA, Carabello BA, Faxon DP, Freed MD, Lytle BW, O'Gara PT, et al. ACC/AHA 2008 guideline update on valvular heart disease: focused update on infective endocarditis: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines: endorsed by the Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *Circulation* 2008; **118**: 887–896.
- [32] Lockhart PB, Brennan MT, Kent ML, Norton JH, Weinrib DA. Impact of amoxicillin prophylaxis on the incidence, nature, and duration of bacteremia in children after intu-bation and dental procedures. *Circulation* 2004; 109(23): 2878–2884.
- [33] Roberts GJ, Jaffrey EC, Spract DA, Petrie A, Greville C, Wilson M, et al. Duration, prevalence and intensity of bacteremia after dental extractions in children. *Heart* 2006; **92**(9): 1274–1277.
- [34] Lockhart PB, Loven B, Brennan MT, Fox PC. The evidence base for the efficacy of antibiotic prophylaxis in dental practice. J Am Dent Assoc 2007; 138(4): 458–474.
- [35] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. About antimicrobial resistance: a brief overview. USA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [Online] Available from: http://www. cdc.gov/drugresistance/about.html [Accessed on 20th May 2014]
- [36] Pallasch TJ. Pharmacokinetic principles of antimicrobial therapy. *Periodontol 2000* 1996; 10: 5-11.
- [37] Slots J, Pallasch TJ. Dentists' role in halting antimicrobial resistance. J Dent Res 1996; 75(6): 1338-1341.
- [38] Al-Haroni M, Skaug N. Incidence of antibiotic prescribing in dental practice in Norway and its contribution to national consumption. J Antimicrob Chemother 2007; 59(6): 1161–1166.
- [39] Ogunbodede EO, Fatusi OA, Folayan MO, Olayiwola G. Retrospective survey of antibiotic prescriptions in dentistry. J Contemp Dent Pract 2005; 6(2): 64-71.
- [40] Epstein JB, Chong S, Le ND. A survey of antibiotic use in dentistry. J Am Dent Assoc 2000; 131(11): 1600–1609.
- [41] Demirbas F, Gjermo PE, Preus HR. Antibiotic prescribing practices among Norwegian dentists. Acta Odontol Scand 2006; 64(6): 355-359.
- [42] Yingling NM, Byrne BE, Hartwell GR. Antibiotic use by members of the American association of endodontists in the year 2000: report of a national survey. *J Endod* 2002; 28(5): 396– 404.
- [43] Whitten BH, Gardiner DL, Jeansonne BG, Lemon RR. Current trends in endodontic treatment: report of a national survey. J Am Dent Assoc 1996; 127(9): 1333-1341.

- [44] Palmer NO, Martin MV, Pealing R, Ireland RS. An analysis of antibiotic prescriptions from general dental practitioners in England. J Antimicrob Chemother 2000; 46(6): 1033–1035.
- [45] Palmer NO, Martin MV, Pealing R, Ireland RS. Paediatric antibiotic prescribing by general dental practitioners in England. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 2001; 11(4): 242–248.
- [46] Sarkar C, Das B, Baral P. An audit of drug prescribing practices of dentists. *Indian J Dent Res* 2004; 15(2): 58-61.
- [47] Murti A, Morse Z. Dental antibiotic prescription in Fijian adults. Int Dent J 2007; 57(2): 65–70.
- [48] Prasant MC, Ali FM, Mudhol A, Aher V, Ahire M, Singhai A. Auditing use and misuse of antibiotic. *Rev Prog* 2013; 1(7): 1–4.
- [49] Sawair FA. Antibiotic prescription by general dental practitioners in the management of acute dentoalveolar infections. *Saudi Dent* J 2006; 18(2): 111–117.
- [50] Maestre-Vera JR. Treatment options in odontogenic infection. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2004; 9: 25-31; 19-24.
- [51] Levenson D, Veitz-Keenan A. Dental management of liver transplant patient. NY State Dent J 2013; 79(1): 16–18.
- [52] Little J, Falace D, Miller C, Rhodus N. Dental management of the medically compromised patient. St. Louis: Elsevier Mosby; 2012.
- [53] National Institute of Dental And Craniofacial Research. Dental management of the organ transplant patient. Available from: http://www.nidcr.nih.gov/OralHealth/Topics/ OrganTransplantationOralHealth/OrganTransplantProf.htm [Accessed on 18th May 2014]
- [54] Dajani AS, Taubert KA, Wilson W, Bolger AF, Bayer A, Ferrieri P, et al. Prevention of bacterial endocarditis: recommendations by the American heart association. *Clin Infect Dis* 1997; 25: 1448-1458.
- [55] Slavkin HC. Emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases: a biological evolutionary drama. J Am Dent Assoc 1997; 128(1): 108-113.
- [56] Wise R, Hart T, Carrs O, Streulens M, Helmuth R, Huovinen P, et al. Antimicrobial resistance is a major threat to public health. *BMJ* 1998; **317**(7159): 609–610.
- [57] Kamulegeya A, William B, Rwenyonyi CM. Knowledge and antibiotics prescription pattern among Ugandan oral health care providers: a cross-sectional survey. J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects 2011; 5(2): 61–66.
- [58] Tong DC, Rothwell BR. Antibiotic prophylaxis in dentistry: a review and practice recommendations. J Am Dent Assoc 2000; 131(3): 366-374.
- [59] British Dental Association; British Medical Association; Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain. British National Formulary, editor. *Dental practitioners' formulary (1998-2000)*.
 36th ed. London: British Medical Association and the Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain; 1998.
- [60] Arason VA, Sigurdsson JA. The problems of antibiotic overuse. Scand J Prim Health Care 2010; 28(2): 65–66.