

Copyright © 2015 by Academic Publishing House *Researcher*



Published in the Russian Federation
 Russian Journal of Mathematical Research. Series A
 Has been issued since 2015.
 ISSN: 2410-9320
 Vol. 1, Is. 1, pp. 9-13, 2015

DOI: 10.13187/rjmr.a.2015.1.9
www.ejournal30.com



UDC 519.2

Construction of Coefficient Inequality for a New Subclass of Class of Starlike Analytic Functions

Gurmeet Singh

Khalsa college, Patiala, India
 E-mail: meetgur111@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, we will discuss a newly constructed subclass of analytic starlike functions by which we will be obtaining sharp upper bounds of the functional $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ for the analytic function $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, |z| < 1$ belonging to this subclasses.

Keywords: Univalent functions; Starlike functions; Close to convex functions and bounded functions.

MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 30C50

1. Introduction: Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \tag{1.1}$$

which are analytic in the unit disc $\mathbb{E} = \{z: |z| < 1\}$. Let \mathcal{S} be the class of functions of the form (1.1), which are analytic univalent in \mathbb{E} .

In 1916, Bieber Bach ([7], [8]) proved that $|a_2| \leq 2$ for the functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$. In 1923, Löwner [5] proved that $|a_3| \leq 3$ for the functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$.

With the known estimates $|a_2| \leq 2$ and $|a_3| \leq 3$, it was natural to seek some relation between a_3 and a_2^2 for the class \mathcal{S} , Fekete and Szegő[9] used Löwner's method to prove the following well known result for the class \mathcal{S} .

Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} 3 - 4\mu, & \text{if } \mu \leq 0; \\ 1 + 2 \exp\left(\frac{-2\mu}{1-\mu}\right), & \text{if } 0 \leq \mu \leq 1; \\ 4\mu - 3, & \text{if } \mu \geq 1. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

The inequality (1.2) plays a very important role in determining estimates of higher coefficients for some sub classes \mathcal{S} (See Chhichra[1], Babalola[6]).

Let us define some subclasses of \mathcal{S} .

We denote by S^* , the class of univalent starlike functions $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in \mathcal{A}$ and satisfying the condition

$$Re \left(\frac{zg(z)}{g(z)} \right) > 0, z \in \mathbb{E}. \tag{1.3}$$

We denote by \mathcal{K} , the class of univalent convex functions $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n, z \in \mathcal{A}$ and satisfying the condition

$$Re \frac{(zh'(z))}{h'(z)} > 0, z \in \mathbb{E}. \tag{1.4}$$

A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be close to convex if there exists $g(z) \in S^*$ such that

$$Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right) > 0, z \in \mathbb{E}. \tag{1.5}$$

The class of close to convex functions is denoted by \mathcal{C} and was introduced by Kaplan [3] and it was shown by him that all close to convex functions are univalent.

$$S^*(A, B) = \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A}; \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{E} \right\} \tag{1.6}$$

$$\mathcal{K}(A, B) = \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A}; \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{E} \right\} \tag{1.7}$$

It is obvious that $S^*(A, B)$ is a subclass of S^* and $\mathcal{K}(A, B)$ is a subclass of \mathcal{K} .

We introduce a new subclass as $\left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A}; \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right) = \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)}; z \in \mathbb{E} \right\}$ and we will

denote this class as $f(z) \in \Sigma S^*[\alpha]$.

Symbol \prec stands for subordination, which we define as follows:

Principle of Subordination: Let $f(z)$ and $F(z)$ be two functions analytic in \mathbb{E} . Then $f(z)$ is called subordinate to $F(z)$ in \mathbb{E} if there exists a function $w(z)$ analytic in \mathbb{E} satisfying the conditions $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ such that $f(z) = F(w(z)); z \in \mathbb{E}$ and we write $f(z) \prec F(z)$.

By \mathcal{U} , we denote the class of analytic bounded functions of the form $w(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n z^n, w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1$. (1.8)

It is known that $|d_1| \leq 1, |d_2| \leq 1 - |d_1|^2$. (1.9)

2. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS: For $0 < c < 1$, we write $w(z) = \left(\frac{c+z}{1+cz} \right)$ so that

$$\frac{1+Aw(z)}{1+Bw(z)} = 1 + (A - B)c_1 z + (A - B)(c_2 - Bc_1^2)z^2 + \dots \tag{2.1}$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

THEOREM 3.1: Let $f(z) \in f(z) \in \Sigma S^*[\alpha]$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha+1)^3} [5\alpha^2 + 10\alpha - 3 - 8\mu\alpha(\alpha+1)]; \text{ if } \mu \leq \frac{4\alpha^2 + 8\alpha - 4}{8\alpha(\alpha+1)} & (3.1) \\ \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1} ; \text{ if } \frac{4\alpha^2 + 8\alpha - 4}{8\alpha(\alpha+1)} \leq \mu \leq \frac{6\alpha^2 + 12\alpha - 2}{8\alpha(\alpha+1)} & (3.2) \\ \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha+1)^3} [8\mu\alpha(\alpha+1) - 65 - 10\alpha + 3] ; \text{ if } \mu \geq \frac{6\alpha^2 + 12\alpha - 2}{8\alpha(\alpha+1)} & (3.3) \end{cases}$$

The results are sharp.

Proof: By definition of $f(z) \in f(z) \in \Sigma S^*[\alpha]$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right) = \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)}; w(z) \in \mathcal{U}. \tag{3.4}$$

Expanding the series (3.4), we get

$$1 + a_2 z \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2\alpha} \right) + \frac{z^2}{2} \left[(2a_3 - a_2^2) \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha} \right) + \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha^2} \right) a_2^2 \right] + \dots = (1 + 2c_1 z + 2(c_1^2 + c_2)z^2 + z^3(2c_3 + 4c_1c_2 + c_1^3) + \dots). \tag{3.5}$$

Identifying terms in (3.5), we get

$$a_2 = \frac{4c_1}{\alpha+1} \tag{3.6}$$

$$a_3 = \left(\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1} \right) \left[c_1^2 + c_2 + \frac{4c_1^2}{(\alpha+1)^2} [\alpha^2 + 2\alpha - 1] \right] \tag{3.7}$$

From (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = c_1^2 \left[\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1} + \frac{8\alpha(\alpha^2+2\alpha-1)}{(\alpha+1)^3} - \frac{16\mu\alpha^2}{(\alpha+1)^2} \right] + c_2 \left[\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1} \right] \tag{3.8}$$

Taking absolute value, (3.8) can be rewritten as

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \left| \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1} + \frac{8\alpha(\alpha^2+2\alpha-1)}{(\alpha+1)^3} - \frac{16\mu\alpha^2}{(\alpha+1)^2} \right| |c_1^2| + |c_2| \left| \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1} \right| \tag{3.9}$$

Using (1.11) in (3.9), we get

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \left[\frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha+1)^3} [(5\alpha^2 + 10\alpha - 3)] - 8\mu\alpha(\alpha + 1) - \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1} \right] |c_1|^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1} \tag{3.10}$$

Case I: $\mu \geq \frac{5\alpha^2+10\alpha-3}{8\alpha(\alpha+1)}$. (3.10) can be rewritten as

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha+1)^3} [8\mu\alpha(\alpha + 1) - (6\alpha^2 + 12\alpha - 2)] |c_1|^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1} \tag{3.11}$$

Subcase I (a): $\mu \geq \frac{6\alpha^2+12\alpha-2}{8\alpha(\alpha+1)}$. Using (1.11), (3.11) becomes

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha+1)^3} [8\mu\alpha(\alpha + 1) - 5\alpha^2 - 10\alpha + 3]. \tag{3.12}$$

Subcase I (b): $\mu < \frac{6\alpha^2+12\alpha-2}{8\alpha(\alpha+1)}$. We obtain from (3.11)

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1} \tag{3.13}$$

Case II: $\mu < \frac{5\alpha^2+10\alpha-3}{8\alpha(\alpha+1)}$

Proceeding as in case I, we get

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1} + \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha+1)^3} [4\alpha^2 + 8\alpha - 4 - 8\mu\alpha(\alpha + 1)] |c_1|^2. \tag{3.14}$$

Subcase II (a): $\mu \leq \frac{4\alpha^2+8\alpha-4}{8\alpha(\alpha+1)}$

(3.14) takes the form (3.15)

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha+1)^3} [5\alpha^2 + 10\alpha - 3 - 8\mu\alpha(\alpha + 1)] \tag{3.16}$$

Subcase II (b): $\mu > \frac{4\alpha^2+8\alpha-4}{8\alpha(\alpha+1)}$

Proceeding as in subcase I (a), we get

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1} \tag{3.17}$$

Combining (3.12), (3.16) and (3.17), the theorem is proved.

Extremal function for (3.1) and (3.3) is defined by

$$f_1(z) = (1 + az)^b$$

Where $a = \frac{\{(2\alpha+\beta-3\alpha\beta)^2-(1-\alpha)\beta(\beta-3)+4\alpha(1-\beta)(\beta+2)\}a_2^2-4(3\alpha+\beta-4\alpha\beta)a_3}{(2\alpha+\beta-3\alpha\beta)a_2}$

And $b = \frac{(2\alpha+\beta-3\alpha\beta)^2 a_2^2}{\{(2\alpha+\beta-3\alpha\beta)^2-(1-\alpha)\beta(\beta-3)+4\alpha(1-\beta)(\beta+2)\}a_2^2-4(3\alpha+\beta-4\alpha\beta)a_3}$

Extremal function for (3.2) is defined by $f_2(z) = z(1 + Bz^2)^{\frac{A-B}{2B}}$.

Corollary 3.2: Putting $\alpha = 1, \beta = 0$ in the theorem, we get

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} 1 - \mu, & \text{if } \mu \leq 1; \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } 1 \leq \mu \leq \frac{4}{3}; \\ \mu - 1, & \text{if } \mu \geq \frac{4}{3} \end{cases}$$

These estimates were derived by Keogh and Merkes [8] and are results for the class of univalent convex functions.

Corollary 3.3: Putting $A = 1, B = -1$ and $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ in the theorem, we get

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} 3 - 4\mu, & \text{if } \mu \leq \frac{1}{2}; \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq 1; \\ 4\mu - 3, & \text{if } \mu \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

These estimates were derived by Keogh and Merkes [8] and are results for the class of univalent starlike functions.

References:

1. Chichra, P.N., "New subclasses of the class of close-to-convex functions", Procedure of American Mathematical Society, 62, (1977), 37-43.
2. Goel, R.M. and Mehrok, B.S., "A subclass of univalent functions", Houston Journal of Mathematics, 8, (1982), 343-357.

3. Kaplan, W., "Close-to-convex schlicht functions", Michigan Mathematical Journal, 1, (1952), 169-185.
4. Keogh, S.R. and Merkes, E.R., "A Coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions", Procedure of American Mathematical Society, 20, (1989), 8-12.
5. K. Löwner, "Über monotone Matrixfunktionen", Math. Z., 38, (1934), 177-216.
6. Kunle Oladeji Babalola, "The fifth and sixth coefficients of α -close-to-convex functions", Kragujevac J. Math., 32, (2009), 5-12.
7. L. Bieberbach, "Über einige Extremal probleme im Gebiete der konformen Abbildung", Math. Ann., 77(1916), 153-172.
8. L. Bieberbach, "Über die Koeffizienten derjenigen Potenzreihen, welche eine schlichte Abbildung des Einheitskreises vermitteln", Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Sitzungsab., (1916), 940-955.
9. M. Fekete and G. Szegő, "Eine Bemerkung über ungerade schlichte Funktionen", J. London Math. Soc. 8 (1933), 85-89.
10. R.M. Goel and B.S. Mehrotra, "A coefficient inequality for a subclass of close-to-convex functions", Serdica Bul. Math. Pubs., 15, (1989), 327-335.
11. Z. Nehari, "Conformal Mapping", McGraw-Hill, Comp., Inc., New York, (1952).