

# Mobilization Development and Happiness



**Alexey FEDOROV**

*Chief editor*

*Russian scientist-publicist, economist, political scientist*

*rector of scientific research institute «Institute of Political and Mediametric Studies» (SRI IPMS)*

*e-mail: chief@wolfcorner.org*

Today's countries, including Russia, that took the independent path of development and integration into world community oftentimes face limits of development of their own institutes which are coping the western one. Most of those who are standing up for reforms, no matter in what environment, scientific or corporate, they are functioning categorically deny institutional reforms in principle. It's of no consideration at all who will be in power in Russia — liberals or socialists — neither ministry of economics nor health ministry will disappear. They will change their plates, but, in all but name they will be the same regulators who are only forwarding life of citizens in different vectors of the same plane. This particular, flattened outlook on life, makes it impossible to cross the existing line, and makes any political person to keep the same plane where its opponents are, regardless of their ideological preferences. It is the rigidity of current institutions that prevents the Russian society from making a quantum lunge in its development both institutional and economic. Evidential exhaustion of opportunities for development within the limits of current institutions resulted in our recognition of their denial and substitution with any new, unrelated to the earlier vertically-integrated institutions centered around functional approach. We are in the opinion that post-functional institutions should keep to dialectic and dynamic structures which are in charge of state of society and environment in all its communications. In this system neither person nor his/her life, intellectual liberty and liberty of speech can make the supreme value anymore because "Lord of Creation" should have the same rights as other animal life and artificial intelligence by means of emancipation of the last one. In actual fact, absolutely new trends in public administration should arise, such as, "management of life" (as a whole), "management of human happiness", "management of prosperity", "management of fairness". Under that logic that such categories of future management as, for example, "human happiness" or

"life", have no direct dependence on degree of development of national economy but also by and large can go against it. By today we see that the Ministry of Economics can address problems of economic development of the country or any region and even to achieve weighty results in this field, but whether it makes people happier? The answer is not obvious. And the Ministry of Health as well cannot declare in the affirmative that quality of people's life does not depend on quality of animal environment. The proposed approach should sort out these contradictions. Performance quality of post-functional managers should be measured as a single set and affect analogue of current interdisciplinary approach. The fairness manager should be responsible for just for fairness instead of legality. Not every provision is fair, and not every fairness is lawful. The manager of life should be responsible for all life as a whole, for all bio-community and mind when it will be impossible to report about improvement of live of only one biological or reasonable being through decline others. The manager responsible for prosperity should bear responsibility just for prosperity of people instead of health of the economy. In the current system of institutions the economy has got a subjective nature and turned into independent actor and competing player for resources with people and animal life. In the liberal society economic development on a large scale results in impoverishment of citizens, consumption of resources of previous years and future generations and transformation into "debt democracy". Well-being of citizens is an appraisal criteria for any manager involved in this line of action, and the economy missed its subjectivity should change into tools. And now we are going to be talking about happiness. «Happiness of the entire world not worth a tear of a child,» - this is a philosophical basis formulated by Dostoevsky for future manager of this type. Human beings have a right to be happy and the state is obliged to assist them, but meanwhile the current world institutions make them equal in their unhappiness.