

COMPARISON OF NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCES OF ENDO-B-1,4-GLUCANASE GENES FROM Bacillus subtilis STRAINS

KIM YU-KYOUNG¹, OH HYUN-JEONG² AND KO YOUNG HWAN^{3*}

¹Division of Sustainable Agriculture Research, Jeju Agricultural Research and Extension Services, Jeju-do 697-828, Korea. ²Bio-Agr. Co. Ltd., Jeju-do 690-022, Korea. ³Department of Food Bioengineering, Jeju National University, Jeju-do 690-756, Korea. *Corresponding Author: Email- yhkbl@cheju.ac.kr

Received: March 09, 2012; Accepted: April 12, 2012

Abstract- Three *Bacillus subtilis* strains were isolated from soil, compost and animal waste slurry in Jeju Island, Korea and their endo-β-1,4glucanase genes cloned. Cellulase genes from B. subtilis SL9-9, C5-16 and S52-2 encoded proteins of 480, 470 and 499 amino acid residues, respectively. DNA sequences of the genes were compared with those of other known cellulase genes. The deduced amino acid sequences of the cellulase genes from the isolates matched quite well the modern concepts of multidomain cellulolytic enzymes. The enzymes were composed of three discrete domains: catalytic domains (CD) of glucosyl hydrolase family 5/A2 and interdomain linker and cellulosebinding domains (CBD) of family Illa. Similar to the modular organization of many Bacillus endoglucanases, the CDs of these enzymes were located in the N-terminal region and CBDs in the C-terminal region.

Keywords- Bacillus subtilis, β-1,4-glucanase gene, nucleotide sequence, catalytic domain, cellulose-binding domain

Citation: Kim Yu-Kyoung, Oh Hyun-Jeong and Ko Young Hwan (2012) Comparison of Nucleotide Sequences of Endo-B-1,4-Glucanase Genes from *Bacillus subtilis* Strains. International Journal of Biotechnology Applications, ISSN: 0975-2943 & E-ISSN: 0975-9123, Volume 4, Issue 1, pp.-130-133.

Copyright: Copyright©2012 Kim Yu-Kyoung, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

Cellulose, β-1,4-glucan, is a major constituent of plant cell walls. Enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulosic materials is important for effective utilization of biomass. The complete enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulosic materials requires different types of cellulases: endo-β-1,4-glucanases (EC 3.2.1.4, endoglucanase, carboxymethyl cellulase, endocellulase), exo-β-1,4-glucanases (E.C. 3.2.1.91, cellobiohydrolase, avicelase, exocellulase) and β-glucosidases (EC 3.2.1.21, cellobiase) [4, see review]. These three enzyme groups work synergistically to promote the hydrolysis of crystalline cellulose [24, see review]. Endo-\beta-1,4-glucanases, endo-acting enzymes that hydrolyze 1,4-β-D-glucosidic linkages in cellulose, break down cellulose chains in a random fashion, thereby converting long chains to oligosaccharides. In contrast, exo-β-1,4glucanases remove glucose or cellobiose units from the nonreducing end of the cellulose chain. Ultimately, β-glucosidases hydrolyze cellobiose to two glucose units and generally enhance cellulose degradation by relieving feedback inhibition of endo- and exo- β -1,4-glucanases by cellobiose.

Cellulolytic microbes have been investigated for many years [25]. A variety of bacterial species secrete cellulases, including strains of B. subtilis [11], B. licheniformis [2], B. stearothermophilus [9], B. amyloliquefaciens [12] and many other species [15, see review]. In addition to isolating cellulolytic microbes, reduction of enzyme production costs and enhancement of cellulase activity have become major areas of research [13].

The cellulase genes of many microorganisms have been cloned and the nucleotide sequences of endo- β -1,4-glucanase genes from several Bacillus strains have been determined [16, 18, 19, 21, 23]. The study of cellulolytic enzymes at the molecular level has revealed some of the modular features that contribute to their catalytic activity [22, see review]. Despite considerable diversity, sequence comparisons show that the catalytic cores of cellulases belong to a restricted number of families [1].

Here, we describe the properties and DNA gene sequences of endo- β -1,4-glucanases from *Bacillus subtilis* strains isolated from soil, compost and animal waste slurry in Jeju Island, Korea. The sequences of the genes were compared with those of other

International Journal of Biotechnology Applications ISSN: 0975-2943 & E-ISSN: 0975-9123, Volume 4, Issue 1, 2012

1

known cellulase genes and their modular structures were defined.

Bacterial strains and media-

Hundreds of cellulolytic bacteria were screened and isolated from soil, compost and animal waste slurry. Among the isolates, three strains, SL9-9, C5-16 and S52-2, were chosen and identified as *Bacillus subtilis* [10] and deposited in the Korean Agricultural Culture Collection (KACC, Rural Development Administration, Korea). They were maintained and subcultured in agar medium containing carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC), 10.0; yeast extract, 1.0; (NH4) 2SO4, 2.5; K2HPO4.3H2O, 0.25; NaCI, 0.1; MgSO4.7H2O, 0.125; FeSO4.7H2O, 0.0025; MnSO4.4H2O, 0.025; agar, 10 (g/L, each).

Cloning and DNA sequence analysis of β -1,4-glucanase gene-

The full-length β -1,4-glucanase genes from the three bacterial strains were cloned from the genomic DNAs of each bacterial strain by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using primers based on the DNA sequences of previously cloned Bacillus cellulase genes. The primers used were BS cel up : 5'-ATG AAA CGG TCA ATC TCT ATT TTT-3' as a forward primer and BS cel dn : 5'-ACT AAT TTG GTT CTG TTC CCC AAA-3' as a reverse primer.

Molecular sizes of the resulting PCR products were analyzed on 1.0% agarose gel to confirm the 1.2 kb β -1,4-glucanase gene. These PCR products were purified with a DNA purification kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, California, USA), after which the purified PCR products were cloned into pGEM-T vector and sequenced. The nucleotide sequences of the cellulase genes were confirmed and compared through a BLAST nucleotide search provided by the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Gene bank (U.S. National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, Maryland, USA).

Nucleotide and amino acid sequence analysis of β -1,4-glucanase gene-

The nucleotide sequences of the cloned genes were compared with those of the cellulase genes registered in the BLAST database using the Gen doc program (Table 1).

The Bacillus subtilis SL9-9 gene showed the highest identity with the *B. subtilis* strain C-36 endo- β -1,4-glucanase gene with 99% identity. The Bacillus subtilis C5-16 gene showed the highest identity with the *B. subtilis* strain AH18 cellulase gene with 99% identity. The Bacillus subtilis S52-2 gene showed the highest identity with the *B. subtilis* WRD-2 cellulase gene with 99% identity. The Bacillus subtilis SL9-9 gene showed 93% identity with the Bacillus subtilis C5-16 gene and 96% identity with the Bacillus subtilis S52-2 gene. Further, the C5-16 and S52-2 genes shared 93% identity. Based on these results, it is reasonable to conclude that all three of the cloned genes from our Bacillus strains code for cellulase protein (Table 1).

We compared the deduced amino acid sequences of the cellulases from the three *B. subtilis* isolates with those of *B. subtilis* PAP115 (GenBank accession number CAA28392) [16], *B. subtilis* DLG (GenBank accession number P07983) [23] and *B. subtilis* C-36 (GenBank accession number ABG78039) [5].

Amino acid sequences of cellulase proteins from different sources were aligned and compared using the COBALT (Constraint-based Multiple Alignment Tool) program provided by NCBI. The high degree of sequence similarity observed between the endoglucanases from the different *B. subtilis* strains revealed a close evo-

utionary relationship between the isolates (Fig. 1).
Table 1- Homology among nucleotide sequences of cellulase
genes from Bacillus strains

Bacterial isolate	Homology (%)	Source of cellulase gene	GenBank accession No.
B. subtilis SL9-9	99%	<i>B. subtilis</i> strain C-36 endo-β-1,4-qlucanase	ABG78039
	93%	B. subtilis C5-16	JQ346089
	96%	B. subtilis S52-2	JQ346091
B. subtilis C5-16	99%	<i>B. subtilis</i> strain AH18 cellulase	ABK63475
	93%	B. subtilis SL9-9	JQ346090
	93%	B. subtilis S52-2	JQ346091
B. subtilis S52-2	99%	Bacillus. sp. WRD-2 cellulase	AAX54913
	96%	B. subtilis SL9-9	JQ346090
	93%	B. subtilis C5-16	JQ346089



Fig. 1- Phylogenetic tree resulting from complete sequencing of cellulase genes.

According to the modern concept of cellulases [22, see review], most cellulolytic enzymes are comprised of modular multidomain proteins containing at least three separate structural elements with different functions, i.e., catalytic domain (CD), interdomain linker and cellulose binding domain (CBD). Currently, CDs of polysaccharases are grouped into at least 15 of the more than 80 known glycosyl hydrolase families, whereas CBDs fall into at least 13 families.

The cellulase genes identified in the nucleotide sequences of B. subtilis SL9-9, C5-16 and S52-2 encoded proteins of 480, 470 and 499 amino acid residues, respectively (Fig. 2). Computer analysis of the deduced amino acid sequences of the cellulases from our three isolates using the CDART program of NCBI revealed a modular enzyme composed of two discrete domains in the following order: CD (Q-48 through S-301) of the glucosyl hydrolase family 5/ A2 (endoglucanase, EC 3.2.1.4) and CBD (V-356 through G-437) of family IIIa. Similar to the modular organization of many Bacillus endoglucanases, the CDs of these enzymes were located in the N -terminal region and CBDs in the C-terminal region.

When the homologous sequences were suitably aligned, a total of 45 amino acid substitutions among the six Bacillus strains were identified (Fig. 2).

1 1 NKRSISIF ITC LLITLLT MOGMIASPASA AGT KTP VA KNOQLS IK GTQLV NRDOKA VQLKGISSH GLQW YGEY VNKDSLK 80
2 1 NKRSISIF ITC LLIA VL TN GG LLP SPAS ANG TK TPV AKN GQ LSI KG TQL VNR DGK AVQ LK GISS HG LQWY GDF VNK DSL K 80
3 1 MKR SISIF ITC LLIA VL TM GG LLP SPAS ARE TK TPV AK NGQ LSI KG TQ LVNR DGK AV QLK GIS SHG LQW YGD FVN KDS LK 80
4 1 MKR SISIF ITCLLIA VLTMGGLLP SPAS ARE TKTPAAK NGQLSIKG TQLVNR DGKAV QLKGIS SHGLQW YGDFVN KDSLK 80
5 1 MKR SISIF ITCLLITLET MG GMLASP ASA AGT KT PVA KNG QLS IK GT QLV NRD GKA VQLKG ISSH GLQWYG EV VNKDS LK 80
6 1 NERSISFITCLIITVLTMGGLQASQASAAGTKTPAAKNGQLSEGT <u>QLVNRDGKAVQLKGISSHGLQWYGDFVNRDSLK</u> 80
1 81 WLRDDWGITVFRA AM YT ADG GY IDNP SVK NKV KE AV EAA KELG IYV II DWHLINDG NPN ONK EK AKEFFK EMS SLV GN TP 160
2 81 WLRDDWGITVFRA AM YT ADG GY IDNP SVK NKV KE AV EAA KELG IYVIIDWH IL NDG NPN ONK EK AKE FFK EMS SLV GN TP 160
3 81 WLRDDWGITVFRA AM YT ADG GY IDNP SVK NKV KE AV EAA KELG IYVIIDWH IL NDG NPN ONK EK AKEFFK EMS SLV GN TP 160
4 SI WLRDDWOITVFRA AM YT ADG GY IDNP SVK NKV KE AV EAA KELGIYVII DWHIL NDG NPN (NK EK AKEFFK EMS SLV GN TP 160
5 81 WLRDDWGITVFRA AM YT ADG GY IDNP SVK NKV KE AV EAA KELG IYVII DWHIL NDG NPN ONK EK AKEFFK EMS SLY ON TP 160
6 81 WLRDDWGITVFRA AM YT ADG GY IDNP SVK.NKV KE AV EAA KELG IVVIIDWHLDNDG NYN OHK EK AKE FFK EMS SLY ON TP 160
1 161 NVTYEIANEENIG DVNW KRDIKP YAEEV ISVIRKINDPD NIIIVGT GTW SQD VND AA DDQLK DAN VM YALHFY AG THG OFLR 240
2 161 NVTYEIANE ENGEVNW KREIKP YAE EV ISVIRK NEDREN IIVGT GTW SQEVNE AA DEQLK DAN VM YALHFY AG THO QSLR 240
3 161 NVTYEIANEENGDVNW KRDIKP YAEEV ISVIRK NDPDNIEVGT GTW SQD VNDAA DDOLK DAN VM YALHFY AG THG OFLR 240
4 161 NVTYEIANE ENGEVNW KREIKP YAE EV ISV IRK NEDPENIEVGT GTW SQEVNE AA DEQLK DAN VM YALHFY AG THOOFLR 240
5 161 NVTYEIANE ENGEVNW KREIKP YAE EV ISVIRK NEDREN IIVGT GTW SQEVNE AA DEQLK DAN VM YALHFY AG THOOFLR 240
6 161 NVTYELANE MODVNW KRDIKP VAEEVISVISKINDPDNIEVOT OTW SQD VND AA DDOLK DAN VM VALHFY AG THG QSLR 240
1 241 DKANYALS KGAPIF VTEW GTSD ASGN GGVFL DOSR EWLKYLDSK TISW VNW NLSDKOESSS AL KPG ASK TGGWR LSD LSA 820
2 241 DRANYALS KG APIF V EEW G TSD ASGN GG VFLDQSR EWLNYLDSK NISW VNW NLSDKQESSS AL KPGA SKT GGWPLT DL TA 320
3 241 DKANYALS KG APIF V EEWG TSD ASGN GG VFLDQSR EWLNYLDSK NISW VNW NLSDKQESSS AL KPGA SKT GGWPLT DL TA 320
4 241 DKANYALS KG APIF V TEWG TSD ASGN GG VFLDQSR EWLNYLDSK NISW VNW NLSDKQESSS ALKPGA SKT GGWPLTDL TA 320
5 241 DKANYALS KG APIF VE EWG TSD ASGN GG VELDOSR EWLKYLDSK TISW VNW NLSDKOESSS AL KPG ASK TOGWR LSD LSA 820
6 241 DKANYALS KG APIF VEEWG TSD ASGN GG VFLDOSR EWLNYLDSK NISW VNW NLSDKOESSS ALKPGA SKT GGWPLT DL TA 320
1 321 SQTEVE ENILG TK DST KDIP ET PSK DKP TOENGIS VOVE AG DOS MINSINGE POLO KNIN ON TT VD LK DV TAR VWY KAKNK 400
2 321 SGTEVRENINGTIKDS TKDVP ETP AQDNPT QE KOV SVQ YKA GD GRVNS NQIRPQ LHIKNNGN AT VD LKD VT ARYWY NVKNK 400
3 321 SOTEVRENTE OF KOLT KOOPE TPAQ DNP TO EKO VSV OVK AG DORVINSN OJRPOLIHIKINNONA TVOLK DV TARYW YNA KNK 400
4 321 SGTFVRENER GT KDS TK DGP ETP AQD NPT QE KGV SVQ YKA GD GRVNS NQ IRPQ LHI KNNGN AM VD LKD VT AR YWY NAK NK 400
5 321 SGTEVR ENILG TK DST KDI PET PAKDR PT QENGIS VQY RAG DG SMNSN Q BPQ LQ IK NN GNT TVDL KDV TARYW YN AKNK 400
6 321 SOTFVE ENILONED STREEPETR AD ENG SUOTE AGE OF
1 401 GONFDEDYA QIGE ON VTH KEV TE HKP KOG AD TYLELOF KNG TE APG AST ON IQLE LINDDW SNY AQS ODYS FFKS NTF KT 480
2 401 GONFDCDYA OM GCGNLTHKF VTLHK PKO GAD TY LELG FKT GTLSP GAS TGNIOLRLHNDDWSN YAOS GD YSFFOS NT FKT 480
3 401 GONVDCDY AQMGCGNLTHKFVTLHKPKQGADTYLELGFKTGTLSPGASTGNIQLRLHNDDWSNYAQ3GDYSFFQSNTFKT 480
4 401 GONVDCDY AQMGCGNLTHKFVTLHKPK QGA DT YLELGFK TG TLSP GAS TG NIQLELHNDDWSN YA QSGD YSFF QSN TFK T 480
5 401 GONV DC DY A QLOC ON V TY KF V TLHKP KO GAD TY LELGFK N GTL AP GAST GNIQ LR LHND DW SN Y AQS G DY
9 491 <u>GONPDED YAQI GE GNUTIKK VTLIKKK QƏAD TYLELƏ</u> F KTƏTLIŞƏĞAS TƏMIQLA LINDDWISIY AQS ƏDYISFF QIN TFK T 480
1 481 TKKITLYDQGKLIWGTEPN 499
2 481 TKXHTLYHQGKLIWGT EPN 499
3 451 TKKITLVWQGKLIWGTEPN 409

.

6 481 TKKITLYNQGKLIWGTEPN 499

Fig. 2- Alignment of deduced amino acid sequences of endoglucanases from the three isolates with those from other *Bacillus subtilis* strains.

- 1- *B. subtilis* PAP115 endo-β-1,4-glucanase gene,
- 2- *B. subtilis* DLG endo-β-1,4-glucanase gene,
- 3- *B. subtilis* C-36 endo-β-1,4-glucanase gene,
- 4- B. subtilis SL9-9,
- 5- B. subtilis C5-16,
- 6- *B. subtilis* S52-2.

Region 1-29, signal peptide; Region 48-301, cellulase catalytic domain (glucosyl hydrolase family 5/A2); Region 302-355, linker region: Pagion 356 437, cellulase hinding domain (CPD family

region; Region 356-437, cellulose binding domain (CBD family IIIa); Site 131-H, 169-E and 257-E, active sites (proton donor or nucleophile).

There were 5 to 6 amino acid residue substitutions in the signal peptide region (1 through 29) and 23 amino acid residues were conserved among all of the cellulases, resulting in 79% homology. The leader region (30 through 47) consisted of 18 residues and 16 amino acid residues among them were conserved among the

cellulases, resulting in 89% homology. The CD consisted of 254 residues (48 through 301) and 248 amino acid residues were conserved among the cellulases, resulting in 97.6% homology. In the linker region (302 through 355) consisting of 54 residues, 40 amino acid residues were conserved among the cellulases, resulting in 74% homology. In the CBD (356 through 437) consisting of 83 residues, 71 amino acid residues were conserved among the cellulases, resulting in 85.5% homology. The CD showed the highest 97.6% homology while the regions of signal, leader, linker and CBD regions showed low homology.

The endo- β -1,4-glucanase gene encoded a signal peptide at the N-terminal end of the protein. Two basic residues at positions 2 and 3 (lysine and arginine) in the hydrophilic leader region were followed by a hydrophobic core of 18 amino acid residues rich in leucine and isoleucine, which is in agreement with the findings of Mezes and Lampen [17]. They found that Bacillus signal peptides are characterized by a short, hydrophilic, basic region along with a subsequent, long, hydrophobic region. The N-terminal residues of the endoglucanase enzymes from the isolates indicate that the cleavage sites for signal peptide removal were between amino acids A-29 and A-30. This is in agreement with the findings of Mackay et al. [16] and Robson and Chambliss [23] regarding the enzymes from B. subtilis PAP115 and B. subtilis DLG, respectively.

Henrisatt et al. [8] classified fungal and bacterial cellulases into six families on the basis of hydrophobic cluster analysis. Specifically, amino acid residues His-131 and Glu-169 of endoglucanase were predicted to form the active site in the CD domain. When Park et al. [20] changed these amino acids by site-directed mutagenesis, a mutation at His-131 resulted in complete abolishment of enzyme activity, whereas a mutation at Glu-169 resulted in significant loss of enzyme activity. Robson and Chambliss [23] also reported that there are two active sites in the endo- β -1,4-glucanase from B. subtilis DLG. One is located at Glu-169 as a proton donor and the other at Glu-257 as a nucleophile. We confirmed that three of the amino acid residues, H-131(histidine), E-169(glutamic acid) and E -257(glutamic acid), were conserved at the same CD sites in our Bacillus species.

Hamamoto et al. [6] suggested that synergistic function of the NH2 -terminus and COOH-terminus of the endoglucanase from C. cellulovorans is essential for hydrolysis of crystalline cellulose. The truncated endoglucanase from B. subtilis is 4-fold more active on CMC but 5-fold less active on insoluble cellulose upon Cterminal mutation [7]. In a previous study, when the CBD of cellobiohydrolase I from T. reesei was removed by protease, the activity towards Avicel was completely lost and decreased adsorption of the enzyme onto this microcrystalline cellulose was observed, whereas activity towards chromogenic oligosaccharides remained unchanged [26]. Park et al. [20] reported that the cellulose-binding ability of B. subtilis endoglucanase is independent of its catalytic activity to hydrolyze CMC, as a mutation in the CD active site does not affect its cellulose-binding ability. All of these reports strongly support that cellulases are composed of physically separate domains and that CBDs play crucial roles in the hydrolysis of crystalline cellulose by binding to the cellulose surface [14].

Usually, linkers comprise flexible disordered chains rich in proline and hydroxy amino acid residues (serine and threonine), as well as glycine and alanine [3]. Their lengths can vary from 5-6 to 100

International Journal of Biotechnology Applications ISSN: 0975-2943 & E-ISSN: 0975-9123, Volume 4, Issue 1, 2012 residues, although most often limited to a range of 20 to 50 residues. They are believed to provide flexibility and spatial separation of CDs from CBDs, which allows their autonomous function on the surfaces of insoluble substrates [22]. In this study, there were many G(glycine), S(serine), T(threonine), K(lysine) and P (proline) residues in the linker region as shown in Fig. 2.

Our comparison of the deduced amino acid sequences of cellulase genes cloned from the three isolates, B. subtilis SL9-9, C5-16 and S52-2, found that although these enzymes were not extraordinary, they matched quite well with the modern concepts of multidomain cellulolytic enzymes.

References

- [1] Beguin P. and Aubert J.P. (1994) FEMS Microbiol. 13, 25-58.
- [2] Dhillon N., Chhibber S., Saxena M., Pajni S. and Vadehra D. (1985) J. Biotechnol. Lett. 7, 695-697.
- [3] Gilkes N.R., Henrissat B., Kilburn D.G., Miller Jr R.C. and Warren R.A. (1991) *Microbiol.* 55, 303-315.
- [4] Gilvert H.J. and Hazlewood G.P. (1993) J. Gen. Microbiol. 139, 187-194.
- [5] Guan X., Wu Z., Wu Q., Xu B. and Chen H. (2009) Chin. J. Bioprocess Eng. 3, 68-72.
- [6] Hamamoto T., Foong F., Shoseyov O. and Doi R.H. (1992) Mol. Gen. Genet. 231, 472-479.
- [7] Hefford M.A., Laderoute K., Willick G.E., Yaguchi M. and Seligy V.L. (1992) Protein Eng. 5, 433-439.
- [8] Henrissat B., Claeyssens M., Tomme P., Lemesle L. and Mornon J.P. (1989) Gene. 81, 83-95.
- [9] Kim S.W., Cho S.G. and Choi Y.J. (1997) J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 7, 305-309.
- [10]Kim Y., Lee S., Cho Y., Oh H. and Ko Y.H. (2012) ISRN Microbiology, 2012, Article ID 650563, 9 pages. doi:10.5402/2012/650563.
- [11]Koide Y., Nakamura A., Uozumi T. and Beppu T. (1986) Agric. Biol. Chem. 50, 233-237.
- [12]Lee Y.J., Kim B.K., Lee B.H., Jo K. I., Lee N.K., Chung C.H., Lee Y.C. and Lee J.W. (2008) *Bioresource Technol.* 99, 378-386.
- [13]Li X., Yang H., Roy B., Wang D., Yue W., Jiang L., Park E.Y. and Miao Y. (2009) *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 8, 2418-2422.
- [14]Liu Y., Baker J.O., Zeng Y., Himmel M.E., Haas T. and Ding S. (2011) J. Biol. Chem. 286, 11195-11201.
- [15]Lynd L.R., Weimer P.J., van Zyl W.H. and Pretorius I. (2002) *Microbiol. Mol. Biol.* Rev. 66, 506-577.
- [16]Mackay R.M., Lo A., Willick G., Zuker M., Baird S., Dove M., Moranelli F. and Seligy V. (1986) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 14, 9159 -9170.
- [17]Mezes P.S. F. and Lampen J.0. (1985) The Molecular Biology of the Bacilli, vol. II, 151-183.
- [18]Nakamura A., Uozumi T. and Beppu T. (1987) Eur. J. Biochem. 164, 317-320.
- [19]Ozaki K., Sumitomo N. and Ito S. (1991) J. Gen. Microbiol. 137, 2299-2305.
- [20]Park J.S., Nakamura A., Horinouchi S. and Beppu T. (1993) Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 57, 260-264.
- [21]Park S.H., Kim H.K. and Pack M.Y. (1991) Agric. Biol. Chem. 55, 441-448.
- [22] Rabinovich M.L., Melnick M.S. and Bolobova A.V. (2002)

Biochemistry(Moscow), 67, 850-871.

- [23]Robson L.M. and Chambliss G.H. (1987) J. Bacteriol. 169, 2017-2025.
- [24]Robson L.M. and Chambliss G.H. (1989) Enzyme Microb. Technol. 11, 626-644.
- [25]Sukumaran R.K., Singhania R.R. and Pandey A. (2005) J. Sci. Ind. Res. 64, 832-834.
- [26]Tilbeurgh V.H., Tomme P., Claeyssens M., Bhikhabhai R. and Pettersson G. (1986) FEBS Lett. 204, 223-227.