

Full Length Article

Phytosociological Studies of two sacred groves in Mahe, U.T. of Puducherry, India

K. Sasikala¹, C.C. Harilal² and G. Pradeepkumar¹

¹P.G. Department of Plant Science, Mahatma Gandhi Govt. Arts College, Mahe, U.T. of Puducherry, India. ²Department of Botany, University of Calicut, Kozhikode, Kerala.

drsasikalabot@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Mahe, a part of the U.T. of Puducherry administration encompasses an area of 9 sq. km. Of the 19 sacred groves present in the region, two major groves i.e. Pandokavu and Sri Chembra Ayyappan Kavu were selected for phytosociological studies. Studies using line transect method revealed higher extent of diversity of major species in Pandokavu with 41 species followed by Chembra Kavu with 20 species. The results of the index of similarity among the two groves were noted to be 0.2622 and with that of dissimilarity were 0.7378. This indicates that the groves under study were more dissimilar with respect to their species composition. In addition to the assessment of floristic diversity, numerical strength of individual species and growth pattern, major threats operating in and around the groves were evaluated and conservation measures proposed.

Keywords: Mahe, Sacred groves, Phytosociological studies, Conservation.

INTRODUCTION

Sacred groves are an ancient means of in situ conservation of genetic diversity. They are conserved through social, cultural and environmental values since time immemorial. They play an important role in the conservation of natural resources. Due to the advent of industrialization, urbanization and changing socioeconomic scenario, the cultural norms and taboos were annihilated, leading to drastic deterioration of these natural resources. There need to be serious efforts to conserve these groves from further depletion.

Mahe, a province of the Union Territory of Puducherry is occupying a unique geographical position in the west coast of Peninsular India and is approximately 620 kms. away from its administrative headquarters at Puducherry. The region is falling between Kozhikode and Kannur districts of Kerala and is located at 11° 42' - 11° 43' North latitude and 75° 31' - 75° 33' East longitude. Mahe comprises of 3 major regions namely Mahe proper, Kallayi and Naluthara enclave. Mahe forms a part of the biodiversity rich Western Ghats, which in turn is one among the hottest of the hotspots of biodiversity. The phytodiversity of various regions in India have been studied by Hari Shankar Lal & Sanjay Singh (2012), Jeetendra Sainkhediya & Sudip Ray (2012) and Mary Suba *et al.* (2014). The documentation of floristic diversity of the region was carried out recently by Sasikala *et al.* (2009), Sasikala & Pradeepkumar (2012) and Sarishna *et al.* (2013).

In Puducherry region, studies on the biodiversity of sacred groves are quite extensive. Around 123 sacred groves have been subjected to in depth studies Kadamban (1998), Ramanujam and Kadamban (1999, 2002), Ramanujam *et al.* (2002), Ramanujam and Cyril (2003), Krishnan (2004), Devaraj *et al.* (2005), Parthasarathy *et al.* (2005) and Ramanujam *et al.* (2007). The studies on the phytodiversity of the sacred groves of Mahe are scanty as compared to Puducherry region. Jisha (2005) documented the phytodiversity of five groves of Mahe.

Sasikala *et al.* (2010-11, 2014) documented the phytodiversity, socio-economic status and conservation and management aspects of selected sacred groves of Mahe region. The present study is an attempt to document the phytodiversity and to analyse the phytosociological relationship of two major sacred groves i.e. Pandokavu and Sri Chembra Ayyappan Kavu of Mahe.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Regular field trips to the groves were carried out at seasons representing pre monsoon, monsoon and post monsoon. During field visits, plant species were identified, phytosociological studies were carried out and specimens were collected. Plant materials collected were made into herbarium specimens following standard herbarium techniques (Fosberg & Sachet, 1965) and are deposited at Herbarium of the P.G. Department of Plant Science, Mahatma Gandhi Government Arts College, Mahe, for reference. Plant specimens are identified using relevant flora (Nayar et al., 2006; Ramachandran & Nair, 1988 and Sasidharan, 2004).

For phytosociological studies of major plants, frequency, density, abundance and thereby Importance Value Index (IVI) of species were worked out using line transect method, as proposed by Curtis (1959). This index is used to determine the overall importance of each species in the community structure. For calculating this index, percentage values of relative frequency, relative density and relative abundance are summed up. The results pertaining to Pandokavu and Chembra Kavu are depicted in Table 1 and 2 respectively. For the estimation of indices of similarity and dissimilarity, the method proposed by Misra (1989) has been used as follows.

Index of similarity (S) = 2C/A+B

Where, A = Number of species in the community A B = Number of species in the community B

C = Number of common species in both the communities

Index of dissimilarity = 1-S.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Studies on the Phytodiversity and Socioeconomic status of the sacred groves of Mahe region, including the present one was worked out by Sasikala *et al.* (2010-11). Of the 19 sacred groves worked out in the region the present groves (Pandokavu and Chembra Ayyappan Kavu) were noted to be rich in species diversity. The present study has been carried out to assess the phytosociological characteristics of the two major groves (**Table 1 and 2**), together with an assessment of the indices of similarity and dissimilarity associated with the groves.

Pandokavu (Ayyappan Kavu, Pandakkal) is situated in Pandakkal, which is 4 km away from Mahe town. It covers an area of 1.2 ha and is reported to be 1200 years old. It lies between 11°75'82" North latitude and 75°53'67" East longitude. The vegetation is thick with evergreen and semievergreen species. A total of 214 species was recorded on enumeration, of which 95 herbs, 28 shrubs, 62 trees and 29 climbers are noted. The common shrubs include Antidesma montanum, ophioxyloides Chassalia var. ophioxyloides, Flueggea leucopyrus, Ixora coccinea and Melastoma malabathricum. Exotic species such as Dalbergia sissoides and Tectona grandis have been noted with the natural vegetation. Noxious weeds such as Acasia caesia, Chromolaena odorata, Mikania micrantha and Pennisetum polystachyon are found to dominate in certain areas, which are likely to be a threat to the native species. Pteridophytes include Bolbitis prolifera, Christella dentata, Pteris quadriaurita and Stenochlaena palustris. Macrofungi like Agaricus and Polyporus are also observed. Artocarpus hirsutus, Holigarna arnottiana, Hydnocarpus pentandrus, Impatiens minor, Ixora malabaricum, Jasminum malabaricum, Justicia nagpurensis, Kamettia caryophyllata and Mussaenda bellila are found to be endemic. Butea monosperma was noted only in this grove, which was indicative of the remnants of evergreen forest that must have existed in this area. The species bordering the grove include Bridelia retusa, Connarus monocarpus. Ficus heterophylla. Hydnocarpus pentandra, Kammetia caryophyllata, Morinda citrifolia, Sterculia guttata and Thunbergia fragrans. Species such as Dracaena terniflora, Trema orientalis and Vitex altissisima are found to be rare.

Chembra Ayyappan Kavu is situated in Chalakkara village and lies between 11°72'65" North latitude, 75°53'01" East longitude. The area occupied by the grove is about 1 Acre and is also reported to be about 1200 years old. A total of 87 species was reported from the grove, of which 30 are herbs, 15 shrubs, 28 trees and 14 climbers. The common shrubs include *Chassalia curviflora, Hibiscus hispidissimus, Ixora coccinia, Leea Indica,*

http://biosciencediscovery.com

Naregamia alata and Uvaria narum. The climbers include Abrus precatorius, Anamirta cocculus, Cissus repens, Cyclea peltata, Dioscorea bulbifera, Ichnocarpus frutescens, Jasminum angustifolium, Pothos scandens, Smilax zeylanica and Tinospora cordifolia. Some of the dominant trees include Acacia auriculiformis, Alstonia scholaris, Anacardium occidentale, Carallia brachiata. Caryota urens, Erythrina variegata, Ficus benghalensis, Macaranga peltata, Vateria indica and Zanthoxylum rhetsa. Artocarpus hirsutus, Cissus repens, Globba sessliflora, Holigarna arnottiana, Impatiens minor, Justicia nagpurensis, Mussaenda belila and Vateria indica are found to be endemic. Noxious weeds such as Chromolena odorata and Lantana camara were found to encroach the borders of the grove. Pteridophytes include Adiantum philippense, Bolbitis prolifera, Lygodium flexuosum, and Stenochlaena palustris. Bryophytes include Cyathodium cavernarum and Octoblepharum albidum. This grove is encircled and dominated by woody evergreen species of Vateria indica which is not found outside the grove or elsewhere in Mahe. Natural regeneration was mainly for Vateria observed indica. The regeneration status of Vateria indica is almost 100% because of the viability of seeds.

For assessing frequency, density, abundance and thereby Importance Value Index (IVI) of the species confining to the groves, line transect method has been employed. Studies using line transect method revealed higher extent of diversity of major species in Pandokavu with 41 species followed by Chembra Kavu with 20 species. In Pandokavu, IVI was higher with Caryota urens (30.43), which in turn was attributed by higher frequency (100%) density (5.3) and abundance (5.3). In Pandokavu IVI was noted to be lower (2.498) with Adenanthera pavonia, Bridelia retusa, Caesalpinia sappan, Canthium rheedei, Chrysophyllum roxburghii, Citrus medica, Ficus heterophylla, Hibiscus rosa-sinensis, Holigarna arnottiana, Ipomoea quamoclit, Mikania micrantha, Urena lobata and Uvaria narum. In Ayyappan Kavu, IVI was higher with Vateria indica (92.02), attributed by higher frequency (100%), density (6.85) and abundance (6.85). IVI was found to be lower with Olea dioica and Hyptis suaveolens (4.1907). Higher IVI with Vateria indica can be attributed to the higher rate of growth and over perpetuation of their generations through viable seeds. Similarly results of the index of similarity

among the two groves were noted to be 0.2622 and with that of dissimilarity were 0.7378. This indicates that the groves under study were more dissimilar with respect to their species composition.

Though the groves rich are in phytodiversity, they are facing anthropogenic pressures of various sorts. Changes in socioeconomic conditions and land use patterns over years threatened both the form and size of the groves. There is considerable change in the nature of vegetation and species composition in both the sacred groves, as being stated by local inhabitants. Destruction of natural resources is evident in both the groves and the reasons can be attributed to the construction of temple complexes within the sacred groves. Weakening of faith and belief on the groves, break up of joint families (Tharavadu system) into nuclear families and lack of man power to manage family sacred groves are the most important threats being faced by the sacred groves of Mahe.

Anthropogenic activities such as construction of roads or rivulets by the municipality and other developmental activities by local authorities lead to shrinkage and change in the extent of grove's vegetation. Increase in demand of land for various developmental activities has become an important aspect for the reduction in size of the grove. The situation is becoming increasingly dreadful as the population density of Mahe is drastically increasing (4659) as against the national average of 411 (2011 census). Apart from anthropogenic pressures, the sacred groves of Mahe are facing biotic pressures mainly from alien invasive species like Chromolaena odorata, Lantana camara, Mikania micrantha, Pennisetum polystachion etc. which are reported to be detrimental to the natural native flora worldwide (Cruz, et. al. (2006), Gadi (2011) and Dang et. al. (2012).

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The present study is an attempt to assess the diversity and phytosociological associations in two major sacred groves of Mahe, i.e. Pandokavu and Sri Chembra Ayyappan kavu. The results indicate that both the groves exhibit fairly good phytodiversity. However, the diversity is more in Pandokavu as compared to Ayyappan kavu. In Pandokavu, IVI was higher with *Caryota urens* (30.43) and in Ayyappan Kavu, it was higher with *Vateria indica* (92.02).

http://biosciencediscovery.com

Table 1 - Results of the Importance Value Index of Pandokavu, Mahe

| Sr. No. | Name of species | Frequency | Relative frequency | Density | Relative Density | Abundance | Relative Abundance | IVI |
|------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------|---------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------|
| 1 | Mimusops elengi | 20 | 1.379 | 0.2 | 0.584 | 1 | 1.516 | 3.480 |
| 2 | Acacia caesia | 50 | 3.448 | 0.7 | 2.046 | 1.4 | 2.122 | 7.617 |
| 3 | Sarcostigma kleinii | 70 | 4.827 | 0.8 | 2.339 | 1.142 | 1.732 | 8.899 |
| 4 | Chassalia curviflora | | | | | | | |
| | var. ophioxyloides | 90 | 6.206 | 4.2 | 12.280 | 4.666 | 7.075 | 25.563 |
| 5 | Antidesma montanum | 80 | 5.517 | 3.3 | 9.649 | 4.125 | 6.254 | 21.420 |
| 6 | Adenanthera pavonia | 10 | 0.689 | 0.1 | 0.292 | 1 | 1.516 | 2.498 |
| 7 | Sterculia guttata | 20 | 1.379 | 0.2 | 0.584 | 1 | 1.516 | 3.480 |
| 8 | Caesalpinia sappan | 10 | 0.689 | 0.1 | 0.292 | 1 | 1.516 | 2.498 |
| 9 | Pothos scandens | 60 | 4.137 | 0.8 | 2.339 | 1.333 | 2.021 | 8.498 |
| 10 | Canthium rheedei | 10 | 0.689 | 0.1 | 0.292 | 1 | 1.516 | 2.498 |
| 11 | Smilax zeylanica | 80 | 5.517 | 1 | 2.923 | 1.25 | 1.895 | 10.33 |
| 12 | Jasminium | | | | | | | |
| | multiflorum | 30 | 2.068 | 0.4 | 1.169 | 1.333 | 2.021 | 5.260 |
| 13 | Caryota urens | 100 | 6.896 | 5.3 | 15.497 | 5.3 | 8.036 | 30.429 |
| 14 | Leea indica | 100 | 6.896 | 4.2 | 12.280 | 4.2 | 6.368 | 25.545 |
| 15 | Stenochlaena palustris | 100 | 6.896 | 5.2 | 15.204 | 5.2 | 7.884 | 29.98 |
| 16 | Raphidophora pertusa | 70 | 4.827 | 0.7 | 2.046 | 1 | 1.516 | 8.390 |
| 17 | Cissus repens | 50 | 3.448 | 0.5 | 1.461 | 1 | 1.516 | 6.426 |
| 18 | Ipomoea quamoclit | 10 | 0.689 | 0.1 | 0.292 | 1 | 1.516 | 2.498 |
| 19 | Artrocarpus hirisutus | 10 | 0.689 | 0.2 | 0.584 | 2 | 3.032 | 4.306 |
| 20 | Diploclisia glaucescens | 40 | 2.758 | 0.5 | 1.461 | 1.25 | 1.895 | 6.115 |
| 21 | Connarus monocarpus | 30 | 2.068 | 0.5 | 1.461 | 1.666 | 2.527 | 6.058 |
| 22 | Hibiscus rosa-sinensis | 10 | 0.689 | 0.1 | 0.292 | 1 | 1.516 | 2.498 |
| 23 | Maranta arundinacea | 40 | 2.758 | 1.1 | 3.216 | 2.75 | 4.169 | 10.144 |
| 24 | Ficus hispida | 30 | 2.068 | 0.3 | 0.877 | 1 | 1.516 | 4.462 |
| 25 | Microcos paniculata | 20 | 1.379 | 0.3 | 0.877 | 1.5 | 2.274 | 4.530 |
| 26 | Mikania micrantha | 10 | 0.689 | 0.1 | 0.292 | 1 | 1.516 | 2.498 |
| 27 | Bridelia retusa | 10 | 0.689 | 0.1 | 0.292 | 1 | 1.516 | 2.498 |
| 28 | Macaranga peltata | 20 | 1.379 | 0.2 | 0.584 | 1 | 1.516 | 3.480 |
| 29 | Holigarna arnottiana | 10 | 0.689 | 0.1 | 0.292 | 1 | 1.516 | 2.498 |
| 30 | Mallotus philippensis | 30 | 2.068 | 0.4 | 1.169 | 1.333 | 2.021 | 5.260 |
| 31 | Uvaria narum | 10 | 0.689 | 0.1 | 0.292 | 1 | 1.516 | 2.498 |
| 32 | Mussanda bellila | 20 | 1.379 | 0.2 | 0.584 | 1 | 1.516 | 3.480 |
| 33 | Chrysophyllum | | | | | | | |
| | roxburghii | 10 | 0.689 | 0.1 | 0.292 | 1 | 1.516 | 2.498 |
| 34 | Morinda citrifolia | 40 | 2.758 | 0.4 | 1.169 | 1 | 1.516 | 5.444 |
| 35 | Zizyphus oenoplia | 50 | 3.448 | 0.5 | 1.461 | 1 | 1.516 | 6.426 |
| 36 | Cryptocoryne spiralis | 30 | 2.068 | 0.3 | 0.877 | 1 | 1.516 | 4.462 |
| 37 | Citrus medica | 10 | 0.689 | 0.1 | 0.292 | 1 | 1.516 | 2.498 |
| 38 | Triumfetta | | | | | | | |
| | rhomboidea | 20 | 1.379 | 0.2 | 0.584 | 1 | 1.516 | 3.480 |
| 39 | Melastoma | | | | | | | |
| | malabathricum | 20 | 1.379 | 0.3 | 0.877 | 1.5 | 2.274 | 4.530 |
| 40 | Ficus heterophylla | 10 | 0.689 | 0.1 | 0.292 | 1 | 1.516 | 2.498 |
| 41 | Urena lobata | 10 | 0.689 | 0.1 | 0.292 | 1 | 1.516 | 2.498 |

| SI. | Name of species | Frequency | Relative | Density | Relative | Abundance | Relative | IVI |
|-----|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| No | | | frequency | | density | | abundance | |
| 1 | Caryota urens | 30 | 6.896 | 0.35 | 2.592 | 1.16 | 3.116 | 12.6 |
| 2 | Vateria indica | 100 | 22.988 | 6.85 | 50.740 | 6.85 | 18.296 | 92.02 |
| 3 | Olea dioica | 5 | 1.149 | 0.05 | 0.370 | 1 | 2.670 | 4.19 |
| 4 | Antidesma montanum | 80 | 18.390 | 1.9 | 14.074 | 2.37 | 6.343 | 38.80 |
| 5 | Mimusops elengi | 5 | 1.149 | 0.05 | 0.370 | 1 | 2.670 | 4.19 |
| 6 | Chassalia curviflora | | | | | | | |
| | var. ophioxyloides | 35 | 8.045 | 0.45 | 3.333 | 1.28 | 3.434 | 14.81 |
| 7 | Holigarna arnottiana | 15 | 3.448 | 0.2 | 1.481 | 1.33 | 3.561 | 8.49 |
| 8 | Microcos paniculata | 10 | 2.298 | 0.1 | 0.740 | 1 | 2.670 | 5.71 |
| 9 | Anamirta cocculus | 15 | 3.448 | 0.15 | 1.111 | 1 | 2.670 | 7.23 |
| 10 | Mallotus philippensis | 10 | 2.298 | 0.1 | 0.740 | 1 | 2.670 | 5.71 |
| 11 | Acacia auriculiformis | 15 | 3.448 | 0.2 | 1.481 | 1.33 | 3.561 | 8.49 |
| 12 | Leea indica | 15 | 3.448 | 0.4 | 2.962 | 2.66 | 7.122 | 13.53 |
| 13 | Adenanthera pavonia | 10 | 2.298 | 0.1 | 0.740 | 1 | 2.670 | 5.71 |
| 14 | Areca catechu | 5 | 1.149 | 0.1 | 0.740 | 2 | 5.341 | 7.23 |
| 15 | Carallia brachiata | 25 | 5.747 | 0.25 | 1.851 | 1 | 2.670 | 10.26 |
| 16 | Mangifera indica | 5 | 1.149 | 0.05 | 0.370 | 1 | 2.670 | 4.19 |
| 17 | Mussaenda bellila | 10 | 2.298 | 0.1 | 0.740 | 1 | 2.670 | 5.71 |
| 18 | Chromolena odorata | 35 | 8.045977 | 1.9 | 14.07407 | 5.42 | 14.49966 | 36.61 |
| 19 | Hyptis suaveolens | 5 | 1.149425 | 0.05 | 0.37037 | 1 | 2.670991 | 4.19 |
| 20 | Anacardium occidentale | 5 | 1.149425 | 0.15 | 1.111111 | 3 | 8.012972 | 10.27 |

This is mainly due to the fact that the latter exhibit the dominance of a single species i.e. *Vateria indica*. Endemic species such as *Hydnocarpus pentandrus, Ixora malabaricum, Jasminum malabaricum and Kamettia caryophyllata* are found only in Pandokavu where as *Globba sessliflora* and *Vateria indica* are restricted to Sri Chembra Ayyappan Kavu. Results of the index of similarity among the two groves were noted to be 0.2622 and with that of dissimilarity were 0.7378, which indicates that the groves under study were more dissimilar with respect to their species composition.

These groves are abode of various floristic elements and necessary efforts need to be taken to protect the sacred groves to prevent the loss of biodiversity. In addition regular monitoring is required to evaluate the loss of diversity. The protection of the groves and conservation of their valuable biodiversity and cultural diversity can be achieved through people's participation only. The stake holders of the groves may be provided substantial incentives for the same.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors express their sincere gratitude to the Department of Science, Technology and Environment, Puducherry for financial assistance. Thanks are also due to the Principal, Mahatma Gandhi Govt. Arts College, Head, P.G. Dept. of Plant science, MGGAC, for providing necessary facilities and support. The support extended by the colleagues is also greatly acknowledged.

REFERENCES

Anupama C, 2009. Ecological studies on select sacred groves of Malabar. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Calicut, Kerala (unpublished).

Cruz ZT, Muniappan R and Reddy GVP, 2006. Establishment of *Cecidochares connexa* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Guam and its effect on the growth of *Chromolaena odorata* (Asteraceae). *Annals of Entomological Society of America* **99**: 845 - 850.

Curtis JT, 1959. The Vegetation of Wisconsin. University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, WI. 657 pp.

Dang Thanh Tan, Pham Quang Thu and Bernard Dell 2012. Invasive plant species in the National Parks of Vietnam, Forests. **3**(4): 997 - 1016.

Devaraj P, Ramanaujam MP and Ganesan T, 2005. Status Report of Sacred Groves of Pondicherry Region and Strategies for Conservation. In: Kunhikannan, C. & Singh, B.G. (eds.), *Proc. Natl. Workshop Strat. Conserv. Sacred Groves*. Institute of Forest Genetics and Tree Breeding, Coimbatore. pp. 16 - 21.

Fosberg FR and Sachet H, 1965. Manual of Tropical Herbaria. *Regnum Veg*. Vol. 39. The Netherlands.

Gadi VP, Reddy, 2011. Survey of invasive plants on Guam and identification of the 20 most Widespread. *Micronesica* **41**(2): 263 - 274.

Hari Shankar Lal & Sanjay Singh 2012. Study of plant diversity of Hazaribag district, Jharkhand, India and its medicinal uses. *BioScience Discovery* **3**(1): 91 - 96.

Induchoodan NC, 1988. *Ecological studies of the Sacred groves - Iringole near Perumbavoor*. MSc. Thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur (Unpublished).

Induchoodan NC, 1998. *Ecological studies on the sacred groves of Kerala*. Ph. D. thesis, Pondicherry Univ., Pondicherry (unpublished) 156 pp.

Jeetendra Sainkhediya and Sudip Ray, 2012. Preliminary study of flowering plant diversity of Nimar region. *Bioscience Discovery*, **3**(1): 70 - 72.

Jisha VC, 2005. *Sacred groves of Mahe*. M.Phil. Thesis. Pondicherry University. (unpublished)

Kadamban D, 1998. Biocultural perspectives and Plant diversity of Sacred groves and traditional medical knowledge in Pondicherry environs. Ph.D. Thesis. Pondicherry University, Pondicherry.

Krishnan V, 2004. *Plant Diversity and Biocultural perspectives of sacred groves in Pondicherry and its environs*. Ph.D. Thesis, Pondicherry Univ., Puducherry.

Mary Suba S, Ayun Vinuba A & Kingston C, 2014. Vascular plant diversity and tribal gardens of Kanyakumari Wild Life Sanctuary, Southern Western Ghats. *BioScience Discovery*, **5**(1): 99 - 111.

Misra KC, 1989. Manual of Plant Ecology. 3rd (ed). Oxford & IBH publishing Co., Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. 193p.

Nayar TS, Rasiya Beegam A, Mohanan N, Rajkumar G, 2006. Flowering Plants of Kerala - A Handbook. Kerala.

Parthasarathy N, Venkateswaran R, Sridhar Reddy and Mani S, 2005. Role of sacred groves in Biodiversity conservation of Tropical dry evergreen forests. In: Kunhikannan, C. & Singh, B.G. (eds.), *Proc. Natl. Workshop Strat. Conserv. Sacred Groves*. Institute of Forest Genetics and Tree Breeding, Coimbatore. pp. 40 -46.

Ramachandran VS & Nair VJ, 1988. Flora of Cannanore. BSI, Calcutta.

Ramanujam MP & Cyril KPK, 2003. Woody species diversity of four sacred groves in the Pondicherry region of South India. *Biodiversity and Conservation* **12**: 289 - 299.

Ramanujam MP & Kadamban D, 1999. Rare, endangered and threatened plants occurring in the sacred groves of Pondicherry region. In: National symposium on emerging trends in Plant Sciences. Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupathi. March 15 - 17. p. 43.

Ramanujam MP & Kadamban D, Kumaravelu G and Praveenkumar K, 2002. Sacred groves - an overview. In: *Ethnobotany*, P.C. Trivedi (ed.) Jaipur, India. pp. 13 - 53.

Ramanujam MP, Ganesan T, Kadamban D, Kumaravelu G & Devaraj P, 2007. Flora of Sacred groves of Puducherry (A pictorial guide). Dept. of forest & wild life, Puducherry.

Sarishna K, Sasikala K & Pradeepkumar G, 2013. Arborescent flora of Mahe, U.T. of Puducherry, India. *Ind. J. Forestry* **36**(3): 419 – 430.

Sasidharan N, 2004. Biodiversity Documentation for Kerala. Part 6: Flowering Plants. KFRI, Peechi, Kerala, India.

Sasikala K, PradeepKumar G, Arisdason W, Girishkumar E and Ravindran CP, 2009. A Preliminary Analysis of the Flora of Mahe, Union Territory of Puducherry. *Eco-chronicle.* **4**(4): 219 - 228.

Sasikala K, Pradeepkumar G, Harilal CC and Ravindran CP, 2010-2011. Ecological and socio-economic studies of the sacred groves in Mahe with special reference to the conservation and management. Project Report submitted to DSTE, Govt. of India, Puducherry.

Sasikala K & Pradeepkumar G, 2012. Medicinal Plants of Mahe - A Preliminary Assessment. *Ecology, Environment and Conservation*. **18**(3): 607 - 612.

Sasikala K, Pradeepkumar G, Harilal CC and Ravindran CP, 2014. Conservation and management aspects of the sacred groves in Mahe, U.T. of Puducherry. In: Sashi, V. & Poornima, S. (eds.), *Bioresources Conservation Strategies*. Pp 81 - 85, narosa Publishing House, New Delhi.

How to Cite this Article:

K. Sasikala, CC Harilal and G Pradeepkumar, 2014. Phytosociological Studies of two sacred groves in Mahe,

U.T. of Puducherry, India. Biosci. Disc., 5(2):154-159.