

SIMPLE WEAKLY STANDARD RINGS

C. JAYA SUBBA REDDY¹ & K. CHENNAKESAVULU²

¹Department of Mathematics, S.V. University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India

²Department of Mathematics, Intel Engineering College, Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

In this paper we prove that a simple weakly standard ring is either $(-1, 1)$ ring or a commutative ring.

KEYWORDS: Weakly Standard Ring, Simple Ring, $(-1,1)$ Ring, Commutative Ring

INTRODUCTION

Paul [1] proved that a $(-1, 1)$ ring with $((x, y), z, w) = 0$ satisfies the identity $((x, y, z), w) = 0$ and then associative if it is semi prime. The identity $((x, y, z), w) = 0$ holds in accessible rings under the assumption that the rings are without nilpotent elements in the center. Using this property it is proved that a simple accessible ring is either associative or commutative. Without this assumption we prove the identity $((x, y, y), w) = 0$ hold in simple weakly standard rings. Using this identity in this paper, we prove that a simple weakly standard ring is either $(-1,1)$ ring or commutative.

PRELIMINARIES

A weakly standard ring R is a non associative ring satisfying the identities,

$$(x, y, x) = 0$$

$$((w, x), y, z) = 0$$

$$(w, (x, y), z) = 0$$

for all w, x, y, z , in R . We define a ring R is commutative if $xy = yx$. A $(-1, 1)$ ring is non associative ring in which the following identities hold:

$$(x, y, z) + (x, z, y) = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\text{and } (x, y, z) + (y, z, x) + (z, x, y) = 0. \tag{2}$$

In this paper R represents a weakly standard ring. R is simple if whenever A is an ideal of R then either $A = R$ or $A = 0$. The nucleus N of R is defined as the set of all elements n in R with the property $(n, R, R) = 0$. i.e, $N = \{ n \in R / (n, R, R) = 0 \}$. The center Z of R is defined as the set of all elements z in N which have the additional property that

$$(z, R) = 0.$$

$$\text{i.e, } Z = \{ z \in N / (z, R) = 0 \}.$$

In an arbitrary ring the identities

$$(wx, y, z) - (w, xy, z) + (w, x, yz) = w(x, y, z) + (w, x, y)z \quad (3)$$

$$\text{and } (xy, z) = x(y, z) + (x, z)y + (x, y, z) + (z, x, y) - (x, z, y) \quad (4)$$

hold. We know that if R is simple and $R^2 \neq 0$, then R is prime. From (2) we have

$$(x, y, z) + (y, z, x) + (z, x, y) = 0. \text{ Hence (2) is satisfied in } R. \text{ It remains to show that } R \text{ is right alternative.}$$

Now from (4) the identity

$$(xy, z) = x(y, z) + (x, z)y \quad (5)$$

holds in every simple weakly standard ring.

We now proceed to develop further identities that hold in arbitrary weakly standard rings. The elements u, v, w, x, y, z will denote arbitrary elements of such rings. Through repeated use of (5), we break up

$((w, x, y), z)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} ((w, x, y), z) &= (wx, y - w, xy, z) \\ &= wx \cdot (y, z) + w(x, z) \cdot y + (w, z) \cdot x \cdot y - (w, z) \cdot xy - w \cdot x(y, z) - w \cdot (x, z) \cdot y. \\ &= (w, x, (y, z)) + (w, (x, z), y) + ((w, z), x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Since every commutator is in the nucleus of R , we obtain

$$((w, x, y), z) = 0. \quad (6)$$

Hence every associator commutes with every element of R . Because of (3) and the fact that every commutator is in the nucleus, we get $(v, x)(x, y, z) = ((v, x)x, y, z)$.

It follows from (5) that $(v, x)x = (vx, x)$. Consequently $((v, x)x, y, z) = ((vx, x), y, z) = 0$.

$$\text{Thus } (v, x)(x, y, z) = 0. \quad (7)$$

MAIN RESULTS

Lemma 1: *In a simple weakly standard ring R , $((x, y, y)v, w) = 0$.*

Proof: Linearization of (7) becomes $(v, w)(x, y, z) = -(v, x)(w, y, z)$ (8)

By using the flexible law $(y, x, z) = -(z, x, y)$, we obtain $(v, w)(x, y, y) = -(v, w)(y, y, x) = (v, y)(w, y, x) = (v, y)[-(y, x, w) - (x, w, y)] = -(v, y)(y, x, w) - (v, y)(x, w, y) = -(v, y)(y, x, w) + (v, y)(y, w, x) = 0$.

$$\text{i.e., } (v, w)(x, y, y) = 0. \quad (9)$$

Now from (5), (9), (6) we get $((x, y, y)v, w) = (x, y, y)(v, w) + ((x, y, y), w)v = 0$.

Lemma 2: *Let R be a simple weakly standard ring, then $V = \{v \in R / (v, R = 0 = (vR, R))\}$ is an ideal of R .*

Proof: If we put $w = v$ in (6) then $((v, x, y), z) = 0$. From this it follows that $(vx, y, z) - (v, xy, z) = 0$. Then $(vx, y, z) = 0$, by the definition of V . Thus $vx \in V$. So V is a right ideal of R . Since $(v, R) = 0$, $(v, x) = 0$, i.e., $vx = xv \in V$. So V is a left ideal of R . Hence V is an ideal of R .

Theorem 1: *If R is a simple weakly standard ring, then R is either a $(-1, 1)$ ring or a commutative ring.*

Proof: From (6) and lemma 1, (x, y, y) is in V . Since V is an ideal of R and R is simple, we have either $V = 0$ or $V = R$. If $V = 0$, then R is right alternative, i.e, $(x, y, y) = 0$. By linearization of this yields $(x, y, z) + (x, z, y) = 0$. So (1) is satisfied in R . Since we have already prove that (2) is satisfied in R , now it follows that R is a $(-1, 1)$ ring. If $V = R$, then R is a commutative ring.

REFERENCES

1. Paul, Y. "A note on $(-1, 1)$ rings", *Jnanabha*, 11 (1981), 107-109.

