EFFECTS OF TEACHERS AND SCHOOLS ON MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT

Noor Azina Ismail

University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia Email: nazina@um.edu.my

Abstract

The purpose of this study is to investigate the effect of factors related to schools and teachers on mathematics achievement of Malaysian students in the Trend International Mathematics and Science Study conducted in 2003. A total of 150 schools were involved in the study and these schools were selected at random using simple random sampling from all secondary schools in Malaysia. This study employed a uni-variate analysis such as t-test or ANOVA to investigate the differences in mathematics achievement among groups. It was found that students taught by female teachers, participating in developing mathematics contents and mathematics curriculum, having few or no limitation on instruction, spent less time in reviewing homework and more on working problem on their own, students coming from economically less disadvantaged homes, good perception on the school climate and good class attendance had significantly higher mathematics achievement. Keywords: academic performance, mathematics achievement, schools and teachers.

Introduction

In 1966, a group of researchers had written a report known as The Coleman Report (Coleman et al, 1966) which implies that only students' background proved to be a significant predictor of achievement in school and other factors such as school inputs, teachers and factors that were under control of educators do not matter. The controversy over findings and implications of Coleman Report generated many other studies which were generally aimed to prove that schools and teachers matter (Hanushek, 1997; Angrist & Lavy, 2001; Jepsen & Rivkin, 2001; Jacob & Lefgren, 2002; Rivers & Sanders, 2002; and Rivkin, Hanushek & Kain, 2002). These researchers found that teachers' experience and qualification, and school expenditure contribute to student performance.

In Malaysia, educational system is highly centralized whereby formulating policy guidelines, translating policies into plans, project and activities, developing curriculum syllabi, coordinating national examination and teacher placement are carried out at the national level, under the Ministry of Education (MOE), Malaysia. Despite the full control of MOE on curriculum and school budget, teachers in Malaysia are responsible for assessing and evaluating student's achievement and each school is free to carry out activities to improve students' academic performance. Hence, it is natural to expect that differences among schools and teachers characteristics have effect on student academic performance.

We are interested in investigating factors that are related to mathematics achievement in the Trend International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), conducted in 2003 by the International Association for Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA). The average mathematics score for Malaysia in this study was 508 in 2003. This score was above the international average of

467 and Malaysia was placed 10th among the 45 countries involved in the study. The performance of Malaysian students in 2003 improved from the study carried out by IEA in 1999 where Malaysia was at the 16th place.

TIMSS collected information about the demographic characteristics of the teachers and teachers' educational background and preparation, including opportunities for professional development and instructional practices. Factors related to teachers investigated in this study are background characteristics of teachers, preparation for teaching mathematics and classroom characteristics and instruction. Background characteristics of teachers include gender, age, type of teaching certification and number of years of teaching experience.

Meanwhile, preparation for teaching mathematics includes teachers' highest level of education, major area of study, and professional development in mathematics while teaching in school. Furthermore, classroom characteristics and instructions are represented by class size, limitation on instruction, instructional strategies, calculator use, roles of homework and assessment. Factors related to schools are the economic status of the students, the extent of school resources, the school climate, attendance problems and school safety.

Hence, it is the objective of this study to investigate the effect of these factors (factors that are related to teachers and schools) on mathematics achievement of the eighth grade students in Malaysia using data from TIMSS 2003. Although this study is exploratory, these results will provide other educators, school administrators, teacher educators and policy makers with important insights of what is going on in the eighth grade mathematics classroom in Malaysia.

Methodology of Research

This paper analyzes data from TIMSS conducted in 2003 by the IEA which was carried out in more than 40 countries around the world. The data were obtained from the responses of teachers and principals of the schools. The study involved eighth grade students at 150 schools in Malaysia. The school samples were selected using a simple random sampling from all the secondary schools in Malaysia. For each school, a single classroom of eighth grade students was selected at random. Mathematics teacher for this class was asked to complete the teacher's questionnaire and the principal of the school was asked to answer questionnaire related to the school.

TIMSS administered a two-part questionnaire for the teachers. In the first part, the teachers were asked to provide information about their background and training and their instructional practices. In the second, the teachers gave information about classroom instruction. The school questionnaire consisted of information about the school contexts for learning and instruction. The information included the economic status of the students, the extent of school resources, the school climate, attendance problems and school safety. Full descriptions of variables and the summarized results can be found in the International Mathematics Reports (Mullis, Martin, Gonzalez & Chrostowski, 2004).

Students' performance in this study is represented by the average score in mathematics of students in a class and the average score for each student is the average of five plausible values generated by TIMSS. Data were presented in percentages to investigate their distribution. In addition, a t-test was used to investigate differences in mean scores among two groups in each variable. For more than two groups, ANOVA was used.

Results of Research

Background Characteristics of Teachers

Table 1 shows the distribution of background characteristics of teachers with mean scores in mathematics and the p-value to investigate the differences in mean scores in different groups. From the table, more than 70 per cent of the classes were taught by female teachers. In fact, this is higher than the international average of 58 per cent. Other countries where more classes were taught by females are Armenia, Bulgaria, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Moldova, the Russian Federation and Slovenia. Furthermore, the table also indicates that the mean score of classes taught by females

PROBLEMS
OF EDUCATION
IN THE 21st CENTURY
Volume 6, 2008

is significantly higher than those taught by male teachers.

About 45 per cent of the teachers were in their thirties and only a quarter was below 30 years old and about a third was 40 and above, indicating that there was a slow replenishing of the teaching force. However, there were no significant differences in mean scores of students who were taught by different age group of teachers.

In Malaysia, more than 90 per cent of the teachers were certified. Out of these teachers, 84 per cent of them had a full certificate. It was also found that the differences in mean scores of students taught by fully certified teachers and those who were taught by teachers with other certification were not significant.

More than 60 per cent of Malaysian students were taught by experience teacher, that is, teacher with more than five years of teaching experience. However, it was found that teaching experience does not affect mathematics scores for Malaysian eighth grade students (Table 1).

Table 1. Background Characteristics of Teachers.

Variable	Category	Percentage	Mean	p-value
Gender	Female Male	72.5 27.5	516.8760 484.6620	0.001
Age	29 And Below 30 - 39 40 And Above	25.5 45.0 29.5	518.4928 502.4800 507.3832	0.372
Type of Teaching Certificate	Full Certificate Other	84.3 15.7	505.1513 520.0441	0.257
Number of years of teaching	1 - 5 years 6 and above	35.6 64.4	514.1267 504.6357	0.323

Preparation for Teaching Mathematics

This section presents teacher's reports on their preparation to teach mathematics. The information includes highest educational level of mathematics teachers, preparation to teach mathematics and their participation in professional development in mathematics. Then, an investigation on how these factors associated to mathematics achievement is carried out. Table 2 shows that almost two-third of students were taught by teachers having at least a university degree or equivalent (ISCED 5A, 5B and above). Notwithstanding, the study found that education level of mathematics teacher does not affect mathematics achievement. In addition, the average mathematics score is higher for students who were taught by teachers without university education than those taught by teachers with at least a university degree. There was also no significant difference in mathematics achievement among students who were taught by teachers majoring in mathematics or mathematics education in their postsecondary education and those who were taught by teachers not majoring in either one of these areas. Table 2 also shows that less than half of the teachers studied mathematics or mathematics education.

TIMSS also presented opportunities provided to teachers in five major areas: improving content knowledge, improving teaching skills, supporting implementation of the official curriculum, using technology in mathematics teaching and participating in developing mathematics assessment. Around two-third of the teachers were involved in improving content knowledge, improving teaching skills and supporting implementation of mathematics curriculum. The percentages of those involved in using technology and participating in developing mathematics assessment are 48 per cent and 28 per cent respectively. The use of computers in school is still at an initial stage in Malaysia since there was lack of computers available in schools and the number of teachers trained in this area was not enough. Only 5 per cent of the classes had computer available in mathematics lesson.

Being taught by teachers who were involved in developing their expertise in mathematics contents and curriculum did significantly increase the mean achievement of students in mathematics. However, there were no significant differences in mean scores among students who were taught by

Table 2. Preparation of Teaching Mathematics and Professional Development.

Variable	Category	Percentage	Mean	p-value
	At most ICSED4B	36.5	498.6347	0.209
Highest education level of mathematics teacher	ISCED 5A,5B and above	63.5	512.1432	
Preparation to Teach Mathematics				
Mathematics is the teachers' major area of study	Yes No	45.6 54.4	508.038 507.989	0.996
Mathematics Education is the teachers' major area of study	Yes No	48.3 51.7	500.844 514.714	0.131
Teachers' participation in Professional Developme	nt in Mathematics			
Math Content	Yes No	67.1 32.9	515.902 491.910	0.013
Math Pedagogy	Yes No	63.1 36.9	513.849 498.036	0.096
Math Curriculum	Yes	64.9 35.1	517.005 491.941	0.009
IT into Math	Yes No	48.0 52.0	510.601 505.783	0.604
Math Assessment	Yes	28.2	514.097	0.408
	No	71.8	505.623	

Classroom Characteristics and Instruction

This section provides information about class size, limitations on instruction, instructional strategies and roles of homework and assessment. Teachers and the instructional approaches they use determine the mathematics students learn and may affect students' performance in mathematics.

On average, the class size in Malaysian secondary school is 36 with standard deviation of 5.4 and a minimum of 19 students and a maximum of 49 students in a class. This average is quite big considering the average class size across countries was 30 students. Hence a small class size is defined as a class size of 30 and below, otherwise, it is considered as a large class size. It was found that there was no significant difference in average score in mathematics between small and large class size in Malaysia (Table 3). The effect of class size may be more prevalent among low-achieving students, elementary school students and students from low socio-economic background. In Malaysia, almost 55 per cent of the classes were reported as having a little or less challenging and diverse students in the class or high index of teacher's reports on teaching mathematics classes with few or no limitation on instruction due to student factors (MCFL). The index is based on teachers' responses to six statements about student factors limiting mathematics instruction: students with different academic abilities, students who come from a wide range of backgrounds, students with special needs, uninterested students, low morale among students and disruptive students. The results also show that the average mathematics achievement is related to the impact of student characteristics on classroom instruction, with higher achievement related to having high index of MCFL, which implies having less instructional challenging and diverse students in the class. Then low index constitutes around 8 per cent of the classroom and this is quite low as compared to an international average of 20 per cent in this category.

Table 3 also shows the use of textbook and activities most commonly encountered in mathematics class. More than 70 per cent of teachers reported using a textbook as the primary basis of their lessons. This is expected since the curriculum and textbook are determined by the MOE, Malaysia and teachers are required to complete the syllabus for that particular school term. The use of text-

book, however, does not affect mathematics performance of Malaysian students since all teachers using the same textbooks.

The instructional strategies in mathematics classes were also shown in Table 3. These strategies are reviewing homework, listening to lecture style presentation, working problems with teachers' guidance, working problems on their own without teachers' guidance, listening to teacher re-teach and clarify content or procedures, taking tests or quizzes, participating in classroom management tasks not related to the lesson's content or purpose and any other student activities. The three most predominant activities were listening to teacher-style presentation which, on average, took up around 21 per cent of the time, work problem with teacher's guidance around 21 per cent and student working problem on their own without teacher's guidance around 16 per cent. The percentage time spent for each instructional strategy is divided into two categories: average percentage time and below, and above average percentage time. Having done that, it was found that only two strategies are significant. These strategies are reviewing homework and working problems own their own. A class that spend more than the average percentage time in reviewing homework had a significantly lower achievement as compared to a class that spent less than the average percentage time. On the other hand, the higher percentage time spent on working problem on own is related to higher achievement in mathematics.

Computer use in mathematics class was not popular among Malaysian students. Only 5.4 per cent of the students used computer. As mentioned earlier, this could be due to lack of availability of computers in most schools. On the other hand, more than half of the schools permitted the use of calculators in mathematics class. The use of calculator, however, does not significantly related to higher achievement in mathematics.

The amount of time students spend on homework assignments could affect mathematics achievement. Around 62 per cent of the students were in the high category of index of Teachers' Emphasis on Mathematics Homework (EMH). However, the relationship between teachers assigning more homework and student having higher mathematics achievement was not significant.

In Malaysia, most teachers monitor whether or not the homework was complete and correct assignment and then give feedback to students but unlikely to have students correct their own homework in class, use homework as a basis of class discussion and use homework to contribute towards students' grade or marks. Furthermore, more than 55 per cent gave mathematics test or examination on regular basis. However, none of these homework strategies had significant effect on mathematics achievement.

Table 3. Class Size, Limitation on Instruction, Instructional Strategies and Roles of Homework and Assessment.

Variable	Category	Percentage	Mean	p-value
Class size	Small (30 and below)	12.1	521.5512	0.275
	Large (31 and above)	87.9	506.1513	
Index Of Teacher's Reports On Teaching Math-	High	54.4	529.6016	0.000
ematics Classes With Few Or No Limitation On	Medium	37.6	485.5834	
Instruction Due To Student Factors (MCFL)	Low	8.1	466.9453	
Instructional Strategies				
Use of Textbook	As The Primary Basis For My Lessons	70.1	508.157	0.925
	As a Supplementary Resource	29.9	506.710	
Reviewing Homework	12.89 and below	58.4	517.3823	0.015
	Above 12.89	41.6	494.8626	
Listoning To Locture Ctule Proportations	21.32 and below	63.1	505.9358	0.556
Listening To Lecture Style Presentations	Above 21.32	36.9	511.5597	
Working Problems with Toocher's Cuidence	20.86 and below	64.4	510.1077	0.540
Working Problems with Teacher's Guidance	Above 20.86	35.6	504.2153	

Variable	Category	Percentage	Mean	p-value
Working Problems On Their Own Without Teach-	16.08 and below	56.4	498.6318	0.020
ers' Guidance	Above 16.08	43.6	520.1335	
Listening To Teacher Re-teach and Clarify Con-	9.17 and below	43.0	514.0082	0.258
tent/Procedures	Above 9.17	57.0	503.4967	
Taking Tests and Quizzes	8.21 and below	49.7	507.9081	0.982
Taking Tests and Quizzes	Above 8.21	50.3	508.1139	
Participating in Classroom Management Tasks	5.72 and below	75.2	512.9106	0.063
Not Related to the Lesson's Content/Purpose	Above 5.72	24.8	493.1827	
Other Student Activities	5.75 and below	70.5	510.8487	0.341
Other Student Activities	Above 5.75	29.5	501.2415	1
Calculator Use	Yes	54.5	507.6834	0.875
	No	45.5	509.1689	
Roles of Homework and Assessment				
Index of Teachers' Emphasis on Mathematics	High	61.7	508.4909	0.895
Homework (EMH)	Medium And Low	38.3	507.2382	
Monitor Whether or Not the Homework was Complete	Always Or Almost Always	89.9	510.5924	0.093
piete	Sometimes	10.1	484.9579	
Correct Assignments and then Give Feedback to Students	Always Or Almost Always	87.2	507.7258	0.778
Students	Sometimes	12.8	503.8742	
Have Students Correct Their Own Homework In Class	Always Or Almost Always	13.5	500.7295	0.574
Class	Sometimes Or Never	86.5	508.2472	
Use Homework As A Basis Of Class Discussion	Always Or Almost Always	33.8	503.2306	0.532
	Sometimes Or Never	66.2	509.2725	
Use the Homework to Contribute Toward Students'	Always And Sometimes	32.4	510.0478	0.669
Grades/Marks	Never	67.6	505.8794	
Frequency of Giving Mathematics Tests or Exami-	Once A Month Or More	55.4	511.4463	0.427
nation	A Few Times A Year Or Never	44.6	504.0343	

School Contexts For Learning and Instruction

TIMSS also collected information about the school contexts for learning and instruction. Information includes the economic status of the students, the extent of school resources, the school climate, attendance problems and school safety. Table 4 presents principals' report about the economic background of the students in their schools. More than 60 per cent of Malaysian students attended schools where the majority of the students came from disadvantaged homes. Other countries with similar situation are Chile, Ghana, Indonesia, Lebanon, Morocco, the Palestinian National Authority, the Phillipines, South Africa and Tunisia. The mathematics scores are significantly higher for schools with few students (less than 10%) from economically disadvantaged homes than those with more students in this category.

Table 4 also shows the extent of school resources, perception of school climate and index of good school and class attendance. Although most of the school resources are not specific to mathematics, all available resources, however, can work together to support mathematics learning and instruction. TIMSS created an index of availability of school resources for mathematics instruction (ASRMI) based on school's average response to five questions about shortages that affect general capacity to provide instruction and five questions about shortages that affect mathematics instruction. The high category referred to shortages had no or little effect on instructional capacity. The medium level indicates one type of shortage affects instruction some or a lot, and low level implies that both shortages affect it some or a lot. More than 70 per cent of schools were in the medium level indicating that one type of shortage affects instruction some or a lot. However, there was no association between the index of ASRM and mathematics achievement.

The results for the Index of Principals' Perception of School Climate (PPSC) are shown in Table 4. The index was based on principal's characterization of teacher's job satisfaction, understanding of the school's curricular goal, degree of success in implementing the schools' curricula, expectation for students' achievement, parental support for students' achievement and involvement in school's activities, students' regard for school property and desire to do well in school. Majority of the students were in the medium category where the principals characterized school climate as medium. In addition, high mathematics achievement was associated with high level of PPSC.

TIMSS developed an index of good school and class attendance (GSCA) in order to investigate absenteeism which can be related to less serious attitudes towards academic achievement. This index is based on schools' responses to the seriousness of students' absenteeism, arriving late at school and skipping class. The high level index implies schools reported that all three behaviors are not a problem. The low level indicates that two or more are serious problem, or two are minor problems and the third a serious problem. The medium category includes all other possible combinations of responses. The results in Table 4 shows around 69 per cent of students were in the medium category, indicating that student attendance is a serious problem in Malaysia. Another 19 per cent of the students were in the high category and the rest were in low category. The result also implies that the high level of index was related to high score in mathematics.

The last factor that we are going to investigate is Index of Mathematics Teachers' Perception of Safety in the Schools (TPSS). Since school safety is central in providing a conducive environment for learning, TIMSS asked both teachers and students to characterize their perceptions on safety in their school. We will only consider responses from teachers since this paper is only concerned with factor related to teachers and schools. Teachers were asked how much they agreed with statements: this school is located in a safe neighborhood, I feel safe at this school and this school's security policies and practices are sufficient. If teachers agreed or agreed a lot to all three statements, then the students were placed in the high category. The low category referred to teachers disagreed or disagreed a lot to all three statements, otherwise, students were placed in the medium category. More than 83 per cent of Malaysian students were placed in the high category, indicating that teachers agreed or agreed a lot that the schools were safe. Notwithstanding, feeling safe did not relate to high achievement in mathematics.

Table 4. Principals' Report About the Economic Background of the Students in their Schools, School Resources, Perception of School Climate and Good School and Class Attendance.

Variable	Category	Percentage	Mean	p-value
	0 To 10	8.0	549.3830	0.006
Students coming from economically disadvantaged	11 To 25	12.7	526.8228	
homes	26 To 50	16.0	513.1465	
	More Than 50	63.3	497.6376	
Index of Availability of School Resources for Math-	High	17.4	520.7601	0.441
ematics Instruction (ASRMI)	Medium	70.5	505.6504	
	Low	12.1	503.5811	
Index of Principals' Perception of School Climate (PPSC)	High	17.6	537.6151	0.007
	Medium	70.9	503.1126	
	Low	11.5	490.8208	
Index of Good School and Class Attendance (GSCA)	High	18.7	531.0384	0.044
	Medium	68.7	501.4766	
	Low	12.7	509.0602	
Index of Mathematics Teachers' Perception of Safety in the Schools (TPSS)	High	83.2	507.3910	0.764
,	Medium And Low	16.8	511.0903	

Conclusions and Discussions

The results from this study can be summarized in Table 5. Students taught by female teachers had a significantly higher mathematics achievement and this could be one of the factors that placed Malaysia in the 10th position since more than two-third of the students were taught by female teachers. Surprisingly, teachers' maturity, certification, educational level and major of study were not significant in determining mathematics score among Malaysian students. This study also revealed that teachers' participation in the professional in mathematics do not effect mathematics achievement except for those who were involved in developing mathematics content and curriculum. In other words, having teachers participating in these two activities would help the school to improve their students' performance in mathematics. Hence, these two variables and gender were the only variables which are related to teachers that gave significant contribution in explaining the variation in mathematics achievement among Malaysian students.

The results also show that mathematics achievement is related to the impact of student characteristics on classroom instruction, with lower achievement related to having more instructionally challenging and diverse students in the class and fortunately for Malaysia, only around 8 per cent of students are in this category as compared to 20 per cent of the students were in such classroom internationally. Class size and calculator use do not affect mathematics performance and this come as a blessing for Malaysian students as the its class size can be as large as almost 50 students and the average was 36 students per class which is relatively large as compared to the international average. The same goes for the use of calculators. Only a bit more than half of the students were allowed to use calculators in learning mathematics.

Despite of efforts of the Malaysian government in encouraging new approach in teaching, only one instructional strategy can improve the performance of students in mathematics. This strategy was asking students to work problems on their own. Spending a lot of time reviewing homework, on the other hand, had a negative relationship with having a high score in mathematics. However, it is believed that the effect of some of these strategies can only be observed in the long term. Table 5 also shows that the role of homework and assessment is not significant in determining the variation in mathematics achievement.

The other two variables related to schools that had positive relationship with mathematics achievement were Principals' Perception of School Climate (PPSC) and Good School and Class Attendance (GSCA). For both variables, majority of Malaysian students were placed in the medium category. Hence there were still rooms for improvement in terms of job satisfaction level of teachers, commitment and motivation as well as parents' support and involvement in students' activities and students' regard for school properties and desire to do well in school. Furthermore, schools or MOE should take action in improving school attendance.

Table 5. Summary of the Results.

Factors	Significant	Not Significant	
Background of Tea	chers		
	Gender	Age	
		Type of Teaching Certificate	
Preparation of Teach	ning Mathematics and Professional D	evelopment	
Preparation of Teaching Math- ematics		Highest education level of mathematics teacher	
		Mathematics is the teachers' major area of study	
		Mathematics Education is the teachers' major area of study	
Professional De- velopment	Math Content	Pedagogy	
	Math Curriculum	Using Technology	

Factors	Significant	Not Significant		
		Math Assessment		
Classroom Charac	teristics and Instruction			
	Index Of Teacher's Reports On Teaching Mathematics Classes With Few Or No Limitation On Instruction Due To Student Factors (MCFL)	Class Size Calculator use		
Instructional Strategies	Reviewing homework	Use of Textbook		
ŭ	Working Problems on Their Own	Listening To Lecture Style Presentations Working Problems with Teacher's Guidance Listening To Teacher Re-teach and Clarify Content/ Procedures Taking Tests and Quizzes Participating in Classroom Management Tasks Not Related to the Lesson's Content/Purpose Other Student Activities		
Roles of Home- work and Assess- ment		Index of Teachers' Emphasis on Mathematics Homework (EMH)		
Пен		Monitor Whether or Not the Homework was Complete Correct Assignments and then Give Feedback to Students Have Students Correct Their Own Homework In Class Use Homework As A Basis Of Class Discussion Use the Homework to Contribute Toward Students' Grades/Marks Frequency of Giving Mathematics Tests or Examination		
School Contexts For Learning and Instruction				
	Students coming from economically disadvantaged homes Index of Principals' Perception of School Climate (PRSC)	Index of Availability of School Resources for Mathematics Instruction (ASRMI) Index of Mathematics Teachers' Perception of		
	School Climate (PPSC) Index of Good School and Class Attendance (GSCA)	Safety in the Schools (TPSS)		

In conclusion, only nine variables had significant relationship with mathematics achievement and these variables are gender, participating in developing mathematics contents and mathematics curriculum, having few or no limitation on instruction, instructional strategies such as reviewing homework and working problem on their own, students coming from economically disadvantaged homes, perception on the school climate and good school and class attendance.

For the long term planning, the government of Malaysia should improve the standard of economically disadvantaged homes through education, training and economic activities. Since most of the students of disadvantaged homes come from rural area, the economic activities such as a well planned and structured agricultural activities should be initiated at the local governments' level. Furthermore, economic activities should be spread over to rural areas and not concentrated in the urban area as what happened now. Furthermore, all teachers should be given a chance to be involved in designing and planning of mathematics contents and curriculum. It will not only improve the knowledge of teachers in mathematics but also boost their motivation and interest in the subject.

While improving the standard of economically disadvantaged homes and reducing limitation on instruction and having more teachers participating in development of mathematics contents and curriculum may take a longer time, in the short term, schools, teachers and MOE could take action in order to improve the school climate and class attendance. Teachers can also reduce the time spent on reviewing homework but increase the work that students have to do on their own.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the TIMSS group for conducting such a comprehensive study and sharing the data with the public. However, the author bares full responsibility for any errors in this paper.

References

Angrist, J. and Lavy, V. (2001). Does Teacher Training Affect Pupil Learning? Evidence from Matched Comparisons in Jerusalem Public Schools. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 19, 343 - 369.

Coleman, J., Campbell, E., Hobson, C., McPartland, J., Mood, A., Weinfeld, F. & York, R. (1966). *Equality of Educational Opportunity*. Washington D. C.: Department of Health, Education and Welfare.

Hanushek, E. (1997). Assessing the effects of school resources on student performance: An update, *Education Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 19, 141 - 164.

Jacob, B. & Lefgren, L. (2002). The Impact of Teacher Training on Student Achievement:

Quasi-Experimental Evidence from School Reform Efforts in Chicago. NBER Working Paper No. 8916.

Jepsen, C. & Rivkin, S. (2001). What is the Tradeoff Between Smaller Classes and Teacher Quality, Working paper, Public Policy Institute of California.

Monk, D. H. (1981). Towards a multilevel perspective on the allocation of educational resources. *Review of Educational Research*, 51(2), 215 – 236.

Mullis, I. V. S., Martin, M. O., Gonzalez, E. J. & Chrostowski, S. J. (2003). *TIMSS 2003 International Mathematics Report: Findings form IEA's Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study at Fourth and Eighth Grades*. Boston College: International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement. TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center.

Rivers, J. & Sanders, W. (2002). Teacher Quality and Equity in Educational Opportunity: Findings and Policy Implications, in Izumi & Evers (eds.), *Teacher Quality*, Stanford, CA: Hoover Institution Press.

Rivkin, S. G., Hanushek, E. A. & Kain, J. F. (2002). *Teachers, schools, and academic achievement*, Working paper, University of Texas at Dallas.

Adviced by Ali Reza Kiamanesh, Tarbiat Moallem University, Iran