



SURFACE CHARGE DENSITY ON DEVIATIONS FROM THE PRINCIPAL NORMAL LINE

Mustafa TEMİZ

Pamukkale University, Engineering Faculty, Electrical and Electronic Engineering Dept., DENİZLİ, TÜRKİYE

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we emphasize and make corrections on some matter asserted in an earlier work by Yuan Zhong Zhang. Zhang (Zhang, 1988) says that Enze's equation (Enze, 1986) of the phenomenon called "tip" effects is not correct. Here we correct the inconvenience of the equations in the matters mentioned above and the obtained results are easily presented numerically by a table.

Keywords: Surface Charge Density, Boundary Value Problem

YÜZEY NORMALYNDEN OLAN SAPMALAR GÖRE YÜZEY YÜK YOĞUNLUĞU

ÖZET

Bu makalede daha evvel Yuan Zhong Zhang tarafından ileri sürülen bazı meseleler üzerinde durulmuş ve bazı düzeltmeler yapılmıştır. Zhang, Enze'nin "uç" etkisi denkleminin doğru olmadığını söyler. Bu çalışmada denklemde söz konusu olan uygunsuzluklar düzeltilmiştir. Bulunan sonuçlar tablo ile verilen nümerik değerlerde kolayca görülmektedir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Yüzey Yük Yoğunluğu, Sınır Değer Problemi

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper deals with a differential equation for the electric and geometric features of an electrostatic field and make some corrections about the inconveniences on some points of this subject.

2. THEORY

The Laplacian of a scalar function V can be expressed in terms of curvilinear coordinates as,

$$\nabla^2 V = \frac{1}{h_1^2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial u_1^2} + \frac{1}{h_2^2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial u_2^2} + \frac{1}{h_3^2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial u_3^2} \quad (1)$$

where u_i , $i=1,2,3$, are curvilinear coordinates, $x=x(u_i)$, $y=y(u_i)$, $z=z(u_i)$ are rectangular coordinates and h_i are scale factors (Spiegel, 1953).

For $h_1 = h_2 = h_3 = 1$, one finds a rectangular coordinates, for $h_1=1$, $h_2=r(0)$, $h_3 = r(0) \sin\theta$, $0 < \theta < 90^\circ$. One obtains a spherical coordinates with radius $r(0)$. To get a cylindrical coordinates one takes $h_1 = h_2 = 1$, $h_3 = \rho(0)$, where $\rho(0)$ is a cylindrical radius.

Enze's equations are:

$$k_x = \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} \right) / \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial n} \right),$$

$$k_y = \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y^2} \right) / \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial n} \right),$$

$$\nabla^2 V = (k_x + k_y) \frac{\partial V}{\partial n} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial n^2}$$

where n is normal line to the surface. Similarly, one may get

$$k_1 = \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial u_1^2}\right) / \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial u_3}\right),$$

$$k_2 = \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial u_2^2}\right) / \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial u_3}\right),$$

$$\nabla^2 V = \left(\frac{k_1}{h_1^2} + \frac{k_2}{h_2^2}\right) \frac{\partial V}{\partial u_3} + \frac{1}{h_3^2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial n^2} = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$2C \frac{\partial V}{\partial u_3} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial u_3^2} = 0$$

$u_3 = n$ where and so we get

$$2C \frac{\partial V}{\partial n} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial n^2} = 0 \quad (3)$$

where C corresponds to the Enze's average curvature

$k = \frac{1}{2}(k_x + k_y)$ but we find the curvature differently as

$$2C = \left(\frac{k_1}{h_1^2} + \frac{k_2}{h_2^2}\right) h_3^2 \quad (4)$$

Mc Allister (1988) gives the equation of an homogeneous medium for the electric field intensity E as ϵ is dielectric constant.

$$\frac{dE}{dn} + 2CE + \frac{E}{\epsilon} \frac{d\epsilon}{dn} = 0 \quad (5)$$

3. DISCUSSIONS AND RESULTS

As the electric field intensity $E = -\frac{dV}{du_3} = -\frac{dV}{dn}$ from

eq.(3) we have a first-order ordinary differential equations:

$$\frac{dE}{dn} + 2CE = 0 \quad (6)$$

which gives eq.(5) for $d\epsilon=0$ but here the expression related curvature is also given with eq.(4) which is a different form of Enze's curvature.

For example, in spherical coordinates, taking $G(0)=1/r(0)$ and $r=r(0)+\Delta r$, where $r(0)$ and Δr are the radius on the point of the surface of the conductor and the increment of distance from the point of interest, respectively. One may get

$$2C = \frac{[r^2(0)+1]\sin^2\theta}{r} = \frac{A}{r} = \frac{A}{r(0)+\Delta r}$$

$$\frac{2C}{A} = \frac{1}{r(0)+\Delta\theta} = \frac{G(0)}{1+G(0)\Delta r},$$

$$A = [r^2(0)+1]\sin^2\theta \quad (7)$$

which implies McAllister's curvature. Here r is dynamic radius. Solution of eq.(6) for C in eq.(7) gives the normalised electric field intensity,

$$E_1 = \frac{E}{E(0)} = \frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_2} \left(\frac{r(0)}{r(0)+\Delta r}\right)^A$$

where E and E(0) are the field intensities at the near and on the surface of the conductor; ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 are the dielectric constant, respectively.

For $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2$, getting $r(0)=1/G(0)=10$, $r=0.01$ one obtains the following values table (E2 and E3 are McAllister's and Enze's results, respectively):

Table 1. Results for some angles:

Angles (degrees)	E1 present study	E2 [1-2G(0)Δr]	E3 [Exp(-2G(0)Δr)]
2	0.9998771	0.9980020	0.9980019
4	0.9995089	0.9980020	0.9980019
6	0.9988975	0.9980020	0.9980019
8	0.9980464	0.9980020	0.9980019

With respect to the above table, Enze's and McAllister's results do not give the effects of the different deviations from the principal normal line (z axis), by being constant, although the direction of the principal normal line of the surface of the conductor is, in general, different from that of the equipotential surface conductor. Whereas, my present results give the effects of the deviations from the normal line, by varying values.

Hence, the present approach involves a more general and sensitive results.

4. REFERENCES

- Enze, L. 1986. The Distribution Function of Surface Charge Density with Respect to Surface Curvature, J.Phys.D: Appl. Phys. **19** 1-6.
- McAllister, I. W. and Pederson, A. 1988. Green's Differential Equation and Electrostatic Fields, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **21** 1823-1825.
- Spiegel, M. 1953. Vector Analysis, Schaum's Outline Series, p. 135.

Zhang, Y. Z. 1988. A Comment on "The distribution Function of Surface Charge Density with Respect to Surface Curvature, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **21** 1235.