

## Productivity and nutrient uptake of summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) towards different levels of irrigation and sulphur

U. GIRI, MD. HEDAYETULLAH, A. SAHA, <sup>2</sup>M. K. NANDA  
AND <sup>1</sup>P. BANDYOPADHYAY

Dept. of Agronomy, College of Agriculture Tripura, Lembucherra-799210, West Tripura,

<sup>1</sup>Dept. of Agronomy, <sup>2</sup>Dept. of Agril. Meteorology and Physics, Faculty of Agriculture,  
Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur - 741252, Nadia, West Bengal

Received:15-08-2014, Revised: 26-09-2014, Accepted: 30-09-2014

### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted to study the effect of levels of irrigation and sulphur on nutrient uptake (N, P, K and S) from sandy-loam soil, residual soil nutrient status, consumptive water use (CU), water use efficiency (WUE), yield attributes as well as yield of summer groundnut during two consecutive years of 2009 and 2010 at the University research farm, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Kalyani, Nadia, West Bengal. The experiment composed of 24 treatment combinations (8 irrigation considered as main plot and 3 level of sulphur as sub-plot) in a split plot design replicated thrice. The study revealed that both irrigation and sulphur levels significantly influenced yield attributing characteristics, the pod yield ( $t\ ha^{-1}$ ) and nutrient uptake from the soil. Highest values were exhibited when sulphur received the crop @  $15\ kg\ ha^{-1}$  ( $S_3$ ). The highest CU (639.04 mm), and WUE ( $6.16\ kg\ ha^{-1}\ mm^{-1}$ ) were recorded under three irrigations applied at flowering, pegging and pod filling stage ( $I_3$ ) followed by two irrigations at pegging and pod filling stage ( $I_2$ ), respectively. The residual soil fertility status (N, P, K and S) was not significantly influenced by irrigation as well as sulphur levels except the residual sulphur status of the soil. The maximum S status of the soil was recorded under no irrigation condition ( $I_1$ ) combined with sulphur applied @  $30\ kg\ ha^{-1}$  ( $S_3$ ), three irrigations applied at flowering, pegging and pod filling stage ( $I_3$ ) combined with sulphur applied @  $0\ kg\ ha^{-1}$  ( $S_1$ ) was recorded the minimum S fertility status of the soil.

**Keywords:** Groundnut, irrigation, nutrient uptake, productivity and sulphur

Oilseeds and oils have assumed an importance of their own in the economy of the country. Oilseeds constitute the second major agricultural crop in the country next to food grains in terms of tonnage and value. Groundnut is the major oilseed crop in India which is the largest growing country accounting for 33% of the world's production and 40% of the world's groundnut area, mostly grown during winter as well as summer season under irrigated condition. The ever increasing demand for edible oil calls for enhancing production of oilseed under limited land and water resource following improvised agronomic management.

Economic use of water application at critical physiological growth stages towards moisture scarcity during summer season can save the water as well as nutrient without hampering the potential yield of groundnut (Patra *et al.*, 1998). The groundnut grown on light textured soils generally suffers from sulphur deficiency due to leaching of  $SO_4^{2-}$ . The crop responds significantly to the application of sulphur (Singh and Chaudhary, 1995) which is involved in the bio-synthesis of primary metabolites such as methionine,

Email: [utpalagro84@gmail.com](mailto:utpalagro84@gmail.com)

cysteine and cystine amino acid, for improving the yield and quality of oil seed crops that can able to obtain higher yield under balanced fertilization. Therefore, keeping with this view an attempt was undertaken to study the optimum scheduling of irrigation and sulphur for higher groundnut yield and the residual status of nutrients under new alluvial zone of West Bengal during summer seasons.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted in sandy loam soil of the research farm under Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Kalyani, Nadia, West ( $23^{\circ}N$  latitude and  $89^{\circ}E$  longitude, at an elevation of 9.75 m above mean sea level). The experiment was done during pre-kharif season in consecutive two years (2009 and 2010) to study the effect of scheduling of irrigation at different physiological growth stages along with varying levels of sulphur on yield, nutrient uptake from soil, residual soil nutrient status, soil moisture depletion pattern, CU, and WUE, respectively. Crop received average rainfall of about 300 mm throughout the growing period. Maximum and minimum temperature during that period were  $41^{\circ}C$  and  $16^{\circ}C$ , respectively. The experiment was laid out in a split plot

design, having 8 levels of irrigation considered in main plots (I<sub>1</sub> – no irrigation; I<sub>2</sub> – irrigation at flowering stage; I<sub>3</sub> – irrigation at pegging stage; I<sub>4</sub> – irrigation at pod filling stage; I<sub>5</sub> – irrigation at flowering and pegging stage; I<sub>6</sub> – irrigation at flowering and pod filling stage; I<sub>7</sub> – irrigation at pegging and pod filling stage; I<sub>8</sub> – irrigation at flowering, pegging and pod filling stage) and 3 levels of sulphur (S<sub>1</sub> – sulphur @ 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; S<sub>2</sub> – sulphur @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; S<sub>3</sub> – sulphur @ 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in sub-plots, respectively. Source of sulphur fertilizer was elemental sulphur (85%) and cultivar was used ‘GPPD-5’.

The recommended dose of fertilizer of N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O was applied @ 20:40:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Source of fertilizers were urea, DAP and MOP for N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O, respectively. Available N, P and K contents of soil were determined according to modified Kjeldahl method as described by Jackson (1967), Olsen’s method and flame photometer method, as described by Jackson (1973), respectively and available S was estimated by turbidimetrically barium chloride procedure as described by Chesni and Yien, (1950). The statistical analysis was done using the method of Gomez and Gomez (1984).

Water use efficiency (WUE) was computed using the following standard formula:

$$\text{WUE (kg ha}^{-1} \text{ mm}^{-1}) = \frac{\text{Yield (kg ha}^{-1})}{\text{ET or CU value (mm)}}$$

ET = Evapotranspiration

CU = Consumptive Use

The weather data was recorded from the laboratory of AICRP on Agro-Meteorology, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Kalyani, Nadia, W.B., India.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Level of irrigation

It reveals from the data that all the yield attributing characters of groundnut were significantly influenced by the level of irrigation and the highest values (no. of plants m<sup>-2</sup>, no. of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, no. of kernels pod<sup>-1</sup>, 100 kernel weight (g) and shelling percentage) were recorded where the crop received irrigation at flowering, pegging and pod filling stage (I<sub>8</sub>) followed by the treatment (I<sub>7</sub>) where irrigation was applied at pegging and pod filling stage (Table-1). The lowest values of yield attributing characters were recorded

where crop grown without irrigation (I<sub>1</sub>). This is in conformity with the results of Patra *et al.* (1998) and Ali (2001).

The pod yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was significantly influenced by the level of irrigation. The highest pod yield (3.94 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded under irrigation applied at flowering, pegging and pod filling stage (I<sub>8</sub>) followed by irrigation at pegging and pod filling stage (I<sub>7</sub>) and they were at par (Table - 1). The lowest pod yield (1.8 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded under no irrigation treatment (I<sub>1</sub>). This might be due to application of 3 irrigations at important physiologically critical growth stages helps to better utilization of moisture resulting in an increase of yield attributing characters and ultimately yield. Similar results were observed by Jana *et al.* (1989).

The uptake of nutrients (N, P, K and S) by kernel, shell and haulm of groundnut and also total uptake of nutrients (N, P, K and S) by groundnut were significantly influenced by level of irrigation (Table – 2). The maximum uptakes of nutrients were recorded in three irrigations applied at flowering, pegging and pod filling stages (I<sub>8</sub>). The minimum uptake of nutrients was recorded in no irrigation (I<sub>1</sub>) treatment. Hosamani and Janawade (2006) found similar type of result.

The residual soil fertility status of nutrients (N, P, K and S) was not significantly influenced by level of irrigation except sulphur (Table - 3). The maximum residual soil fertility status of nutrients (N, P, K and S) was recorded in no irrigation (I<sub>1</sub>) treatment. However, irrigation applied at flowering, pegging and pod filling stages (I<sub>8</sub>) treatment recorded the minimum residual soil fertility status of nutrients (N, P, K and S). These experimental results are conformity with the finding of Hosamani and Janawade (2006).

### Levels of sulphur

Yield attributing characteristics were significantly influenced by the level of sulphur also and the highest values of the characters (no. of plants m<sup>-2</sup>, no. of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, no. of kernels pod<sup>-1</sup>, 100 kernel weight (g) and shelling percentage) were exhibited when sulphur applied @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (S<sub>2</sub>) followed by sulphur applied @ 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (S<sub>3</sub>) presented in table – 1. The lowest values of yield attributing characteristics were recorded when sulphur applied @ 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (S<sub>1</sub>). Chaplot *et al.* (1991) also observed the same results.

**Table 3: Effect of levels of irrigation and sulphur on residual soil fertility of summer groundnut (Pooled)**

Treatment	Nutrient status			
	N (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	P (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	K (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	S (ppm)
<b>Level of Irrigation</b>				
I <sub>1</sub>	369.92	37.21	204.61	3.66
I <sub>2</sub>	349.22	34.25	199.24	2.84
I <sub>3</sub>	345.29	33.58	191.94	2.41
I <sub>4</sub>	354.71	36.63	200.96	3.58
I <sub>5</sub>	336.33	31.26	176.00	1.77
I <sub>6</sub>	343.14	31.55	184.17	2.29
I <sub>7</sub>	326.24	30.06	170.39	1.48
I <sub>8</sub>	306.10	27.77	162.48	1.25
<b>SEm (±)</b>	<b>32.70</b>	<b>3.20</b>	<b>11.24</b>	<b>0.39</b>
<b>LSD (0.05)</b>	<b>N.S.</b>	<b>N.S.</b>	<b>N.S.</b>	<b>1.28</b>
<b>CV (%)</b>	<b>40.64</b>	<b>41.41</b>	<b>25.61</b>	<b>68.88</b>
<b>Level of sulphur</b>				
S <sub>1</sub>	362.38	33.64	192.72	1.48
S <sub>2</sub>	312.72	32.03	182.18	2.68
S <sub>3</sub>	349.00	32.70	183.77	3.07
<b>SEm (±)</b>	<b>15.91</b>	<b>2.20</b>	<b>5.27</b>	<b>0.18</b>
<b>LSD (0.05)</b>	<b>N.S.</b>	<b>N.S.</b>	<b>N.S.</b>	<b>0.50</b>
<b>CV (%)</b>	<b>32.30</b>	<b>46.51</b>	<b>19.60</b>	<b>51.05</b>

Pod yield of groundnut (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was significantly influenced by the level of sulphur (Table - 1). The highest pod yield (3.21 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded when sulphur applied @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (S<sub>2</sub>) followed by sulphur applied @ 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (S<sub>3</sub>). The lowest pod yield (1.95 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded when sulphur applied @ 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (S<sub>1</sub>). This might be due to increased photosynthate and their subsequent translocation to storage organ resulted in better fill up of production. This is in conformity with the results of Shamsuddin *et al.* (1991) and Suriyapan *et al.* (1985). Similar findings were also observed by Tandon (1984) and Saunda *et al.* (2006).

The uptake of nutrients (N, P, K and S) by kernel, shell and haulm of groundnut and also total uptake of nutrients (N, P, K and S) by groundnut were significantly influenced by level of sulphur (Table – 2). The maximum uptakes of nutrients were recorded in sulphur applied @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (S<sub>2</sub>) treatment. The minimum uptake of nutrients was recorded in no sulphur (S<sub>1</sub>) treatment. Mishra and Singh (1989) and Panda *et al.* (1997) found similar type of results.

The residual soil fertility status of nutrients (N, P, K and S) was not significantly influenced by level of

sulphur except sulphur (Table - 3). The maximum residual soil fertility status of nutrients (N, P and K) was recorded in no sulphur (S<sub>1</sub>) treatment. But the sulphur applied @ 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (S<sub>3</sub>) recorded the maximum residual soil sulphur. However, no sulphur (S<sub>1</sub>) treatment recorded the minimum residual soil fertility status of sulphur (S).

**Table 4: Effect of level of irrigation on soil profile moisture depletion, CU and WUE of groundnut (Pooled)**

Irrigation Treatment	Soil profile moisture depletion (%)				CU (mm)	WUE (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> mm <sup>-1</sup> )
	Depth of soil (cm)					
	0-15	15-30	30-45	45-60		
I <sub>1</sub>	54.73	50.41	50.54	45.60	387.00	4.64
I <sub>2</sub>	62.11	53.73	60.47	47.04	428.98	4.72
I <sub>3</sub>	66.15	58.95	62.00	51.84	458.95	4.85
I <sub>4</sub>	64.77	50.97	60.56	47.36	428.70	4.65
I <sub>5</sub>	79.19	70.41	75.32	64.08	555.48	5.00
I <sub>6</sub>	77.78	67.09	73.18	53.74	521.08	4.96
I <sub>7</sub>	82.62	77.79	80.64	72.65	604.23	5.94
I <sub>8</sub>	87.99	82.51	87.17	74.24	639.04	6.16

The interaction effect between the levels of irrigation and sulphur was significant on uptake of nitrogen by kernel, shell and total uptake, uptake of phosphorous by kernel, haulm and total uptake, uptake of potash by haulm and total uptake, and uptake of sulphur by kernel and total uptake. Within the same level of sulphur treatment, three irrigations applied at flowering, pegging and pod filling stages (I<sub>3</sub>) recorded the maximum values followed by two irrigations at pegging and pod filling stages (I<sub>7</sub>). Furthermore, within an irrigation treatment, sulphur applied @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (S<sub>2</sub>) recorded the maximum values followed by sulphur @ 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (S<sub>3</sub>). Irrespective of levels of irrigation and sulphur, the maximum values were recorded under three irrigations applied at flowering, pegging and pod filling stages (I<sub>8</sub>) in combination with sulphur applied @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (S<sub>2</sub>) treatment.

**Effect of levels of irrigation and sulphur on the soil moisture depletion pattern, CU and WUE**

Moisture depletion pattern of groundnut crop was influenced by irrigation levels. The data presented in the (Table-4) show that an increase in depth of soil, gradually decreased the soil moisture depletion pattern from second layer at all the levels of irrigation till the last depth of observation recorded. More

amount of soil moisture utilization by the crop from surface (0-15 cm) layer might be due to more root concentration in this layer. Soil moisture depletion was maximum under irrigation applied at flowering, pegging and pod filling stage ( $I_8$ ). The lowest depletion of soil moisture at different depths was found in under no irrigation treatment ( $I_1$ ).

The lowest CU (387.0 mm), and WUE (4.64 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> mm<sup>-1</sup>) (Table-4) were recorded under no irrigation treatment ( $I_1$ ) and the highest CU (639.04 mm), and WUE (6.16 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> mm<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded under irrigation applied at flowering, pegging and pod filling stage ( $I_8$ ) followed by irrigation at pegging and pod filling stage ( $I_7$ ). Similar results were observed by Chavan et al. (1999) and Reddy and Reddy (1993). Raskar and Bhoi (2003) also followed the same results.

Thus, it could be concluded from the experimental results that irrigation applied at flowering, pegging and pod filling stage ( $I_8$ ) along with the application of sulphur @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> ( $S_2$ ) gave the best result with maximum crop water-use efficiency, maximum nutrient uptake and minimum residual soil fertility status in the region.

## REFERENCES

- Ali, E. A. 2001. Effect of water stress and potassium fertilization on yield and yield components of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Ann. Agril. Sci.*, **39**: 1425-34.
- Chaplot, P.C., Jain, G.L. and Bansal, K.N. 1991. Effect of Phosphorus and sulphur on the oil yield and uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and sulphur in sesame. *Int. J. Trop. Agric.*, **9**:190-93.
- Chavan, V.S., Gaikwad, C.B. and Jadav, V.T. 1999. Response of kharif groundnut to irrigation and anplanting layouts. *J. Maharashtra Agril. Univ.*, **24**:223-24.
- Chesnin, L. and Yien, C. H. 1950. Turbidimetric determination of available sulphates. *Proc. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J.*, **15**: 149.
- Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A. A. 1984. *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research*. Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- Hosamani, M. H. and Janawade, A. D. 2006. Effect of irrigation and nutrient management on oil yield of groundnut and characteristics of soil. *Karnataka J. Agril. Sci.*, **19**: 247-52.
- Jana, P.K., Sounda, G., Mukherjee, A.K., Manijar Ahasan, A.K.M., Ghatak, S. and Barik, A. 1989. Effect of irrigation and weed control on growth, yield, consumptive use and water use efficiency of summer groundnut. *Indian Agric.*, **33**:87-94.
- Jackson, M. L. 1967. *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice-Hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi. pp: 503.
- Jackson, M. L. 1973. *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice-Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
- Mishra, S. N. and Singh, A. P. 1989. Studies on sulphur and phosphorus availability and uptake by groundnut. *Legumes Res.*, **12**: 160-64.
- Panda, S. C., Nanda, S. S. and Mishra, R. C. 1997. Response of winter season groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) to methods of sowing and sources of sulphur. *Indian J. Agron.*, **42**: 680-82.
- Patra, A.K., Tripathy, S.K. and Samui, R.C. 1998. Effect of sowing date, irrigation and spacing on yield components and yield of summer groundnut. *Ann. Agril. Res.*, **19**:407-10.
- Raskar, B. S. and Bhoi, P. G. 2003. Response of summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) to irrigation regimes and mulching. *Indian J. Agron.*, **48**: 210-13.
- Reddy, C.R. and Reddy, S. R. 1993. Scheduling irrigation for peanuts with variable amounts of available water. *Agril. Water Management*, **23**:1-9.
- Shamshuddin, Z.H., Syed, Omar, S.R., Zuraidah, J.Y., Wynne, J.C. and Elkan, G.H. 1991. Use of Lime / gypsum and their combinations to improve nodulation and yield of groundnut in an acidic soil. *Dev. Pl. Soil Sci.*, Dordrecht Kluwer Academic Publishers, **45**: 275-80.
- Singh, A.L. and Chaudhari, V. 1995. Source and mode of sulphur application on groundnut productivity. *J. Pl. Nutri.*, **18**: 2739-59.
- Sounda, G., Nandinigivi, K., Maiti, S. and Mondal, S. S. 2006. Productivity of water use efficiency of spring soybean (*Glycine max*) as affected by levels of irrigation and sources of sulphur. *J. Crop Weed*, **2**:5-8.
- Suriyapan-Orapin, Samnao-Phetchawee and Maliwan Tepponpol. 1985. Study on the case of unfilled pod in groundnut growth on Kula Ronghai Soil. Chaing Mal Univ., Chaing Mai (Thailand) *Proc. of fifth Nat. Groundnut Conf.*
- Tandon, H.L.S. 1984. *Sulphur Research and Agril. Prodn. in India*, Fertiliser development and consultation organization. New Delhi: pp.70.