

Research Note:

RESPONSE OF GERBERA VARIETIES AGAINST POWDERY MILDEW DISEASE UNDER POLYHOUSE CONDITION

Sunil Kumar¹, K.S. Tomar² and R.C. Shakywar²

¹Department of Floriculture, ²Department of Plant Protection, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Central Agricultural University, Pasighat-791 102, Arunachal Pradesh

E-mail: sunu159@yahoo.co.in

Keywords: Gerbera, disease, powdery mildew, variety, PDI.

Gerbera (Gerbera jamesonii Bolus ex. Hooker F.), belongs to the family Asteraceae, is a popular flower throughout the world. Many people enjoy growing this flower in gardens or large containers (Tjia et al., 12). Its demand as cut flower and also as an ornamental potted plant gaining importance in the world market and has a very good export potential because of its graceful appearance, hardiness and ability to withstand during transportation and long shelf life (Latha and Suresh, 8). The tremendous variability in gerbera with reference to flower colour, shape and size makes it more useful for cut flowers, bouquet, decoration in marriage and landscaping in gardening (Aswath and Survay, 2). Apart from domestic consumption it has got export potential also. Claims have been made that from 30-70 % of the potential lasting quality of cut flowers is determined at harvest (Halevy and Mayak, 5). In India, gerbera is mainly grown in North Eastern States, Karnataka and Maharashtra (Aswath and Rao, 1). Gerbera is susceptible to a variety of pests and diseases. Powdery mildew is one of the most destructive fungal diseases of gerbera causing significant economic losses under poly house conditions. It is caused by two fungal species viz. Erysiphe cichoracearum DC and Sphaerotheca fusca (Fr.) (Beaura et al., 3). They are the obligate parasites and can affect all parts of the plants. Powdery mildew is easy to identify since to noticeable white spots or powder like appearance or white patches appear on the upper and lower surfaces of the leaves or flowers. These spots are enlarge to form a white, powder like mat, which can spread to stems and flowers also (Moyer and Peres,

9). Severely infected leaves turn pale yellow or brown and the plants eventually die. Some environmental conditions are most congenial for powdery mildew development includes high relative humidity (80-95 %), moderate temperature (20-28 °C) and low light intensities or shade. Unfortunately poly house usually provide all these condition and the varieties will react specifically. Therefore information on the varieties showing resistant reaction to powdery mildew is meagre. Therefore, in the present study, nine gerbera varieties were screened for their reaction against powdery mildew disease under poly house condition.

Screening trial was conducted at Instructional farm, Department of Floriculture, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Central Agricultural University, Pasighat, Arunachal Pradesh during April 2011 to March 2012. Nine varieties of tissue culture derived gerbera viz. Figaro, Galileo, Manizales, Marinila, Palmira, Pia, Rionegro, Tecala and Teresa were planted in the raised beds with a spacing of 30 x 30 cm under polyhouse condition. The plants were provided with all the inputs as per package and practices for gerbera cultivation. This experiment was laid out in complementary randomized design and replicated thrice with 20 plants for each replication. Powdery mildew was developed from the natural inoculums. Observations on symptoms of powdery mildew were recorded at 40 days interval upto 5 months (Approximate 160 days) of planting and 10 plants per replication were selected randomly for disease assessment.

Disease severity was recorded on the upper

Varieties	Per cent disease index*				Disease	Number of	Number of
	40 DAP	80 DAP	120 DAP	160 DAP	reaction	flowers m ⁻² / year	sucker / plant / year
Figaro	0.0	3.93	6.53	9.73	R	143.00	3.80
Galileo	16.67	24.90	28.57	34.73	S	189.00	5.80
Manizales	12.50	16.90	31.33	46.93	S	137.20	3.20
Marinila	0.0	2.93	7.23	10.27	R	106.60	4.00
Palmira	0.0	1.57	6.50	9.27	R	190.00	5.40
Pia	23.77	33.27	55.00	65.30	HS	102.00	4.20
Rionegro	21.33	29.30	36.70	49.67	S	180.00	5.30
Tecala	19.33	31.00	44.93	54.27	HS	178.00	5.00
Teresa	10.33	15.67	20.77	24.57	MR	125.00	4.00

Table 1: Reaction of gerbera varieties against powdery mildew during April 2011 to March 2012.

leaf surfaces at the earlier growth stages and at the later stages on the lower leaves also and rated on a 0 to 6 scale (Standard disease severity scale) as 0 = No powdery growth, 1 = 1 - 20% of the leaf area with powdery growth, 2 = 21 - 40% of the leaf area with powdery growth, 3 = 41 - 60% of the leaf area with powdery growth, 4 = 61 - 80% of the leaf area with powdery growth, 5 = 81 - 99% of the leaf area with powdery growth and 6 = 100% of the leaf area with powdery growth (Moyer and Peres, 9). Using the standard disease score chart, the per cent disease index (PDI) was worked out according to the FAO (4) formula and the data were analysed statistically.

$$\frac{\text{Sum of total numerical rating}}{\text{Total number of observations}} \quad 100$$

From the PDI calculated, the reaction of the varieties were categorized as 0% PDI = Immune to powdery mildew, 5% PDI = Highly Resistant (HR), 5-10% = Resistant (R), 11-25% = Moderately Resistant (MR), 25-50% = Susceptible (S) and 51-100% = Highly Susceptible (HS).

The results obtained (Table 1) clearly showed that all the varieties were differing to each other for disease incidence. Nine varieties of gerbera were screened against powdery mildew under polyhouse condition. Powdery mildew symptoms were first observed on the leaves of the varieties viz. Pia,

Rionegro and Tecala on 30 days after planting. On Manizales, Teresa and Galileo powdery mildew incidence started only after 38 days of planting. On the other varieties Figaro, Marinila and Palmira powdery mildew incidence started only after 68 days of planting. Palmira variety showed resistance to powdery mildew with per cent disease index of 9.27% at the end of 160 days after planting followed by Figaro and Marinila with PDI of 9.73% and 10.23%, respectively. Variety Teresa showed moderately resistant reaction 24.57% against powdery mildew infection. Varieties Pia and Tecala were highly susceptible to powdery mildew throughout the experiment which showed per cent disease index of 65.30% and 54.27%, respectively. Other varieties like Galileo (34.73%), Manizales (46.93%)and Rionegro (49.67%) susceptible reaction to powdery mildew infection. These findings are closely supported by (Jamadar et al., 6) for disease resistance in grapevine genotypes to powdery mildew infection and Sharma and Sharma (11) and in lady's finger to yellow vein mosaic virus (YVMV). To overcome economic losses due to disease and avoid repeated application of fungicide, development of resistant variety is the best method for disease management. Evaluation procedure in the green house could be used as a rapid assay to screen plants for resistance (Scholten et al., 10). Screening could be important in the

^{*}Mean of three replications DAP = Days after Planting

288 Kumar et al.

development and evaluation of new resistant cultivar if incorporated into breeding programmes (Kozik, 7). Through the study, powdery mildew resistant screening methodology for gerbera under polyhouse condition has been established and few resistant varieties of gerbera against powdery mildew were indentified. Those varieties may be utilized for future breeding programme to evolve powdery mildew disease resistant gerbera varieties.

REFERENCES

- 1. Aswath, C. and Rao, T. Manjunath (2006). Breeding of gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii* Bolus ex. Hooker F.) lines suitable for open field cultivation. *J. Orna. Hort.*, **9**(4): 243-247.
- 2. Aswath, C. and Survay, Nazneen (2004). An improved method for *in vitro* propagation of gerbera. *J. Orna. Hort.*, 7(2): 141-146.
- 3. Beaura, S. Singh, R. Daughtrey, M. Wick, R. L. and Peterson, J. L. (1995). *Compendium of flowering potted plant diseases*. APS Press, St. Paul, MN.
- 4. FAO (1967). *Crop losses due to diseases and pest.* Rome: Food and Agricultural Organization.
- 5. Halevy, A.H. and Mayak, S. (1981). Sensecense and post harvest physiology of cut flowers-Part II. *Hortic. Rev.* **3:** 59-143.

- 6. Jamadar, M.M., Jawadagi, R.S. and Patil, D.R. (2007). Nursery screening of grapevine genotypes to powdery mildew infection. *J. Asian Hort.*, **4:** 69-70.
- 7. Kozik, E.V. (1999). Evaluation of two techniques for screening tomatoes for resistance to *Fusarium* crown and root rot. *Vege. Crop Res. Bull.*, **50:** 5-12.
- 8. Latha, T.K.S. and Suresh, J. (2010). Varietal screening of gerbera for their response to powdery mildew disease. *J. Orna. Hort.*, **13** (2): 157-159.
- 9. Moyer, C. and Peres, N. A. (2008). Evaluation of bio-fungicides for control of powdery mildew of gerbera daisy. *Proc. of Florida State Hortic. Soc.*, **121:** 389-394.
- Scholten, O.E., Panella, L.W., Bock, T.S.M. and De Lange, W. (2001). A green house test for screening sugarbeet (*Beta vulgaris*) for resistance to *Rhizoctonia solani. European J.* Plant Patho., 107: 161-166.
- Tjia, B., R. J. Black and S. Park-Brown (2008). Gerberas for Florida. CIR527. Gainesville: University of Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences. http://edis.ifas. ufl.edu/mg 034.
- 12. Sharma, B.R. and Sharma, O.P. (1984). Field evaluation of okra germplasm against YVMV. *Punjab Hort. J.*, **24:** 131-133.

New Release....

