

## A note on power values of generalized derivation in prime ring and noncommutative Banach algebras

Shervin Sahebi <sup>a</sup> and Venus Rahmani <sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch, PO.  
Code 14168-94351, Iran;

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch, PO.  
Code 14168-94351, Iran

---

**Abstract.** Let  $R$  be a prime ring with extended centroid  $C$ ,  $H$  a generalized derivation of  $R$  and  $n \geq 1$  a fixed integer. In this paper we study the situations: (1) If  $(H(xy))^n = (H(x))^n(H(y))^n$  for all  $x, y \in R$ ; (2) obtain some related result in case  $R$  is a noncommutative Banach algebra and  $H$  is continuous or spectrally bounded.

---

**Keywords:** generalized derivation, prime ring, Banach algebras, Martindale quotient ring.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $R$  be an algebra with center  $Z(R)$  and radical Jacobson  $\text{rad}(R)$ . For given  $x, y \in R$ , the Lie commutator of  $x, y$  is denoted by  $[x, y]$  and defined by  $[x, y] = xy - yx$ . A linear mapping  $d : R \rightarrow R$  is called derivation if it satisfies the Leibniz rule  $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . We recall that an additive map  $H : R \rightarrow R$  is called a generalized derivation if there exists a derivation  $d : R \rightarrow R$  such that  $H(xy) = H(x)y + xd(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . Many results in literature indicate that global structure of a prime ring  $R$  is often lightly connected to the behaviour of additive mappings defined on  $R$ . A well-known result of Herstein [10] stated that if  $R$  is a prime ring and  $d$  is an inner derivation of  $R$  such that  $d(x)^n = 0$  for all  $x \in R$  and  $n$  is fixed integer, then  $d = 0$ . The number of authors extended this theorem in several ways. In [3] Bell and Kappe proved that if  $d$  is a derivation of a prime ring  $R$  which  $d(xy) = d(x)d(y)$  or  $d(xy) = d(y)d(x)$  such that for all  $x, y \in I$ , a non-zero right ideal of  $R$ , then  $d = 0$  on  $R$ . Recently in [19] Rehman studies the case when the derivation  $d$  is replaced by generalized derivation  $H$ . More precisely, he proves the following: Let  $R$  is a 2-torsion free prime ring and  $H(xy) = H(x)H(y)$  or  $H(xy) = H(y)H(x)$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , a non-zero ideal of  $R$ , then  $R$  must be a commutative.

---

\*Corresponding author. Email: ven.rahmani.math@iauctb.ac.ir

### 1.1 Main result

In the present paper our motivation is to generalize, all the above results by studying the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1.1** *Let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $H$  a generalized derivation of  $R$ . Suppose  $(H(xy))^n = (H(x))^n(H(y))^n$  for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \geq 1$  is a fixed integer. Then either  $R$  is commutative or  $d = 0$  and there exists  $a \in C$  such that  $H(x) = ax$  and  $H(y) = ay$  for all  $x, y \in R$ .*

Finally, in the last section of this paper we apply this result to the study of analogous conditions for continuous generalized derivations on Banach algebras.

### 2. In case $R$ is a prime ring

In this section  $R$  denotes a prime ring with extended centroid  $C$ ,  $U$  its two sided Martindale quotient ring. For the definitions and elementary properties of derivation and two sided Martindale quotient ring we refer the reader to [2].

The following results are useful tools needed in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

*Remark 1* (see [6, Theorem 2]). Let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $I$  a non-zero ideal of  $R$ . Then  $I$ ,  $R$  and  $U$  satisfy the same generalized polynomial identities with coefficient in  $U$ .

*Remark 2* (see [16, Theorem 2]). Let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $I$  a non-zero ideal of  $R$ . Then  $I$ ,  $R$  and  $U$  satisfy the same differential identities.

*Remark 3* Let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $U$  be the Utumi quotient ring of  $R$  and  $C = Z(U)$ , the center of  $U$ . It is well known that any derivation of  $R$  can be uniquely extended to a derivation of  $U$ , In [16] Lee proved that every generalized derivation  $H$  on a dense right ideal of  $R$  can be uniquely extended to a generalized derivation of  $U$  and assume the form  $H(x) = ax + d(x)$  for all  $x \in U$ , some  $a \in U$  and a derivation  $d$  of  $U$ .

**THEOREM 2.1** (Kharchenko [13]). *Let  $R$  be a prime ring,  $d$  a nonzero derivation of  $R$  and  $I$  a nonzero ideal of  $R$ . If  $I$  satisfies the differential identity*

$$f(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n, d(r_1), d(r_2), \dots, d(r_n)) = 0,$$

for any  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \in I$ , then one of the following holds:

(i) first item  $I$  satisfies the generalized polynomial identity

$$f(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0.$$

(ii)  $d$  is  $Q$ -inner, that is, for some  $q \in Q$ ,  $d(x) = [q, x]$  and  $I$  satisfies the generalized polynomial identity

$$f(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n, [q, r_1], [q, r_2], \dots, [q, r_n]) = 0.$$

We establish the following technical result required in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**LEMMA 2.2** *Let  $R$  be a prime ring with extended centroid  $C$ . Suppose  $(axy + [b, x]y + xay + x[b, y])^n - (ax + [b, x])^n(ay + [b, y])^n = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and some  $a \in R$ . Then  $R$  is a commutative or  $a, b \in C$ .*

*Proof* If  $R$  is commutative there is nothing to prove. Suppose  $R$  is not commutative. Set

$$f(x, y) = (axy + [b, x]y + xay + x[b, y])^n - (ax + [b, x])^n(ay + [b, y])^n$$

Since  $R$  is not commutative, then by Remark 1,  $f(x, y)$  is a nontrivial generalized polynomial identity for  $R$  and so for  $U$ .

In case  $C$  is infinite, we have  $f(x, y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in U \otimes_C \overline{C}$ , where  $\overline{C}$  is the algebraic closure of  $C$ . Since both  $U$  and  $U \otimes_C \overline{C}$  are prime and centrally closed [12], we may replace  $R$  by  $U$  or  $U \otimes_C \overline{C}$  according to  $C$  is finite or infinite. Thus we may assume that  $R$  is a centrally closed over  $C$  which is either finite or algebraically closed and  $f(x, y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . By Martindale's Theorem [17],  $R$  is then a primitive ring having nonzero socle  $H$  with  $C$  as associated division ring. Hence by Jacobson's Theorem [12]  $R$  is isomorphic to a dense ring of linear transformations of some vector space  $V$  over  $C$ , and  $H$  consists of the linear transformations in  $R$  of finite rank. Let  $\dim_C V = k$ . Then the density of  $R$  on  $V$  implies that  $R \cong M_k(C)$ . If  $\dim_C V = 1$ , then  $R$  is a commutative, which is a contradiction.

Suppose that  $\dim_C V \geq 2$ . We show that for any  $v \in V$ ,  $v$  and  $av$  are linearly dependent over  $C$ . Suppose  $v$  and  $bv$  are linearly independent for some  $v \in V$ . By density of  $R$ , there exist  $x, y \in R$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} xv &= 0, \quad xbv = -v, \\ yv &= 0, \quad ybv = -v. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get following contradiction

$$0 = ((axy + [b, x]y + xay + x[b, y])^n - (ax + [b, x])^n(ay + [b, y])^n)v = -v.$$

So we conclude that  $\{v, av\}$  are linearly  $C$ -dependent. Hence for each  $v \in V$ ,  $av = v\alpha_v$  for some  $\alpha_v \in C$ . Now we prove  $\alpha_v$  is not depending on the choice of  $v \in V$ .

Since  $\dim_C V \geq 2$  there exists  $w \in V$  such that  $v$  and  $w$  are linearly independent over  $C$ . Now there exist  $\alpha_v, \alpha_w, \alpha_{v+w} \in C$  such that

$$bv = v\alpha_v, bw = w\alpha_w, b(v + w) = (v + w)\alpha_{(v+w)}.$$

Which implies

$$v(\alpha_v - \alpha_{(v+w)}) + w(\alpha_w - \alpha_{(v+w)}) = 0,$$

and since  $\{v, w\}$  are linearly  $C$ -independent, it follows  $\alpha_v = \alpha_{(v+w)} = \alpha_w$ . Therefore there exists  $\alpha \in C$  such that  $bv = v\alpha$  for all  $v \in V$ .

Now let  $r \in R, v \in V$ . Since  $bv = v\alpha$ ,

$$[b, r]v = (br)v - (rb)v = b(rv) - r(bv) = (rv)\alpha - r(v\alpha) = 0,$$

that is  $[b, r]V = 0$ . Hence  $[b, r] = 0$  for all  $r \in R$ , implying  $b \in C$ . Similarly we get  $a \in C$ . ■

Now we can prove Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $R$  be not commutative. By the given hypothesis  $R$  satisfies the generalized differential identity

$$(H(x)y + xH(y))^n = (H(x))^n(H(y))^n. \quad (1)$$

By Remark 2,  $R$  and  $U$  satisfy the same differential identities, thus  $U$  satisfies (1). As we have already remarked in Remark 3, we may assume that for all  $x, y \in U$ ,  $H(x) = ax + d(x)$ ,  $H(y) = ay + d(y)$ , for some  $a \in U$  and a derivation  $d$  of  $U$ . Hence  $U$  satisfies

$$(axy + d(x)y + xd(y))^n - (ax + d(x))^n(ay + d(y))^n = 0. \quad (2)$$

Assume first that  $d$  is inner derivation of  $U$ , i.e., there exists  $b \in Q$  such that  $d(x) = [b, x]$  and  $d(y) = [b, y]$  for all  $x, y \in U$ . Then by (2), we have

$$(axy + [b, x]y + xay + x[b, y])^n - (ax + [b, x])^n(ay + [b, y])^n = 0,$$

for all  $x, y \in U$ . Now by Lemma 2.2,  $a, b \in C$  and so  $d = 0$ . Hence for some  $a \in C$ ,  $H(x) = ax$  and  $H(y) = ay$  for all  $x, y \in U$  and so for all  $x \in R$ .

If  $d$  is not a  $U$ -inner derivation, then by Theorem 2, (2) becomes

$$(axy + zy + xay + xw)^n - (ax + z)^n(ay + w)^n = 0,$$

for all  $x, y, z, w \in U$ . In particular  $U$  satisfies its blended component  $(axy + zy + xay + xw)^n$ . This is a polynomial identity and hence there exists a field  $F$  such that  $U \subseteq M_k(F)$ , the ring of  $k \times k$  matrices over field  $F$ , where  $k > 1$ . Moreover  $U$  and  $M_k(F)$  satisfy the same polynomial identity [15, Lemma 1]. But by choosing  $x = w = e_{ii}, y = 0$ , we get

$$0 = (axy + zy + xay + xw)^n = e_{ii}.$$

which is a contradiction. This complete the proof.

### 2.1 Example

The following example shows the hypothesis of primeness is essential in theorem 1.1.

*Example 2.3* Let  $S$  be any ring, and  $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in S \right\}$ . Define  $d : R \rightarrow R$

as follows:

$$d \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $0 \neq d$  is a derivation of  $R$  such that  $(d(xy))^n = (d(x))^n(d(y))^n$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , where  $n \geq 1$  is a fixed integer, however  $R$  is not commutative.

### 3. In case $R$ is complex Banach algebra

Here  $R$  will denote a complex Banach algebra. Let us introduce some well known and elementary definition for a sake of completeness.

By a Banach algebra we shall mean a complex normed algebra  $R$  whose underlying vector space is a Banach space. By  $\text{rad}(R)$  we denote the Jacobson radical of  $R$ . Without loss of generality we assume  $R$  to be unital. In fact any Banach algebra  $R$  without a unity can be embedded into a unital Banach algebra  $R_I = R \oplus \mathbb{C}$  as an ideal of codimension one. In particular we may identify  $R$  with the ideal  $\{(x, 0) : x \in R\}$  in  $R_I$  via the isometric isomorphism  $x \rightarrow (x, 0)$ . We refer the reader for details to [8, 18].

Our first result in this section is about continuous generalized derivations on a Banach algebras:

**THEOREM 3.1** *Let  $R$  be a non-commutative Banach algebra,  $H = L_a + d$  a continuous generalized derivation of  $R$  for some  $a \in R$  and some derivation  $d$  of  $R$ . If  $(H(xy))^n - (H(x))^n(H(y))^n \in \text{rad}(R)$  for all  $x \in R$ , then  $[a, R] \subseteq \text{rad}(R)$ , for all  $x \in R$  and  $d(R) \subseteq \text{rad}(R)$ .*

The following results are useful tools needed in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

*Remark 1* (see [20]). Any continuous derivation of Banach algebra leaves the primitive ideals invariant.

*Remark 2* (see [21]). Any continuous linear derivation on a commutative Banach algebra maps the algebra into its radical.

*Remark 3* (see [11]). Any linear derivation on semisimple Banach algebra is continuous.

Now we can prove Theorem 3.1.

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Under the assumption that  $H$  is continuous, and since it is well known that the left multiplication map  $L_a$  is also continuous, we have the derivation  $d$  is continuous. As we have already remarked in Remark 1, we may assume that for any primitive ideal  $P$  of  $R$ ,  $H(P) \subseteq aP + d(P) \subseteq P$ , that is, also the continuous generalized derivation  $H$  leaves the primitive ideals invariant. Denote  $\frac{R}{P} = \bar{R}$  for any primitive ideals  $P$ . Hence we may introduce the generalized derivation  $H_P : \bar{R} \rightarrow \bar{R}$  by  $H_P(\bar{x}) = H_p(x+P) = H(x) + P = ax + d(x) + P$  for all  $x \in R$  and  $\bar{x} = x + P$ . Moreover by  $H_P(\bar{y}) = H_p(y+P) = H(y) + P = ay + d(y) + P$  for all  $y \in R$  and  $\bar{y} = y + P$ . Now by our assumption we have

$$(H(\bar{x}\bar{y}))^n - (H(\bar{x}))^n(H(\bar{y}))^n = \bar{0},$$

for all  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \bar{R}$ . Since  $\bar{R}$  is primitive, a fortiori it is prime. Thus by Theorem 1.1, we get that either  $\bar{R}$  is commutative, i.e.,  $[R, R] \subseteq P$  or  $d = \bar{0}$  and  $\bar{a} \in Z(\bar{R})$ , i.e.,  $d(R) \subseteq P$  and  $[a, R] \subseteq P$ . Now let  $P$  be a primitive ideal such that  $\bar{R}$  is commutative, By Remarks 2 and 3, there are no non-zero linear continuous derivations on commutative semisimple Banach algebras. Therefore  $d = \bar{0}$  in  $\bar{R}$ , and since  $[R, R] \subseteq P$  follows by the commutativity of  $\bar{R}$ , we also have  $[a, R] \subseteq P$ . Hence in any case  $d(R) \subseteq P$  and  $[a, R] \subseteq P$  for all primitive ideal  $P$  of  $R$ . Since  $\text{rad}(R)$  is the intersection of all primitive ideals, we get the required conclusion.

In the special case when  $R$  is a semisimple Banach algebra we have:

**COROLLARY 3.2** *Let  $R$  be a non-commutative semisimple Banach algebra,  $H = L_a + d$  a continuous generalized derivation of  $R$  for some  $a \in R$  and some derivation  $d$  of  $R$ . If  $(H(xy))^n - (H(x))^n(H(y))^n = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , then  $H(x) = ax$  and  $H(y) = ay$  for some  $a \in Z(R)$ .*

*Proof* For proof we use the fact that  $\text{rad}(R) = 0$ , since  $R$  is a semisimple. ■

## References

- [1] K. I. Beidar, *Rings of quotients of semiprime rings*, Vestnik Moskovskogo Universiteta. 33(5) (1978), pp. 36–43.
- [2] K. I. Beidar, W. S. Martindale III, A. V. Mikhalev, *Rings with generalized identities*, Pure and Applied Math. Vol. 196, New York, 1996.
- [3] H. E. Bell, L. C. Kappe, *Rings in which derivations satisfy certain algebraic conditions*. Acta Math. Hungar. 53(3–4) (1989), pp. 339–346.
- [4] M. Bresar, *A note on derivations*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 32 (1990), pp. 83–88.
- [5] L. Carini, A. Giambruno, *Lie ideals and nil derivations*, Boll. Un. Math. Ital. 6 (1985), pp. 497–503.
- [6] C. L. Chuang, *GPI's having coefficients in Utumi quotient rings*, proc. Amer. Math. soc. 103 (1988), pp. 723–728.
- [7] B. Felzenszwalb, C. Lanski, *On the centralizers of ideals and nil derivations*, J. Algebra. 83 (1983), pp. 520–530.
- [8] H. Garth Dales, P. Aiena, J. Eschmeier, K. Laursen, G. Willis, *Introduction to Banach algebras, operators, and harmonicanalysis*, Published in the U.S.A by Cambridge University Press, New York, (2003).
- [9] A. Giambruno, I. N. Herstein, *Derivations with nilpotent values*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo. 30(2) (1981), pp. 199–206.
- [10] I. N. Herstein, *Center like elements in prime rings*, J. Alebra. 60 (1979), pp. 567–574.
- [11] B. E. Jacobson, A. M. Sinclair, *Continuity of derivations and problem of kaplansky*, Amer. J. Math. 90 (1968), pp. 1067–1073.
- [12] N. Jacobson, *Structure of rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Pub. 37. Providence, RI: Amer. Math.Soc., (1964).
- [13] V. K. Kharchenko, *Differential identity of prime rings*, Algebra and Logic. 17 (1978), pp. 155–168.
- [14] C. Lanski, *Derivation with nilpotent values on Lie ideals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 108 (1990), pp. 31–37.
- [15] C. Lanski, *An engle condition with derivation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 183(3) (1993), pp. 731–734.
- [16] T. K. Lee, *Semiprime rings with differential identities*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica. 20(1) (1992), pp. 27–38.
- [17] W.S. Martindale III, *prime rings satistying a generalized polynomial identity*, J.Algebra. 12 (1969), pp. 576–584.
- [18] C. M. Ndipingwi, *Derivations mapping into the radical* , A dissertation submitted to the Faculty of Science University of Johannesburg, (2008).
- [19] N. Rehman, *On generalized derivations as homomorphisms and anti-homomorphisms*, Glas. Mat. III. 39(1) (2004), pp. 27–30.
- [20] A. M. Sinclair, *continuous derivations on Banach algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (1969), pp.166–170.
- [21] I. M. Singer, J. Werner, *Derivations on commutative normed algebras*, Math. Ann. 129 (1955), pp. 260–264.