P-ISSN: 2338-8617 E-ISSN: 2443-2067

Jurnal Ilmiah PEURADEUN

Vol. 11, No. 2, May 2023



The Indonesian Journal of the Social Sciences www.journal.scadindependent.org DOI Prefix Number: 10.26811





Emerging Sources Citation Index

Web of Science ™



<u>~</u>

JURNAL ILMIAH PEURADEUN

The Indonesian Journal of the Social Sciences p-ISSN: 2338-8617/ e-ISSN: 2443-2067 www.journal.scadindependent.org

Vol. 11, No. 2, May 2023

Pages: 591-614

Questioning the Contitutionality of Amandement Aceh's Autonomy Law by Central Government: Who has the Authority?

Badri Hasan Sulaiman

Universitas Islam Negeri Ar-Raniry Banda Aceh, Indonesia

Article in Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun

Available at : https://journal.scadindependent.org/index.php/jipeuradeun/article/view/857

DOI : https://doi.org/10.26811/peuradeun.v11i2.857

How to Cite this Article

APA: Sulaiman, B.H.. (2023). Questioning the Contitutionality of Amandement Aceh's Autonomy

Law by Central Government: Who has the Authority?. Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun, 11(2), 591-614.

https://doi.org/10.26811/peuradeun.v11i2.857

Others Visit: https://journal.scadindependent.org/index.php/jipeuradeun

Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun (JIP), the Indonesian Journal of the Social Sciences, is a leading peer-reviewed and open-access journal, which publishes scholarly works, and specializes in the Social Sciences that emphasize contemporary Asian issues with interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary approaches. JIP is published by SCAD Independent and published 3 times of year (January, May, and September) with p-ISSN: 2338-8617 and e-ISSN: 2443-2067. Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun has become a CrossRef Member. Therefore, all articles published will have a unique DOI number. JIP has been accredited by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology, the Republic of Indonesia through the Decree of the Director-General of Higher Education, Research and Technology No. 164/E/KPT/2021, date December 27, 2021. This accreditation is valid until the January 2026 edition.

JIP published by SCAD Independent. All articles published in this journal are protected by copyright, licensed under a CC-BY-SA or an equivalent license as the optimal license for the publication, distribution, use, and reuse of scholarly works. Any views expressed in this publication are the views of the authors and not of the Editorial Board of JIP or SCAD Independent. JIP or SCAD Independent cannot be held responsible for views, opinions and written statements of authors or researchers published in this journal. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of the research material. Authors alone are responsible for the contents of their articles.

JIP indexed/included in Web of Science, MAS, Index Copernicus International, Sinta, Garuda, Moraref, Scilit, Sherpa/Romeo, Google Scholar, OAJI, PKP, Index, Crossref, BASE, ROAD, GIF, Advanced Science Index, JournalTOCs, ISI, SIS, ESJI, SSRN, ResearchGate, Mendeley and others.





Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun

The Indonesian Journal of the Social Sciences doi: 10.26811/peuradeun.v11i2.857

Copyright © 2023 SCAD Independent All Rights Reserved Printed in Indonesia Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun Vol. 11, No. 2, May 2023 Pages: 591-614



QUESTIONING THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF AMENDMENT ACEH'S AUTONOMY LAW BY CENTRAL GOVERNMENT: WHO HAS THE AUTHORITY?

Badri Hasan Sulaiman

Universitas Islam Negeri Ar-Raniry Banda Aceh, Indonesia Contributor Email: badri@ar-raniry.ac.id

Received: September 22, 2022	Accepted: April 9, 2023	Published: May 30, 2023
Article Url: https://journal.scadindependent.org/index.php/jipeuradeun/article/view/857		

Abstract

p-ISSN: 2338-8617

e-ISSN: 2443-2067

This article criticized the decision of the House of Representatives of the Republic of Indonesia (Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Republik Indonesia-DPR RI) to amend several articles regarding Aceh's autonomy authorities. The DPR RI should consult the norms of Aceh's autonomy to Aceh's Parliament. Unfortunately, the DPR RI tended to consult and involve Aceh's Parliament before making decisions. Most of the decisions regarding Aceh's autonomy had been decided by the DPR RI, creating a potential conflict of regulation in the year to come and distrust between central and provincial governments. This article used a qualitative method with the black letter law approach concerning some regulations as the primary data. The author claimed that the central government had ignored the principle of checks and balances between the central dan provincial governments and also broke the principle of consultation as stated in Law Number 11 of 2006 concerning the Aceh Government.

Keywords: Constitutionality of Amendment; Aceh's Autonomy Law; Central Government.



e-ISSN: 2443-2067

A. Introduction

This paper will investigate the authority to propose amendments to Law Number 11 of 2006 concerning the Aceh Government (called *Undang-Undang Pemerintah Aceh-UUPA*), which the *DPR RI* expertise board carries out. On the one hand, the Government of Aceh has the authority to be consulted regarding Aceh's autonomy law. On the other hand, the central government, through the *DPR RI*, has tended to ignore the Aceh Government regarding amendments to Law Number 11 of 2006 concerning the Aceh Government (*UUPA*). This problem can create potential distrust between the central dan provincial governments.

Furthermore, The preparation of the academic text (*naskah akademik*-NA) of the draft law on amendments to the UUPA by the DPR RI Expertise Board is the agenda of the National Legislation Program (Program Legislasi Nasional-Proleknas) for the 2019-2024 period, which carries the mission that UUPA enacted in 2006 must be revised and renewed, taking into account it has been applied for more than 15 years, from the date of promulgation on 1 August 2006 to 2022 (Basri, H. & Nabiha, 2014; McGibbon, 2004; May 2008).

In addition, the UUPA is considered different from the Regional Autonomy Law in several respects, including the UUPA itself, which is considered too specific, so it must be renewed. Examples can be seen in the regulations related to the election of regional heads/deputy regional heads and local parties, so they must be harmonized with the National Election Law based on Law Number 7 of 2017 concerning Elections (Suryadinata, 2005; Lewis, 2020; Siahaan C & Tampubolon, 2021; Mutiara Fahmi et al., 2020).

The cancellation of several articles by the Constitutional Court (Mahkamah Konstitusi-MK) contained in the UUPA through a juridical review was also a consideration for the proposed revision (Armia, 2018), including Article 256 of Law Number 11 of 2006 concerning the Aceh Government regarding independent candidates who are no longer valid because they are considered contrary to the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia (UUD 1945). Besides, Article 67, Paragraph (2) also concerns candidates for governor/ deputy governor, regent/ deputy regent, and the mayor/ deputy mayor, who must be at least 30 years old, as stated in point (e).



The age issue of candidates for governor/deputy governor, regent/ deputy regent, and mayor/deputy mayor is optional. Thus, the UUPA is considered urgent for a proposed revision because the age factor of 30 years is materially related to the maturity and maturity of thinking.

The proposed revision of Article 67, paragraph 2 point (b), which reads "a candidate is obliged to carry out his religious law", may be implemented. The element required to carry out the Sharia for his religion can be replaced with the following sentence; "A candidate for governor/ deputy governor, regent/deputy regent, and mayor/deputy mayor in Aceh Province must be Muslim and committed to implementing Islamic law in Aceh". This point is a form of Aceh's privileges not included in the UUPA based on the constitutional mandate.

The Aceh Government's authority to care for its household, specifically prioritizing local wisdom, is a constitutional mandate. This regulation is in Article 18 B, Paragraph 1.

"The State recognizes and respects special regional government units regulated by law", and Article 18 B Paragraph 2.

"The State recognizes and respects customary law community units and their traditional rights as long as they are still valid and under the development of society and the principles of The Unitary State of the Republic of Indonesia, which are regulated by law".

The State recognizes and respects each region's characteristics and local wisdom, as confirmed in the UUD 1945. Each region has different local wisdom. For example, Aceh Province is famous for implementing Islamic Sharia as a way of life and the spirit of struggle for the Acehnese people against Dutch colonialism as a bargaining chip in the eyes of the world of Indonesia (Lukito, 2019). This characteristic is different from the characteristics of Bali Province, where most people live by Hindu teachings, or people in Papua Province adhere to Christian teachings. Like Indonesia, countries on the European continent also recognize local wisdom as a constitutional consensus and are considered part of the single majority principle, which is recognized in their constitution. Likewise, in America, a presidential candidate must be a Christian and show his baptismal certificate from the church.

e-ISSN: 2443-2067

Responding to the planned revision of the UUPA, a National Legislation Program, the DPR RI must consult and obtain approval from *Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Aceh* or the Aceh Province's House of Representatives (DPRA) and the Aceh Government in advance on points that are considered urgent. The revised plan must also be discussed carefully by involving elements of the Acehnese community and strategic groups, NGOs, academics, and combatant groups so that fears of reaping new conflicts in Aceh Province will not occur again. The UUPA is an elaboration of the Helsinki MoU. Every affair and issue regarding matters deemed significantly related to the Aceh must consult and obtain approval from the DPRA and the Aceh Government. This matter has been stated in point 1.1.2 Helsinki MoU as follows;

- 1. Decisions of the DPR RI Expertise Board relating to the Aceh Government will be carried out in consultation with and with the approval of the Aceh legislature,
- 2. The Indonesian Government's administrative policies concerning Aceh Province will be implemented with the consultation and approval of the head of the Aceh Government.

Some provisions in the UUPA that are no longer valid due to a permanent legal decision by the Constitutional Court need to be revised. Thus, there is no need to explore other evident essential matters. The hope is that the authorities can become justice producers in democratically fighting for the people's interests.

The proposed revision of the UUPA does not fall into elementary areas, and there is no need for terms that can confuse meaning with exceptions, limitations, or modifications that may disturb the spirit of peace. The revision must also not contain arguments because they will only lead to conflicts of opinion that can injure the values of justice in society and lead to misleading interpretations (Pleasence & Balmer, 2019; Kogelmann, 2017; Freiman, 2017).

The spirit and ideals of the birth of the UUPA are a tangible manifestation of the long road of the Acehnese people in fighting for Indonesian independence and Islamic law (A Hamid Sarong & Nur A Fadhil



Lubis, 2019), remaining upright in Aceh Province as mandated by the Helsinki MoU as well as Article 18, Article 18A and Article 18B of the UUD 1945.

Legal arrangements regarding Aceh's local wisdom specialty should differ from other regions. There is a view that the UUPA is not lex specialis from the Regional Autonomy Law in general. It is deemed necessary to be revised to harmonize it with the law. These legal arrangements do not seem right and unfair if it is forced to also apply to the UUPA.

The UUPA should not be considered as not being *lex specialis* with the Regional Government Law. The UUPA was also established based on Law Number 12 of 2011 concerning Regulations for the Establishment of Legislation, valid in Indonesia and following the UUD 1945. Objectively, the formulation, meaning, and content of the legal rules contained in the UUPA are in line with the clarity of objectives and functions to protect the interests of the Acehnese people, for example, the position of Acehnese local parties, the position of the Wali Nanggroe Institution, the position of the Sharia Court as the applicable law in Aceh Province (Huda, 2020).

The proposed revision of the UUPA must be viewed from a theoretical and practical point of view so that it does not affect elementary matters of reasoning and justice. Poor, unnecessary, and unfair regulations will cause people to disrespect the laws and eventually destroy The State's authority.

From the discussion above, several things will be discussed in this article; (1) The Aceh Government's authority over the proposed revision of Law Number 11 of 2006 concerning The Aceh Government by the DPR RI Expertise Board, (2) targets and scope of proposed changes to the UUPA by DPR RI Expertise Board, and (3) the proposed arrangement's target, scope, and direction for the revised Bill on Amendments to the UUPA.

B. Method

This research is juridical normative, meaning that it is conducted through literature/ library studies by examining various secondary data, such as research results or studies, literature, and related regulations, both at the

e-ISSN: 2443-2067

level of laws and implementing regulations and various related legal documents. The library studies included a review of laws and regulations related to implementing regional autonomy in the Aceh Government, as follows.

- 1. The UUD RI 1945;
- 2. Law Number 11 of 2006 concerning the Aceh Government;
- 3. Law Number 44 of 1999 concerning the Implementation of the Privileges of the Aceh Province;
- 4. Law Number 23 of 2014 concerning Regional Government;
- 5. Law Number 7 of 2017 concerning General Elections;
- 6. Law Number 10 of 2016 concerning the Second Amendment to Law Number 1 of 2015 concerning Stipulation of Government Regulations, instead of Law Number 1 of 2014 concerning the Election of Governors, Regents, and Mayors;
- 7. Law Number 2 of 2011 concerning Amendments to Law Number 2 of 2008 concerning Political Parties;
- 8. Law Number 12 of 2011 concerning the Establishment of Legislation.

C. Result and Discussion

1. Legal Basis for the Establishment of the UUPA

a. Philosophical Foundation

Pancasila is the Indonesian philosophical foundation, manifested in various universal dimensions: mutual respect, equality of rights and positions, independence, diversity, truth, justice, and others. The noble values contained in Pancasila are abstracted in the constitution, which is the spirit of justice in Indonesian law, namely the UUD 1945 (Emilia, S. et al., 2022; Dewantara, J. A. et al., 2019).

In the preamble of the UUD 1945, the first paragraph states that independence is the right of all nations, so colonialism must be abolished because it is not in line with humanity and justice. Therefore, with the independence achieved by the Indonesian people, in the fourth paragraph, it was emphasized that the Indonesia Government "...protect all regions of Indonesia and to promote public welfare, educate the nation's life, and participate in implementing world order based on independence, eternal peace, and social justice, ...".



The construction of an Indonesian State to achieve Indonesia's vision is regulated in the Articles of the UUD 1945. Article 1 Paragraph (1) of the UUD 1945 states, "The Indonesia State is a unitary state, in the form of a republic". Furthermore, Article 18 of the UUD 1945 regulates the division of the unitary State and regional government, which reads as follows.

- 1) The Unitary State of the Republic of Indonesia is divided into provincial regions, divided into regencies, and cities, each of which has a regional government, as regulated by law;
- 2) The province, regencies, and city governments regulate and manage their government affairs according to the principles of autonomy and co-administration;
- 3) The province, regencies, and city governments have Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Daerah, or the Regional House of Representatives, whose members are elected through general elections;
- 4) The governors, regents, and mayors, respectively, as heads of the province, regencies, and city governments, are democratically elected;
- 5) Regional governments exercise the broadest possible autonomy, except for government affairs determined by law to be the affairs of the central government;
- 6) Regional governments can stipulate regional and other regulations for autonomy and assistance tasks;
- 7) The structure and procedures for administering regional government are regulated by law.

Article 18 of UUD 1945 mandates that the Unitary State of the Republic of Indonesia has broad autonomy, except for government affairs which are determined by law as affairs of the central government.

In constructing the relationship of authority between the central government and the Aceh Government, it is not only seen to pay attention to the specificity and diversity of the region, but the UUD 1945 also requires the State to acknowledge and respect the special regional government units (Muda, 2017), as regulated in the UUD 1945 Article 18B Paragraph (1). Article 18B Paragraph (2) also asserts that the State is obliged to recognize and respect customary law community units and their traditional rights as long as they are still valid and under the development of society and the principles of the Unitary State of the Republic of Indonesia, as regulated by law.

e-ISSN: 2443-2067

All issues related to regional specialties or privileges must be stated or regulated by law (Shen, 2020), including in the context of the existence of the Aceh Province as an autonomous region. The specificity of Aceh is related to one of the distinctive characteristics of the history of the struggle of the Acehnese people, having high resilience and fighting power against the struggle of the nation and the Republic of Indonesia.

b. Sociological Foundation

The birth of the UUPA was motivated by the sociological situation of the Aceh conflict that had lasted for a long time, especially the conflict between the Free Aceh Movement (*Gerakan Aceh Merdeka*-GAM) and the Republic of Indonesia. This protracted conflict ended peacefully in Helsinki on 15 August 2005. This agreement is in the Helsinki MoU between the Indonesia Government and GAM. The Helsinki MoU consists of six points agreed upon between the two parties, one of which is about the governance administration in Aceh by establishing the UUPA (Qonita Royani Salpina et al., 2018). This MoU is a legal document that describes the agreement between the two parties.

In considering the formation of the UUPA, the sociological conditions in Aceh Government at that time were illustrated. Two sociological conditions become the background of the problem. First, the administration and implementation of development in the Aceh Government up to that time had not fully realized the welfare of the people, justice, and the promotion, fulfillment, and protection of human rights. Hence, the Aceh Government needed to be developed and implemented based on the principles of good governance. Second, the earthquake and tsunami disaster that occurred in Aceh Province on 26 December 2004 fostered the solidarity of all the potentials of the Indonesian nation to rebuild the people and region of Aceh Province and resolve conflicts in a peaceful, comprehensive, sustainable, and dignified manner within the framework of The Unitary State of the Republic of Indonesia.

The proposed amendment to the UUPA must provide sustainable benefits to the people of Aceh Province while maintaining peace. It should no



longer cause vertical conflicts between the Indonesian government and the people in Aceh. In addition, another critical issue is improving the welfare of the Acehnese people. The community's poverty level is still relatively high, at 40.2%. However, the poverty rate fell to 32.60% after the existence of the Aceh Special Autonomy Fund, and it continues to decline to 15.01%. However, Aceh Province is still placed at number 3 at the national level. Therefore, the Aceh Special Autonomy Fund must be made permanent (Delivered in a Meeting with the DPR RI Expertise Board Data Collection Team at the Aceh Governor's Office, Banda Aceh, on 13 February 2020., 2020).

Sociologically, there are two crucial points for changes to the UUPA. First, maintain peace in Aceh Province, which has been agreed upon and stated in the Helsinki MoU. Second, continue to encourage efforts to improve welfare for the people of Aceh through specific steps, including concerning the Aceh Special Autonomy Fund, which must be continued.

c. Juridical Foundation

Provisions related to particular regions are regulated in Article 18B of the UUD 1945; the State recognizes and respects special regional government units regulated by law. Aceh Province is a unique regional government unit designated as the capital for the struggle to seize and defend the independence of The Unitary State of the Republic of Indonesia (Law Number 11 of 2006 Concerning Aceh Government). Furthermore, the provisions of the UUD 1945 in Article 18B Paragraph (2) stipulate that the State is obliged to recognize and respect customary law community units and their traditional rights as long as they are still valid and under the development of society and the principles of the Unitary State of the Republic of Indonesia, as regulated by law.

The people of Aceh have customs, traditions, arts and culture, and local wisdom as an identity rooted in life. For the people of Aceh, tradition is something written or unwritten that becomes a guide in society.

Specifically, in forming statutory regulations, the government issued Law Number 12 of 2011 concerning the Establishment of Legislation. Law

e-ISSN: 2443-2067

Number 15 of 2009 concerning Regulations for the Formation of Laws and Regulations is used as a guide. In every legislation formed, there is clarity of purpose following the hierarchy and made by an authorized institution that adapts its content material to the development of society. The birth of this law is based on the idea that the Indonesian State is a legal state that regulates all aspects of life. The goal is that every existing element helps each other anticipate and overcome societal problems (Aldeia, J. & Alves, 2019), nation and State. The stages of forming laws and regulations include planning, drafting, discussing, ratifying, and determining.

According to the hierarchy and nomenclature, promulgation is generally formed and determined by the authorized institution or official through procedures. In Article 7 Paragraph (1) concerning the law on the Formation of Legislations, the types and hierarchy of laws and regulations consist of.

- 1) The UUD 1945;
- 2) Decree of the People's Consultative Assembly;
- 3) Laws/Government Regulations instead of Laws;
- 4) Government Regulations;
- 5) Presidential decree;
- 6) Provincial Regulations; and
- 7) Regency/ City Regional Regulations.

Article 7 Paragraph (2) states that the legal force of the legislation is in line with the hierarchy, as referred to in paragraph (1). Furthermore, in the elucidation of Article 7 Paragraph (1) letter f, it is stated that what is included in regional regulations include *Qanun* in force in Aceh Province, Special Regional Regulations (Peraturan Daerah Khusus-Perdasus), and Provincial Regulations (Peraturan Daerah Provinsi-Perdasi) in force in Papua and West Papua Provinces. Then in the explanation of Article 7 Paragraph (1), letter g, it is stated that what is included in the regency/ city regional regulation is the *Qanun* that applies in the regency/ city in Aceh Province.

The link between the law on the Establishment of Legislation and UUPA is the acknowledgment of the existence of *Qanun* as a term explicitly used in Aceh Province. It is because Aceh, as stated in the UUPA, is a



province with a particular legal entity and is given special authority to regulate and manage its government affairs and the interests of the local community by the laws and regulations in the system and principles of The Unitary State of the Republic of Indonesia.

2. The Position of Law Number 11 of 2006 concerning the Aceh Government

As a result of the Aceh earthquake and tsunami disaster, the administration of government that previously could not be fully implemented for the welfare of the people, justice, and the protection of human rights in Aceh Province. This country has fostered solidarity with all the nation's potential to rebuild Aceh's people and region, including resolving conflicts peacefully in Aceh (Lee, 2020). The issuance of Law Number 11 of 2016 concerning the Aceh Government, as a substitute for the law on implementing Special Autonomy for the Nanggroe Aceh Darussalam Province, is the result of the Helsinki MoU. The UUPA, promulgated on 1 August 2006, consists of 40 chapters and 273 articles.

Article 7 of the UUPA regulates the authority in government affairs in all public sectors, except for government affairs which are the government's authority. Article 12 of the UUPA regulates government affairs that fall under its authority, except for those under the central government's authority. Those authorities have consisted of government affairs of a national nature, foreign policy, defense, security, judiciary, national monetary and fiscal matters, and specific affairs in the religious field (Bauw, 2016; Lequesne, C. & Paquin, 2017; Ker-Lindsay, 2012).

Article 16 of the UUPA regulates matters that include mandatory affairs, other mandatory affairs, and optional affairs. Mandatory affairs under the Aceh Government's authority still present at the Aceh provincial scale include; (a) planning, utilization, and supervision of spatial planning; (b) development planning and control; (c) the administration of public order and public peace; (d) provision of public facilities and infrastructure; (e) handling of the health sector; (f) implementation of education and allocation of potential human resources; (g) overcoming social problems across districts/

e-ISSN: 2443-2067

cities; (h) services in providing employment and human resources across districts/ cities; (i) facilitation of the development of cooperatives, small and medium enterprises including across districts/ cities; (j) environmental control; (k) land services, including across districts/cities (Chairul Fahmi & Muhammad Siddiq Armia, 2022); (l) population and civil registration services; (m) government general administration services; (n) investment administration services, including across districts/ cities; and the implementation of other essential services that have not been able to be implemented by the district/ city government.

Other mandatory affairs under the Aceh Government's authority include (a) the implementation of religious life in performing Sharia for its adherents in Aceh while maintaining inter-religious harmony; (b) organizing traditional life based on Islam; (c) providing quality education and adding local content under Islamic Sharia; (d) the role of the ulama in setting Aceh policies; and (e). she is organizing and managing the pilgrimage by statutory regulations.

Aceh's optional government affairs include government affairs that have real potential to improve the community's welfare under Aceh's conditions, characteristics, and superior potential. Article 21 of the UUPA stipulates that the administration of the Aceh Government consists of the Aceh Government itself and the DPRA. In contrast, the district/ city administration consists of the district/ city government of the City's House of Representatives (*Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Kota-DPRK*).

It should be noted that the nomenclature of the people's representative institutions in Aceh Province is different from other regional governments that use the nomenclature of the Provincial House of Representatives (Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Daerah Provinsi - DPRD Provinsi) and or Regency/ City's House of Representatives (Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Daerah Kabupaten/ Kota-DPRD Kabupaten/ Kota). Even the nomenclature of Regional Regulations (Peraturan Daerah-Perda) in Aceh differs from other regional governments because it does not use the nomenclature of Provincial Perda and Regency/ City Perda. The Aceh Government uses the *Qanun*, a type of regional regulation that regulates governance and the lives of the Acehnese people.



In addition, several other matters are also regulated in the UUPA, different from other regional autonomy laws, which only regulate general matters related to the region. In the UUPA, there are content materials that are specifically regulated, for example, related to the organizers of the presidential/vice-presidential election, DPR members, DPD members, DPRA members, DPRK members, as well as regional head/ deputy regional head elections, the Aceh Independent Election Commission, the Aceh Election Supervisory Committee, and Local Party.

There is also content regarding the Wali Nanggroe Institution, the customary leadership authorized to foster and supervise the implementation of the life of traditional institutions customs and give titles and traditional ceremonies, the existence of a Sharia Court (Muhammad & Rosmawardani, 2020; Armia, et al., 2022), which is an Islamic sharia court for the Muslim community in Aceh, the existence of the Ulema Consultative Assembly (Majelis Permusyawaratan Ulama-MPU) consists of Muslim scholars and scholars who are working partners of the Aceh Government and the DPRA in establishing fatwa (a ruling on the point of Islamic law given by a recognized authority) as consideration for regional government policies in the fields of government, development, community development, and the economy. There are also arrangements regarding flags, symbols, and hymns as symbols reflecting the peculiarities and specialties of Aceh (Barter, 2017; Armia, 2017).

3. The position of Helsinki on the UUPA

The MoU (Memorandum of Understanding) between the Indonesia Government and GAM in Helsinki, on 15 August 2005, by the Minister of Law and Human Rights, Hamid Awaluddin, and Prime Minister of GAM, Malik Mahmud, marked a new chapter in the history of Aceh Province and the lives of its people towards Aceh which peace, justice, and prosperity (Academic Draft of the Aceh Governance Bill, Ministry of Home Affairs, 2006).

In the Helsinki MoU, several things were agreed upon. First, the Indonesian Government and GAM affirm their commitment to resolving the Aceh conflict peacefully, comprehensively, sustainably, and dignifiedly for

e-ISSN: 2443-2067

all. Second, the Republic of Indonesia and GAM are determined to create conditions to realize governance in Aceh through a democratic and fair process within The Unitary State and Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia. Third, both parties firmly believe that only a peaceful settlement of the conflict can enable progress and success in rebuilding Aceh after the earthquake and tsunami disaster of 26 December 2004. Fourth, both parties involved in the conflict are determined to build mutual trust.

The Helsinki MoU details the contents of the agreement to be reached and the principles used to guide the transformation process. Specifically regarding governance arrangements in Aceh, it has been agreed to give special authority to the Aceh Province through a new UUPA, which will come into force no later than 31 March 2006. In particular, in the field of Politics and General Elections, the MoU emphasizes the establishment of local political parties in Aceh and free and fair local elections to elect the head of the Aceh Government and other elected officials and members of the Aceh legislature (Academic Draft of the Aceh Governance Bill, Ministry of Home Affairs, 2006).

Philosophically, the Helsinki MoU is the philosophical basis of the UUPA, a fundamental principle of the governance of Aceh. Meanwhile, from a sociological perspective, the Helsinki MoU has been optimally stated in the UUPA, following the Helsinki MoU guidelines. From a juridical perspective, both in substance and the UUPA content are under the conditions developed.

4. Problems Related to the Proposed Amendment to the UUPA

a. Problems Related to Institutional Authority

According to the law concerning the regulations for the formation of laws and regulations, Article 5 states that the making of laws and regulations includes the principle of clarity of purpose; the appropriate forming institution or official; suitability between types, hierarchies, and payload materials; can be implemented; usability and effectiveness, clarity of formulation, and openness. In addition, the content of this bill is based on the principle of the content of laws and regulations, as regulated in Article 6 of the Law on the Formation of Legislation, which includes, among others, the



principles of protection, humanity, nationality, kinship, archipelago, diversity in diversity, justice, equality of position in law and government, order and legal certainty, and balance and harmony.

The proposed amendment to the UUPA by the DPR RI Expertise Board must absorb the people's aspirations correctly and directly to comply with the principle of clarity of purpose (Flückiger, 2016). The proposed amendment to the law on the Aceh Government Bill must follow the procedures and provisions that apply to Aceh as a particular region that was born based on the mandate of the Helsinki MoU, both regulated in the UUPA and the provisions of Presidential Regulation Number 75 of 2008 concerning procedures for consultation and granting, consideration of plans for the international agreements, plans for forming laws, and administrative policies directly related to the Aceh Government. Thus, any decisions taken must be by the agreed results.

In particular, changes to the UUPA take into account the following.

- 1) Item 1.1.2, Letter C of the Helsinki MoU states that the DPR RI decisions related to Aceh will be carried out with consultation and approval from the Aceh legislature;
- 2) Article 8 Paragraph (2) of the UUPA states that the DPR's plan for forming a law directly related to the Aceh Government is carried out in consultation and consideration of the DPRA;
- 3) Article 269 Paragraph (3) of the UUPA states that in the event of a plan to amend this law, it is carried out through a consultation process to obtain consideration from the DPRA.

Amendments to the UUPA must be based on the principles of the Helsinki MoU. In addition, national laws applied to the Aceh Province need to consider the Helsinki MoU and the UUPA, or there is a clause that "This Law also applies to Aceh as long as it is not regulated separately in the UUPA". Every time a ministry proposes establishing legislation for Aceh Province, the Helsinki MoU and the UUPA are the material that must be considered. The proposed revision of the UUPA needs to be re-aligned to conform to the points of the Helsinki MoU. It is intended that the contents contained in the UUPA are by the wishes and demands of the Acehnese people.

e-ISSN: 2443-2067

Related to the nature of the consultation and consideration of the DPRA is the status of Consultation and Approval, not just Consultation and Consideration. Approval has a legal stipulation, while consideration has a less precise meaning. For example, Article 269 Paragraph (3) in the UUPA is sufficient with the consultation and consideration of the DPRA; it should only regulate *Pemilihan Ketua Daerah* or the Election of Governors, Regents, and Mayors (Pilkada) with approval. In addition, 70 percent of the natural products for Aceh Province in the Helsinki MoU discussion are different from the UUPA. Therefore, the Aceh Government's authority should determine the appropriate distribution percentage.

The Helsinki MoU is the core of the philosophy of the UUPA, a fundamental principle. Any changes need to be adjusted as long as they support the implementation of the Helsinki MoU. The provisions contained in the Helsinki MoU have yet to be fully accommodated in the UUPA. Therefore, if a revision is to be made, it must accommodate all agreements in the Helsinki MoU. For example, Article 8 Paragraph (2) mentions the word "consultation", in contrast to that contained in the Helsinki MoU, namely "Agreement".

The Helsinki MoU was created due to lasting peace for the welfare of the people of Aceh (Taydas Zeynep & Dursun Peksen, 2012; Pippa, 2012; Oliver P, 2008). The Helsinki MoU must be a guideline for amending the UUPA as part of the spirit of peace. Thus, the limitations that must be complied with and adjusted are regarding the following.

First, even if there are changes, they will still pay attention to the four features of Aceh in terms of organizing traditional life, education administration, and the role of the ulama in determining Regional policies), namely in terms of Election Governance. This change includes affirming the existence of election management institutions in Aceh and local political parties as part of the specialty/ privilege of Aceh.

Second, there is a guarantee that Aceh has an independent electoral management system and does not have to be the same as election governance at the central level (Karim, 2019; Pasha, 2018). Thus, Aceh does not have to follow national regulations regarding all changes to the laws and regulations



regarding the General Election and Regional Head Elections because they are subject to the provisions of the UUPA and *Qanun*.

Third, Consultation and Approval in the Helsinki MoU are essential, not limited to Consultation and Consideration. Thus, the provisions that are not in harmony should not be carried out, and legal products that have been issued but still need to be in line with the MoU, so they must be reviewed.

b. Regulatory and Content Problems

The evaluation and analysis of the DPR RI through a unique DPR RI Expertise Board team consider that the UUPA is no longer appropriate and relevant to current legal developments, including those related to the Law on Regional and National Elections. It is alleged that the law has undergone several changes, so the UUPA also needs to be revised and adjusted to the generally accepted laws nationally. For example, regarding the requirements for candidates for regional heads and deputy regional heads, Article 67 Paragraph (2) letter e UUPA stipulates that candidates must be at least 30 years old. On the other hand, in Article 7 Paragraph (2) letter e Law Number 10 of 2016 concerning the Second Amendment to Law Number 1 of 2015 concerning Stipulation of Government Regulations, instead of Law Number 1 of 2014 concerning the Election of Governors, Regents, and Mayors into Law (Undang-undang Pemilihan Ketua Daerah-UU Pilkada), it stipulates that candidates must be at least 30 years old for governor and deputy governor candidates, as well as 25 years old for regent and deputy regent candidates, and the mayor and deputy mayor candidates.

Generally applicable nationally, the Law on Regional Autonomy is inappropriate compared to Law Number 11 of 2016 concerning the Aceh Government as a particular autonomous region. Therefore, the issues related to the age limit for regional head candidates in the UUPA do not necessarily have to be harmonized with the National Election Law based on Law Number 7 of 2017 concerning Elections (Orba, 2012).

e-ISSN: 2443-2067

c. Problems with the Constitutional Court's Decision

Following up on the Constitutional Court Decision No. 35/PUU-VIII/2010 and the Constitutional Court Decision No. 51/PUU-XIV/2016 should substantially no longer apply, following Article 10 of Law Number 12 of 2011 concerning the Establishment of Regulations Legislation (Law on P3). Even though the Constitutional Court's decision has been in effect since it was read in general at the Constitutional Court reading session, there is still a need for follow-up to revise the law. The follow-up to the Constitutional Court's decision is considered in line with the Indonesian legal system, namely civil law, where the laws and regulations take precedence over court decisions.

The proposed revision of the Draft Law on Amendments to the UUPA was formed by considering the Constitutional Court's Decision No. 61/PUU-XV/2017 and the Constitutional Court Decision No. 66/PUU-XV/2017, which cancels the validity of Article 557 Paragraph (2) and Article 571 letter d of the Law on General Elections. In Constitutional Court Decision Number 61/PUU-XI/2017, Articles 57 and 60 of the Law on Elections have been annulled. One of the provisions in the UUPA states that any changes to the law related to Aceh must first be consulted with the DPRA. However, when the Constitutional Court's decision was read out in court, the Ministry of Home Affairs (*Kementerian Dalam Negeri*-Kemendagri) and DPR RI could not show strong evidence that they had consulted with the DPRA. Of course, this is very detrimental to the Aceh Government. Thus, if the government and the DPR RI wish to revise all UUPAs, then in the future, they are required to follow the procedures specified in the UUPA.

5. Consideration of Proposed Changes and Rearrangements

Law Number 11 of 2006 concerning the Aceh Government, which is approximately 14 years old, is a tangible manifestation of the peace agreement between the Indonesia Government and GAM. The normalization of the principle of legal justice in the UUPA aligns with Article 18, Article 18A, and Article 18B of the UUD 1945. These ideals are contained in Article 18, Article 18A, and Article 18B, namely that The State recognizes and respects regional



government units that are special or are privileges regulated by law, as well as guaranteeing regional independence in managing their households through autonomy, and protecting the traditional values of customary law community units found in each province. Therefore, the UUPA, in certain respects, is different from the regional autonomy law in general. UUPA regulates many things specifically. This law is certainly different from what is regulated in the regional autonomy law generally applicable because it is part of local wisdom that is special and special, as mandated by the Helsinki MoU, which is in line with the UUD 1945.

The proposed amendment to the Aceh Governance Bill, based on the mandate of the Helsinki MoU, has been regulated in clause 1.1.2, which states that the new law on Governance in Aceh will be based on the following principles.

- a. Aceh Government will exercise authority in all public sectors, which will be held in conjunction with civil administration and justice, except in foreign relations. External defense, national security, monetary and fiscal matters, judicial power, and freedom of religion, wherein these policies are the authority of the Indonesia Government under the constitution;
- b. International agreements entered into by the Indonesia Government relating to matters of particular interest to Aceh will enter into force with consultation and approval of the legislature in Aceh;
- c. Decisions of the DPR RI relating to the Aceh Government will be made in consultation with and with the approval of the Aceh legislature;
- d. Administrative policies taken by the Indonesian Government concerning Aceh will be implemented with the consultation and approval of the head of the Aceh Government.

Consequently, the UUPA differs from the Regional Government Law, which generally applies to all regions. This difference is because the content material regulated in the UUPA contains local wisdom that is special and special in Aceh based on the mandate of the Helsinki MoU and is in line with the UUD 1945. Regarding the proposed changes to several articles in the UUPA due to the Constitutional Court decision, these are the only articles that must be revoked in the UUPA because they are no longer valid and

e-ISSN: 2443-2067

adapted to current legal developments. Issues related to regulatory conflicts with several rules that nationally apply to differ from those regulated in the UUPA, it is mandatory to pay attention to the principles of Acehnese local wisdom, which are noteworthy (Aspinall, 2005; Mita, 2019).

Proposed changes to the UUPA need to consider the basic principles of granting special powers and governance. In administering the government, the people of Aceh have a role in formulating policies, establishing policies, implementing policies, and evaluating the policies of the Aceh Government. The implementation of the extraordinary powers of the Aceh Government should further enhance the roles and functions of the legislative, executive, political parties, and other social institutions in Aceh. There needs to be a straightforward arrangement starting from the preparation and implementation to the supervision of each program and activity that will be funded by the special autonomy fund (Abrar et al., 2020; Jalil et al., 2019). Change activities are a strategic development program, have a solid driving force, and significantly impact achieving a better, more honest, fair, and responsible Acehnese people's welfare that involves the Acehnese people.

D. Conclusion

The central government, including the *DPR RI*, must ask for the consultation and consideration of Aceh's government regarding the amendment of Aceh's autonomy laws. The amendment of Aceh's autonomy law without consulting and considering Aceh's government has been indicated as unconstitutional. Therefore, the proposed amendment to the UUPA by the DPR RI aims to strengthen peace in Aceh through the Helsinki MoU. The authority has violated procedures and is contrary to the mandate of the Helsinki MoU. In point 1.1.2, any UUPA changes need to be consulted and get the approval of the DPRA and the Aceh Government first. The scope and proposals for changes by the DPR RI to the UUPA must be transparently accountable to the people so that the proposed revision of the UUPA is not a distraction and is for the benefit of the political elite. The proposed revision of the UUPA must be implemented by taking into account the principles of



unique local wisdom and promoting the independence of the Aceh Province in managing its household in a dignified manner. This is because the proposed revision of the UUPA aims to improve it.

Bibliography

- A Hamid Sarong & Nur A Fadhil Lubis. (2019). The Child Rights in Islamic Law With a Special Focus on Aceh. Petita: Jurnal Kajian Ilmu Hukum Dan Syariah, Volume 4 (Nomor 1).
- Abrar, M., Bambang, J., Muhammad, F., & Hakim, B. D. (2020). The Impact of Special Autonomy Funds on Poverty of Human Development and Unemployment in Aceh. International Journal of Innovation, Creativity, and Change, 12(10).
- Academic Draft of the Aceh Governance Bill, Ministry of Home Affairs. (2006).
- Aldeia, J., & Alves, F. (2019). Against the Environment. Problems in Society/ Nature Relations. *Frontiers in Sociology*, 4(29).
- Armia, Muhammad Siddiq, Zahlul Pasha Karim, Sarong, A. H., Zulhilmi, M., & Bin-armia, M. S. (2022). Criticizing the Verdict of 18/JN/2016/MS. MBO of Mahkamah Syar'iyah Meulaboh Aceh on Sexual Abuse against Children from the Perspective of Restorative Justice. *Al-Ihkam*: Jurnal Hukum Dan Pranata Sosia, 17(1), 113-135.
- Armia, M. S. (2017). Autonomy in Aceh-Indonesia, from Armed Conflict to Regulation Conflict. Ar-Raniry International Conference on Islamic Studies.
- Armia, M. S. (2018). Ultra Petita and the Threat to Constitutional Justice: The Indonesian Experience. *Intellectual Discourse*, 26(2).
- Aspinall, E. (2005). The Helsinki Agreement: A more promising basis for Peace in Aceh?
- Barter, S. (2017). Under a Rebel Flag: Social Resistance under Insurgent Rule in Aceh. *Journal of Resistance Studies*, 3(1).
- Basri, H., & Nabiha, A. S. (2014). Accountability of local government: The case of Aceh Province, Indonesia. Asia Pacific Journal of Accounting and Finance, 3(1).
- Bauw, L. (2016). Special Autonomy of Papua: A Review from the Perspective of the Unitary State of the Republic of Indonesia. *Papua Law Journal*, 1(1).

e-ISSN: 2443-2067

- Chairul Fahmi, & Muhammad Siddiq Armia. (2022). Protecting Indigenous Collective Land Property in Indonesia under International Human Rights Norms. *Journal of Southeast Asian Human Rights*, 6(1).
- Delivered in a Meeting with the DPR RI Expertise Board Data Collection Team at the Aceh Governor's Office, Banda Aceh, on 13 February 2020. (2020).
- Dewantara, J. A., Suhendar, I. F., Rosyid, R., & Atmaja, T. S. (2019). Pancasila as Ideology and Characteristics Civic Education in Indonesia. *International Journal for Educational and Vocational Studie*, 1(5).
- Emilia, S., Andini, M., & Asbari, M. (2022). Pancasila as a Paradigm of Legal Development in Indonesia. *Journal of Information Systems and Management (JISMA)*, 1(2).
- Flückiger, A. (2016). The Ambiguous Principle of the Clarity of the Law. In *Obscurity and clarity in the law*. Routledge.
- Freiman, C. (2017). Unequivocal Justice. Routledge.
- Huda, Y. (2020). Islamic Sharia in Aceh and its Implications in Other Regions in Indonesia. *Petita: Jurnal Kajian Ilmu Hukum Dan Syariah*, 5(2).
- Jalil, H., Yani, A., & Kurniawan, A. (2019). Optimization of Special Autonomy Funds Allocation to Alleviate Poverty in Aceh (A Case Study in Districts/ Cities). *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity, and Change*, 9(4), 93–108.
- Karim, Z. P. (2019). Sengkarut Lembaga Pemilu di Antara Tiga Aturan: Studi Terhadap KIP Aceh. Evaluasi Pemilu Serentak 2019 Bidang Evaluasi Aspek Hukum Pemilu, 48(2), 123–154.
- Ker-Lindsay, J. (2012). *The Foreign Policy of Counter Secession: Preventing the Recognition of Contested States*. Oxford University Pres.
- Kogelmann, B. (2017). Justice, Diversity, and the Well-Ordered Society. *The Philosophical Quarterly*, 67(269).
- Law Number 11 of 2006 Concerning the Aceh Government.
- Lee, T. (2020). Political Orders and Peace-Building: Ending the Aceh Conflict. *Conflict, Security & Development*, 20(1).
- Lequesne, C., & Paquin, S. (2017). Federalism, Para Diplomacy and Foreign Policy: A Case of Mutual Neglect. *International Negotiation*, 22(2).
- Lewis, B. D. (2020). How do Mayors get Elected? The Causal Effects of Pre-Electoral Coalitions on Mayoral Election Outcomes in Indonesia. *Local*



- Government Studies, 46(3).
- Lukito, R. (2019). Shariah And The Politics Of Pluralism in Indonesia: Understanding State's Rational Approach to Adat and Islamic Law. Iurnal Kajian Ilmu Hukum Dan Syariah. https://doi.org/10.22373/petita.v4i1.8
- May, B. (2008). The Law on the Governing of Aceh: The Way Forward or a Source of Conflicts. *An International Review of Peace Initiatives*, 20(42).
- McGibbon, R. (2004). Secessionist Challenges in Aceh and Papua: Is Special *Autonomy the Solution?*
- Mita, A. R. (2019). Textual Analysis of the Power of the Government of Indonesia and Aceh in the Helsinki MoU. *Teknosastik*, 17(1).
- Muda, I. (2017). The Effect of Allocation of Dividend of the Regional Government-Owned Enterprises and the Empowerment Efforts on the Revenue of Regional Government: The Case of Indonesia. European Research Studies Journal, 20(4B).
- Muhammad, & Rosmawardani. (2020). Analysis of Absolute Competence of District Courts and Syari'ah Courts. Petita: Jurnal Kajian Ilmu Hukum Dan Syariah, 5(2).
- Mutiara Fahmi, Zahlul Pasha, & Khairil Akbar. (2020). Sengkarut Pola Hubungan Lembaga Penyelenggara Pemilu di Daerah Otonomi Khusus. *Al-Daulah: Jurnal Hukum dan Perundangan Islam, 10(2), 1–31.*
- Orba, M. S. (2012). Understanding of Identical Politics in the Indonesia Local Election: Critical Analysis of Political Election Context Literature. *International Journal of Social Sciences*, 4(1).
- P, R. O. (2008). Welfare and the Civil Peace: Poverty with Rights? In Whose Peace? Critical Perspectives on the Political Economy of Peacebuilding. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Pasha, Z. (2018). Lembaga Penyelenggara Pemilu di Aceh (Studi atas Pencabutan Pasal 57 & Pasal 60 ayat (1), ayat (2), dan ayat (4) Undang-Undang Nomor 11 Tahun 2006 tentang Pemerintahan Aceh melalui Undang-Undang Nomor 7 Tahun 2017 Tentang Pemilihan Umum). Universitas Gadjah Mada.
- Pippa, N. (2012). Making Democratic Governance Work: How Regimes Shape Prosperity, Welfare, and Peace. Cambridge University Press.
- Pleasence, P, & Balmer, N. J. (2019). Justice & the Capability to Function in Society. *Daedalus*, 148(1).

e-ISSN: 2443-2067

- Qonita Royani Salpina, Rusjdi Ali Muhammad, & Yenny Sri Wahyuni. (2018). Kedudukan Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) Helsinki dalam Pembentukan Undang-Undang Nomor 11 Tahun 2006 Tentang Pemerintahan Aceh. *Petita: Jurnal Kajian Ilmu Hukum Dan Syariah*, 1(1).
- Shen, Q. (2020). Research on the Application of Regional Cultural Visual Language in the Packaging Design of Local Specialties. *The 6th International Conference on Arts, Design and Contemporary Education (ICADCE 2020)*.
- Siahaan C, & Tampubolon, M. (2021). Electoral Manipulation In Indonesia's 2019 National Election. *Journal of Positive Psychology & Wellbeing*, 5(4).
- Suryadinata, L. (2005). Indonesia: The Year of a Democratic Election. *Southeast Asian Affairs*, 1.
- Taydas Zeynep, & Dursun Peksen. (2012). Can States Buy Peace? Social Welfare Spending and Civil Conflicts. *Journal of Peace Research*, 49(2).

