

Research Article

Antibiogram Study of Bacteria Isolated from Subclinical Mastitis in Dairy Cattle of Kirtipur and Chandragiri Municipalities, Kathmandu, Nepal

M. Paudel¹*^(D), B. Bohara²^(D), T. Prasai³^(D), S. Bhattarai¹^(D), T.R. Gompo⁴^(D)

¹*Faculty of Animal Science, Veterinary Science and Fisheries, Agriculture and Forestry University, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal ²Himalyan College of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal

³Chief Veterinary Officer, Central Referral Veterinary Hospital, Kathmandu, Nepal

Abstract

⁴Senior Veterinary Officer, Central Veterinary Laboratory, Kathmandu, Nepal

Received: 18 June 2023 Revised version received: 06 September 2023 Accepted: 10 September 2023 Published: 29 September 2023

Cite this article as:

M. Paudel et al. (2023) *Int. J. Appl. Sci. Biotechnol. Vol* 11(3): 135-142. DOI: <u>10.3126/ijasbt.v11i3.58875</u>

*Corresponding author

M. Paudel,

OPEN

Faculty of Animal Science, Veterinary Science and Fisheries, Agriculture and Forestry University, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal

Email: vet.madhav@gmail.com

Peer reviewed under authority of IJASBT ©2023 International Journal of Applied Sciences and Biotechnology



This is an open access article & it is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 4.0 International (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

CESS

The dairy sector in Nepal has a high potential for growth. Unfortunately, its progress is impeded by diseases such as mastitis. The purpose of the current investigation was to identify the predominant bacterial species in mastitis affected cattle milk and to ascertain the most efficacious antibacterial treatment against the bacterial infections. The study was conducted from 17th August 2022 to 12th February 2023 in lactating cattle of the Kirtipur and Chandragiri municipalities of Kathmandu district, Nepal. A total of 640 milk samples from 160 cattle were collected randomly from cattle farms of both the municipalities. Initial screening for mastitis was conducted on collection sites by the California Mastitis Test (CMT). Further bacterial isolation and identification were carried out at the Central Veterinary Laboratory, Kathmandu using standard bacterial techniques and the antibiogram were evaluated based on CLSI guidelines. Among 640 samples collected from 160 cattle, 3.91% (25/640) tested CMTpositive at the individual quarter level, whereas the prevalence at the cow level was 15.62% (25/160). The major bacterial species isolated, in subsequent culture of CMT positive samples, were Staphylococcus spp. (10, 40%), E. coli (6, 24%), Streptococcus spp. (5, 20%), Enterococcus spp. (2, 8%), Bacillus spp. (1, 4%), and Klebsiella spp. (1, 4%). Antibiogram study reveals that major gram-positive bacteria such as Staphylococcus spp., Streptococcus spp., Enterococcus spp., and Bacillus spp. were highly sensitive to Ampicillin, Florfenicol, and Gentamicin. On the other hand, the major gram-negative bacteria; E. coli, and Klebsiella spp. were highly sensitive to Florfenicol, Gentamicin, Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole and Ceftriaxone. Early diagnosis and careful monitoring of antimicrobial susceptibility before therapeutic intervention are essential to prevent high economic losses due to mastitis and to mitigate the potential risk of antimicrobial resistance in the livestock population.

Keywords: Subclinical mastitis; Dairy cattle; California mastitis test; Antibiogram; Kathmandu

Introduction

Nepal is predominantly an agriculture and livestock-based country, where approximately 66% of the population is engaged in the agricultural sector, contributing to one-third of the nation's GDP. Notably, livestock plays a significant role, contributing to 32% of the agricultural GDP and 11.17% of the total country's GDP (LIVESTOCK STATISTICS OF NEPAL, 2022). The contribution of cattle and buffaloes to the livestock GDP is crucial, and the contribution of milk is at the top, which alone shares 11.05% of the total AGDP (LIVESTOCK STATISTICS OF NEPAL, 2022). Cattle is one of the major livestock

This paper can be downloaded online at http://ijasbt.org & http://nepjol.info/index.php/IJASBT

commodities reared with an estimated population of 7466841 contributing 1060487 metric tons of milk per annum (LIVESTOCK STATISTICS OF NEPAL, 2022). Despite the figures, milk production frequently fails to meet the nation's demand owing to various factors, among which mastitis occurrence holds a prominent position. Mastitis-related costs encompass reduced milk yield, milk condemnation owing to antibiotic residues, veterinary expanses, the removal of chronically infected cows, and sporadic fatalities (Lamey *et al.*, 2013).

Burangohain and Dutta (1994) have reported a 30 to 50 percent decrease in milk production from the affected quarter and 15 percent from an affected cow. Additionally, mastitis poses a significant zoonotic risk through the excretion of bacteria and their toxins in milk. (González and Wilson, 2003). Bovine mastitis is characterized by an inflammatory response in the mammary gland tissue, triggered by either physical trauma or microbial infections (Gomes and Henriques, 2016). The extent of inflammation and clinical characteristics distinguish bovine mastitis into three categories: clinical, sub-clinical, and chronic mastitis (Khan and Khan, 2006). Clinical mastitis is further classified as per-acute, acute, and sub-acute based on the severity of the inflammation (Kibebew, 2017). Subclinical mastitis (SCM) is marked by physical, chemical, bacteriological, and cytological alterations in milk, as well as pathological changes in the mammary gland, and it is reported to be 15-40 times more prevalent than the clinical form of mastitis (Abd-Elrahman, 2013). Mastitis results from a diverse range of pathogens and is categorized based epidemiological factors into contagious on and environmental mastitis (Cervinkova et al., 2013). The primary reservoir for contagious pathogens is the udders of infected cows, and they are primarily transmitted from cow to cow during milking. These pathogens often result in chronic sub-clinical infections, with periodic outbreaks of clinical episodes. Examples of contagious pathogens include Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus agalactiae, Mycoplasma spp., and Corynebacterium bovis (Radostits et al., 2006). Similarly, environmental mastitis refers to intramammary infections caused by pathogens that primarily originate from the environment in which cows are housed (Smith et al., 2001). Environmental pathogens, such as E. coli, Klebsiella spp., Streptococcus dysgalactiae, and Streptococcus uberis, primarily cause sub-clinical and then clinical infections of shorter durations (Harmon, 1994).

Mastitis not only accounts for 70% of economic losses and is a significant impediment to milk production but also poses a zoonotic risk for the transmission of major diseases such as tuberculosis, brucellosis, leptospirosis, and streptococcal sore throat to humans, as noted by (Bachaya *et al.*, 2011; Birhanu *et al.*, 2017). The economic impact, effect on animal productivity, implications for international trade, and concerns regarding animal welfare associated with mastitis highlight its significant importance to the agricultural industry (Thomson, 2000). Therefore, mastitis has become a critical area of focus in the field of veterinary clinical practice globally, necessitating the development of rapid, sensitive, and cost-effective diagnostic tools to identify cases of intramammary infection.

Although the specific pathogens responsible for subclinical mastitis (SCM) may differ across countries and studies, the frequently identified microorganisms include Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus intermedius, Staphylococcus hyicus, Streptococcus spp., Escherichia coli, Klebsiella spp., and Corynebacterium spp.(Shrestha and Bindari, 2012; Khanal and Pandit, 2013; Gaire and Karki, 2016; Birhanu et al., 2017). In recent years, multidrug-resistant (MDR) E. coli strains have been detected in cases of SCM, potentially endangering public health when unpasteurized milk is ingested (Ombarak et al., 2019). Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the prevalence of mastitis among lactating cattle, identify the major causative agent, and provide insights for the development of effective mastitis control strategies in the Kathmandu area.

Materials and Methods

Site of Study

This study was conducted in the Kirtipur municipality and Chandragiri municipality area of Kathmandu district, which is regarded as the pocket sites of milk production. The samples collected were subjected to laboratory analysis at the Central Veterinary Laboratory (CVL), which is located in Tripureshwor, Kathmandu, Nepal.

Site Profile

Situated at an elevation of 1300 meters above sea level, Kathmandu district is located in the mid-hill region of the country and is known for its fertile soil. With an area spanning 395 square kilometers, it is positioned between 27° 27' North and 27° 49' North latitude, and 85°10' East and 85°32' East longitude, as per CBS 2006 records. (Fig. 1).

Sample Size Determination

A total of 640 quarters milk samples were screened for the CMT from 160 dairy cattle. Samples were collected from each farm using a probability-based proportional method, and animals were selected systematically using random sampling techniques. Those animals presently undergoing antibiotic treatment were excluded in this study. And the CMT-positive samples were subjected to a bacterial culture, gram staining, and Antimicrobial Sensitivity Test.



Fig. 1: Map of Nepal, the Kathmandu district, and the area where the samples were collected.

Data Collection by Questionnaire Survey

A questionnaire was designed to collect detail information from the farmer/farm owners. The questionnaire was focused on some possible determinants of subclinical mastitis (SMC) such as previous exposure to clinical mastitis, parity, breed, status of farm, management practices etc. In order to evaluate the potential impact of management practices on SCM, the questions were asked to each farmer during the sample collection and information regarding individual cattle characteristics, their production history and other relevant details were recorded.

Sampling Technique and Processing of Sample

5 ml of milk samples from each quarter of an individual cattle were collected and tested for subclinical mastitis by CMT. The CMT positive samples were subsequently transported to the Central Veterinary Laboratory (CVL) in a cool box for further testing. All the transported samples in a sterilized, screw-capped test tube were collected following an aseptic procedure with correction labelling of and the positions viz., left front (LF), left hind (LH), right front (RF), and right hind (RH) for each cattle sample., The collected samples were then cultured aerobically on sheep blood agar, nutrient agar, and McConkey's agar for a period of 24-96 hours at 37°C. Individual colonies were subcultured as indicated by (Alaidarous et al., 2017). The isolated microorganisms were identified by using gram staining and the biochemical testing's (Versalovic and American Society for Microbiology, 2011). The isolated bacteria were cultured on Mueller Hinton agar and subjected to antibiotic sensitivity testing using 14 different antibiotics through the disc diffusion method (Bauer et al., 1966) as per Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) guidelines. The antibiotics used for sensitivity testing were

Gentamicin(10mg),

Ampicillin(10mg), (7.25/23.75)mg,

Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole (7.25/23.75)mg, Tetracycline(30mg), Levofloxacin (5mg), Florfenicol (30mg), Ceftriaxone (30 mg) In accordance with the guidelines of the (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2023), the inhibition zones of these antibiotics were measured and recorded as sensitive, intermediate, or resistant.

Data Analysis

Data analysis was done by using a Microsoft Excel worksheet. Percentages were used to express the prevalence and the proportion of the isolates of the different genera of bacteria causing mastitis in the area of this study.

Results

Altogether, 640 samples were collected from each quarter of 160 dairy cattle. Out of 640 samples, 25 (3.91%) were found to be positive in the California Mastitis Test (CMT) as described in Table. 1.

Result of CMT	Occurrence rate
CMT Positive (n=25)	3.91%
CMT Negative (n=615)	96.09%
Total sample	640

All the CMT-positive samples (n= 25) were subjected to bacterial culture for bacterial identification and isolation. Among the isolated bacteria, 72% (n=18) were gram +ve while 28% (n=7) were gram -ve. *Staphylococcus spp., E. coli, and Streptococcus spp. were* the major bacteria isolated from milk samples. Table. 2 below presents the number of bacterial isolates that were obtained in milk microbial culture.

• 1 1

Kirtipur and Chandragiri municipalities.	
Bacterial species	Number (n), (%)
Staphylococcus spp.	10, (40%)
E. coli	6, (24%)
Streptococcus spp.	5, (20%)
Enterococcus spp.	2, (8%)
Bacillus spp.	1, (4%)
Klebseilla spp.	1, (4%)
Grand Total	25, (100%)

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test (AST)

Antimicrobial susceptibility test reveals that Staphylococcus spp.(n=10) were found to be highly

sensitive to Ampicillin and Florfenicol (n=8), followed by Gentamicin (n=7), Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole (n=6), Levofloxacin (n=6). Ceftriaxone was found to have the very least sensitivity against Staphylococcus spp., (Fig.2). E. coli isolated (n=6) were found to be highly sensitive to Florfenicol (n=5), followed by Gentamicin (n=4), Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole (n=4), and Ceftriaxone (n=4), while Ampicillin followed by Tetracycline and Levofloxacin, were found to be very least sensitive as depicted in (Fig.3). Against Streptococcus spp, the average sensitivity of Ampicillin, Tetracycline, Florfenicol, and ceftriaxone, were higher than other antimicrobials as depicted in (fig.4). Against Enterococcus spp, the average sensitivity of Gentamicin, Ampicillin, Trimethoprim, and Florfenicol were higher than other antimicrobials as depicted in (Fig.5).



Fig. 2: Bar Graph representing Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern against Staphylococcus spp.



Fig. 3: Bar Graph representing Antimicrobial Susceptibility pattern against E. coli.



Fig. 4: Bar Graph representing Antimicrobial Susceptibility pattern against Streptococcus spp.



Fig. 5: Bar Graph representing Antimicrobial Susceptibility pattern against Enterococcus spp.

Discussion

The findings of this study provide useful insights into clinical and subclinical mastitis. The prevalence of subclinical mastitis at the individual quarter level was 25/ 640 (3.91%) and the cow level prevalence was 25/160 (15.62%) while the Khakural (1996) reported the prevalence rate of 17.2% in Kathmandu Valley by CMT test which was more than our findings. The decreased prevalence of mastitis might be attributed to increased farmer knowledge and consciousness regarding the cleanliness of the farm floor, a major risk factor for mastitis. The present study revealed that Staphylococcus spp. (40%), E. coli (24%), and Streptococcus spp. (20%) were the major pathogens responsible for mastitis infections in cattle. These findings align closely with the results of a previous study conducted by Tenhagen et al. (2006; Kateete et al. (2013; Khanal and Pandit (2013; Boireau et al. (2018), where they also identified Streptococcus spp., E.coli, and Staphylococcus spp. as the predominant pathogens. In the context of Nepal, Shrestha and Bindari (2012) studied 200

milk samples from 50 dairy cows in the Bhaktapur district and found 52% of animals with subclinical mastitis with Staphylococcus spp as the most prevalent one. Similarly Khanal and Pandit, (2013) detect 46.1% of the sample positive for SCM at Chandreshwor and Archalbot VDCs of Lamjung district which on cultured found that 11.1% was streptococcal mastitis, 9.5% was coliform mastitis and 7.9% was Staphylococcal mastitis. A study conducted by Gaire and Karki (2016) revealed that 63.3% of the tested cattle in Madhyapur Thimi Municipality and Balkot of Bhaktapur were diagnosed with subclinical mastitis. According to the current study, 72% of the 25 isolated bacteria were grampositive, while the remaining were gram-negative. This result is consistent with previous research by Langerhuus et al. (2013), who reported that gram-positive strains were predominant in cases of mastitis in dairy cattle. (Dhungel et al., 2019) revealed that the presence of Staphylococcus spp. in milk samples could be an indicator of inadequate farm sanitation and improper hygiene practices among farmers. Here, in this case, the situation might match. Similarly, the isolation of E coli in milk might be due to fecal

contaminations in the udder or the water used for the milking (Hinthong *et al.*, 2017; Dhungel *et al.*, 2019). Present research align with the finding of Sorge *et al.* (2021) where they insists that *Streptococcus spp.* and the *Enterococcus spp.*, are closely related species that may be isolated in milk . Though *Bacillus* was isolated from the milk samples but we could not confirm that they were either normal flora of the milk or environmental contamination.

One potential mechanism contributing to the resistance of Staphylococcus spp. to tetracycline could involve two mechanisms: (i) Active efflux occurs due to the acquisition of plasmid-borne genes, namely tetK14-16 and tetL, and (ii) ribosomal protection is facilitated through transposonlocated or chromosomal tetM or tetO determinants (Nesin et al., 1990; Schwarz et al., 1998). While resistance to aminoglycosides like gentamycin might arise from (i) a reduction in drug uptake and/or its accumulation within bacteria, and (ii) the expression of bacterial enzymes that modify the antibiotic, rendering it inactive (Shaw et al., 1993; Davies and Wright, 1997). The current study reveals a notable degree of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) to Ceftriaxone, suggesting a potential prevalence of βlactamase enzyme-producing S. aureus strains in Nepal (Shrestha et al., 2021); however, it's crucial to highlight the absence of molecular investigations into the precise characteristics and resistance mechanisms of these strains.

Present research revealed that Ampicillin and Florfenicol are two antimicrobial agents that are effective against *Staphylococcus spp*. These results are consistent with the findings of Müller-Premru *et al.* (2005) and Kehrenberg and Schwarz (2006), which also reported a significant efficacy of Ampicillin and Florfenicol, respectively, against methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) strains. Gentamicin, Trimethoprim/ Sulfamethoxazole, and Levofloxacin are also commonly used antimicrobial agents for the treatment of *Staphylococcus spp*. infections. Studies have shown varying levels of susceptibility to these agents among different *Staphylococcus spp*. strains and in different geographic regions (Al-Talib *et al.*, 2015).

In this study, we found out that E. coli isolated were found to be highly sensitive to Florfenicol, followed by Gentamicin, Trimethoprim, and Ceftriaxone while Ampicillin followed by Tetracycline and Levofloxacin were found to be very least sensitive. Comparing with our finding Kahlmeter, (2003) found that E. coli isolates from human urinary tract infections were highly susceptible to Nitrofurantoin, Fosfomycin, and Mecillinam, while susceptibility Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole, to Ciprofloxacin, and Gentamicin varied. Consistence with our finding, other studies on E. coli by Xiao et al. (2022). Paudel et al. (2023) showed high susceptibility to Imipenem and Amikacin, but lower susceptibility to Ampicillin, and Levofloxacin. The presence of resistance bacteria to these antibiotics is concerning, as these drugs are considered

critical for treating drug-resistant pathogens in humans, as per the WHO (2017). Therefore, the occurrence of such resistance bacteria in milk in Nepal, where unpasteurized milk is consumed, presents a significant public health risk.

Conclusion and Recommendation

The current research investigated the prevalence of mastitis in cattle by CMT and microbial culture techniques, and evaluate the antibiotic sensitivity patterns in common bacterial isolates. In field settings, CMT was discovered to be a cost-effective and convenient diagnostic method for mastitis. Present study revealed mastitis be a significant economic burden for dairy farmers in the region, with an overall prevalence of 3.91% recorded in cattle. The most commonly associated bacteria with mastitis were Staphylococcus spp., E. coli, and Streptococcus spp. Antibiotic susceptibility testing showed high sensitivity of gram-positive bacteria (Staphylococcus spp., Streptococcus spp., Enterococcus spp., and Bacillus spp.) to Ampicillin, Florophenicol, and Gentamicin, and of gram-negative bacteria (E. coli and Klebsiella spp.) to Florophenicol, Gentamicin, Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole, and Ceftriaxone. The resistance of bacteria to certain antibiotics presents a public health hazard to consumers of fresh milk. Therefore, there is a pressing requirement to mandate milk pasteurization for farmers before distribution and consumption. The prevalence of subclinical mastitis was higher than that of clinical mastitis, highlighting the importance of regular screening at the farmer's level and the imperative need for appropriate treatment following antibiotic sensitivity testing. Hence, it is recommended to conduct awareness programs and provide training to farm owners regarding the proper and timely screening of subclinical mastitis, as well as farm sanitation, to mitigate the significant economic losses associated with mastitis. This finding might be helpful on understanding the distribution of pathogenic bacteria and choosing effective antibiotics in studied area.

Authors' Contribution

M. Paudel & B. Bohara designed the research plan; M. Paudel, B. Bohara & T.R. Gompo performed experimental works, collected the required data & analysed the data; M. Paudel & B. Bohara, prepared the manuscript., T. Prasai & S. Bhattarai, critically revised and finalized the manuscript. Final form of manuscript was approved by all authors.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors sincerely thank the directors of Central Referral Veterinary Hospital (CRVH), and the Central Veterinary Laboratory (CVL), Kathmandu, Nepal for providing the laboratory facilities to carry out the research work. We are grateful to all the respondents and helping hands from Kirtipur, and Chandragiri municipalities of Kathmandu district. We are also thankful to Dr. Binita Tamang, Dr. Kham Bahadur Khatri, Dr. Sandesh Chapagain, and for their support in this research.

References

- Abdelfattah EM, Ekong PS, Okello E, Chamchoy T, Karle BM, Black RA, Sheedy D, ElAshmawy WR, Williams DR, Califano D *et al.* (2021) Epidemiology of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) on California dairies: descriptive and cluster analyses of AMR phenotype of fecal commensal bacteria isolated from adult cows. *PeerJ* 9: e11108. DOI: <u>10.7717/peerj.11108</u>
- Abd-Elrahman AH (2013) Mastitis in housed dairy buffaloes: incidence, etiology, clinical finding, antimicrobial sensitivity and different medical treatment against E. coli mastitis. *Life Sci J* **10**: 531–538
- Alaidarous M, Alanazi M, Abdel-Hadi A (2017) Isolation, Identification, and Antimicrobial Susceptibility of Bacteria Associated with Waterpipe Contaminants in Selected Area of Saudi Arabia. *BioMed Res Int* 2017: 1–7 DOI: 10.1155/2017/8042603
- Al-Talib H, Al-Khateeb A, Hassan H (2015) Antimicrobial resistance of Staphylococcus aureus isolates in Malaysian Tertiary Hospital. *Int Med J* **22**: 1–3
- Bachaya HA, Raza, Murtaza S, Akbar IUR (2011) Subclinical bovine mastitis in Muzaffar Garh district of Punjab (Pakistan). J Anim Plant Sci Pak 21: 16–19
- Bauer AW, Kirby WM, Sherris JC, Turck M (1966) Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method.
 Am J Clin Pathol 45: 493–496. DOI: 10.1093/ajcp/45.4 ts.493
- Beck HS, Wise WS, Dodd FH (1992) Cost benefit analysis of bovine mastitis in the UK. J Dairy Res 59: 449–460 DOI: <u>10.1017/S0022029900027114</u>
- Bhalerao DP, Jagadish S, Keskar DV, Dangore AD, Sharma LK (2000) Antibiogram and treatment of bovine sub-clinical mastitis. *Indian Vet J* **77**: 244–246
- Birhanu M, Leta S, Mamo G, Tesfaye S (2017) Prevalence of bovine subclinical mastitis and isolation of its major causes in Bishoftu Town, Ethiopia. *BMC Res Notes* 10: 767. DOI: <u>10.1186/s13104-017-3100-0</u>
- Boireau C, Cazeau G, Jarrige N, Calavas D, Madec J-Y, Leblond A, Haenni M, Gay É (2018) Antimicrobial resistance in bacteria isolated from mastitis in dairy cattle in France, 2006–2016. J Dairy Sci 101: 9451–9462. DOI: 10.3168/jds.2018-14835
- Br B, K K, Kk Y (2018) Situation of Livestock, Production and its Products in Nepal. *Arch Vet Sci Med.* DOI: 10.26502/avsm.001
- Burangohain J, Dutta GN (1994) A note on the efficacy of treatment during lactation for the control of bovine mastitis. *Indian Vet J* **71**: 504–505
- Cervinkova D, Vlkova H, Borodacova I, Makovcova J, Babak V, Lorencova A, Vrtkova I, Marosevic D, Jaglic Z (2013) Prevalence of mastitis pathogens in milk from clinically

healthy cows. Veterinární Medicína **58**: 567–575. DOI: <u>10.17221/7138-VETMED</u>

- Chakrabarti A (2020) A Textbook of Preventive Veterinary Medicine, 6th ed. Kalyani Publishers
- Chauhan RS, Agarwal DK (2008) Textbook of Veterinary Clinical and Laboratory Diagnosis, 2nd Edition.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2023) Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 33rd ed. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA URL:

https://clsi.org/standards/products/microbiology/documen ts/m100/

- Davies J, Wright GD (1997) Bacterial resistance to aminoglycoside antibiotics. *Trends Microbiol* **5**: 234–240. DOI: <u>10.1016/S0966-842X(97)01033-0</u>
- Dhungel D, Maskey B, Bhattarai G, Shrestha NK (2019) Hygienic Quality of Raw Cows' Milk at Farm level in Dharan, Nepal. J Food Sci Technol Nepal 11: 39–46. DOI: <u>10.3126/jfstn.v11i0.29686</u>
- Gaire TN, Karki S (2016) Prevalence of subclinical mastitis and isolation of bacteria from milk samples of dairy cattle of Bhaktapur. *Nepal J Agric Sci* **14**: 273–281
- Gomes F, Henriques M (2016) Control of Bovine Mastitis: Old and Recent Therapeutic Approaches. *Curr Microbiol* 72: 377–382. DOI: <u>10.1007/s00284-015-0958-8</u>
- González RN, Wilson DJ (2003) Mycoplasmal mastitis in dairy herds. *Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract* **19**: 199–221. DOI: <u>10.1016/S0749-0720(02)00076-2</u>
- Harmon RJ (1994) Physiology of Mastitis and Factors Affecting Somatic Cell Counts. J Dairy Sci 77: 2103–2112. DOI: <u>10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(94)77153-8</u>
- Hassoun-Kheir N, Stabholz Y, Kreft J-U, de la Cruz R, Romalde JL, Nesme J, Sørensen SJ, Smets BF, Graham D, Paul M (2020) Comparison of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and antibiotic resistance genes abundance in hospital and community wastewater: A systematic review. *Sci Total Environ* 743: 140804. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140804
- Hinthong W, Pumipuntu N, Santajit S, Kulpeanprasit S, Buranasinsup S, Sookrung N, Chaicumpa W, Aiumurai P, Indrawattana N (2017) Detection and drug resistance profile of Escherichia coli from subclinical mastitis cows and water supply in dairy farms in Saraburi Province, *Thailand. PeerJ* 5: e3431. DOI: <u>10.7717/peerj.3431</u>
- Kahlmeter G (2003) Non-hospital antimicrobial usage and resistance in community-acquired Escherichia coli urinary tract infection. J Antimicrob Chemother 52: 1005–1010. DOI: <u>10.1093/jac/dkg488</u>
- Kateete DP, Kabugo U, Baluku H, Nyakarahuka L, Kyobe S, Okee M, Najjuka CF, Joloba ML (2013) Prevalence and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Patterns of Bacteria from Milkmen and Cows with Clinical Mastitis in and around Kampala, Uganda. *PLoS ONE* 8: e63413. DOI: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0063413</u>

- Kehrenberg C, Schwarz S (2006) Distribution of Florfenicol Resistance Genes *fexA* and *cfr* among Chloramphenicol-Resistant *Staphylococcus* Isolates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 50: 1156–1163. DOI: 10.1128/AAC.50.4.1156-1163.2006
- Khakural G (1996) Study on prevalence of subclinical mastitis in Kathmandu Valley.
- Khan MZ, Khan A (2006) Basic facts of mastitis in dairy animals. Pak Vet J **26**: 202–208
- Khanal T, Pandit A (2013) Assessment of sub-clinical mastitis and its associated risk factors in dairy livestock of Lamjung, Nepal. Int J Infect Microbiol 2: 49–54. DOI: <u>10.3126/ijim.v2i2.8322</u>
- Kibebew K (2017) Bovine Mastitis: A Review of Causes and Epidemiological Point of View. *J Biol Agric Healthc* 7: 1– 14
- Lamey A, Ammar AM, Zaki E, Khairy N, Moshref BS, Refai MK (2013) Virulence factors of Escherichia coli isolated from recurrent cases of clinical and subclinical mastitis in buffaloes. *Int J Microbiol Res* **4**: 86–94
- Langerhuus SN, Ingvartsen KL, Bennedsgaard TW, Røntved CM (2013) Gram-typing of mastitis bacteria in milk samples using flow cytometry. *J Dairy Sci* **96**: 267–277. DOI: <u>10.3168/jds.2012-5813</u>
- LIVESTOCK STATISTICS OF NEPAL (2022) Livestock Statistics Of Nepal 2077/78 (2020/21). 16. URL: www.dls.gov.np
- MOALD G (2021) Statistical Information on Nepalese Agriculture. URL: <u>https://moald.gov.np/wpcontent/uploads/2022/04/STATISTICAL-</u> <u>INFORMATION-ON-NEPALESE-AGRICULTURE-</u> <u>2076-77-2019-20.pdf</u>
- Müller-Premru M, Strommenger B, Alikadic N, Witte W, Friedrich AW, Seme K, Kucina NS, Smrke D, Spik V, Gubina M (2005) New strains of community-acquired methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus with Panton– Valentine leukocidin causing an outbreak of severe soft tissue infection in a football team. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 24: 848–850. DOI: <u>10.1007/s10096-005-0048-</u> <u>0</u>
- Nesin M, Svec P, Lupski JR, Godson GN, Kreiswirth B, Kornblum J, Projan SJ (1990) Cloning and nucleotide sequence of a chromosomally encoded tetracycline resistance determinant, tetA(M), from a pathogenic, methicillinresistant strain of Staphylococcus aureus. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 34: 2273–2276. DOI: 10.1128/AAC.34.11.2273
- Ombarak RA, Zayda MG, Awasthi SP, Hinenoya A, Yamasaki S (2019) Serotypes, pathogenic potential, and antimicrobial resistance of Escherichia coli isolated from subclinical bovine mastitis milk samples in Egypt. *Jpn J Infect Dis* **72**: 337–339. DOI: <u>10.7883/yoken.JJID.2018.538</u>

- Paudel M, Aryal A, Gompo TR, Khatri KB (2023) Microbiological and Hematological Aspects of Canine Pyometra and Associated Risk Factors. SSRN Heliyon. DOI: <u>10.2139/ssrn.4485953</u>
- Radostits OM, Gay C, Hinchcliff KW, Constable PD (2006) Veterinary Medicine E-Book: A textbook of the diseases of cattle, horses, sheep, pigs and goats. Elsevier Health Sciences
- Schwarz S, Roberts MC, Werckenthin C, Pang Y, Lange C (1998) Tetracycline resistance in Staphylococcus spp. from domestic animals. *Vet Microbiol* 63: 217–227. DOI: <u>10.1016/S0378-1135(98)00234-X</u>
- Shaw K, Rather P, Hare R, Miller G (1993) Molecular genetics of aminoglycoside resistance genes and familial relationships of the aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes. *Microbiol Rev* 57: 138–163. DOI: <u>10.1128/mr.57.1.138-163.1993</u>
- Shrestha LB, Syangtan G, Basnet A, Acharya KP, Chand AB, Pokhrel K (2021) Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in Nepal. J Nepal Med Assoc. DOI: 10.31729/jnma.6251. DOI: <u>10.31729/jnma.6251</u>
- Shrestha S, Bindari YR (2012) Prevalence of Sub-Clinical Mastitis among Dairy Cattle in Bhaktapur District, Nepal. *Int J Agric Biosci* 1: 16–19
- Smith GW, Constable PD, Morin DE (2001) Ability of hematologic and serum biochemical variables to differentiate gram-negative and gram-positive mastitis in dairy cows. J Vet Intern Med 15: 394–400. DOI: <u>10.1111/j.1939-1676.2001.tb02335.x</u>
- Sorge US, Huber-Schlenstedt R, Schierling K (2021) In vitro antimicrobial resistance profiles of Streptococcus uberis, Lactococcus spp., and Enterococcus spp. from quarter milk samples of cows between 2015 and 2019 in Southern Germany. J Dairy Sci 104: 5998–6012. DOI: 10.3168/jds.2020-19896
- Tenhagen B-A, Köster G, Wallmann J, Heuwieser W (2006) Prevalence of Mastitis Pathogens and Their Resistance Against Antimicrobial Agents in Dairy Cows in Brandenburg, Germany. J Dairy Sci 89: 2542–2551. DOI: <u>10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(06)72330-X</u>
- Thomson GR (2000) Breeding for disease resistance in farm animals (2nd edition), R.F.E. Axford, S.C. Bishop, F.W. Nicholas and J.B. Owen : book review. J S Afr Vet Assoc 71: 108. DOI: <u>10.4102/jsava.v71i2.699</u>
- Versalovic J, American Society for Microbiology, eds (2011) Manual of clinical microbiology, 10th ed. ASM Press, Washington, DC
- WHO M (2017) WHO model list of essential medicines. Essent Med Health Prod 17–18
- Xiao S, Tang C, Zeng Q, Xue Y, Chen Q, Chen E, Han L (2022) Antimicrobial Resistance and Molecular Epidemiology of Escherichia coli From Bloodstream Infection in Shanghai, China, 2016–2019. *Front Med* 8: 803837. DOI: <u>10.3389/fmed.2021.803837</u>