JWPR Journal of World's Poultry Research **2023, Scienceline Publication** J. World Poult. Res. 13(2): 206-215, June 25, 2023

> Research Paper, PII: S2322455X2300023-13 License: CC BY 4.0



DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.36380/jwpr.2023.23

Molecular Characterization of the NRAMP1 Gene and Blood Parameters of Sinai and Lohman Brown Chickens in Egypt

Walid S. Habashy¹*^(D), Kwaku Adomako²^(D), and Manal M. Abdel-Rahman³^(D)

¹Animal and Poultry Production Department, Agriculture Faculty, Damanhour University, 22516 Egypt ²Department of Animal Science, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana ³Plant Pathology Department (Genetic Branch), Agriculture Faculty, Damanhour University, 22516 Egypt

*Corresponding author's E-mail: walidh55@gmail.com

Received: 24 March 2023 Accepted: 18 May 2023

ABSTRACT

In almost all animal species, natural resistance-associated macrophage proteins (NRAMPs) have been linked to disease resistance. It plays a crucial part in innate immunity and can affect adaptive immunity as well. The aim of this study was to investigate some immunological traits and molecular genetics in the native breed of chickens, named Sinai (S) and a commercial strain of Lohman Brown (LB). The NRAMP1 gene was reported to be associated with a defense mechanism against infection by bacteria and viruses. A total of 144 female day-old chicks, including 72 from the commercial layer strain (LB) and 72 from the Egyptian native chicken strain (S), were used in this study. At 38 days of age, blood samples were taken randomly from 8 chickens of each group for serum antibodies against the New Castle disease virus, avian influenza virus, and infectious bursal disease virus analysis. Additionally, genomic DNA was extracted from 20 blood samples at 38 days of age. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analyses were conducted on the DNA samples, followed by sequencing of the PCR products to identify single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the NRAMP1 gene in the two strains of chickens. The findings indicated that lymphocyte, eosinophil, phagocyte activity, and IgY were significantly greater in LB chicks than in S chicks. Sinai chickens, on the other hand, achieved dominance in Newcastle titter. Eight SNPs were found in NRAMP1 of the two strains. The nucleotide identity between S and LB nucleotides was 58.68%, while the changes in different amino acids were found in different positions. Multiple SNPs in the NRAMP1 gene have been discovered in Sinai and LB, suggesting that this gene can be used as a genetic marker for the selection of high-producing indigenous hybrids with the ability to resist pathogenic diseases in poultry.

Keywords: Disease resistance, Lohman Brown, Sinai, Gene, Single Nucleotide Polymorphism

INTRODUCTION

Poultry plays a major role in the rural economy as a source of animal protein and as a contributor to income since its products are relatively inexpensive and widely available. In an attempt to increase the productivity of poultry, numerous breeding programs have been developed, and the current breeding programs that enhance poultry resistance to diseases have grown in popularity as an alternate strategy for increasing productivity while lowering production costs (FAO, 2013). The importance of native chickens for the rural economy and backyard farming is immense in some countries (Agarwal et al., 2020). Local chickens are important in contributing to the food security of rural households across developing countries. Indigenous chickens in Africa account for 80% of all chickens (Goodger et al., 2002).

The Sinai chicken breed was raised in the desert areas of the governorates of North and South Sinai (Gebriel et al., 2018). Local chicken farms often face low disease resistance (Pagala et al., 2013). The Sinai breed comes from the natural mating of some foreign breeds with local Egyptian chickens. In Egypt, this breed is used as egg-type chicken. Numerous studies have revealed that genetic factors significantly determine disease vulnerability and resistance in chickens (Saleh et al., 2020; 2021). Lohman Brown (LB) is a commercial chicken breed that was created in Germany. It is known for its good egg-laying ability, high production rate, and the ability to adapt to a different environment.

Natural resistance-associated macrophage protein 1 (NRAMP1) is a protein that plays a crucial role in eliminating iron ions from macrophages. It is abundantly present in cells and exhibits upregulation following pathogen infections in various animal species (Soe-Lin et al., 2009; Tohidi et al., 2013). Numerous animal species have the functional candidate NRAMP1 gene, which exhibits disease-resistance action (Lamont et al., 2002). The NRAMP1 gene is linked to the characteristics of chicken immunity (Hu et al., 2011). On chicken chromosome 7, a homolog of NRAMP1 has been located. It has a promoter region, 15 exons, 14 introns, and flanking regions that total 5760 bp in length (Desmond et al., 2019). Advances in molecular technology have created a new horizon for the genetic improvement of traits in poultry (Salah et al., 2021).

A single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) is the most common type of genetic variation in the genome (Eichler et al., 2007). Two types of SNPs are found in coding sequences, synonymous (cause no changes to an amino acid) and nonsynonymous. Nonsynonymous SNPs are interesting because they might influence how proteins are expressed. Conversely, synonymous SNPs most likely have no impact on gene expression. For mapping investigations, synonymous and nonsynonymous SNPs are excellent genetic markers (Emara and Kim, 2003). According to Ardiyana et al. (2020), Newcastle disease antibody titers in SenSi-1 Agrinak chickens were substantially correlated with the TC genotype of the NRAMP-1 gene. The present study aimed to screen SNPs within the NRAMP1 gene of indigenous and exotic chicken strains. Additionally, immunological investigations between the two strains were also conducted.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical approval

Animal and Poultry Production Scientific and Ethics Committee, Faculty of Agriculture, Damanhour University, Egypt (DUFA-2020-11) authorized all experimental techniques.

Study animals

The study started in December 2020 until December 2021 at the Animal and Poultry Research Farm, El-Bostan, and genetic engineering laboratory, Damanhour University, Egypt. A total of 144 female day-old chicks, including 72 from the commercial layer strain (Lohman

Brown) and 72 Egyptian native chickens from the Sinai strain, were used in this study. Chicks were wing banded at hatching and randomly divided into two groups with similar initial body weights in battery brooders (35 cm [L] \times 25 cm [W] \times 30 cm [H]) from day 1 to day 38 of age. There were 12 replicates per strain, with 6 chickens per replicate. Hitchiner B1 (LAPROVET, France) + Gumboro (Zoetis, USA), Influenza H5 N2 (Zoetis, USA), Colon30 (LAPROVET, France), Gumboro 123 (Zoetis, USA), Colon79 (LAPROVET. France). and Lasota (LAPROVET, France) vaccinations were given on days 7, 9, 10, 16, 20 and 30, respectively. A 23:1 light/dark cycle was used from day 2 until day 38 of the experiment, with the ambient temperature and relative humidity being 32.4 \pm 4°C and 46.9 \pm 6%, respectively, and distributed to battery brooders. Until 3 weeks of age, all chicks were fed a commercial diet containing 23% crude protein and 3040 kcal/kg of ME and were fed ad libitum. They were fed a diet with 21% crude protein and 3102 kcal/kg until they were 6 weeks old.

At 38 days of age, approximately 3 ml blood samples were taken randomly from the wing vein of 8 chickens from each strain. Two sterile centrifuge tubes, one with and one without EDTA as an anticoagulant, were used to collect the blood samples. A tube with EDTA was used to measure blood hematology, including white blood cell count (WBC), differentiation of WBC, red blood cell count (RBC), hemoglobin, packed cell volume (PCV), phagocytic activity (PA), and phagocytic index (PI). The tubes were centrifuged for 20 minutes at 3,000 rpm to clearly separate the serum from the plasma, and then the serum and plasma were kept at -20°C. Plasma total protein (g/100 ml) was measured according to Weichselbaum (1946). The albumin concentration (g/100 ml) was determined according to the method of Dumas et al. (1997). The globulin concentration (g/100 ml) was estimated by subtraction of the albumin concentration from the plasma total protein value according to Coles (1986). Serum immunoglobulin (IgY, IgM, and IgA) was determined as described previously by ELnaggar et al. (2016). Blood hematology (Table 2) was performed as indicated by Attia et al. (2014). According to Kawahara et al. (1991), phagocytic activity and phagocytic index were also determined. The hemagglutination inhibition (HI) test was used to detect serum antibodies against Newcastle disease virus (NDV) and avian influenza virus (AIV), according to King and Seal (1998) and Takátsy (1955), respectively. The antibody titer for the infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) was determined using an infectious bursal disease virus antibody test kit (HIBD-001, China).

DNA amplification

A total of 20 chickens at 38 days old from each strain had blood drawn into sterile, EDTA-treated collecting tubes. Whole blood genomic DNA purification mini kit (Thermo Scientific, Gene JET, Germany) was used to isolate genomic DNA from whole blood. DNA samples were analyzed on a spectrophotometer (T80, UK) to determine their concentration and purity. For PCR experiments, approximately 100 ng of genomic DNA from the pool of each strain was used as a PCR template. 20 ul of distilled water, 10 ul of PCR master mix (Fast gene taq ready mix, lot No. LS 27-192708, Germany), and 1 ul of each primer (F, R) were used for the amplification. The PCR reactions were subjected to amplification using a thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, USA) under the following cycling conditions, an initial denaturation step at 95°C for

5 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 minute, annealing at 66 °C for 30 seconds, and extension at 72 °C for 30 seconds. The ultimate elongation was executed at a temperature of 72 °C for 5 minutes. The electrophoretic analysis of the PCR product was conducted on a 1.5% agarose gel at 100 volts for a period of 35 minutes. The visualization of the product was accomplished through the utilization of а gel documentation system manufactured by Bio-Rad (Gel Doc EZ System, USA). Table 1 indicates the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) accession number, forward and reverse primers, as well as amplicon sizes utilized in the present study. Primers were designed using Primer-BLAST from the database sequence of GenBank accession. This primer was obtained from Invitrogen, Thermofisher Scientific, UK.

Table 1. The primer sequence used for amplification of the coding region of NRAMP1 gene

Gene name	NCBI	Amplification size	Sequence	
NDAMD1	A X072001	421 hp	Forward 5`CAATGAGACGGTGTCTGTGG3`	
	A1072001	421 op	Reverse 5` CCCAGAAGAAATCTCCCTGC3`	

NCBI: National Center for Biotechnology Information; NRAMP1: Natural resistance-associated macrophage protein 1

PCR sequencing and processing

The PCR products were purified using a PCR purification kit (QIAquick, Qiagen, Germany). The sequence was carried out using a DNA automated sequencer. Many amplicons from the *NRAMP*1 gene were chosen and sequenced in one way (forward primer). The sequence results were analyzed using Chromas 1.45 software. The obtained sequences were aligned using Clustal Omega (Sievers et al., 2011). The amino acid translation was performed using EXPASY-Translate tools (Gasteiger et al., 2003).

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using the general linear model (GLM) using SAS (2016). The statistical model used in this study was according to Formula 1.

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + S_i + e_{ij}$$
 (Formula 1)

Where, Y_{ij} is the observation, μ denotes the general mean, S_i signifies the fixed effect of the strain (*i*), and e_{ij} determines the random error. Tukey's test was utilized to evaluate the statistical significance between means at a significance level of p less than 0.05.

RESULTS

The data in Table 2 show the effect of strain on blood hematology in chicks. The results showed that the percentage of lymphocytes, the percentage of eosinophils, the percentage of heterophils, the ratio of heterophils to lymphocytes, and the phagocyte activity were all significantly affected by strain. The results showed that the lymphocyte (p < 0.05), eosinophil (p < 0.05), and phagocyte activities (p < 0.05) of LB chicks were significantly greater than those of Sinai chicks. On the other hand, the heterophil-to-lymphocyte ratio of Sinai chicks was higher than those of LB chicks (p < 0.05). Table 3 shows the difference in blood biochemistry between the two strains. Sinai chicks had higher albumin and hemagglutination inhibition antibody against Newcastle disease virus (HINDV) compared to the Lohman strain. The opposite trend was observed for the immunoglobulin yolk (IgY) concentration, where the LB chicks had a significantly higher IgY concentration than the indigenous chicks. Meanwhile, there was no statistically significant difference between strains in hemagglutination inhibition antibody against avian influenza disease virus and hemagglutination inhibition antibody for infectious bursal disease virus.

NRAMP1 gene polymorphism

The *NRAMP1* gene fragment size after amplification was 421 bp. The *NRAMP1* gene was discovered to be nonpolymorphic across all chicken strains, and only one allele form was found. Sequence alignment of the *NRAMP1* gene between the Sinai and LB strains revealed the presence of repeated SNPs at various positions (Figure 1). The results reveal 58.68% identity for nucleotides between Sinai and LB chicks by Clustal Omega and 99% by NCBI in this gene, as shown in Table 4.

In the current study, eight SNPs were identified in *NRAMP1*. The translation of chicken *NRAMP1* sequencing in Sinai and LB is illustrated in Figure 2. At position 1bp nucleotide transition $(A \leftrightarrow C)$, 6 bp $(A \leftrightarrow G)$, 10 bp $(T \leftrightarrow G)$, 11 bp $(G \leftrightarrow A)$, and 12 bp $(A \leftrightarrow G)$ were

observed. Moreover, at position 13 bp, 14 bp $(T \leftrightarrow G)$, and 162 bp $(T \leftrightarrow C)$ were seen.

Present results revealed that the percent identity for amino acids were 88.30%. A change in amino acid number 84-85 from arginine to glycine (84-85 R > G) and amino acid number 86 from threonine to glycine (86 T > G), amino acid number 87 from serine to glutamic acid (87 R > G), amino acid number 88 from histidine to alanine (88 H > A), and amino acid number 89 from histidine to glycine (89 H > G). Moreover, a change in amino acid number 90 from aspartic acid to lysine (90 D > K), amino acid 91 from alanine to tryptophan (91 A > W), amino acid 92 from alanine to histidine (92 A > H), amino acid number 94 from alanine to arginine (94 A > R) are illustrated in Figure 3.

Table 2. Hematological parameters of Sinai and Lohman Brown chicks at 38 days of age in Egypt

Variable	Lohman Brown	Sinai	p-value	SEM
WBC (10 ³ /mm3)	19.50	20.57	0.107	0.454
Lymphocyte (%)	42.43 ^a	40.50^{b}	0.009	0.483
Monocyte (%)	11.79	11.21	0.189	0.299
Basophils (%)	0.714	0.357	0.061	0.226
Eosinophils (%)	11.57 ^a	10.28^{b}	0.002	0.258
Heterophils (%)	33.50 ^b	37.64 ^a	0.0001	0.608
Heterophil to lymphocyte ratio	0.789 ^b	0.929 ^a	0.0009	0.029
Phagocytic Activity	20.86 ^a	19.71 ^b	0.020	0.325
Phagocytic Index	1.730	1.650	0.285	0.051
RBC (10 ⁶ /mm3)	1.490	1.520	0.665	0.046
Hemoglobin (g/dl)	10.78	10.93	0.626	0.205
PCV (%)	32.00	32.21	0.796	0.580
MCH (pg)	73.25	72.65	0.876	2.690
MCHC (g/dl)	33.73	33.94	0.718	0.414
MCV (mm3)	217.7	214.1	0.758	8.230

SEM: Standard error of the mean, WBC: White blood cell, RBC: Red blood cell, PCV: Packed cell volume, MCH: Mean corpuscular hemoglobin, MCHC: Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration, MCV: Mean corpuscular volume. ^{ab}Means with different letters in a row differ significantly (p < 0.05).

Table 3. Effect of chicken strain on blood immunological characteristics in Egypt

	8	011		
Traits	Lohman Brown	Sinai	p-value	SEM
Total protein	5.58	5.74	0.187	0.082
Albumin	3.31 ^b	3.53 ^a	0.003	0.049
Globulin	2.28	2.21	0.6145	0.099
IgM	234.0	236.5	0.1181	1.09
IgY	977.1 ^a	968.2 ^b	0.002	1.79
HINDV	3.71 ^b	4.21 ^a	0.035	0.025
HIIBD	3.07	2.64	0.209	0.055
HIAI	1.50	1.71	0.452	0.039

SEM: Standard error of the mean, IgA: Immunoglobulin A, IgM: Immunoglobulin M, IgY: Immunoglobulin Y, HINDV: Hemagglutination inhibition antibody against Newcastle disease virus, HIIBD: Hemagglutination inhibition antibody against infectious bursa disease virus, HIAI: Hemagglutination inhibition antibody against avian influenza virus ^{ab} Means with different letters in a row differ significantly (p < 0.05).



Figure 1. NRAMP1 gene sequences collected from local Egyptian strain (Sinai) and Lohman Brown chickens in Egypt

Table 4	Percent identity	matrix for n	ucleotide and	amino	acids in Sia	ani and I	ohman Bro	wn chicks ii	n Egynt
I able 4.	I creent lucinity	y maulix for n	uciconac and	ammo	actus in Sie		Julian Dio	which chicks h	n Egypt

Strain	Nucleotide	Amino acids
sp P69905 NRAMP1_Sinai	100.00 58.68	100.00 88.30
sp P01942 NRAMP1_Lohman	58.68 100.00	88.30 100.00

Sinai Lohman	AGCAAAGGGTGATGGCGCTGGGCTGCATCCCACGGCCAGCTAGCCTTGGTGGCTGTTTTG CGCAAGGGGGAGTGGCGCTGGGCTGCATCCCACGGCCAGCTAGCCTTGGTGGCTGTTTTG ****	60 (bp) 60
Sinai Lohman	GGGGCACCGTGCTGCCTCTGGTCTCCCAAACCCAACCCCTCGCTATGCCAAGTTGGGATA GGGGCACCGTGCTGCCTCTGGTCTCCCAAACCCAACCC	120 120
Sinai Lohman	TTTTGGGATCCCCAACGGAGCGTTGCCATGCAGGGCGTCATTTGGGGCTGCTATTTTGGG TTTTGGGATCCCCAACGGAGCGTTGCCATGCAGGGCGTCATCCTGGGCTGCTATTTTGGG ******************************	180 180
Sinai Lohman	GCTGCAGCGCTCTACATCTGGGCCGTGGGGATCCTGGCAGCAGGGCAGAGCTCCACCATG GCTGCAGCGCTCTACATCTGGGCCGTGGGGGATCCTGGCAGCAGGGCAGAGCTCCACCATG ************************************	240 240
Sinai Lohman	ACAGGCACCTACGCGGGACAGTTTGTCATGGAGGTGAGCGGGGAATACAGTGAGAGGGGC ACAGGCACCTACGCGGGACAGTTTGTCATGGAGGTGAGCGGGGGAATACAGTGAGAGGGGC ******************************	300 300
Sinai Lohman	GGGGAGAGAGGTGACTCACGGGTGGGCGCCGGGCGGGAGTCCTATGGGCAGCCCGACCCC GGGGAGAGAGGTGACTCACGGGTGGGCGCCGGGCGGGAGTCCTATGGGCAGCCCGACCCC ***********	360 360
Sinai Lohman	AACAGGGGTATTTGGCAGGGAGATTTCTTCTGGGAACGAAGGACTTCACATCATGATGCT AACAGGGGTATTTGGCAGGGAGATTTCTTCTGGGAAGGGGGAGGGGAGGGGGGGG	420 420

Figure 2. Nucleotide alignment (bp) of NRAMP1 for Sinai and Lohman Brown strains in Egypt

NRAMP1 SINIA	MQGVILGCYFGAAALYIWAVGILAAGQSSTMTGTYAGQ	FVMEVSGEYSERGGERGDSRVG	60
NRAMP1 Lohman	MQGVILGCYFGAAALYIWAVGILAAGQSSTMTGTYAGQ	QFVMEVSGEYSERGGERGDSRVG	60
	***************************************	*****	
NRAMP1 SINIA	AGRESYGQPDPNRGIWQGDFFWERRTSHHDAARAKSG	97	
NRAMP1 Lohman	AGRESYGQPDPNRGIWQGDFFWEGGGEAGKWHVR	94	

Figure 3. Amino acids alignment (bp) of NRAMP1 for Sinai and Lohman strains in Egypt

DISCUSSION

In this study, commercial chicks (LB) had a higher lymphocyte and eosinophil percentage than the local chicks. This finding contrasts with the results of El-Safty (2012), who reported that local Libyan chicks had a higher lymphocyte percentage and lower heterophil percentage. According to Ferrante et al. (2016), heterophils increase while lymphocytes decrease when chickens are stressed. Therefore, the ratio of the two parameters is an indicator of stress and infection response. Heterophil and lymphocyte responses to stress have a genetic component (Minias, 2019), and their ratio has been employed as selection criteria for infection resistance in chickens (Thiam et al., 2021). The Egyptian native chicks (Sinai) had a significantly higher H/L ratio than the LB strain. This finding revealed that the Sinai chicks experienced higher stress levels than the LB strains under specific environmental conditions in Egypt. This could be attributed to the origins of the Sinai chicks, which resulted from the natural mating of certain foreign breeds with Egyptian indigenous chickens.

In terms of disease resistance, phagocytic activity is crucial. Chickens with a stronger phagocytic potential and nitrite generation from macrophages may be more resistant to bacterial, viral, and parasite diseases (Galal et al., 2007). It is worth noting that the genetic differences between LB and Egyptian native chicks may have resulted in differing phagocytic responses. Several studies have found that chicken phagocytic activity is genetically controlled (Kundu et al., 2015). The IgY is a maternal antibody passed from progeny through blood serum supplied to egg yolk (Murai, 2013). Both environment (maintenance management, disease exposure) and genetics influence chicken antibody production (Al-Habib et al., 2020). Wibawan et al. (2010) reported that exposure to a bacterial disease increased IgY concentrations. Moreover, the concentration of IgY in crossbred chickens was higher than in local chickens (Setyawati et al., 2019; Al-Habib et al., 2020). According to the findings of this study, LB chicks had higher IgY concentrations than Sinai chicks under the same maintenance management. The LB chicks are hybrid chicks, and heterosis has a beneficial influence on the immunological response, as indicated by the quick increase in IgY concentration (Al-Habib et al., 2020). Genetics influence the production of Sinai's antibody, as this chicken strain has not been subjected to any artificial selection.

Newcastle disease is a viral disease produced by virulent strains of NDV that affect chickens worldwide (Oberländer et al., 2020). Depending on the viral strain and the host's sensitivity, the virus has a morbidity and death rate of up to 100% (Swayne and Boulianne, 2020). Furthermore, the performance of diseased flocks significantly declines, and infected animals' eggs become thin-shelled. Infections with lentogenic strains of the virus cause modest respiratory symptoms in chickens; however, infections with velogenic strains cause mucous membrane inflammation and central neurological problems in chickens, including torticollis and opisthotonos (Swayne and Boulianne, 2020). The Newcastle disease affects poultry all over the world and specific antibody titers can be used to assess the immunological response. Antibody titers are affected by various factors, including health, the severity of viral infection, and the period of infection (Oberländer et al., 2020). Antibodies are formed 6-10 days after Newcastle infection and reach their peak at 3-4 weeks, after which they decline for up to 3-4 months and are no longer detectable after a year (Anamu and Rohi, 2005).

The local Egyptian strain has a high potential for developing disease-resistant chickens (Kolstad and Abdou, 2000). The genetic potential of disease resistance in local chickens can still be developed using the antibody titer indicator as a selection marker. The selection program can be effectively conducted by implementing optimal vaccination strategies, enabling the identification of the chicken's response to diseases, and facilitating the selection of chickens with superior genetic traits. The superiority of local chickens that are more susceptible to disease agents provides advantages and facilitates genetic improvement in the quality of local Egyptian chickens.

Bioinformatics indicators for variation in allelic frequency at a given locus are provided by genetic markers. Due to the presence of molecular markers in poultry, it is now possible to conduct in-depth investigations and evaluations of genetic diversity and to identify genes impacting economically significant features (Khalil et al., 2021). The *NRAMP1* gene fragment amplified in both native and exotic chicken types were nonpolymorphic, indicating the presence of a single homozygous allele, and the same outcomes were found by Fulton et al. (2014).

The promoter region of the NRAMP1 gene contains one major and two minor transcription initiation sites, a classical TATAA component, and consensus sequences for the binding of the myeloid-specific PU1 factor, many lipopolysaccharides (NF-IL6 and NF-ardB), and interferon-inducible response components (Marquet et al., 2000). Different genotypes of this gene have been reported to impact the antimicrobial function mediated by microglial cells (Mazzolla et al., 2002). Due to the genetic variability in the NRAMP1 gene, Girard-Santosuosso et al. (2002) demonstrated that various chicken populations have varying heritability of susceptibility to infection. Tge SNP markers have already been used to identify disease resistance genes in chickens. Additionally, it can be used as an alternative for microsatellite markers in various study areas involving chickens (Emara and Kim, 2003; Malek and Lamont, 2003).

Polymorphism in the NRAMP1 gene has been linked to a Salmonella enteritidis (SE) response in various strains of chickens (Lamont et al., 2002) although some line broiler sires with specific alleles of the NRAMP1 gene demonstrated enhanced resistance to the infection. Muhsinin et al. (2016) found that genetic heterogeneity in the resistance to Sallmonell purllorum in Indonesian native chickens could be linked to a polymorphism in NRAMP1 in chickens. They also mentioned several SNP variations between local and foreign strains, as well as some amino acid changes, that could have a substantial impact on disease resistance in these chickens. In poultry, different SNPs have been linked to various traits. These findings suggest that SNPs have an important role in gene expression and, as a result, the expression of the protein or phenotype (Khoa et al., 2013; Aboukila et al., 2021).

The observed genetic similarities between indigenous chickens and hybrid broiler sequences may indicate the presence of similar capacities to resist pathogenic infections distinct from laying hens, suggesting that the presence of this gene and nucleotide variability may be implicated as major genetic factors in congenital diseases. Tohidi et al. (2013) and Liu et al. (2003) reported associations between SNP polymorphisms in *NRAMP1* and pathogen challenge responses in Leghorn chicks, showing that either *NRAMP1* or related genes control the *Salmonella enteritidis* response traits.

In the present study, the sequencing of the *NRAMP1* gene in chickens was applied to two strains of chickens. In the *NRAMP1* gene, several SNPs were found in chickens. Desmond et al. (2019) discovered six SNPs in *NRAMP1* that were linked to amino acid changes in Nigerian and foreign chicken breeds. In this study, eight SNPs in *NRAMP1* were associated with amino acid changes.

CONCLUSION

Significant immunological differences between LB and Sinai strains, including albumin, IgY and HINDV, lymphocyte, and phagocyte activity, were discovered in this investigation. The alterations suggest that LB hens have a more evident cellular immune system as well as a general stronger innate and humoral immune response. On the other hand, Sinai (local chickens) exhibited a higher level of dominance in terms of Newcastle antibody titer. As a result, more consideration should be given to Sinai native chickens and the use of various crossing and selection procedures to generate egg-type native chicken lines to improve their productive features while preserving and benefiting from their remarkable Newcastle disease resistance. In addition, multiple SNPs in the NRAMP1 gene have been discovered in Sinai, a native chicken, and LB, suggesting that this gene might be useful as a genetic marker for selecting high-producing indigenous hybrids with the ability to resist pathogenic diseases in poultry. In addition, substantial research is needed in various poultry species to examine the effect of polymorphisms on gene expression and the molecular mechanism induced by polymorphisms within this gene.

DECLARATIONS

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this *article*.

Availability of data and materials

Data will be available on request.

Authors' contributions

Walid Habashy (ORCID ID: 0000-0002-2009-5145) performed conceptualization and study design, methodology, formal analysis, and data curation. Walid Habashy and Manal Abdel-Rahman performed writing original draft preparation, Walid Habashy and Kwaku Adomako performed writing reviewing and editing. The manuscript has been read and approved by all authors.

Competing interests

The authors state that there is no conflict of interest with any financial, personal, or other relationships with other people or organizations that are relevant the material discussed in the manuscript.

Ethical consideration

The authors have examined ethical issues, such as consent to publish, misbehavior, data fabrication and/or falsification, duplicate publication and/or submission, and redundancy.

Acknowledgments

Thanks to Science Technology Development Fund (STDF) 2418, Scientific Research Academy (55z), and higher education ministry (LP11-049).

REFERENCES

Aboukila RS, Hemeda SAE, El Nahas AF, and Abd El Naby WSH (2021). Molecular characterization of *GHR1* gene and expression analysis of some growth-related genes in *Oreochromis niloticus*. Advanced Journal of Animal Veterinary Science, 9(7): 1025-1033. DOI:

http://www.doi.org/10.17582/journal.aavs/2021/9.7.1025.1033

- Agarwal S, Prasad S, Kumai R, and Chandra S (2020). Molecular characterization of native chicken: Prospects and challenges. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies, 8(92): 103-106. Available at: <u>S-8-2-31-486.pdf (entomoljournal.com)</u>
- Al-Habib MF, Sumantri C, Murtini S, Gunawan A, Sartika T, and Ulupi N (2020). Characteristics of immune competence in Indonesian chickens. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 492: 012097. DOI: <u>http://www.doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/492/1/012097</u>
- Anamu S and Rohi OK (2005). Serological studies with hemagglutination inhibition tests on geese that can act as carriers of Newcastle disease in DI Yogyakarta. Jurnal Sain Veteriner, 23(1): 8-12. Available at: https://journal.ugm.ac.id/jsv/article/view/362/213
- Ardiyana M, Gunawana A, Murtinib S, Sartikac T, and Sumantri C (2020). Polymorphisms and associations of the NRAMP-1 and iNOS genes on Newcastle disease and Salmonella enteritidis resistances in SenSi-1 Agrinak chickens. Tropical Animal Science Journal, 43(2): 95-102. DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.5398/tasj.2020.43.2.95
- Attia YA, Abd Al-Hamid AE, Ibrahim MS, Al-Harthi M, Bovera F, and El-Naggar A (2014). Productive performance, biochemical and hematological traits of broiler chicks supplemented with propolis, bee pollen, and mannan oligosaccharides continuously or intermittently. Livestock Science, 164: 87-95. DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2014.03.005</u>
- Coles EH (1986). Veterinary clinical pathology. W. B. Saunder Company., Philadelphia, London, Toronto. pp. 211-213.
- Desmond AU, Olajide AK, and Olufunmilayo OB (2019). Sequence variability in the NRAMP1 gene among Indigenous and exotic chicken types. Makara Journal of Science, 23(2): 7. DOI: <u>https://www.doi/org/10.7454/mss.v23i2.11052</u>
- Dumas BT, Watson WA, and Biggs HG (1997). Albumin standards and the measurement of serum albumin with bromcresol green. Clinica

Chimica Acta, 258(1): 21-30. DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.1016/S0009-8981(96)06447-9

- ELnaggar ASh, Abdel-Latif MA, El-Kelawy MI, and Abd EL-Hamid HS (2016). Productive, physiological and immunological effect rosemary leaves meal (rosemarinus officinalis) supplementing to broiler diet. Egyptian Poultry Science Journal, 36(3): 859-873. DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.21608/epsj.2016.168814</u>
- El-Safty SAR (2012). Comparative study on some immunological traits in two different genetic groups of chicken. Veterinary World, 5(11): 645-650. Available at: <u>https://cyberleninka.org/article/n/11145</u>
- Emara MG and Kim H (2003). Genetic markers and their application in poultry breeding. Poultry Science, 82(6): 952-957. DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1093/ps/82.6.952</u>
- Food and agriculture organization of the United Nations (FAO) (2013). FAOSTAT. Avilable at: <u>https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#home</u>
- Ferrante V, Mugnai C, Ferrari L, Stefano PM, Spagnoli E, and Lolli S (2016). Stress and reactivity in three Italian chicken breeds. Italian Journal of Animal Science, 15(2): 303-309. DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1080/1828051X.2016.1185978</u>
- Fulton JE, Arango J, Ali RA, Bohorquez EB, Lund AR, Ashwell CM, Settar P, O'Sullivan NP, and Koci MD (2014). Genetic variation within the Mx gene of commercially selected chicken lines reveals multiple haplotypes, recombination and a protein under selection pressure. PLoS ONE, 9(9): e108054. DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0108054
- Galal A, El-Safty SA, and Ali UM (2007). Incorporating some marker genes in Dandarawi chicken to improve: 1. Growth performance and carcass characters. Proceedings of 4th World Poultry Conference, Sharm El-Sheikh, Egypt, pp. 59-74.
- Gasteiger E, Gattiker A, Hoogland C, Ivanyi I, Appel RD, and Bairoch A (2003). ExPASy: the proteomics server for in-depth protein knowledge and analysis. Nucleic Acids Research, 31(13): 3784-3788. DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkg563</u>
- Gebriel GM, El-Fiky AA, Samak HRA, Abou-Elewa EM, and El-Mougy Basma AA (2018). Determination of maternal antibody (IgY) concentration as a genetic marker to improve fertility, hatchability and livability percentages in two local strains of chickens. Menoufia Journal of Animal, Poultry and Fish Production, 2: 23-37. Available at: article 175614_cfcc4077a66e323fdba055dcc248bf8d.pdf (ekb.eg)
- Girard-Santosuosso O, Lantier F, Lantier I, Bumstead N, Elsen JM, and Beaumont C (2002). Heritability of susceptibility to Salmonella enteritidis infection in fowls and test of the role of the chromosome carrying the *NRAMP* gene. Genetics Selection Evolution, 34: 211. DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1186/1297-9686-34-2-211</u>
- Goodger WJ, Bennett TB, and Dwinger RH (2002). Comparative analysis of family poultry production in twelve African countries. Characteristics and parameters of family poultry production in Africa, IAEA, Vienna. pp. 143-146. Available at: <u>https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/document?repid=rep1&type=pdf&doi</u> <u>=aa7d8f33ea82bc46fe5b30673668b330ac1a6372</u>
- Hu GS, Chang GB, Zhang Y, Hong J, LiuY, and Chen GH (2011). Association analysis between polymorphisms of *Nramp1* gene and immune traits in chicken. Journal of Animal Veterinary Advanced, 10(9): 1133-1136.
 DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.3923/javaa.2011.1133.1136</u>
- Eichler EE, Nickerson DA, Altshuler D, Bowcock AM, Brooks LD, Carter NP, Church DM, Felsenfeld A, Guyer M, Lee C et al. (2007). Completing the map of human genetic variation. Nature, 447: 161-165. DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1038/447161a</u>
- Kawahara E, Ueda T, and Nomura S (1991). *In vitro* phagocytic activity of white spotted shark cells after injection with Aeromonas salmonicida extracelluar products. Gyobyo Kenkyu, 26: 213-214. DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.3147/jsfp.26.213</u>

- Khalil,MH, Iraqi MM, and Saleh MS (2021). Molecular approaches of candidate genes in genetic improvement programs in poultry. Annals of Agricultural Science, Moshtohor, 59(5): 9-30. DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.21608/assjm.2021.183334</u>
- Khoa DV, Khang NT, Ngu NT, Matey J, Loan HP, and Thuy ND (2013). Single nucleotide polymorphisms in *GH*, *GHR*, *GHSR* and Insulin candidate genes in chicken breeds of Vietnam. Greener Journal of Agriculture Science, 3(10): 716-724. Available at: https://llibrary.net/document/q0x7w3xq-single-nucleotide-polymorphisms-insulin-candidate-chicken-breeds-vietnam.html
- King DJ and Seal BS (1998). Biological and molecular characterization of Newcastle disease virus (NDV) field isolates with comparisons to reference NDV strains. Avian Diseases, 42(3): 507-516. DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.2307/1592677
- Kolstad N and Abdou FH (2000). NORFA: The Norwegian-Egyptian project for improving local breeds of laying hens in Egypt. Workshop on Developing Breeding Strategies for Lower Input Production Environment, Bella, Italy. Proceedings of the ICAR Technical Series, No. 3, pp. 301-306.
- Kundu A, Singh DP, and Mohapatra SC (2015). In vivo phagocytic activity in various indigenous and exotic breeds of chicken for genetic selection. The Indian Journal of Animal Sciences, 85(1): 91-93. Available at: https://epubs.icar.org.in/index.php/IJAnS/article/view/46196
- Lamont SJ, Kaiser MG, and Liu W (2002). Candidate genes for resistance to Salmonella enteritidis colonization in chickens as detected in a novel genetic cross. Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology, 87(3-4): 423-8. DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-2427(02)00064-8</u>
- Liu W, Kaiser MG, and Lamont SJ (2003). Natural resistanceassociated macrophage protein 1 gene polymorphisms and response to vaccine against or challenge with Salmonella enteritidis in young chicks. Poultry Science, 82(2): 259-266_DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.1093/ps/82.2.259
- Malek M and Lamont SJ (2003). Association of *INOS, TRAIL, TGF-* $\beta 2$, *TGF-* $\beta 3$, and *IgL* genes with response to Salmonella enteritidis in poultry. Genetics Selection Evolution, 35: S99. DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1186/1297-9686-35-S1-S99</u>
- Marquet S, Lepage P, Hudson TJ, Musser JM, and Schurr E (2000). Complete nucleotide sequence and genomic structure of the human *NRAMP1* gene region on chromosome region 2q35. Mammalian Genome, 11(9): 755-762. DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.1007/s003350010151.
- Mazzolla RA, Manuela PA, Roberta BA, Rachele NB, Francesco BA, Giuseppe BC, and Blasi E (2002). Differential microbial clearance and immune-response of Balb/c (*NRAMP1* susceptible) and DBA2 (*NRAMP1* resistant) mice intracerebrally infected with Mycobacterium bovis BCG (BCG). FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology, 32(2): 149-158. DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1016/S0928-8244(01)00293-0</u>
- Minias P (2019). Evolution of heterophil/lymphocyte ratios in response to ecological and life-history traits: A comparative analysis across the avian tree of life. Journal of Animal Ecology, 88: 554-565. DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12941</u>
- Muhsinin M, Ulupi N, Gunawan A, Wibawan IWT, and Sumantri C (2016). Association of *NRAMP1* polymorphisms with immune traits in Indonesian native chickens. International Journal of Poultry Science, 15(10): 401-406. DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2016.401.406</u>
- Murai A (2013). Maternal transfer of immune globulins into egg yolks of birds. Journal of Poultry Science, 50: 185- 193. DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.2141/jpsa.0120194</u>
- Oberländer B, Failing K, Jüngst CM, Neuhaus N, Lierz M, and Möller Palau-Ribes F (2020). Evaluation of Newcastle disease antibody titers in backyard poultry in Germany with a vaccination interval of twelve weeks. PLoS ONE, 15(8): e0238068. DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0238068</u>

- Pagala MA, Muladno, Sumantri C, and Murtini S (2013). Association of Mx gene genotype with antiviral and production traits in Tolaki chicken. International Journal of Poultry Science, 12: 735-739. Available at: <u>https://scialert.net/abstract/?doi=ijps.2013.735.739</u>
- Saleh M, Khalil M, Iraqi M, and Camarda A (2021). Molecular association of galiinacin genes with immune response against *Salmonella typhimurium* in chickens. Livestock Science, 224: 104315. DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2020.104315</u>
- Saleh MS, Khalil MH, Iraqi MM, and Camarda A (2020). Polymorphic characterization of gallinacin candidate genes and their molecular associations with growth and immunity traits in chickens. British Poultry Science, 62(2): 180:187. DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.1080/00071668.2020.1847252
- SAS (2016). SAS Institute. SAS System for Windows. SAS Institute. Inc., Cary, NC.
- Setyawati MP, Ulupi N, Murtini S, and Sumantri C (2019). Production performance, reproduction and immunity of sentul hensat different IgY concentrations. Buletin Peternakan, 43(1): 17-21. DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.21059/buletinpeternak.v43i1.35180</u>
- Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, Li W, Lopez R, McWilliam H, Remmert M, Söding J et al. (2011). Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using clustal omega. Molecular systems Biology, 7: 539. DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1038/msb.2011.75</u>
- Soe-Lin S, Sameer SA, Andriopoulos B, Andrwa MC, Schranzhofer M, Kahawita T, Gaarcia-Santos D, and Ponka P (2009).

NRAMP1promotes efficient macrophage recycling of ironfollowing erythrocytosis 0. PNAS, 106(14): 5960-5965. DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0900808106

Swayne DE and Boulianne M (2020) Diseases of poultry, 14th Edition.

- Takátsy G (1955). The use of spiral loops in serological and virological micro-methods. Acta Microbiologica, 3(1-2): 191-202. DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1556/AMicr.50.2003.4.5</u>
- Thiam M, Barreto Sánchez AL, Zhang J, Zheng M, Wen J, Zhao G, and Wang Q (2021). Association of heterophil/lymphocyte ratio with intestinal barrier function and immune response to Salmonella enteritidis infection in chicken. Animals, 11(12): 3498. DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.3390/ani11123498
- Tohidi RI, Idris BI, Panandam JM, and Bejo MH (2013). The effects of polymorphisms in 7 candidate genes on resistance to Salmonella enteritidis in native chickens. Poultry Science, 92(4): 900-909. DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.3382/ps.2012-02797</u>
- Weichselbaum TE (1946). An accurate and rapid method for the determination of proteins in small amounts of blood serum and plasma. American Journal of Clinical Pathology, 16(3): 40-49. DOII: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1093/ajcp/16.3_ts.40</u>
- Wibawan IWT, Pasaribu FH, and Rawendra R (2010). Production of antibodies (IgY) against enterophathogenic *Escherichia coli* (EPEC) with egg yolks. Indonesian Journal of Veterinary Medicine, 4(1): 23-27. DOI: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.21157/j.ked.hewan.v4i1.9793</u>