





### **ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# A Clinical Study on Comparative Efficacy of *Guggulu*-based different *Ksharasutra* Prepared with *Apamarga*, *Aragwadh*, *Aswamar*, *Palash* and *Saptaparna* in the Management of *Bhagandara* (Fistula-in-Ano)

Author: Sarma Nayanjyoti<sup>1</sup>

# Co Authors: Barman Pankaj Kumar<sup>2</sup> and Medhi Champak<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1-3</sup>Dept of Shalya Tantra, Govt, Ayurvedic College, Guwahati, Assam, India

# ABSTRACT

Fistula-in-ano is one of the most troublesome disease among ano-rectal disorders which causes inconvenience to the patient and the management are also not comfortable to the surgeons. According to Sushruta, the management of fistula-in-ano can be put under category of preventive & curative with surgical and parasurgical management. *Kshara sutra* therapy is a very popular treatment modalities for Fistula in ano due to less recurrence and less complications. Sushruta has mentioned 24 types of *Kshara* in the 11<sup>th</sup> chapter of *SutraSthana. Kshara sutra* is a unique medicated seton that helps in both mechanical and chemical cutting of the tract as well as drainage of pus and unhealthy granulation tissue from fistulous tract. In the present study of 150 numbers of patients were treated in five different groups of 30 which were selected randomly with different types of Guggulu based *Kshara sutra* prepared from Palash, Apamarga, Aragwadh, Aswamara and Saptaparna with an aim to evaluate the comparative efficacy.

# Received 13<sup>th</sup> August 21 Accepted 20<sup>th</sup> January 22 Published 10<sup>th</sup> March 2022

# **INTRODUCTION**

The description of *Bhagandara* is found in details in context of its etiology, pathogenesis, clinical features, management and complication in all the classics of *Brihatrayee* and *Laghutrayee* but Sushruta Samhita dominates all.<sup>1,2,3</sup> The disease described in Ayurvedic treatises as *Bhagandara* can be co-related to fistula-in-ano in modern era of surgery considering similar characteristics and principles of management. In modern medicine of treatment, surgery for fistula in ano is considered essential for decompression of acute abscesses and to prevent spread of infection. Many surgical techniques including fistulectomy, fistulotomy, seton technique, endorectal advancement flap, LIFT, VAAFT, fibringlue and fibrinplug are used for treatment of fistula-inano.But, gradually *Kshara sutra* therapy has





#### **ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE**

emerged as the trustworthy treatment for fistula in ano depending upon its low rate of recurrence and low treatment cost. In this study, Apamarga (Achyranthes aspera), Aragwadh (Cassia fistula), Aswamar (Cascabela thevetia), Palash (Butea and Saptaparna (Alstonia *monosperma*) scholaris) were selected for the preparation of from the 24 Ksharasutra numbers of ksharadravya mentioned by Acharya Sushruta<sup>4</sup> to know the comparative efficacy in terms of short duration of therapy, lesser pain, less discharge and itching.

Aim and Objectives :

1.To study the effects of five types of Guggulu based *Kshar Sutra* prepared with Palash, Apamarga, Aragwadh, Aswamar and Saptaparna in the management of *Bhangandara* (Fistula-inano).

2. To compare the efficacy of the five types of *Kshar Sutra* prepared with Palash, Apamarga, Aragwadh, Aswamar and Saptaparna for their objective and subjective parameters in the management of *Bhangandara* (Fistula-in-ano).

## MATERIAL AND METHODS :

Total 150 numbers of diagnosed case of *Bhagandara* (Fistula-in-ano) attending the OPD of Shalya Tantra at Govt. Ayurvedic College Hospital, Guwahati have been selected on open randomly basis for the study.

Detailed history has been taken in a designed proforma as previously prepared for the study incorporating all the relevant points.

INCLUSION CRITERIAS:

• Age between 15-70 years of either sex

• All clinical diagnosed cases of Fistula-inano

• Fresh cases or recurred cases.

#### **EXCLUSION CRITERIAS:**

• Patient with malignancy (anus, rectum, prostate)

• Pregnancy

• Fistula-in-Ano secondary to ulcerative colitis.

• Bleeding disorders

• Fistula concerned with other organs like urethra, vagina etc.

• Uncontrolled Diabetes mellitus, Hypertension, Tubercolosis, Chronic Liver Disease, Metabolic disorders HIV, HbsAG, HCV positive patients

These 150 nos. of patients were divided into five groups as per plan of the study.

GROUP A: It included 30 numbers of diagnosed case of Bhagandara and were treated with Palash-guggulu-haridra *kshara sutra*.

GROUP B: It included 30 numbers of diagnosed case of Bhagandara and were treated with Apamarga- guggulu- haridra *kshara sutra*.

GROUP C: It included 30 numbers of diagnosed case of Bhagandara and were treated with Aragwadh-guggulu-haridra *kshara sutra*.

GROUP D: It included 30 numbers of diagnosed case of Bhagandara and were treated with Aswamar- guggulu- haridra *kshara sutra*.

GROUP E: It included 30 numbers of diagnosed case of Bhagandara and were treated with

Saptaparna- guggulu- haridra *kshara sutra*. March 10<sup>th</sup> 2022 Volume 16, Issue 2 **Page 257** 





#### **ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE**

ASSESSEMENT CRITERIA :	unhealthy granulation tissue with slough and
Pain : Visual analogue scale allows patient to rate	surrounding edema - 3
pain intermittently on a numbered scale such as	Itching-
0-3 applied will be-	no itching – 0
no pain – 0	Mild or bearable – 1
Mild or bearable – 1	Unbearable – 2
moderate – 2	Intractible – 3
severe - 3	Unit cutting time = Total no. of Days / Initial
Discharge-	length of Tract
no discharge – 0	Unit cutting and healing Rate = Initial length of
scanty and little $-1$	track – Length of tract Remaining / No. of Weeks
sero-purulent – 2	taken
profuse purulent – 3	
Color-	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>

red healthy granulation tissue -0

unhealthy granulation tissue without slough-1

unhealthy granulation tissue with slough -2

(T1- Group A, T2 – Group B, T3 – Group C, T4 – Group D, T5 – Group E)

Table 1 One-Way ANOVA Including Tukey HSD for pain in 7<sup>th</sup> day

Result Details				
Source	SS	df	MS	
Between-treatments	3.9733	4	0.9933	F = 4.06109
Within-treatments	35.4667	145	0.2446	
Total	39.44	149		
The f-ratio value is 4.06109.	The p-value is	four group	os, so the me	an difference suggests that
.003766. The result is significant	as p <0.01. The	the pain in	n Group A	is less than other Groups.
Mean of T1(Group A) is smalle	r than the other			
Table 2 One-Way ANOVA Including	Tukey HSD for pain in 1	14 <sup>th</sup> day		
Result Details				
Source	SS	df	MS	

Source	SS	df	MS	
Between-treatments	1.8933	4	0.4733	F = 1.57416
Within-treatments	43.6	145	0.3007	
Total	45.4933	149		
The f-ratio value is 1.57416. The p-value is .184286	5. The result is not sig	gnificant a	t p < .05	
Table 3 One-Way ANOVA Including Tukey HSD	for pain in 21st day			
Result Details				
Source	SS	df	MS	
Between-treatments	4.16	4	1.04	F = 3.64839
Within-treatments	41.3333	145	0.2851	
Total	45.4933	149		





#### **ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE**

The f-ratio value is 3.64839. The p-value is .007296. so the mean difference suggests that the pain in The result is significant as p < .01. The Mean of Group A is less than other Groups.

T1(Group A) is smaller than the other four groups,

Table 4 One-Way ANOVA Including Tukey HSD for pain in 28<sup>th</sup> day

Result Details				
Source	SS	df	MS	
Between-treatments	6.8667	4	1.7167	F = 3.89135
Within-treatments	63.9667	145	0.4411	
Total	70.8333	149		

The f-ratio value is 3.89135. The p-value is .004943. so the mean difference suggests that the pain in The result is significant at  $n \in O1$ . The Mean of Crown A is less than other Crowns.

The result is significant at p < .01. The Mean of Group A is less than other Groups.

T1(Group A) is smaller than the other four groups,

 Table 5 One-Way ANOVA Including Tukey HSD for Discharge in 7th day

<b>Result Details</b>					
Source	SS	df	MS		
Between-treatments	5.2667	4	1.3167	F = 6.34978	
Within-treatments	30.0667	145	0.2074		
Total	35.3333	149			

The f-ratio value is 6.34978. The p-value is groups, so the mean difference suggests that the

0.000098. The result is significant at p < 0.01. The discharge in Group A is less than other Groups.

Mean of T1(Group A) is smaller than the other four

**Table 6** One-Way ANOVA Including Tukey HSD for Discharge in 14<sup>th</sup> day

Result Details					
Source SS	df	MS			
Between-treatments 7.4015	4	1.8504	F = 6.06402		
Within-treatments 45.1606	148	0.3051			
<b>Total</b> 52.5621	152				
The f-ratio value is 6.06402. The p-value is	four group	s, so the m	nean difference suggests that		
0.000151. The result is significant at $p < 0.01$ . The	the discha	rge in Gr	oup A is less than other		
Mean of T1(Group A) is smaller than the other	Groups.				
	· o1st 1				

 Table 7 One-Way ANOVA Including Tukey HSD for Discharge in 21<sup>st</sup> day

Result Details					
Source	SS	df	MS		
Between-treatments	5.4667	4	1.3667	F = 6.18626	
Within-treatments	32.0333	145	0.2209		
Total	37.5	149			

The f-ratio value is 6.18626. The p-value is four groups, so the mean difference suggests that

0.000127. The result is significant at p < 0.01. The the discharge in Group A is less than other Groups.

Mean of T1(Group A) is smaller than the other



Total



## www.ijapc.com

## **ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Table 8 One-Way ANOV	A Including Tukey	HSD for Discharg	ge in 28th day		
Result Details	0.0				
Source	SS	Df	MS		E 0.56451
Between-treatments	5.1067	4	1.276		F = 3.56451
Within-treatments	51.9333	145	0.358	2	
Total	57.04	149			
The f-ratio value is		ne p-value is	groups, so	the mean	n difference suggests that the
0.008344. The result i	-	-	discharge i	n Group A	is less than other Groups.
Mean of T1(Group A)					
Table 9 One-Way ANOVA Result Details	A Including Tukey	HSD for Itching i	n 7th day		
Source		SS	df	MS	
Between-treatments		1.5733	4	0.3933	F = 1.14987
		49.6	145	0.3421	1 - 1.14987
Within-treatments				0.3421	
Total	0.010.50	51.1733			1.00
The f-ratio value is		he p-value is	0 1		ean difference suggests that the
0.927738. The result	is not significat	nt at $p < 0.05$ .	itching in G	roup A is	less than other Groups.
The Mean of T1(Grou	<b>•</b> '				
Table 10 One-Way ANOV Result Details	A Including Tuke	y HSD for Itching	in 14th day		
Source		SS	df	MS	
Between-treatments		3.6933	4	0.9233	F = 3.30576
		40.5	4	0.9233	F = 5.30370
Within-treatments Total		40.3	143	0.2795	
			117		
The f-ratio value is	s 3.30576. T	he p-value is	groups, so	the mean	difference suggests that the
0.012614. The result	is significant at	p < 0.05. The	itching in G	roup A is	less than other Groups
Mean of T1(Group A)	is smaller than	the other four			
Table 11 One-Way ANOV	A Including Tuke	HSD for Itching	in 21 <sup>st</sup> day		
Result Details					
Source		SS	df	MS	
Between-treatments		3.6933	4	0.9233	F = 2.64592
Within-treatments		50.6	145	0.349	
Total		54.2933	149		
The f-ratio value i	s 2.64592. Th	ne p-value is	four groups	, so the me	ean difference suggests that the
0.035891. The result	is significant at	p < 0.05. The	itching in G	roup A is	less than other Groups.
Mean of T1(Group	A) is smaller the	han the other			
Table 12 One-Way ANOV	A Including Tuke		•		
			t Details		
Source		SS	df	MS	
Between-treatments		3.7733	4	0.9433	F = 3.40257
Within-treatments		40.2	145	0.2772	
		42.0722	140	0.2112	

43.9733

149





#### **ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE**

The f-ratio value is	3.40257.	The p-value	is
----------------------	----------	-------------	----

0.010809. The result is significant at p < 0.05 The

four groups, so the mean difference suggests that the itching in Group A is less than other Groups.

Mean of T1(Group A) is smaller than the other

 Table 13 One-Way ANOVA Including Tukey HSD for Color in 7<sup>th</sup> day

<b>Result Details</b>				
Source	SS	df	MS	
Between-treatments	4.1067	4	1.0267	F = 3.87337
Within-treatments	38.4333	145	0.2651	
Total	42.54	149		

The f-ratio value is 3.87337. The p-value is four groups, so the mean difference suggests that

0.005088. The result is significant at p < 0.01. The the color in Group A is less than other Groups.

Mean of T1(Group A) is smaller than the other

 Table 14 One-Way ANOVA Including Tukey HSD for Color in 14th day

 Description

Result Details					
Source	SS	df	MS		
Between-treatments	5.5333	4	1.3833	F = 5.03978	
Within-treatments	39.8	145	0.2745		
Total	45.3333	149			

The f-ratio value is 5.03978. The p-value is .000785. The result is significant at p < 0.05 The Mean of

T1(Group A) is smaller than the other four groups, so the mean difference suggests that the color in Group

A is better than other Groups.

Table 15 One-Way ANOVA Including Tukey HSD for Color in 21th day

Result Details					
Source	SS	df	MS		
Between-treatments	1.9067	4	0.4767	F = 1.74684	
Within-treatments	39.5667	145	0.2729		
Total	41.4733	149			

The f-ratio value is 1.74684. The p-value is 0.142867. The result is not significant at p < 0.05.

Table 16 One-Way ANOVA Including Tukey HSD for Color in 28th day

Result Details					
Source	SS	df	MS		
Between-treatments	3.7733	4	0.9433	F = 2.64571	
Within-treatments	51.7	145	0.3566		
Total	55.4733	149			

The f-ratio value is 2.64571. The p-value is 0.035902. so the mean difference suggests that the color in

The result is significant at p < 0.05. The Mean of Group A is better than other Groups

T1(Group A) is smaller than the other four groups,

Table 17 Mean UCT According to Groups

GROUPS	MEAN UCT (DAYS/CM)
GROUP A	6.28
Palash- guggulu- haridra kshara sutra	
GROUP B	8.07
Apamarga- guggulu- haridra kshara sutra	





#### ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

CDOUDC	0 15	
GROUPC	8.15	
Aragwadh- guggulu- haridra kshara sutra		
GROUP D	8.89	
Aswamar- guggulu- haridra kshara sutra.		
GROUP E	8.78	
Saptaparna- guggulu- haridra kshara sutra		
Table 18 Mean UCT & HT According to Groups		
GROUPS	MEAN UC&HT (CM/WEEK)	
GROUP A	1.139633	
Palash- guggulu- haridra kshara sutra		
GROUP B	0.881833	
Apamarga- guggulu- haridra kshara sutra		
GROUP C	0.8664	
Aragwadh- guggulu- haridra kshara sutra		
GROUP D	0.806633	
Aswamar- guggulu- haridra kshara sutra.		
GROUP E	0.808267	
Saptaparna- guggulu- haridra kshara sutra		

# CONCLUSION

In this clinical study, efficacy of five types of guggulu based ksharasutra were assessed by changing the thread every week. Detailed assessment was done by recording different data as per standard research protocols. The findings of different subjective and objective parameters were recorded and converted to table form for details analysis. Assessment of Unit Cutting time and Cutting & Healing Rate was also considered here to understand the comparative efficacy of all the five types of kshara sutra. Standard statistical methods were applied in this study like One way Anova with Tukey HSD to scientifically assess the efficacy of five types of kshar sutra and it can be concluded that Palash Guggulu Kshara sutra is more efficacious in the management of Bhagandara(Fistula-in-ano) (Fistula in ano) due to following reasons:

• Palasha Guggulu ksharasutra has the lesser Unit Cutting time (Total No. of days/ Initial length of track) amongst the five types of *Kshara sutra*. (Table 17)

• Palasha Guggulu *kshara sutra* has the more Unit Cutting and Healing Rate amongst the five types of *Kshara sutra*. (Table 18)

• In Palasha Guggulu *kshara sutra*, the pain is less as compared to other ksharasutra. (Table 1- 4)

• In Palasha Guggulu *kshara sutra*, the Itching is also less as compared to other ksharasutra. (Table 9- 12)

• In Palasha Guggulu *kshara sutra*, the discharge from the tracts reduces significantly as drainage is better (Table 5- 8). So, it can be said that Palasha Guggulu *kshara sutra* is very effective as compared to Apamarga, Aragwadh, Aswamar and Saptaparna *kshara sutra* in curing Bhagandara (Fistula in ano).

March 10<sup>th</sup> 2022 Volume 16, Issue 2 Page 262







#### **ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE**

#### **REFERENCES**:

1. Prof. Dr. Keval Krishna Thakral, Sushrut Samhita, Vol I (Sutra, Nidana Sthana), Varanasi, Chowkhambha Orientalia, 2014. Nidan 4/3 Pg-737.

2. Pt. Kasinatha Sastri Dr Gorakha Natha Chaturvedi,Charak Samhita, Part-II,Varanasi,Chaukhambha Bharati Academy, 2015, Chikitsa 12/96, p377.

3. Kaviraj Atridev Gupta,Astanga Hridayam of Vagbhata, Varanasi, Chowkhambha prakashan,edition: reprinted 2018, Uttara Sthana 28, p750.

4. Prof. Dr. Keval Krishna Thakral, Sushrut Samhita,Vol I (Sutra, Nidana Sthana), Varanasi, Chowkhambha Orientalia, 2014. Sutra 11/11 Pg-108.