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#### Abstract

A mathematical model of a technical system was obtained using a unified approach to building a working mathematical model. The technical system provides for the parallel connection of positive temperature coefficient thermistors. The built mathematical model possesses the properties of fullness, adequacy, productivity and economy to a sufficient degree. The use of such a model reduces the time and costs spent on research and makes efficient use of the mathematical modelling capabilities.
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## Introduction

Extensive educational and scientific literature is devoted to the consideration of technical characteristics of positive temperature coefficient thermistors, the basic principles of their operation, and the methods of designing circuits with said thermistors. There are numerous examples of successful practical use of such equipment in various fields of human activity.

The purpose of this work is to build a working mathematical model of a technical system using a unified approach. This technical system provides for parallel connection of positive temperature coefficient thermistors.

The dependence of the resistance $R$ of such a thermistor on its temperature $T$ is not linear over a wide temperature range (for an example, see [1; 2]). However, within a relatively narrow temperature range, it can be assumed that

$$
R(T)=r\left[1+\beta\left(T-T_{0}\right)\right],
$$

where $r$ is the thermistor resistance at $T=T_{0} ; \beta$ is a positive constant.

A unified approach to building a working mathematical model that has necessary properties for a specific study is described in [3;4]. Some properties of mathematical models are formulated, for instance, in [5; 6]. An example of building a mathematical model with the necessary properties for a study is presented in [7]; some of the results of this study were published in [8-10]. The particular features of using a unified approach to building mathematical models are described, for example, in [11; 12].

## Problem statement

The parallel connection of $n$ thermistors is discussed below. The $i$-th thermistor shall be considered a body with high thermal conductivity, whose temperature $T_{i}$ at the initial time point $t_{0}$ is equal to $T_{0}$, while $T_{i} \leq T_{1}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Convective heat exchange occurs with the environment, the temperature of which is equal to $T_{0}$ on the surface of the thermistor area $S_{i}$, and the heat transfer coefficient is known and equal to $\alpha_{i}$. For a relatively
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narrow temperature range from $T_{0}$ to $T_{1}$, it is considered that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{i}\left(T_{i}\right)=r_{i}\left[1+\beta_{i}\left(T_{i}-T_{0}\right)\right], \\
& C_{i}\left(T_{i}\right)=c_{i}\left[1+\gamma_{i}\left(T_{i}-T_{0}\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{i}\left(T_{i}\right)$ and $C_{i}\left(T_{i}\right)$ are the resistance and total heat capacity of the $i$-th thermistor; $r_{i}$ and $c_{i}$ are the resistance and total heat capacity of the $i$-th thermistor at $T_{i}=T_{0} ; \beta_{i}$ and $\gamma_{i}$ are positive constants. Electric current flows through the $i$-th thermistor, and its intensity is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{i}=\frac{U}{r_{i}\left[1+\beta_{i}\left(T_{i}-T_{0}\right)\right]} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U$ is the constant electrical potential difference at the poles of the $i$-th element.

The electric current

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{i} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is of interest in the study. Let us design a working mathematical model of the object of study that has sufficient properties of fullness, adequacy, productivity and economy.

## Problem solution

The results obtained in [13] are to be used in order to solve the problem. These results allow us to build a hierarchy of mathematical models of this object of study and determine the conditions under which it is possible to find the desired value $I$ with a relative error of no more than the given value $\delta_{0}$.

If the differences $T_{i}-T_{0}$ are small enough, then according to (1), the desired value is obtained using the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0}=U \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}^{-1} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conditions under which the obtained formula is applicable are to be determined. A steady-state heat exchange process is considered for this reason. In this case, according to the calculations in [13], the steadystate value $I_{i}$ is determined using the formula

$$
I_{i}^{*}=\frac{2 U}{r_{i}\left[1+\sqrt{1+4 \beta_{i} U^{2} \alpha_{i}^{-1} S_{i}^{-1} r_{i}^{-1}}\right]},
$$

and for the given temperature range

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{U^{2}}{\alpha_{i} S_{i} r_{i}\left(T_{1}-T_{0}\right)} \leq 1+\beta_{i}\left(T_{1}-T_{0}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the steady-state value of the sought value is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{i}^{*} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relative error of the value $I_{0}$ is

$$
\delta\left(I_{0}\right)=\left|\frac{I-I_{0}}{I}\right|=\frac{I_{0}}{I}-1 \leq \frac{I_{0}}{I_{*}}-1
$$

In the event of inequation

$$
\frac{I_{0}}{I_{*}}-1 \leq \delta_{0}
$$

formula (3) can be used with a relative error of no more than $\delta_{0}$ to find the desired value. Consequently, in the event of inequation

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0} \leq\left(1+\delta_{0}\right) I_{*} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

the mathematical model (3) possesses the properties of fullness, adequacy, productivity and economy to a sufficient degree.

Then let us define the conditions under which mathematical model (5) can be applied. The unsteadystate heat exchange process is considered for this reason. In this case, according to the results from [13], we obtain a Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d I_{i}}{d t}=\frac{\beta_{i} r_{i} I_{i}^{2}}{c_{i} U} \frac{\alpha_{i} S_{i} U-\alpha_{i} S_{i} r_{i} I_{i}-\beta_{i} r_{i} U I_{i}^{2}}{\gamma_{i} U-\gamma_{i} r_{i} I_{i}+\beta_{i} r_{i} I_{i}}, \\
I_{i}\left(t_{0}\right)=U r_{i}^{-1}, \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, and we can find the time point

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{i} & =t_{0}+\frac{c_{i}}{\alpha_{i} S_{i}}\left[\frac{\gamma_{i}}{\beta_{i}}\left(\frac{r_{i} I_{i}^{*}}{U}-1+\delta_{0}\right) \frac{U}{r_{i} I_{i}^{*}}+\right. \\
& +\left(\frac{U}{2 U-r_{i} I_{i}^{*}}+\frac{\gamma_{i}}{\beta_{i}} \frac{U-r_{i} I_{i}^{*}}{2 U-r_{i} I_{i}^{*}} \frac{U}{r_{i} I_{i}^{*}}-1\right) \times \\
& \times \ln \left(2-\frac{r_{i} I_{i}^{*}}{U}-\delta_{0}\right)-\left(\frac{U}{2 U-r_{i} I_{i}^{*}}+\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{\gamma_{i}}{\beta_{i}} \frac{U-r_{i} I_{i}^{*}}{2 U-r_{i} I_{i}^{*}} \frac{U}{r_{i} I_{i}^{*}}\right) \ln \left(\frac{U}{U-r_{i} I_{i}^{*}} \delta_{0}\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

for which

$$
I_{i}\left(t_{i}\right)=\frac{I_{i}^{*}}{1-\delta_{0}}
$$

It is obvious that at $t \geq t_{i}$

$$
\delta\left(I_{i}^{*}\right)=\left|\frac{I_{i}-I_{i}^{*}}{I_{i}}\right|=1-\frac{I_{i}^{*}}{I_{i}} \leq \delta_{0}
$$

and the value $I_{i}^{*}$ can be considered equal to $I_{i}(t)$ with a relative error of no more than $\delta_{0}$. If $t_{*}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} t_{i}$, then it is easy to show that at $t \geq t_{*}$

$$
\delta\left(I_{*}\right)=\left|\frac{I-I_{*}}{I}\right|=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(I_{i}-I_{i}^{*}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{i}} \leq \delta_{0} .
$$

Consequently, formula (5) can be used to find the desired value with a relative error of no more than $\delta_{0}$.

If condition (6) is not met, then mathematical model (5) at $t \geq t_{*}$ possesses the properties of fullness,
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adequacy, productivity and economy to a sufficient degree.

The development of a new mathematical model in the event of the formation of a hierarchy of mathematical models of the object of study might lead to the clarification of the previously determined conditions for the applicability of the built mathematical models. Indeed, it is possible to clarify the condition of applicability of formula (3) using the mathematical model (2), (7). For this we need to calculate the time point

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{i}=t_{0}+\frac{c_{i}}{\alpha_{i} S_{i}}\left[\left(\frac{\gamma_{i}}{\beta_{i}} \frac{U-r_{i} I_{i}^{*}}{2 U-r_{i} I_{i}^{*}} \frac{U}{r_{i} I_{i}^{*}}+\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{U}{2 U-r_{i} I_{i}^{*}}-1\right) \ln \left(1+\frac{r_{i} I_{i}^{*}}{U} \delta_{0}\right)- \\
& -\left(\frac{U}{2 U-r_{i} I_{i}^{*}}+\frac{\gamma_{i}}{\beta_{i}} \frac{U-r_{i} I_{i}^{*}}{2 U-r_{i} I_{i}^{*}} \frac{U}{r_{i} I_{i}^{*}}\right) \times \\
& \left.\times \ln \left(1-\frac{r_{i} I_{i}^{*}}{U-r_{i} I_{i}^{*}} \delta_{0}\right)-\frac{\gamma_{i}}{\beta_{i}} \delta_{0}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

for which

$$
I_{i}\left(t_{i}\right)=\frac{U}{r_{i}\left(1+\delta_{0}\right)} .
$$

It is obvious that at $t \leq t_{i}$

$$
\delta\left(U r_{i}^{-1}\right)=\left|\frac{I_{i}-U r_{i}^{-1}}{I_{i}}\right|=\frac{U}{r_{i} I_{i}}-1 \leq \delta_{0},
$$

and the value $U r_{i}^{-1}$ can be considered equal to $I_{i}(t)$ with a relative error of no more than $\delta_{0}$. If $t^{*}=\min _{1 \leq i \leq n} t_{i}$, then it is easy to show that at $t \leq t^{*}$

$$
\delta\left(I_{0}\right)=\left|\frac{I-I_{0}}{I}\right|=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(U r_{i}^{-1}-I_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{i}} \leq \delta_{0} .
$$

Consequently, formula (3) can be used to find the desired value with a relative error of no more than $\delta_{0}$.

If condition (6) is met or $t \leq t^{*}$, then the mathematical model (3) possesses the properties of fullness, adequacy, productivity and economy to a sufficient degree.

## Results

The following statements, which make it possible to identify a working mathematical model of the object of study, are valid in case of inequation (4).

Statement 1. If condition (6) is met or $t \leq t^{*}$, then the mathematical model (3) is considered as working.

Statement 2. If condition (6) is not met, then the mathematical model (5) at $t \geq t_{*}$ is selected as working.

Statement 3. If the inequation (6) does not hold, while the time interval from $t^{*}$ to $t_{*}$ is of interest, then the mathematical model (2), (7) is considered as working.

## Conclusion

Thus, a unified approach was used to formulate the statements that allow us to define a mathematical model of a technical system. They allow a working mathematical model of a technical system to be established that provides for parallel connection of positive temperature coefficient thermistor thermistors. The built mathematical model possesses the properties of fullness, adequacy, productivity and economy to a sufficient degree.

The use of such a mathematical model not only reduces the time and costs spent on conducting research, but also facilitates the rational use of mathematical modeling capabilities
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