Hu et al. Zool. Res. 2021, 42(3): 294–299 https://doi.org/10.24272/j.issn.2095-8137.2020.266

Letter to the editor



Open Access

Description of a new species of the genus *Uropsilus* (Eulipotyphla: Talpidae: Uropsilinae) from the Dabie Mountains, Anhui, Eastern China

During a terrestrial vertebrate survey of the Dabie Mountains in Anhui Province, eastern China, we collected four Asian shrew mole specimens (hereafter, shrew moles). Based on published literature and comparison with previously collected materials, the four specimens were similar to shrew moles from the mountains of Southwest China; however, no species in this group has been previously recorded from the Dabie Mountains. The genetic and morphological characteristics of the specimens were analyzed, based upon which a new species of shrew mole is described, named *Uropsilus dabieshanensis* **sp. nov.**

Shrew moles in the genus *Uropsilus* (Eulipotyphla, Talpidae, and Uropsilinae) inhabit montane forests and shrub grasslands at an altitude of 1 500 to 2 700 m a.s.l. (Hoffmann & Lunde, 2008; IUCN, 2017) in southwestern China, Bhutan, and Myanmar (Jiang et al., 2016, 2017; Smith & Xie, 2008). *Uropsilus* retains the morphological characteristics and terrestrial living habits of primitive moles, including small forefeet not broadened for burrowing and external ears (Allen, 1938; Smith & Xie, 2008). Furthermore, *Uropsilus* forms the basal branch in the phylogenetic tree of Talpidae (Douady & Douzery, 2003; He et al., 2017; Motokawa, 2004; Sánchez-Villagra et al., 2006).

The classification of shrew moles has undergone several major adjustments over more than a century. Based on collected type specimens, Milne-Edwards (1871) and Thomas (1912) successively established three genera (*Uropsilus*, *Rhynchonax*, and *Nasillus*), four species (*Uropsilus soricipes*, *Rhynchonax andersoni*, *Nasillus gracilis*, *N. investigator*), and two subspecies (*R. a. atronates*, *R. a. nivatus*) (Allen, 1923; Milne-Edwards, 1871; Thomas, 1911, 1922), although these classifications were later widely disputed (Ellerman & Morrison-Scott, 1951; Howell, 1929; Osgood, 1937). In 1937,

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Copyright ©2021 Editorial Office of Zoological Research, Kunming Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences Osgood divided Uropsilinae into two genera (Uropsilus, Nasillus), and incorporated Rhvnchonax into Uropsilus as an intermediate transition type. However, Ellerman & Morrison-Scott (1951) assigned all genera and species into one species with five subspecies (U. s. soricipes, U. s. gracilis, U. s. andersoni, U. s. investigator, U. s. nivatus), while later scholars recognized four effective species within the genus, i.e., U. soricipes, U. gracilis, U. andersoni, and U. investigator (Hutterer, 2005; Smith & Xie, 2008; Wang & Yang, 1989). With the discovery of U. aequodonenia in 2013, Uropsilinae is now considered to contain five species (Liu et al., 2013). In this study, for the first time, shrew mole specimens were collected in the Dabie Mountains of eastern China, far from its original distribution range in the montane areas of southwestern China, Bhutan, and Myanmar (Jiang et al., 2017). Based on morphological comparisons and molecular phylogenetic and species delimitation analyses, we report that the newly collected specimens represent a new species within Uropsilus (Figure 1A).

DNA was extracted from the livers and muscles of the four specimens (Sambrook et al., 1989) and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed, targeting sequences of mitochondrial DNA marker cyt *b* (Irwin et al., 1991) and nuclear markers *RAG1*, *RAG2*, and *PLCB4* (Wan et al., 2013) (Supplementary Methods). Uncorrected pairwise genetic distances of the cyt *b* gene were computed (Supplementary Methods). Bayesian inference (BI) and maximum parsimony (MP) approaches were used for phylogenetic analysis of the four specimens and 95 individuals of other species obtained from GenBank (Supplementary Table S2). BEAST v1.10.4

Received: 14 September 2020; Accepted: 15 April 2021; Online: 28 April 2021

Foundation items: This work was supported by the National Science & Technology Fundamental Resources Investigation Program of China (2019FY101800), Biodiversity Survey, Observation and Assessment Program of Ministry of Ecology and Environment, China (2019HB 2096001006), Anhui Province Higher Education Revitalization Plan, 2014 Colleges and Universities Outstanding Youth Talent Support Program



Figure 1 Distribution, molecular, and morphological comparisons of*Uropsilus*,with views and crania of*Uropsilus dabieshanensissp.* nov. A: Sampling sites and species distribution map of *Uropsilus*. B: Molecular phylogenetic tree constructed using BEAST based on mitochondrialnuclear concatenated data. Preceding numbers on branches are median divergence times and following numbers represent Bayesian posterior probability. Black lines represent species delimitation results of bPTP, ABGD, and BPP. C: Dorsal, ventral, and lateral views of holotype of *Uropsilus dabieshanensis* **sp. nov.** (AE1807FZL007). D: Results of PCA (a) and DFA (b). E: Images of crania and mandibles of holotype (left: AE1807FZL007) and paratype (right: AE1612YLP017). F: Comparison of local mandibular tooth characteristics in six species of *Uropsilus*. *Uropsilus dabieshanensis* **sp. nov.** (a), *U. andersoni* (b), *U. soricipes* (c), *U. gracilis* (d), *U. investigator* (e), and *U. aequodonenia* (f).

(Suchard et al., 2018) was used to construct Bayesian trees estimate the time of species differentiation and (Supplementary Methods). In addition, the Bayesian Poisson tree processes (bPTP) (Zhang et al., 2013a), Bayesian Phylogenetics and Phylogeography (BPP v3.4) (Yang & Rannala, 2010), and Automatic barcode gap discovery (ABGD) (Puillandre et al., 2012) methods were used to perform tree-based multi-site merging and species delimitation to determine the unknown species (Supplementary Methods). phylogenetic analysis, morphometric According to measurements were obtained from the skulls of the four

collected specimens and 23 other *Uropsilus* specimens with Vernier calipers to the nearest 0.01 mm (Table 1). Principal component analysis (PCA) and discriminant function analysis (DFA) were used to compare samples (Supplementary Methods).

Supplementary Figure S1 shows the BI and MP analysis results, which were consistent with each other. In the phylogenetic tree, the four new specimens were clustered on one branch with high nodal support (Bayesian posterior probability=1.00; MP bootstrap values=97). Within the genus *Uropsilus*, the smallest pairwise uncorrected distance was

Table 1	Means (±SD) and	d ranges of skull	measurements (n	nm) in m	norphometric a	nalyses of	genus	Uropsilus
---------	-----------------	-------------------	-----------------	----------	----------------	------------	-------	-----------

	Uropsilus dabieshanensis sp. nov. (<i>n</i> =4)	U. gracilis(n=4)	U. soricipes (n=9)	U. aequodonenia(n=3)	U. investigator (n=4)	U. andersoni(n=3)
PL	21.11–21.76	20.58–21.78	20.36-21.45	20.75–22.03	20.51–21.56	20.79–22.28
	21.50±0.29	21.37±0.56	21.03±0.42	21.52±0.68	21.10±0.44	21.66±0.77
BL	17.59–17.98	16.08–17.34	16.88–17.81	17.19–17.86	16.47–17.23	16.37–17.50
	17.83±0.17	16.86±0.55	17.36±0.30	17.53±0.34	16.80±0.32	17.03±0.59
GNB	10.93–11.52	10.93–11.55	10.60–11.43	11.12–11.72	10.44–11.42	11.06–11.46
	11.23±0.33	11.34±0.28	11.15±0.32	11.43±0.30	10.84±0.43	11.30±0.211
ZB	10.40–11.02	10.12–10.30	10.10–10.43	10.64–11.18	9.70–10.66	10.81–11.17
	10.68±0.26	10.21±0.91	10.30±0.13	10.92±0.27	10.19±0.44	10.97±0.18
GBSn	7.94–8.78	6.70–7.64	7.45–7.69	7.42-8.02	7.13–7.35	7.66–7.79
	8.29±0.40	7.28±0.44	7.58±0.74	7.76±0.31	7.23±0.09	7.72±0.07
LBO	5.27–.5.91	5.25-5.50	5.36-5.58	5.54-5.68	5.12-5.36	5.34–5.54
	5.52±0.28	5.39±0.11	5.46±0.09	5.62±0.07	5.24±0.10	5.41±0.11
HB	6.57–6.84	7.18–7.61	6.58–7.12	6.60–7.51	6.48-7.08	6.65–7.51
	6.76±0.12	7.43±0.21	6.80±0.18	7.02±0.46	6.87±0.27	7.18±0.46
M ² -M ²	6.85–7.04	6.19–6.27	6.25-6.69	6.21–6.69	5.58-6.21	5.93-6.48
	6.95±0.80	6.24±0.03	6.40±0.15	6.49±0.25	5.88±0.26	6.27±0.30
MPL	10.08–10.32	9.68–10.26	9.61–10.20	9.93–10.31	9.48-10.03	9.84–10.06
	10.24±0.11	9.98±0.30	9.97±0.17	10.15±0.20	9.85±0.25	9.94±0.11
LMTR	8.40-8.79	8.43-9.09	8.15-8.65	8.30-9.22	8.21-9.06	8.49–9.07
	8.64±0.17	8.80±0.27	8.45±0.15	8.69±0.48	8.61±0.35	8.75±0.30
LM	14.47–15.09	14.01–15.00	12.18–14.30	14.30–14.51	11.95–13.42	12.22-14.64
	14.81±0.26	14.35±0.46	13.95±0.68	14.41±0.11	12.37±0.70	13.69±1.29

PL: Profile length; BL: Basal length; GNB: Greatest neurocranium breadth; ZB: Zygomatic breadth; GBSn: Greatest breadth of snout; LBO: Least breadth between orbits; HB: Height of braincase; MPL: Median palatal length; LMTR: Length of mandibular tooth row including first incisor; M²-M²: Greatest width measured at anterior labial margins of second mandibular; LM: Length of mandible not including first incisor.

between *U. soricipes* and *U. qinlingensis* (4.1%), and the largest was between *U. atronates* and *U. investigator* (19.8%) (Supplementary Table S3). The genetic distance between the four specimens showed low variation ($\leq 0.62\%$), and the genetic distances between the four new specimens and other species in the genus ranged from 10.5% (vs *U. gracilis*) to 17.8% (vs *U. investigator*).

In total, 95 sequences of *Uropsilus* obtained from GenBank and the four newly collected samples were used for analysis (Supplementary Table S2). Species delimitation analysis using bPTP, BPP, and ABGD produced different results, suggesting 15–23 potential species in the genus *Uropsilus* (Figure 1B). However, all three analyses supported the clade of the new specimens as an independent species. Time to the most recent common ancestor (MRCA) of *Uropsilus* was estimated to be 6.81 million years ago (Ma) (95% confidence interval (CI)=4.79–9.16), and the unknown species clade diverged from the other species clades in the early Pleistocene ~2.41 Ma (95% CI=1.83–2.95) (Figure 1B). Thus, the species delimitation results supported the specimens as a new species, consistent with the phylogenetic and genetic distance results.

Based on PCA of the morphological data of the skull, two major components were extracted, which together accounted for 69.02% of total variation (Supplementary Table S4). The first principal component (PC1) accounted for 51.41% of the variation and was positively correlated with all variables (eigenvalue=5.655), thus reflecting differences in the whole

skull. The second principal component (PC2) accounted for 17.61% of the variation and was dominated by the height of the braincase (HB) and length of the mandibular tooth row (LMTR) (eigenvalue=1.937). As seen in the PCA plot, the four specimens occupied the positive region of PC1, indicating that their skulls were larger than those of U. soricipes, and occupied the negative region of PC2, indicating that their mandibular toothrows and HBs were smaller than those of U. gracilis, U. investigator, and U. andersoni (Figure 1D). DFA correctly classified 100% (n=27) of the six groups of samples. Canonical axes 1-4 explained 77.8%, 14.1%, 6.3%, and 1.5% of the total change, respectively (Figure 1D; Supplementary Table S4). Thus, based on PCA and DFA, which indicated that our specimens and five other species occupy different regions in the morphological space of skull features, we considered the four specimens to be a new species.

Taxonomic account

Uropsilus dabieshanensis sp. nov.

Holotype: Specimen AE1807FZL007, adult male, collected in July 2018 by Zhen Xu and Ruo-Lei Sun from Foziling Provincial Nature Reserve, Anhui Province, China (N31.119°, E116.245°, altitude 1 064 m a.s.l.). The specimen was deposited in the Biological Museum of Anhui University, Anhui, China.

Paratypes: Three females; AE1612YLP017 collected in December 2016 by Heng Zhang and Lei Zhou from

Yaoluoping National Nature Reserve, Anqing, Anhui Province, China (N30.964°, E116.075°, altitude 1 109 m a.s.l.); AE1907FZL001 collected in July 2019 by Zhen Xu and Ruo-Lei Sun from Huoshan County, Foziling Provincial Nature Reserve, Anhui Province, China (N31.112°, E116.227°, altitude 1 093 m a.s.l.); AE2005YLP001 collected in May 2020 by Jie Shi from Yaoluoping National Nature Reserve, Anqing, Anhui Province, China (N30.982°, E116.127°, altitude 1 273 m a.s.l.).

Distribution: *Uropsilus dabieshanensis* **sp. nov.** is currently known from the Yaoluoping National Nature Reserve and Huoshan Foziling Provincial Nature Reserve, Anhui Province, East China. The known elevational range is 1064–1273 m. It may occur in other areas in the Dabie Mountains.

Etymology: The specific name refers to the type locality of the new species, i.e., Dabie Mountains, Anhui Province, China. We suggest 'Dabie Mountains shrew mole' as the English common name and '大别山鼩鼹' as the common Chinese name for the new species.

Diagnosis: Ten teeth in upper jaw, nine teeth in lower jaw. Dental formula i2/1, c1/1, pm4/4, m3/3=38. Hair on back dark gray and dark brown, hair on abdomen dark gray, color difference between back and abdomen not obvious. Front and back feet with black speckled scales, feet smaller than those of other species in genus. Two-colored tail, upper part black, lower part light pink (Figure 1C).

Description: Medium-sized Asian shrew mole. Long lip protruding from front of head, with light gray beard of varying length on end of rhynchodaenm (Figure 1C). Triangular ears covered by hair with gray tips. Forefoot short, hindfoot slender and well-developed with five fingers. Thin tail, with annular scales and short hairs growing between each scale. Total length 118.6–136.4 mm, tail length 52.4–54.1 mm, ear height 8.1–8.9 mm, hindfoot length 12.8–12.9 mm, and weight 6.2–8.9 g.

Wide skull at anterior cusp, round brain, and semicircular occipital bone (Figure 1E). Parietal suture composed of herringbone and arrow shapes, arch complete. Dental formula i2/1, c1/1, pm4/4, m3/3=38; first upper incisor of upper jaw slightly larger than second upper incisor. Canine teeth visibly distinct, smaller than second upper incisor, but approximately same size as first premolar p1; second premolar p2 larger than first premolar p1 and third premolar p3, and fourth premolar p4 larger than first three premolars; second mandibular m2 developed, with "W" shape, larger than first mandibular m1 and third mandibular m3. First mandibular m1 and third mandibular m3 relatively degraded, and "W" teeth tips incomplete. Lower mandibular first incisor i1 larger than lower canine teeth, first lower premolar pm1 slightly smaller than lower canine teeth. First lower premolar pm1 and third lower premolar pm3 same size. Second lower premolar pm2 and fourth lower premolar pm4 larger than pm3 and pm4, pm4 largest. Mandible first molar m1 slightly larger than or equal to third molar m3; mandible second molar m2 with lateral tooth tip and "W" shape visibly larger than first molar m1 and third molar m3 (Figure 1F).

Morphological comparison: Multivariate analyses (PCA and DFA, Figure 1D) revealed obvious differences between *Uropsilus dabieshanensis* **sp. nov.** and recognized *Uropsilus*

species, which could be easily distinguished based on teeth and crania (Figure 1E-F).

Uropsilus dabieshanensis sp. nov. can be distinguished from certain congeners based on its dental formula of i2/1, c1/1, pm4/4, m3/3=38 (Figure 1F) (vs i2/1, c1/1, pm3/3, m3/3=34 in U. soricipes, i2/ 2, c1/1, pm4 /3, m3/3=38 in U. andersoni, and i2/2, c1/1, pm3/3, m3/3=36 in U. aequodonenia). Uropsilus dabieshanensis sp. nov. can be further distinguished based on cranial measurements (Table 1), with a longer 'snout' breadth and mandible length (GBSn=7.94-8.78, LM=14.47-15.09) than U. soricipes (GBSn=7.45-7.69, LM=12.18-14.30), and longer basal length and median palatal length (BL=17.59-17.98, MPL= 10.08-10.32) than U. andersoni (BL=16.37-17.50, MPL= 9.84-10.06). In addition, Uropsilus dabieshanensis sp. nov. has a narrower anterior labial margin (M²-M²=6.85-7.04) of the second upper molars than U. aeguodonenia (M²-M²= 6.21-6.69).

Although Uropsilus dabieshanensis sp. nov. shares the same dental formula as U. gracilis and U. investigator, their skulls are very different (Table 1). Uropsilus dabieshanensis sp. nov. has a longer and wider skull and shorter height of braincase (BL=17.59-17.98, ZB=10.40-11.02, HB=6.57-6.84) than U. gracilis (BL=16.08-17.34, ZB=10.12-10.30, HB=7.18-7.61). Overall, the skull of Uropsilus dabieshanensis sp. nov. (BL=17.59-17.98, M²–M²=6.85–7.04, MPL=10.08-10.32, LM=14.47-15.09, GBSn=7.94-8.78) is obviously larger than that of *U. investigator* (BL=16.47-17.23, M²-M²=5.58-6.21, LM=11.95-13.42, MPL=9.48-10.03, GBSn=7.13-7.35), especially mandible length.

Taxonomic implications and distributional patterns: Phylogenetic analysis showed that the Uropsilus dabieshanensis sp. nov. branch acted as a monophyletic group in all phylogenetic trees with high support (Supplementary Figure S1). However, the topology of the species tree constructed using BEAST was slightly different from the other phylogenetic trees. A similar phenomenon has been discussed in previous literature (Zhang et al., 2013b) and phylogenetic studies of Uropsilus (Wan et al., 2018), which may be due to limitations of the BEAST tree model. Although there were differences among the phylogenetic trees reconstructed by diverse analysis methods, they consistently harvested the same independent branch for the new specimens, indicating that Uropsilus dabieshanensis sp. nov. is an authentic species.

In species delimitation analysis, bPTP revealed additional candidate species within *Uropsilus* compared with the BPP and ABGD methods (23, 16, and 15, respectively). However, all methods supported the specific status of *Uropsilus dabieshanensis* **sp. nov.** and other species in *Uropsilus*. Moreover, these results indicate that species diversity in *Uropsilus* is substantially higher than previously thought (Figure 1B).

The phylogenetic results showed that the lineage for *Uropsilus* had two matrilines, one from the Gongshan Mountains west of the Nujiang River (Salween River), including *U. investigator* and *U. parva*, and the other from the mountains of Southwest China east of the Nujiang River and the Dabie Mountains (Figure 1A). From the view of spatial

distribution, *Uropsilus dabieshanensis* **sp. nov.** in the Dabie Mountains is distant from other species in the genus *Uropsilus* (Figure 1A). From the perspective of vertical elevation, previously discovered Asian shrew moles mainly inhabit mountain forests at elevations of 1 400–3 600 m a.s.l. (Hoffmann & Lunde, 2008; Smith & Xie, 2008). However, the average habitat of *Uropsilus dabieshanensis* **sp. nov.** was 1 064–1 273 m a.s.l.. The distribution patterns of *Uropsilus dabieshanensis* **sp. nov.** are similar to those of *Eothenomys colurnus* in the mountainous regions of South-Central China, which was recently elevated as a valid species in the genus *Eothenomys* (Liu et al., 2019).

Uropsilus dabieshanensis **sp. nov.** formed a single lineage in the early Pleistocene ~2.41 Ma (Figure 1B). Topographical changes in the Dabie Mountains gradually stabilized by the end of the Tertiary (Feng, 1976). Thus, the Dabie Mountains may have provided *Uropsilus* with continuous suitable habitat, which helped buffer the dramatic climatic changes that occurred in the Pleistocene. Moreover, other species in the same lineage as *Uropsilus dabieshanensis* **sp. nov.** are distributed in the mountains of Southwest China. Therefore, this may have promoted the formation of allopatric speciation within a long evolutionary time frame through physical isolation, resulting in a new species (He et al., 2019). *Uropsilus dabieshanensis* **sp. nov.** expands the known range of *Uropsilus* to the Dabie Mountains in eastern China.

Operational key to species of the genus Uropsilus*

1) Number of upper and lower jaw teeth equal, dental formula i2/2, c1/1, pm3/3, m3/3=36U. aequodonenia Number of upper and lower jaw teeth not equal2 2) Ten teeth on one side of upper jaw, two incisors on lower jaw, dental formula i2/2, c1/1, pm4/3, m3/3=38U. andersoni Nine or 10 teeth on one side of upper jaw, one incisor on 3) Nine teeth on one side of upper jaw, eight teeth on one side of lower jaw, dental formula i2/1, c1/1, pm3/3, m3/3=34.....U. soricipes Ten teeth on one side of upper jaw, nine teeth on one side of lower jaw, dental formula i2/1, c1/1, pm4/4, m3/3=38..... 4) Medium size, coat color difference between back and abdomen not obvious.....5 Small size, coat color on back and abdomen different.....7 5) Tail, back, and abdomen coat color jet black.....U. investigator Coat color on back dark brown......6 6) Coat color on abdomen dark gray and dark brown......U. gracilis Coat color on abdomen dark grayUropsilus dabieshanensis sp. nov. 7) Coat color on back chestnut red.....U. atronates Coat color on back black gold.....U. nivatus * Description of some species quoted from Wan (2015).

NOMENCLATURAL ACTS REGISTRATION

The electronic version of this article in portable document format represents a published work according to the

International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN), and hence the new names contained in the electronic version are effectively published under that Code from the electronic edition alone (see Articles 8.5–8.6 of the Code). This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information can be viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefixhttp://zoobank.org/.

Publication LSID:

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub: BA986BF6-4F69-42ED-A9F1-5947 724C6221.

Uropsilus dabieshanensis LSID:

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:968FD322-1D43-4B22-8320-C7FBFB 90F512.

SCIENTIFIC FIELD SURVEY PERMISSION INFORMATION

Permission for field surveys in Anhui Province was granted by the Huoshan Forestry Bureau and Management Office of Yaoluoping National Reserve.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data to this article can be found online.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

B.W.Z. and S.Y. L. conceived and designed the study. Z.X. performed the experiments, analyzed the data, and prepared the manuscript. T.L.H. and H.Z. helped analyze the data. R.L.S. took pictures of the samples. Y.X.L., R.L., G.D.Y., Q.B., J.S., and C.L.L. collected materials. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Guo-Tao Chen, Lei Zhou, and Zheng Kong for their assistance in collecting specimens and taking pictures of the samples and thank Gui-You Wu for assistance in analyzing the data. In addition, we also thank Xue-Long Jiang and Tao Wan for providing morphological data of the samples.

Ting-Li Hu^{1,#}, Zhen Xu^{1,#}, Heng Zhang^{1,#}, Ying-Xun Liu², Rui Liao², Guang-Dao Yang³, Ruo-Lei Sun¹, Jie Shi¹, Qian Ban¹, Chun-Lin Li¹, Shao-Ying Liu^{2,*}, Bao-Wei Zhang^{1,*}

¹ School of Life Science, Anhui University, Hefei, Anhui 230601, China

² Sichuan Academy of Forestry, Chengdu, Sichuan 610081, China ³ Forestry Investigation and Planning Institute of Anhui Province, Hefei, Anhui 230001, China

*Authors contributed equally to this work

*Corresponding authors, E-mail: zhangbw@ahu.edu.cn;

shaoyliu@163.com

REFERENCES

Allen GM. 1923. New Chinese insectivores. American Museum Novitates, Number 100. New York: The American Museum of Natural History, 1–11.

Allen GM. 1938. The Mammals of China and Mongolia. Part 1. New York: American Museum of Natural History.

Douady CJ, Douzery EJP. 2003. Molecular estimation of eulipotyphlan divergence times and the evolution of "Insectivora". *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, **28**(2): 285–296.

Ellerman JRQ, Morrison-Scott TCS. 1951. Checklist of Palaearctic and Indian Mammals 1758 to 1946. London: British Museum (Natural History), 1–810.

Feng WK. 1976. Geomorphotectonic features of the dabieshan area, China. *Chinese Journal of Geology*, **11**(3): 266–276. (in Chinese)

He K, Gutiérrez EE, Heming NM, Koepfli KP, Wan T, He SW, et al. 2019. Cryptic phylogeographic history sheds light on the generation of species diversity in sky-island mountains. *Journal of Biogeography*, **46**(10): 2232–2247.

He K, Shinohara A, Helgen KM, Springer MS, Jiang XL, Campbell KL. 2017. Talpid mole phylogeny unites shrew moles and illuminates overlooked cryptic species diversity. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **34**(1): 78–87.

Hoffmann RS, Lunde D. 2008. Soricomorpha. In: Smith AT, Xie Y. A Guide to the Mammals of China. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press.

Howell AB. 1929. Mammals from China in the collections of the United States National Museum. *Proceedings of the United States National Museum*, **75**(2772): 1–82.

Hutterer R. 2005. Order soricomorpha. *In*: Wilson DE, Reeder DM. Mammal Species of the World: A Taxonomic and Geographic Reference. 3rd ed. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 220–311.

Irwin DM, Kocher TD, Wilson AC. 1991. Evolution of the cytochrome *b* gene of mammals. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, **32**(2): 128–144.

IUCN. 2017. The IUCN red list of threatened species. http://www.iucnredlist.org.

Jiang ZG, Jiang JP, Wang YZ, Zhang E, Zhang YY, Li LL, et al. 2016. Red list of China's vertebrates. *Biodiversity Science*, **24**(5): 500–551. (in Chinese)

Jiang ZG, Liu SY, Wu Y, Jiang XL, Zhou KY. 2017. China's mammal diversity (2nd edition). *Biodiversity Science*, **25**(8): 886–895. (in Chinese)

Liu SY, Chen SD, He K, Tang MK, Liu Y, Jin W, et al. 2019. Molecular phylogeny and taxonomy of subgenus *Eothenomys* (Cricetidae: Arvicolinae: *Eothenomys*) with the description of four new species from Sichuan, China. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **186**(2): 569–598.

Liu Y, Liu SY, Sun ZY, Guo P, Fan ZX, Murphy RW. 2013. A new species of *Uropsilus* (Talpidae: Uropsilinae) from Sichuan, China. *Acta Theriologica Sinica*, **33**(2): 113–122. (in Chinese)

Milne-Edwards A. 1871. Descriptions of new species, in footnotes. *In*: David A. Journal d'un voyage en Mongolia et en Chine fait en 1866–68. Paris: Nouvelles Archives du Museum d'Histoire Naturelle, 75–100.

Motokawa M. 2004. Phylogenetic relationships within the family Talpidae

(Mammalia: Insectivora). Journal of Zoology, 263(2): 147-157.

Osgood WH. 1937. Variable dentition in a Chinese insectivore. *Field Museum of Natural History, Zoological Series*, **20**(27): 365–368.

Puillandre N, Lambert A, Brouillet S, Achaz G. 2012. ABGD, Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery for primary species delimitation. *Molecular Ecology*, **21**(8): 1864–1877.

Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T. 1989. Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. 2nd ed. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Springs Harbor, 1659.

Sánchez-Villagra MR, Horovitz I, Motokawa M. 2006. A comprehensive morphological analysis of talpid moles (Mammalia) phylogenetic relationships. *Cladistics*, **22**(1): 59–88.

Smith AT, Xie Y. 2008. A Guide to the Mammals of China. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 216–217.

Suchard MA, Lemey P, Baele G, Ayres DL, Drummond AJ, Rambaut A. 2018. Bayesian phylogenetic and phylodynamic data integration using BEAST 1.10. *Virus Evolution*, **4**(1): vey016.

Thomas O. 1911. The Duke of Bedford's Zoological exploration of eastern Asia. —XIII. On mammals from the provinces of Kan-su and Sze-chwan, western China. Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London, **81**(1): 158–180.

Thomas O. 1912. The Duke of Bedford's zoological exploration of eastern Asia. -XV. On mammals from the provinces of Szechwan and Yunnan, western China. Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London, **82**(1): 127–141.

Thomas O. 1922. On mammals from the Yunnan highlands collected by Mr. George Forrest and presented to the British Museum by Col. Stephenson R. Clarke, D.S.O. Annals and Magazine of Natural History, **10**(58): 391–406.

Wan T. 2015. Phylogeny, Phylogeography and Integrative Taxonomy of Asiatic Shrew Moles (Uropsilinae). Ph.D. dissertation, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing. (in Chinese)

Wan T, He K, Jiang XL. 2013. Multilocus phylogeny and cryptic diversity in Asian shrew-like moles (*Uropsilus*, Talpidae): implications for taxonomy and conservation. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, **13**(1): 232.

Wan T, He K, Jin W, Liu SY, Chen ZZ, Zhang B, et al. 2018. Climate niche conservatism and complex topography illuminate the cryptic diversification of Asian shrew-like moles. *Journal of Biogeography*, **45**(10): 2400–2414.

Wang YX, Yang G. 1989. Editor-in-Chief of Yunnan Disease Control Office and Yunnan Health and Anti-epidemic Station: Yunnan Medical Animal Directory. Kunming: Yunnan Science and Technology Press, 202–210. (in Chinese)

Yang ZH, Rannala B. 2010. Bayesian species delimitation using multilocus sequence data. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **107**(20): 9264–9269.

Zhang JJ, Kapli P, Pavlidis P, Stamatakis A. 2013a. A general species delimitation method with applications to phylogenetic placements. *Bioinformatics*, **29**(22): 2869–2876.

Zhang LN, Rong CH, He Y, Guan Q, He B, Zhu XW, et al. 2013b. A bird's eye view of the algorithms and software packages for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Zoological Research*, **34**(6): 640–650. (in Chinese)