

WWW.IJAPC.COM



e ISSN 2350 0204

VOLUME 12 ISSUE 1 2020

GREENTREE GROUP PUBLISHERS (GGP)



REVIEW ARTICLE

www.ijapc.com e-ISSN 2350-0204

Distinct Role of Pharmacognostical Study in the Drug Development and Standardization.

Mane Santosh¹, Gajarmal Amit Ashok^{2*} and Tiwari R.K.³

¹⁻³Collaborative Research Centre for Veterinary *Ayurveda* under C.C.R.A.S., Ministry of AYUSH (C.O.V.S.), GADVASU, Ludhiana, Punjab, India

ABSTRACT

Since time immemorial Ayurveda is dealing with health and infirmities of human beings, animals and plants through its holistic approach in the prevention and cure of diseases. The basic source of medicine is fauna and fauna of the surrounding area and well utilized in the AYUSH system. India is the richest country well known for its plant and animal species diversity. In the ASU (Ayurveda, Siddha, Unani and Amchi) system of medicine, more than 2000 plant species have been mentioned. Moreover, in traditional local health practices, more than 8000 plant species have been reported. Apart from this, in the recent era, the scientists of the various fields are more interested to study the ethno-medicine or herbal medicines in the direction of new drug development. In this process, taxonomical and pharmacognostical study provides better confirmation for identification of plant specimen. Simultaneously, drug industries are growing up in India. Nowadays, GMP (Good Manufacturing Practices) and drug standardization are became strictly mandatory factors for drug preparation. The raw drug identity, purity, and content or assay is the utmost important components of drug preparation. In this whole process, the pharmacognostical study of a raw drug plays a major role through identification of plant material based on various micro-macroscopic characters. This paper highlights the role of pharmacognosy in the development of herbo-mineral medicines.

KEYWORDS

Ayurveda, Pharmacognosy, Drug Standardization



Received 20/11/19 Accepted 07/01/20 Published 10/01/2020

INTRODUCTION

History depicts that plants are having a close relationship with human beings. They are basic tools to cure n number of aliments among many indigenous communities. Traditional medicine is based on hundreds of years of belief and observations, which predate the development and spread of modern medicine¹. Today, there is widespread interest in herbal drugs and this interest is due to the belief that these are safer, inexpensive and have no adverse effects². As result plants are upgraded from fringe to mainstream use with large population seeking herbal remedies for health consequences³. The maximum population of the world i.e. 1.42 billion are dependents on the traditional healthcare practices for the healthy; disease-free life⁴. But, in developed countries, one of the main obstacles in the acceptance of herbal medicines is the nonexistence of scientific documentation and stringent measures of quality control. It urges a need for documentation of herbal medicines with proper identification of herbs used in the therapeutic preparation by adopting the pharmacognostical and phytochemical studies. Then it will help in the authentication and standardization of the samples and it will ultimately ensure the reproducible quality of herbal medicine

along with its safety and efficacy⁵. It will boost up the acceptance of herbal medicines in the developed world⁶⁻⁸.

The term pharmacognosy is derived from two Greek words, "pharmakon" which means drug or medicine, and "gnosis" knowledge. Moreover, which means the American Society of Pharmacognosy elaborates the pharmacognosy as "the study of the physical, chemical, biochemical and biological properties of drugs/drug substances of natural origin". The study of drugs from plants means its study through botany, chemistry, and pharmacology. Where. botany focuses on plant identification (taxonomy), genetics, and cultivation methods. Chemistry highlights chemical characterization with the isolation, identification, and quantification of constituents in the herbal sample. And, Pharmacology indicates the biological effects of particular chemicals of plants on cell cultures, animals and humans. From a practical perspective, pharmacognostical study includes quality control (identity, purity, and consistency) and ultimately efficacy and safety of a drug.

Standardization of herbal drugs is an essential component for ensuring the quality control of the herbal drugs⁹. "Standardization" covers all the measures taken while manufacturing process and quality control leading to a reproducible



quality¹⁰. Herbal drugs standardization covers basic parameters like botanical evaluation, physicochemical evaluation, pharmacological evaluation along with toxicological parameters associated with the herbal drug¹¹.

However, several pharmacopeia like Indian The Pharmacopoeia, Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India, The Unani Pharmacopoeia of India, The Siddha *Pharmacopoeia* of India. The Homoeopathy Pharmacopoeia of India, The British Pharmacopoeia, Chinese Herbal Pharmacopeia, British Herbal Pharmacopoeia, Japanese pharmacopeia, Japanese **Standards** for Herbal Medicine and United States Pharmacopoeia has developed monographs on quality control tests for respective medicinal plants included among them¹²⁻¹⁴. Moreover, the Indian origin monographs like Indian Pharmacopoeia, Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India, Wealth of India and Ayurvedic Formulary of India has provided the necessary information regarding various tests to be performed to determine the authenticity of the herbal crude drugs as per their standards¹⁵. Similarly, several international pharmacopeia have been also enlisted the monographs having quality parameters and standards of various herbs and herbal products.

The classification of herbal drugs

The herbal drugs can be defined as whole or plant parts, algae or fungi in an natural state usually in dried form but sometimes fresh and can be broadly classified as (a) Ayurveda herbal preparations: They are herbal preparations invented in India more than 4000 years ago^{16-17} . (b) Chinese herbalism: It was originated in China which formed an extremely cultured system of diagnosis, identification, and treatment over the centuries. Chinese herbalism has an element of traditionally related medicine and (c) Western herbalism: The western herbalism created from Rome, Greece and then developed in North Europe along with South America. Western herbalism is considered primarily as a system of people's medicine in the existing world.

WHO guidelines for standardized herbal drugs

It is accepted worldwide that the standardization of herbal drug is wide and deep. According to WHO, the herbal drug standardization is the process involved in the Physico-chemical assessment of crude drugs that covers various aspects like selection and handling of crude drug material; safety, efficacy, and stability assessment of finished products; documentation of safety and risk of the product formulation to consumer and product promotion. This guideline for



herbal drug standardization can be stepwise summarized as primary botanical evaluation of herb which covers the sensory characters. foreign organic matter. microscopical, histological, histochemical evaluation, quantitative measurements, etc. Then Physicochemical parameters like physical and chemical identity, ash values, extractive values, moisture content, volatile oil content, quantitative estimation protocols, chromatographic fingerprints etc. Followed by various Pharmacological parameters like viz. biological activity profiles, bitterness values, swelling factor, foaming index, etc. Finally, the evaluation of toxicological parameters like pesticide residues, heavy metals etc.¹⁸⁻²⁰.

Evaluation tools for herbal drugs standardization

There are a number of tools for the standardization of herbal drugs and their formulations and they can be summarized as - (i) Botanical evaluation: It includes different parameters like viz. family, biological source, chemical constituents and the various parts of plants collected like a leaf, flower, and root. This is the most important step in the development of standards for Herbal drugs. (ii) Macroscopical evaluation: The colour, odour, taste, size, shape, along with some special features like touch and texture, etc. macroscopical are covered under

evaluation and it is also called as morphological or organoleptic evaluation. It is a method of qualitative evaluation based on the morphological study and sensory profiles of whole drugs. Under the size, it covers length, width, and thickness of the crud material whereas, its odour and taste are sensitive criteria based on individuals' perceptions. The odour can be indistinct, distinct, aromatic, balsamic, spicy, fruity, musty, rancid, weak or strong. Whereas the taste of a raw drug can be of two types, i.e. a true taste which can be acidic, saline, bitter, alkaline or metallic; and false taste which can be categorized as mucilaginous, astringent, pungent, acrid or nauseous. (iii) Microscopic evaluation: It is used for both powder and crude drugs and further categorized as qualitative and quantitative microscopy. The initial one is used to identify the prepared drug by their known histological characters through different section viz. transverse section (T.S.), longitudinal section (L.S.), radial longitudinal section (R.L.S.), or tangential longitudinal section (T.L.S.). The different staining reagents are also used to study the different constituents. However, different parameters like viz. Stomatal number (average number of stomata per sq. mm area of the epidermis); Stomatal Index (the percentage by which the number of stomata forms to the total number of epidermal



cells), Palisade Ratio (average number of palisade cells beneath each epidermal cell), Vein Islet Number (average number of vein islet per sq. mm of the leaf surface midway between the midrib and the margin), Vein Termination Number (average number of vein terminations per sq.mm of the leaf surface midway between the midrib and the margin), etc. comes under quantitative microscopy. (iv)Chemical Evaluation: It is based on the chemical nature of the constituents and it involves the chemical Assays; the specific assays for different active principles were conducted by different chemical tests. And Chemical test is evaluation of specific chemical constituents which may be present in any drug to which its therapeutic activity is attributed. Whereas, Phytochemical Screening is extraction, screening, and identification of the medicinally active substances found in plants like flavonoids, alkaloids. carotenoids. tannins. antioxidants, and phenolic compounds. (v) Physical Evaluation: It is an assessment of herbal drugs based on some important physical properties of active constituents. (vi)Biological Evaluation: It includes the determination of the therapeutic activity of herbal drugs by using biological models of intact animals, animal preparation, microorganisms or isolated living tissue. The biological evaluation of the crude

drugs be done by bioassay; can pharmacologically active substance by biological animal using models and microbial assay; especially performed with micro-organisms like bacteria and fungi for evaluation of the potency of antimicrobial, antibiotics, and antifungal drugs²¹⁻²⁴.

DISCUSSION

Day by day practices of substitution and adulteration are increasing due to high demand and less accessibility of natural sources in terms of unavailability of crude genuine drugs. The genuine plant material is adulterated or substituted to either increase the weight or potency of the production or to decrease its cost. Apart from this, few more reasons include poor appreciative nomenclature of plants; characteristic qualities of accent and dialects. and nonmedical literature describing the flora, etc.²⁵. Adulteration and substitution of herbal drugs are becoming a major problem for the herbal drug industry. It is also creating health hazards or adverse events and leading to the declination of trust in herbal drugs. For effective quality of herbal products modern control analytical testing tools of various quality, parameters are very much essential from the very beginning i.e. the collection of raw material throughout the processing up to the



packaging of the finished product. It is a Standard Operative Procedure (SOP) of drug preparation. It has recommended that government agencies should follow a universal approach in quality herbal preparation by adopting the WHO guidelines. Thus, in the process of standardization and authentication of natural drugs pharmacognostic study is having a prime role. Most of the researches in this field have been carried out for the identification of controversial plant species and their authentication through morphological, phytochemical and physicochemical analysis. plants The Table 1 List of some controversial drage

mentioned in Ayurveda with unclear botanical description generally are considered Sandigdha as Dravya (Controversial drugs). The ancient Sanskrit Ayurvedic literature has described herb along with many synonyms. These synonyms more attribute to its properties and therapeutic utility rather than its morphology or botanical source. Thus, a single herb with various synonyms based on morphology, habitat, origin, and therapeutic uses, etc. by using different descriptions can attribute towards its controversy. Some of the controversial drugs are mentioned in table no. $1^{26,27}$.

S. No.	Sanskrit Name Brahmi	Botanical sources and family		
1.		i. Bacopa monnieri (L.) Pennel (Scrophulariaceae)		
		i.	Centella asiatica (L) urban (Apiaceae)	
2.	Jeevanti i.		Leptadenia reticulata Wight and Arn. (Asclepiadaceae)	
		i.	Desmotrichum fimbriatum Bl. Bidr (Orchiaceae)	
		i.	Cimicifuga foetida Linn (Ranunculaceae)	
3.	Shankhapushpi i.		Convolvulus pluricaulis Choisy (Convulvulaceae),	
		i.	Evolvulus alsinoides (Convulvulaceae),	
		i.	Canscora decussate Schult (Gentianaceae),	
		v.	Clitorea ternatea Linn. (Papilonaceae).	
4.	Daruharidra i. Berberis aristata DC (Berberid		Berberis aristata DC (Berberidaceae),	
		i.	Coscinium fenestratum (Gaertn.) Colebr. (Menispermaceae),	
5.	Rasana	i.	Vanda tessellata Loud and Loud (Orchidaceae),	
		i.	Alpinia galanga (L.) Willd (Scitaminaceae),	
		i.	Pleuchea lanceolata C.B.Clarke. (Compositae)	
		٧.	Viscum album (Loranthaceae),	
		٧.	Withania coagulens (Stocks) Dunal (Solanaceae),	
		i.	Aristolochia indica L.(Aristolochiaceae)	
		i.	Inula racemosa Hook.f. (Asteraceae)	
		i.	Rauwolfia serpentine (L.) Benth. ex Kurz (Apocynaceae),	
		ĸ.	Lochnera rosea (Apocynaceae)	
		ĸ.	Enicostemma littorale Blume (E. littorale) (Gentianaceae)	
6.	Nagakeshara	i.	Mesua ferrea L.(Clusiaceae)	
		i.	Ochrocarpus longifolius (Clusiaceae)	
		i.	Dillenia pentagyna Roxb. (Dilleniaceae)	
7.	Twaka	i.	Cinnamomum tamala Nees & Eberm (Lauraceae)	
		i.	Cinnamomum zeylanicum Blume (Lauraceae)	
		i.	Cinnamomum cassia Blume(Lauraceae)	
8.	Amaravela	i.	Cascutta reflexa Roxb. (Convolvulaceae),	
		i.	Cassyatha filiformis Linn. (Lauraceae).	



9.	Pashanabheda	i.	Aerva javanica Juss. (Amarantaceae)
		i.	Ammania baccifera Linn. (Lythraceae)
		i.	Bergenia ligulata Wall (Saxifragaceae)
		v.	Bryophyllum pinnatum (Lam.)Kurz. (Crassulaceae)
		v.	Coleus aromaticus Benth. (Lamiaceae)
		i.	Rotula aquatica Lour.(Boraginaceae)
		i.	Bridelia montana (Roxb.) Willd. (Euphorbiaceae)
		i.	Homania riparia (Euphorbiaceae)
		Κ.	Ocimum basillicum L.(Lamiaceae)
10.	Talishpatra	i.	Abies webbiana Lindl.(Pinaceae)
		i.	Taxus baccata Linn.(Pinaceae)
		i.	Rhododendron anthopogon D. Don.(Ericaceae)

Apart from this, adulteration is another issue which can be resolved by cause of controversy pharmacognostical study. The practice of substituting original crude drugs partially or wholly with its replica is adulteration. However, adulterant is either devoid of or inferior in chemical and **Table 2** Examples of few commonly used adulterants therapeutic properties as compared to the original drug. The adulteration is intentional, accidental and or indirect adulteration. Most of the time adulteration is done intentionally to achieve commercial benefits²⁸. Few common adulterants are mentioned in table no. $2^{29,30}$.

S. No.	Sanskrit Name	Scientific Name	Adulterants
1.	Mussabara	Aloe barbadensis Mill.	Black catechu (Acacia catechu (L.f.)
			Willd.)
2.	Nagkeshara	Mesua ferrea L.	Buds of Mammea suriga (Buch Ham. ex
			Roxb.) Kosterm. And
			Calophyllum inophyllum Linn.
3.	Punarnava	Boerhavia diffusa Linn.	Trianthema portulachastrum Linn.
4. 5.	Sthula Ela	Amomum subulatum Roxb.	Heracleum rigens Wallichis
5.	Vacha	Acorus calamus Linn.	Alpinia officinarum Hance.
			Alpinia galangal (L.) Sw.
6.	Vasa	Adhatoda vasica Nees.	Ailanthus excels Linn.
7.	Guggulu	Commiphora wightii (Arnott)	Gum resin of Boswellia serrata
		Bhandari	<u>Triana</u> & <u>Planch.</u> ,
			Hymenodictyon excelsum (Roxb.) Wall.
8.	Bola	Commiphora myrrha (Nees)	Gum of Commiphora wightii (Arnott)
		Engl.	Bhandari
9.	Kutaja	Holarrhena antidysenterica Wall.	Wrightia tinctoria R.Br.
			Wrightia tomentosa Roem. & Schult.
10.	Ashoka	Saraca asoca (Roxb.)Willd.	Polyalthia longifolia (Sonn.) Thwaites

Similarly, replacement of equivalent drugs instead of original drugs based on its similar pharmacological actions and therapeutic uses as a substitute is another major issue addressed through pharmacognostical study. Certainly, *Abhava Pratinidhi Dravya* has been already mentioned in Ayurveda for substitution and its few examples are mentioned in table no.3³¹.

 Table - 3: Examples of some substitute drugs (herbs) mentioned in Bhavaprakasha Nighantu.



S.	Main Drugs		Substitute drugs	
No.	Sanskrit Name	Botanical name	Sanskrit name	Botanical name
1.	Chitraka	Plumbago zeylanicum Linn.	Danti	<i>Baliospermum montanum</i> Muell
2.	Dhanavyasa	Alhagi camerlorum Fisch.	Duralabha	Fagonia Arabica Linn.
3.	Tagara	Valeriana wallichii DC.	Kushtha	Saussurea lappa C B Clarke
4.	Murva	Marsedenia tenacissima (Roxb.) Wight et Arn.	Jhingini	Odina woodier Roxb.
5.	Himsra	Capparis sepiaria	Maankanda	Alocasia indica (Roxb.) Schott
6.	Lakshmana	Solanum xanthocarpum Schrad. or Ipomoea sepiara Koenig	Neelakanthashikha (Mayurshikha)	Adiantum caudatum Linn. o Celiosia cristata Linn. ex Roxb
7.	Bakula	Mimusops elengi Linn.	Kalhaar (Rakta Kumuda)	Nelumbo speciosum Willd. / Nelumbium rubra Roxb.
8.	Utpala	Nymphea pubescens Willd. Nymphea stellata Will.	Pankaja	Nelumbo speciosum Willd/ Nelumbo nucifera Willd
9.	Neel Utpala	Nymphea stellata Willd/ Nymphea Nouchali Burm.f.	Kumuda	Nymphea alba/ N.rubra Roxb.ex Andrews /N.edulisDC
10.	Jati Pushpa	Myristica fragrans Houtt.	Lavanga	Syzygium aromaticum (Linn Merr. & L.M.Perry
11.	Arka Payasa	Calotropis gigantean (Linn)	Arka Patra	Calotropis gigantean (Linn)
	(Dugdha)	R.Br. ex Ait	Swarasa	R.Br. ex Ait
12.	Poushkara	Inula racemosa Hook.f	Kushtha	Saussurea lappa C.B. Clark
13.	Langali	Gloriosa superb Linn.	Kushtha	Saussurea lappa C.B. Clark
14.	Sthouneyaka	Clerodendron infortunatum L	Kushtha	Saussurea lappa C.B. Clark
15.	Chavika	Piper chaba Hunter	Pippali Mula	Piper longum Linn.
16.	Gaja-Pippali	Scindapsus officinalis Schott	Pippali Mula	Piper longum Linn.
17.	Somraji (Bakuchi)	Psoralea corylifolia Linn.	Prapunnad Phala (Chakramarda)	Cassia tora Linn.
18.	Daru-nisha (Daruharidra)	Berberis aristata DC	Nisha (Haridra)	Curcuma longa Linn.
19.	Rasanjana	Berberis aristata DC	Darvi	Berberis aristata D C
20.	Talispatra	Abbies webbiana Linn.	Swarnataali	Not yet identified
21.	Bharangi	Clerodendrum serratum Spreng	Kantakari Mula	Solanum xanthocarpum Schrad & Wendl
22.	Madhuyashti	Glycrrhiza glabra Linn.	Dhataki	<i>Woodfordia floribunda</i> Salisb
23.	Meda	Polygonatum cirrifoluim Linn.	Vari (Shatavari)	Asparagus racemosus Willd
24.	Mahameda	Polygonatum verticillatum (Linn.) All.	Vari (Shatavari)	Asparagus racemosus Willd
25.	Jeevaka	Microstylis wallichi Linn.	Vidarikanda	Pueraria tuberosaDC or Ipomoea Digitata Linn.
26.	Rishabhaka	Microstylis muscifera Ridley	Vidarikanda	Pueraria tuberose DC or Ipomoea Digitata Linn.
27.	Kakoli	Fritillaria roylei Hook.	Ashwagandha	Withania somnifera Dunal
28.	Ksheerakakoli	Liluim polyphyllum D.Don.	Ashwagandha	Withania somnifera
29.	Riddhi	Habenaria edgeworthii Hook.f. ex Collett	Varahikanda	Dioscorea bulbifera Linn.
30.	Vriddhi	Habenaria latilabris (Lindl.) Hook.f.	Varahikanda	Dioscorea bulbifera Linn.
31.	Varahi kanda	Dioscorea bulbifera Linn	Charmakaralu	Tacca aspera Roxb.
32.	Bhallataka	Semecarpus anacarduim Linn. f.	Chitraka	Plumbago zeylanica Linn.



Furthermore, controversy about any plant is mainly due to its polynomial system of classification in classical texts. The nomenclature and morphology (Naama-*Roopa*) of drugs are very clearly mentioned in Samhita and controversy mainly found due to basonyms (Nirukti) and synonyms (Paryaya) given by other Nighantu to a particular plant. No doubt, the use of substitute herbs is the need of time as more than 300 medicinal plants becoming redlisted and in that case, substitution is based on pharmacological activity rather than morphology or Phyto-constituents. The adulteration is malpractice but it is not merely done intentionally but sometimes it happens accidentally during collection and trade of plant material. Though, as per the classical text of Ayurveda, it is quite difficult to trace out the authentic botanical source of medicinal plant hence it can be fixed by adopting integrated research on its pharmacognostical study, phytochemical analysis, and pharmacological study so that plants having optimum potency for described activities can be used in drug preparation.

CONCLUSION

The field of herbal drug formulations are vast and deep. However, standardization of herbal medicines is an essential measure to ascertain their quality and purity through active principles. While developing an herbal drug formulation, one must have a crucial knowledge about standardized parameters based on organoleptic characters. Phyto-constituents and pharmacological action. In this process, Pharmacognostical studies play a very important role by ensuring the proper identification of raw drug/ drug material derived from specific plant species as per the laydown standardization parameters. It aids in anticipation of controversy, adulteration, and substitution of the desired herb. Thus, it ensures the reproducible quality of herbal products along with the safety and efficacy of herbal therapeutic preparations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author(s) are grateful to the Director General and the Deputy Director General, Central Council for Research in Ayurvedic Sciences (C.C.R.A.S.), Ministry of AYUSH, Govt. of India, New Delhi for their constant support and also thankful to the Director of Research and Head of the Department of Veterinary Pharmacology Toxicology, and Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (G.A.D.V.A.S.U.), Ludhiana,



Punjab, India for their support and encouragement.



REFERENCES

1. Jeyaprakash K, Ayyanar M, Geetha KN, Sekar T (2011). Traditional uses of medicinal plants among the tribal people in Theni District (Western Ghats), Southern India. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine, Vol. 1(1), S20-S25.

 Kaur K, Gupta AK, Ahmad S and Alam,
 P (2011). Pharmacognostic studies on bark of Murraya koenigii Spreng. Int. J. Res.
 Pharmaceut. Biomed. Sci, 2, 670-1677.

3. Saha D, Pahari SK, Maity T, Sur D (2010). Pharmacognostic studies of the bark of *Parkinsonia aculeata*. International Journal of Pharma Sciences and Research, (IJPSR), Vol.1 (11), 473-475.

4. Jena A, Saha D, Biswal B, Jana SB, Koley A, Sur D, Battacharya (2011). Pharmacognostic studies of leaves of *Pterospermum suberifolium*, International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Science, 2(1), 2229-3701.

5. Kadam PV, Yadav KN, Narappanawar NS, Shivatare RS, Bhusnar HU, Patil MJ (2012). Pharmacognostic and Phytochemical Studies on Roots of *Agave Americana* (Agavaceae), International Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemical Research, 4(3), 92-96.

6. Meena Devi VN, Nagendra Prasad P, Kalirajan K (2010), Infrared spectral studies on *Siddha* drug- *Pavala Parpam*, International journal of pharma and biosciences (IJPB), 1(4):474-483.

7. Subrat N (2002), Ayurvedic and herbal products industry an overview. In: Paper presented at a workshop on wise practices and experimental learning in the conservation and management of Himalayan medicinal plants, Katmandu, Nepal; 15-20.

http://www.sciepub.com/reference/41259

8. Binu S (2008), Uses of pteridophytes among the tribals in Pathanamthitta district, Kerala, India. Journal of non-timber forest products, 5(2): 129-131.

9. Sasidharan S, Chen Y, Saravanan D, Sundram KM, Yoga Latha L (2011). Extraction, isolation and characterization of bioactive compounds from plants' extracts. African Journal of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicine (AJTCAM), 8: 1-10.

10. Garg V, Dhar VJ, Sharma A, Dutt R (2012). Facts about standardization of herbal medicine: a review. Journal of Chinese integrative medicine (JCIM), 10: 1077-1083.

11. Ong ES (2004). Extraction methods and chemical standardization of botanicals and herbal preparations. Journal of Chromatography, B Analytical Technologies in Biomedical and Life Sciences, 812: 23-33. 12. Anonymous (1996). IndianPharmacopoeia, Controller of Publication,Delhi, Vol. II, A53-A54.

13. Anonymous (1979). The InternationalPharmacopeia, General Methods ofAnalysis, 3rd edn. World HealthOrganization, Geneva.

14. Anonymous (2000). GeneralGuidelines for Methodologies on Researchand Evaluation of Traditional Medicine.World Health Organization, Geneva.

15. Kumar T(2013). Standardization of Herbal Drugs – A Review. International Journal of pharma and bio sciences (IJPBS), 2(4):7-18.

16. Patwekar SL, Suryawanshi AB,
Gaikwad MS, Pedewad SR, Potulwar AP (2001). Standardization of herbal drugs: An overview. Pharma Innovation. 2016; 4(9):100-104.

17. Vickers A, Zollman C. Herbal medicine. Western Journal of Medicine,175: 125-128.

18. Sahoo N (2010). Herbal drugs:standards and regulation. Fitoterapia,Sep;81(6):462-71.

19. Zhang J, Wider B, Shang H, Li X, ErnstE (2012). Quality of herbal medicines:challenges and solutions. ComplementaryTherapies in Medicine, 20: 100-106.

20. Calixto JB (2000). Efficacy, safety, quality control, marketing and regulatory guidelines for herbal medicines

(phytotherapeutic agents). Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research (BJMBR), 33: 179-189.

21. Swapnil GP, Anita SW, Ramesh CP, Sandeep MA (2013). Standard Tools for Evaluation of Herbal Drugs: An Overview. The Pharma Innovation, 2: 60-65.

22. Evans WC, Evans D, George Edward, Trease GE (2002). Trease and Evans Pharmacognosy, W.B. Saunders Ltd, Edinburg, London, 15th Edn, 74-81.

23. Handa SS, Rakesh DD, Vasisht K (2006). Compendium of medicinal and aromatic plants of Asia. ICS-UNIDO, Trieste, Italy. Ist Edn, 133-142.

24. Ansari S.H (2007). Essentials of Pharmacognosy. Birla Publishing Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, 2nd Edn, 9- 11.

25. Dixit VK (2011). Controversial ayurvedic herbs. Journal of Advanced Pharmaceutical

Technology & Research (JAPTR), 2:78-80. www.shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/bitstream/ 10603/6813/11/11_chapter%203.pdf

26. Sharma. P.V (2014). *DravyagunaVigyan*. Vol. 5. Varanasi: ChaukhambhaBharati Academy, Varanasi.

27. Vaghela B, Soni H, Shukla L (2013). A Concept of Herbal *Pratinidhi Dravyas* (Substitute drugs) In *Ayurved*.Pharmagene 2013;1(3):85-88.

28. PawanKumarSagar(2014).AdulterationandSubstitutionin



Endangered, ASU Herbal Medicinal Plants of India, their Legal Status, Scientific Screening of Active Phytochemical Constituents. IJPSR, Vol. 5(9): 4023-4039. 29. Pravin R. Joshi, Bhupesh R. Patel, Vinay J. Shukla (2012). An overview on the substitution of drugs in *Ayurveda* and their evaluation methods. Journal of AYU, 33(4): 481-48.

30. Sharma PC, Yelne MB, Dennis TJ (2005). Database on Medicinal Plants used in *Ayurveda*, Vol 2, Central Council for Research in Ayurveda and Siddha, New Delhi.

31. Bhava Mishra (2002). *Bhavaprakash Nighantu*, Vidyotini Hindi Commentary,
10th edition. Vol.1, Chaukhamba Sanskrit
Sansthana, Varanasi, 6th Chapter, *Mishraka Varga*, verse 138- 168, 181-183.