

# Behaviours of teachers that arouse violence in students: Imaginal violence

Nida Bayındır<sup>1</sup>

Received Date: 21 / 10 / 2015

Levent Sevi<sup>2</sup>

Accepted Date: 13 / 02 / 2016

#### Abstract

Besides its duty to maintain social change and transformation, education is also supposed to raise the new generation. Violence is a factor hindering these functions. To do away with this menace, perception of violence in the society should be determined so that preventive measures could be taken. This research aims to reveal teachers' behaviours that associate violence according to students' perceptions. The image a teacher displays knowingly or unknowingly may be perceived by students as subliminal messages with violence-content. The research is significant in that it reveals how violence penetrates into our lives implicitly. The research was applied on 119 students at 4<sup>th</sup> grade of primary schools in the city of Kütahya during 2014-15 education period. According to the research results, 73,9% of the students consider their teacher's walking up to them as violent behaviour, followed by his/her swearing and staring at child's eyes for 60,5%. Accordingly, it is suggested that teachers should pay maximum attention to how their every single movement is perceived.

Keywords: Violence; Association; Behaviour; Teacher

## 1. Introduction

In today's societies, violence is one of the leading problems of humankind. Violence threatens the physical, psychological and sociological health of human beings. Although violence is defined by WHO (World Health Organization) as "the intentional use of physical force or power, threatened or actual, against oneself, another person, or against a group or community, that either results in or has a high likelihood of resulting in injury, death, psychological harm, maldevelopment or deprivation", it is, in fact, a relative equation with more constituents than what actually appears. Violence is the negative response of a human as a social being to conflicts s/he sometimes encounters while proving her/himself to the society and trying to form a healthy self (Ünalmış and Şahin, 2012, Ayan, 2007, Özgür et al., 2011).

From the first existence of humankind onwards, violence has appeared with a complicated structure fed with numerous factors. It is a pathology affecting negatively not only the exerciser and victim of violence but also those who witness violence. The most obvious victims of violence in social life throughout their lives. Children spend most of their lives at school; therefore, schools become institutions with crucial responsibility for children to be able to socialize, solve problems, and be informed and enculturated. In these institutions, children are also supposed to gain certain accurate behaviours and develop a healthy personality as humans. Violence cases at schools and perception of violence defined in behaviours shape the phenomenon of violence in children, and

<sup>2</sup> Lecturer, Dumlupinar University, School of Foreign Languages, KÜTAHYA/TURKEY, <u>vent.sevi@dpu.edu.tr</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Assoc. Prof. Dr., Dumlupinar University, Education Faculty, Department of Elementary Education, KÜTAHYA/TURKEY, <u>nida.bayindir@dpu.edu.tr</u>

ultimately in the society (Furling and Morrison, 2000, Çubukçu and Dönmez, 2012, Özdemir and Sezgin, 2011)

Violence leaves deep scars in children. Besides its physical effects, it might also lead to psychological problems like stress and anxiety disorders. Students who are exposed to violence are seen to suffer from confidence problems, withdraw into themselves, be alienated from school and learning, escape from people, develop phobias, have serious concerns about their future and fail academically. It is a well-known truth that there are attitudes and behaviours of violence at school. However, considering the fact that violence is a learned behaviour passing from one generation to the next, the danger of perception of violence that gives preconceived and subliminal messages can be understood better (Kılıç and Atli, 2011, Pişkin, 2010, Kızmaz, 2006, Chen and Astor, 2010).

The fact that children are especially exposed to violence has given rise to a global social sensitivity and so scientific research to determine and prevent violence has intensified. However, it is seen that current research and definitions are only descriptive and directed to such state of violence that has turned into action. Therefore, not enough extensive research exists on the causes of violence and its effects on perception, which means that the perceptive dimension that forms that basis of violence and exacerbates it is inadvertently neglected (Atmaca and Öntaş, 2014, Çınkır and Kepenekçi, 2003, Kılıç, 2012, WHO, 2002, Gökler, 2009, MEB (Ministry of Education), 2007, Yavuzer, 2001).

According to the research reports of TBMM (Turkish Grand National Assembly) on the increasing violence tendencies among teenagers in Turkey that investigated the violence cases students were exposed to during 2006-07 education period, 22% of the students were exposed to physical violence while 53% were subject to verbal violence. Moreover, the research conducted by the Ministry of Health and Security General Directorate also shows that violence leads to serious problems in Turkey (Sümer and Aydın, 1999, Pişkin, 2006, Kocacık, 2001, TBMM, 2007, Turkish Institution of Family Research of Prime Ministry, 1998, Violence Reports, 2014).

Violence at school is not only limited to physical, emotional, sexual, verbal and economic violence by student on student or by teacher on student. Neglecting this fact is a crucial handicap preventing violence at school from being seen clearly and precisely. Research (İbiloğlu, 2012, Avcı and Güçray, 2010, Yurtal and Cenkseven, 2007, Cenkseven and Yurtal, 2008, Pişkin, 2002, Gözütok, 1993, Bulut, 2008, Hatunoğlu and Hatunoğlu, 2005, Ünal and Çukur, 2011, Yurtal and Artut, 2010, Çakmak, 2011, MEB 2011) is mostly student-oriented. In fact, violence by teachers on students should be researched at least just as much. No research has been seen in the literature researching violence behaviors that form the perception of violence and lead to associations upon teacher image.

## 2. Method

The research aims to determine the violence elements of teachers' behaviors according to students' perceptions. For this purpose, to obtain the perception of violence, the research was structured on single screening model seeking answers to the question "what are the behaviors that arouse violence in you?" asked for students to describe their teachers' behaviors. The population of the research is composed of 4<sup>th</sup> grade primary school students in Kütahya during 2014-15 education period. However, considering time, cost and existing limitations, a sampling group of 112

students to represent the population was decided upon ease of accessibility. The data were gathered through a survey developed by the researchers. The questionnaire form was developed on the basis of the behaviors of teachers considered by students to involve violence. The items of the questionnaire were designed in such a way to reflect the existing case. The questionnaire was restructured upon the opinions of experts to maintain structural validity and was then conducted on the sampling. Its Cronbach Alpha value was found 0,84. The data were interpreted using analyses of percentage, frequency, standard deviation and means. During this interpretation, the values 50% and above were taken as reference.

# 3. Findings

The findings of the research conducted to determine the behaviors of teachers arousing violence according to students' perceptions are given below.

Table 1. Reliability Analysis

		N	%
Cases	Valid	119	100,0
	Excluded <sup>a</sup>	0	,0
	Total	119	100,0

Reliability Statistics					
Cronbach's Al-					
pha	N of Items				
,848	60				

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

The cronbach alpha value is within the reliability range.

Table 2. Items for the	perceptions of stude	ents about the behavi	ors of teachers	evoking violence
	F F			

Items %	1	2	3	4	5	M	Sd
1-Being afraid of his/her facial expression	6,7	38,7	16,0	35,3	3,4	2,89	1,06
2- Being afraid of his/her clothing	27,7	28,6	17,6	20,2	5,9	2,47	1,25
3-His/her loud voice		15,1	25,2	29,4	30,3	3,74	1,05
4-Raising his/her voice suddenly	2,5	4,2	53,8	12,6	26,9	3,57	1,01
5-His/her threatening looks	0,8	7,6	11,8	35,3	44,5	4,15	0,96
6-His/her walking up to the child	0,8	1,7	4,2	19,3	73,9	4,63	0,72
7- His/her reprimanding	0,8	7,6	7,6	24,4	59,7	4,34	0,96
8- His/her branding	4,2	15,1	14,3	52,1	14,3	3,57	1,04
9- His/her verbal intervention (Don'ts)	3,4	8,4	15,1	48,7	24,4	3,82	1,00
10- His/her giving too much homework	10,1	19,3	21,3	35,3	13,4	3,22	1,20
11- His/her threatening		2,5	3,4	42,0	52,1	4,43	0,68
12- His/her mocking	9,2	26,1	31,9	27,7	5,0	2,93	1,05
13- His/her sudden gestures	5,0	16,0	21,8	42,9	14,3	3,45	1,07
14- His/her insulting	4,2	14,3	16,0	47,1	18,5	3,61	0,88
15- His/her twitting	1,7	2,5	12,6	39,5	43,7	4,21	0,88
16- His/her humiliating	10,9	12,6	25,3	30,3	10,9	3,17	1,13
17- His/her manhandling	6,7	13,4	12,6	16,0	51,3	3,91	1,33
18- His/her acting as if s/he is going to slap or kick	1,7	7,6	11,8	23,5	55,5	4,23	1,03
19- His/her swearing	1,7	3,4	10,9	23,5	60,5	4,37	0,92
20- His/her excessive restrictions	4,2	20,2	31,9	29,4	14,3	3,29	1,07
21- His/her frightening speech	4,2	13,4	19,3	48,7	14,3	3,55	1,03
22-Gnashing his/her teeth	2,5	5,9	17,6	19,3	54,6	4,17	1,07
23-Cracking his/her knuckles	7,6	15,1	28,6	41,2	7,6	3,26	1,05
24- Stamping his/her heels	8,4	33,6	29,4	24,4	4,2	2,82	1,03
25- His/her swaggering	4,2	23,5	26,9	37,0	8,4	3,21	1,03

26- Not being able to control his/her gestures	2,5	25,2	21,8	38,7	11,8	3,31	1,05
and mimes	,	, 	,	,	,	,	,
27- His/her eyelids or eyebrows twitching in-	4,2	13,4	16,0	49,6	16,8	3,61	1,05
voluntarily	1,2	15,1	10,0	19,0	10,0	5,01	1,00
28- His/her use of cliché (Got it?)	5,0	10,1	9,2	52,9	22,7	3,78	1,06
29- His/her swinging rosary, keys	2,5	8,4	47,9	34,5	6,7	3,34	0,82
30- His/her speaking more slowly than normal	0,8	5,9	8,4	55,5	29,4	4,06	0,83
31- His/her putting on airs	9,2	15,1	16,0	40,3	19,3	3,45	1,22
32- His/her giving names	4,2	16,8	23,5	40,3	15,1	3,45	1,07
33- His/her intimidations	0,8	2,5	1,7	41,2	53,8	4,44	0,73
34- His/her continuous questions	2,5	3,4	16,0	42,9	35,3	4,05	0,93
35- His/her spontaneous prohibitions	5,0	25,2	26,1	21,8	21,8	3,30	1,21
36- His/her wagering	3,4	9,2	16,8	49,6	21,0	3,75	0,99
37- His/her continuous conditions (if, unless)	0,8	5,9	14,3	53,8	25,2	3,96	0,84
38- His/her forcing child to do something	6,7	18,5	19,3	30,3	25,2	3,48	1,07
39- His/her continuous hushes	4,2	17,6	36,1	26,1	16,0	3,31	1,07
40- His/her continuous interrogations	4,2	11,8	21,8	42,9	19,3	3,61	1,05
41- His/her continuous critics	3,4	7,6	31,1	33,6	24,4	3,68	1,03
42- His/her continuous commands	3,4	7,6	26,9	37,0	25,2	3,73	1,03
43- His/her dispraising a child to his/her peer	2,5	10,1	25,2	38,7	23,5	3,70	1,01
44- His/her insults towards child's gender	1,7	5,9	9,2	47,9	35,3	4,09	0,91
45- His/her stuttering out of anger		3,4	3,4	35,3	58,0	4,47	0,72
46- His/her frequent and noisy breathing	5,9	10,9	37,0	26,1	20,2	3,43	1,10
47- His/her long and meaningless looks	0,8	15,1	26,1	39,5	18,5	3,59	0,98
48- His/her long and sophisticated sentences	14,3	15,1	29,4	33,6	7,6	3,05	1,17
49- His/her frightening examples	1,7	3,4	10,9	23,5	60,5	4,37	0,92
50- His/her unhappy and thoughtful appear-							
ance	5,9	7,6	16,0	52,1	18,5	3,69	1,04
51- His/her staring at child's eyes	2,5	6,7	15,1	15,1	60,5	4,24	1,09
52- His/her brooding with a faraway look	7,6	18,5	21,8	39,5	12,6	3,31	1,14
53- His/her acting as if s/he is going to throw							
something	4,2	5,9	15,1	21,8	52,8	4,13	1,13
54- His/her deep voice	10,9	15,1	21,8	38,7	13,4	3,28	1,20
55- His/her acting as if s/he is going to hit	, í						
with an object	4,2	10,9	8,4	22,7	10,1	4,10	1,19
56- His/her sworn speech	10,1	10,9	19,3	43,7	16,0	3,44	1,18
57- His/her abusing	5,0	18,5	15,1	46,2	15,1	3,47	1,11
58- His/her frightening children with low							,
marks	5,0	25,2	26,1	14,3	29,4	3,37	1,28
59- His/her not letting children have a break	7,6	35,3	18,5	29,4	9,2	2,97	1,15
60- His/her practical jokes		18,5	17,6	20,2	38,7	3,68	1,29
······································	5,0	· · ·	·	· ·	· · ·	. <u> </u>	

Bayındır, N., Sevi, L. (2016). Behaviours of teachers that arouse violence in students: Imaginal violence. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Education Research*, 2 (2), 670-675.

According to the research findings, 73,9% of the students regard the teacher's walking up to the child as behavior of violence, followed by his/her swearing, his/her frightening examples and his/her staring at child's eyes (%60,5), his/her reprimanding (%59,7), his/her stuttering out of anger (%58), his/her acting as if s/he is going to slap or kick.

# 4. Conclusion and suggestions

According to the research results, students consider their teacher's physical behaviors as those involving the most violence followed by verbal behaviors. Therefore, students shape their perception of violence when their teachers actualize violence directly. Nevertheless, their assessment of the questionnaire items also shows that they in fact experience unknowingly the behaviors that could involve violence. The research results also indicate that teachers should not only abstain

from behaviors involving violence, but they should also avoid behaviors that could be perceived as violent by students. To achieve this, teachers should know the behaviors that could give rise to worry, fear, hatred, etc. and avoid displaying such behaviors in the presence of students. With the help of pre- and in-service training about student expectations, forming and sustaining mental health and personality, teachers should enhance their emphatic thinking skills, should pay maximum attention to courtesy and ceremony and, above all, should always sustain the democratic atmosphere in class.

## References

- Atmaca, K. ve Öntaş, T. (2014). Velilerin öğretmenlere uyguladığı şiddete yönelik nitel bir araştırma, *Anadolu Eğitim Liderliği Ve Öğretim Dergisi*, 2(1), 27-62.
- Avcı, R. ve Güçray, S. S. (2010). Şiddet davranışı gösteren ve göstermeyen ergenlerin ailelerinin aile işlevleri, aile bireylerine ilişkin problemler, öfke ve öfke ifade tarzları açısından incelenmesi, Kuram Ve Uygulamada Eğitim Bilimleri, 10(1), 45-76.
- Ayan, S. (2007). Aile içinde şiddete uğrayan çocukların saldırganlık eğilimleri, *Anatolian Journal of Psychiatry*, 8, 206-214.
- BAŞBAKANLIK AILE ARAŞTIRMA KURUMU, (1998). Aile içinde ve toplumsal alanda şiddet, 113, Ankara. 54293ea2369dc32358ee2b25/kutuphane\_33\_aile\_icinde\_ve\_toplumsal\_alanda\_siddet.pdf. 2014) (May,
- Bulut, S. (2008). Öğretmenden öğrenciye yönelik olan fiziksel şiddet: Nicel bir araştırma. *Abant Izzet Baysal Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, (8(1), 105-118.
- Cenkseven, Ö. F. ve Yurtal, F. (2008). Zorba, kurban ve olumlu özellikler taşıyan ergenlerin aile özelliklerinin incelenmesi, *Kuram Ve Uygulamada Eğitim Bilimleri Dergisi*, 8, 805-832.
- Chen, J. K. ve Astor, R. A. (2010). School violence in Taiwan: examining how western risk factors predict school violence in an Asian culture, *Journal of Interpersonel Violence*, 25(8), 1388-1410.
- Çakmak, M. (2011). Changing roles of teachers: prospective teachers' thoughts, *Education and Science*, 36(159), 14-24.
- Çınkır, Ş. ve Kepenekcı, Y. K. (2003). Öğrenciler arası zorbalık. *Kuram Ve Uygulamada Eğitim Yönetimi*, 34, 236-253.
- Çubukçu, Z. ve Dönmez, A. (2012). İlköğretim okul yöneticilerinin şiddet türlerine yönelik görüşleri ve şiddetle başa çıkma yöntemleri, *Kuram Ve Uygulamada Eğitim Yönetimi*, 18,(1), 37-64.
- Gökler, R. (2009). Okulda akran zorbalığı, Uluslararası Insan Bilimleri Dergisi, 6(2), 511-537.
- Gözütok, F. D. (1993). Disiplin sağlamada öğretmen davranışları, Ankara Üniversitesi Eğitim Bilimleri Fakültesi Dergisi, 25. 703-711.
- Hatunoğlu, B. Y. ve Hatunoğlu, A. (2005). Öğretmenlerin fiziksel cezalandırmaya ilişkin görüşleri, *Atatürk Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi*, 6(2), 105-115.
- İbiloğlu, A. O. (2012). Aile içi şiddet, Psikiyatride Güncel Yaklaşımlar. 4(2), 204-222.
- Kılıç, E. Z. (2012). Ergenlerde şiddet kullanımı: bireysel ve ailesel etkenler. Nöropsikiyatri Arşivi, 49, 260-265.
- Kılıç, M. ve Atli, A. (2011). İlköğretim ve ortaöğretim okullarında yaşanmış şiddetin gazetelere yansımaları, *E-Journal Of New World Sciences Academy*, 6(1), 1284-1294.

- Kızmaz, Z. (2006). Okullardaki şiddet davranışının kaynakları üzerine kuramsal bir yaklaşım, *CÜ, Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, 30(1), 47-70.
- Kocacık, F. (2001). Şiddet olgusu üzerine, CÜ, İktisadi Ve Idari Bilimler Dergisi, 2(1), 1-7.
- MEB, (2007). Ortaöğretim okullarında görevli yöneticilerin şiddet konusundaki bilgi ve becerilerine ilişkin mevcut durum analizi, EARGED, Ankara. *http://www.meb.gov.tr/earged/earged/siddet\_arastirmasi\_yonetici.pdf. Haziran'da alıntılandı*
- MEB, (2011). Güvenli eğitim ortamlarının sağlanması: Okulda şiddetin önlenmesi araştırması, Altındağ Araştırma Raporu http://mebk12.meb.gov.tr/meb\_iys\_dosyalar/06/01/334395/dosyalar/2012\_12/18012831\_altndaiddetaratrmas.pdf. Mayıs 2014'da alıntılandı
- Özdemir, S. ve Sezgin, F. (2011). İlköğretim okulu öğrencilerinin yönetici ve öğretmen desteği, algılanan şiddet ve okul memnuniyetine ilişkin görüşleri, *İnönü Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 12(2), 181-199.
- Özgür, G. Yörükoğlu, G. Arabacı, B. Y. (2011). Lise öğrencilerinin şiddet algıları, şiddet eğilim düzeyleri ve etkileyen faktörler, *Psikiyatri Hemşireliği Dergisi*, 2(2), 53-60.
- Pişkin, M. (2002). Okul zorbalığı: Tanımı, türleri, ilişkili olduğu faktörler ve alınabilecek önlemler, *Kuram Ve Uygulamada Eğitim Bilimleri Dergisi*, 2, 533-551.
- Pişkin, M. (2006). Okul şiddeti: Tanımı, yaygınlığı ve önleme stratejileri, *Kamuda Sosyal Politika*, 1(2), 43-62.
- Pişkin, M. (2010). Examination of peer bullying among primary and middle school children in Ankara. *Education And Science*, 35(156), 175-189.
- Sümer, Z. H. ve Aydın, G. (1999). Incidence of violence in Turkish school: A review. *International Journal For The Advancement Of Counselling*, 21,335-347.
- Ünal, H. ve Çukur, C. Ş. (2011). The effects of shool bonds, discipline techniques in school and victimization on deliquency of high school students. *Educational Sciences: Theory and Practice*, 11(2), 560-570.
- Ünalmış, M. ve Şahin, R. (2012). Şiddete yönelik tutum ve okul zorbalığı, *Uluslararası Cumhuriyet Dergisi*, 1(1), 63-71.
- TBMM, Araştırma Komisyonu, (2007). Türkiye'de ortaöğretime devam eden öğrencilerde ceza ve infaz kurumlarında bulunan tutuklu ve hükümlü çocuklarda şiddet ve bunu etkileyen etkenlerin saptanması. http://www.kom.pol.tr/tubim/SiteAssets/Sayfalar/Di%C4%9Fer-Raporlar/ tbmm\_cocuk\_artan.pdf. (April, 2014)
- WHO, (2002). World report on violence and health http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2002/9241545615.pdf. (April, 2014)
- Yavuzer, Y. (2001). Okulda saldırganlık/şiddet: Okul ve öğretmenle ilgili risk faktörleri ve önleme stratejileri, *Milli Eğitim*, 192, 43-61.
- Yurtal, F. ve Artut, K. (2010). An investigation of school violence through turkish childrens drawings. Journal Of Interpersonel Violence, 25,(1), 50-62.
- Yurtal, F. ve Cenkseven, F. (2007). İlköğretim okullarında zorbalığın yaygınlığı ve doğası, *Psikolojik Danışma Ve Rehberlik Dergisi*, 3(28), 1-13.

#### <u>Raporlar</u>

Çocuğa yönelik şiddetin önlenmesi teknik destek projesi raporu, (2014). http://siddetinonlenmesi.meb.gov.tr/meb\_iys\_dosyalar/2014\_09/04105755\_literaturtaramasi.pdf. (Mayl, 2014)