

International Journal of Ayurveda and Pharmaceutical Chemistry



E ISSN 2350-0204 www.ijapc.com

Volume 7 Issue 1 2017



RESEARCH ARTICLE

www.ijapc.com

e-ISSN 2350-0204

Use of Mini-CEX as a Direct Observation Tool to Assess & Give Feedback to Interns in A Case of *Sandhivta* (Osteoarthritis)

D. G. Dipankar^{1*}, Meenakshi Choube², Jibi Varghese³, Aboli Patil⁴, Abhijit Shekhar⁵, and Pranesh Gaikwad⁶

ABSTRACT

Learner assessment in medical education is becoming increasingly oriented towards defined outcomes, including adequate application of skills and knowledge. Students must learn Clinical skills for better patients care. Clinical skills can be evaluated by observations.

In the old methods, a case was allotted to students, after that students taking detail history of the patients and making final diagnosis using diagnostic procedure. At the end of the allotted time, students are presenting the case. This traditional method of assessment only considers the final outcome and not how the students have reached it.

Mini-CEX is a structured assessment of an observed clinical encounter. The mini CEX can assess a range of core competencies that a trainee uses during day to day encounters with patients. The trainee conducts a focused history and physical examination and then provides a diagnosis and treatment plan. The faculty member (observer) scores the performance using a structured document and then provides educational feedback.

KEYWORDS

Assessment, Mini-CEX, Medical Education, Core Competencies



Received 02/06/17 Accepted 26/06/17 Published 10/07/17

^{1,3-5}Dept. of Kayachikitsa Dr. D. Y. Patil College of Ayurved, Pune, M.S. India.

²Dept. of Streeroga and Prasutitantra, Shanti Ayurvedic Medical College, Baliya, UP, India.

⁶Dept. of Panchakarma, Dr. D. Y. Patil College of Ayurved, Pune, M.S. India

INTRODUCTION

Essentially, the mini CEX is an assessment form, originally devised by John Norcini and co-workers at the American Board of Internal Medicine (ABIM).

The ABIM Mini-Clinical Evaluation Exercise (Mini-CEX) is intended to facilitate formative assessment of core clinical skills. It can be used by a faculty as a routine, seamless evaluation of trainees in any setting.

The Mini-CEX is a 10 to 20 minute direct observation assessment or "snapshot" of a trainee-patient interaction. Faculties are encouraged to perform at least one per clinical rotation. To be most useful, faculty should provide timely and specific feedback to the trainee after each assessment of a trainee-patient encounter¹.

The CEX has been criticized as an evaluation instrument because the results are unlikely to be generalized beyond the observed encounter ^{3–6}. The Mini-Clinical Evaluation Exercise (Mini-CEX) was designed to overcome these limitations.

The Mini-CEX (clinical evaluation exercise) designed to conduct work based assessment of clinical performance was originally developed in 1995in the USA for the evaluation of Internal Medicine residents' clinical skills^{5, 6}. The principal

characteristics of Mini-CEX are direct observation of real patient encounters, applicability in a broad range of settings and immediate structured feedback to the learner after the encounter⁷⁻⁸. The Mini-CEX assess the student at the higher level of Miller's Pyramid ⁹. Several studies reported evidence for the reliability and validity of mini-CEXs¹⁰⁻¹⁴.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

- 1. The proforma of Mini-Clinical Evaluation Exercise (Mini-CEX) prepared by American Board of Internal Medicine
- **2.** Various books & articles on internet about Mini-CEX

Methods

This study is carried out at Kayachikitsa ward and OPD of Dr. D. Y. Patil College of Ayurved, Pimpri, Pune

- Study is approved by Scientific & Research committee of our College.
- An orientation session was conducted for faculty & intern students.
- For this study 5 intern students were selected randomly.
- Observer observed every student for one day per week.



- Every student was observed six
 times to achieve Reliability Coefficient > 0.80
- **20 minute** was allotted for each observation.
- Observer observed the student's interaction with Sandhivata patients of OPD, IPD.
- The intern student conducted a focused history and physical examination and then provided a diagnosis and treatment plan.
- Both the assessor and the intern sign the form. The signature of the intern simply means that they have discussed the matter with the assessor and gone through the form, not that they necessarily agree with the perspectives of the assessor.
- The observer scored the performance using a structured document (The Mini-CEX form developed by American board of Internal Medicine-ABIM) and then provided educational feedback within **5 minutes**.

Action plan was prepared on the basis of feedback & observation

DESCRIPTIONS OF COMPETENCIES DEMONSTRATED DURING THE MINI-CEX

• Medical Interviewing Skills: Facilitates patient's telling of history; effectively uses questions/directions to obtain accurate, structured and objective, adequate information needed; responds appropriately to affect, non-verbal cues.

• Physical Examination Skills: Follows efficient, logical sequence; balances screening/diagnostic steps for problem; informs patient; sensitive to patient's comfort, modesty.

• Humanistic

Qualities/Professionalism: Shows respect, compassion, empathy, establishes trust; attends to patient's needs of comfort, modesty, confidentiality, information.

- Clinical Judgment: Selectively orders/performs appropriate diagnostic studies, considers risks, benefits.
- Counseling Skills: Explains rationale for diet modification, explain need for Panchakarma therapy, explain need of Yog therapy and exercise, need of regular follow up, need to take medicines timely, the student uses the language that is comprehensible to the patient.

• Organization/Efficiency:

Prioritizes; is timely; succinct.

- Overall Clinical Competence: Demonstrates judgment, synthesis, caring, effectiveness efficiency.
- Ratings were on a 9-point scale
- 1–3 = unsatisfactory performance;



- 4–6 = satisfactory performance; and
- 7-9 = superior performance

RESULTS

- Feedback was given to intern student with every encounter, due to feedback, every skill was increased.
- Understanding of Sandhivata
 (Osteoarthritis) & its treatment was improved and increased related clinical skills competency.
- In first encounter two students were given unsatisfactory performance & three

students were given satisfactory performance.

- After giving feedback, in second and third encounter, all the students were shown satisfactory performance.
- In fourth encounter, one student showed superior performance.
- In fifth encounter, four students were showed superior performance.
- In last encounter all remaining students were showed superior performance.
- Overall score in mentioned in table-1

Students	Score Week 1	Score Week 2	Score Week 3	Score Week 4	Score Week 5	Score Week 6
1	3	4	4	5	7	7
2	4	4	5	6	6	7
3	3	5	5	6	7	8
4	4	4	5	6	7	8
5	4	5	6	7	7	8

FEEDBACK

The feedback session is the most important part of the Mini-CEX & it was given immediately within five minutes after the every encounter. The observer discussed both the positive & negative aspects of encounter with the Intern students. The observer also discussed a plan to improve any areas of weakness. By considering each times feedback, action plan was prepared. The feedback process of a formative mini-CEX helped trainees to grow professionally

by providing them with insights into their strengths and weaknesses of their skills. Feedback of Intern students in each encounter is presented in table no.-2.

DISCUSSION

We used Mini-CEX as a direct observation tool to asses & give feedback to Interns in a case of Sandhivta (Osteoarthritis). After every encounter of Mini –CEX, feedback was given to students. Due to these feedback students avoided mistakes and every-time

n 🔨

showed improvement in the scoring scale of Mini-CEX. It improved the understanding of Sandhivata (Osteoarthritis) & its treatment.

Table 2 Feedback of Intern students in each encounter

Stu dents	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4	Week 5	Week 6
1	Greet the patient	More focus on symptoms	Follow the sequence	Exam properly	Examine properly	Explained diagnosis, treatment
2	Greet the patient	Listen to patient	Advice diet modification	Improve counseling skill	Explain diagnosis	Explained diagnosis, treatment
3	Take adequate information	Show respect to patient	Exam patient properly	Explain diet	Explained diagnosis well	Chosen specific medicines
4	Improve history taking skill	Exam properly	Asked specific questions	Examined patient properly	Explained diagnosis well	Chosen specific medicines
5	Ask specific questions	Exam properly	Explain about Panchakarma	Ask related questions	Explained diagnosis well	Chosen specific medicines

Due to improvement in the Intern student's performance, it is found that Mini-CEX tool to be valuable in Intern student's development. The adapted Mini-CEX is also a valid Intern students training tool for faculty to assess skills competency with real-time experiences

Our overall teaching goal was met to improve the understanding of Sandhivata (Osteoarthritis) & its treatment and to increase related clinical skills competency. Mini-CEX facilitated training and significantly improved knowledge, attitudes, skills development and self-awareness. Learning plan for teaching Sandhivata (Osteoarthritis) and its treatment is developed, based on strength & weakness observed.

LEARNING EXPERIENCE

Initially Mini-CEX was a newer observation and training tool for the faculties and

students. After the introductory lecture, all of them come to know its importance. When the actual encounter started, Dept. colleague, intern students were ready to take part.

Annexure-I

The Mini-CEX form developed by American board of Internal Medicine-ABIM and feedback

Annexure-II

DESCRIPTIONS OF COMPETENCIES
DEMONSTRATED DURING THE
MINI-CEX

Medical Interviewing Skills: Facilitates patient's telling of story; effectively uses questions/directions to obtain accurate, structured and objective, adequate information needed; responds appropriately to affect, non-verbal cues.



Unsatisfactory Satisfactory Superior Facilitates communication using open questions Responds appropriately to non-verbal cues Effectively uses reflective listening II. Physical Examination Skills Not observed III. Humanistic Qualities/Professionalism 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Unsatisfactory Satisfactory Superior Express empathy Shows respect Responds appropriately avoiding argumentation IV. Clinical Judgment 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Unsatisfactory Satisfactory Superior Superio	Patient Problem/Dx		Other:
L Medical Interviewing Skills 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Unsatisfactory Satisfactory Superio Facilitates communication using open questions Responds appropriately to non-verbal cues Effectively uses reflective listening IL Physical Examination Skills Not observed III. Humanistic Qualities/Professionalism 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Unsatisfactory Satisfactory Superio Express empathy Shows respect Responds appropriately avoiding argumentation IV. Clinical Judgment 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Unsatisfactory Satisfactory Superio Gauge readiness to change habits Develop discrepancy Support self-efficacy Rolls with resistance V. Counseling Skills 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Unsatisfactory Satisfactory Superio Extense conclusion and recommendation clearly Encourage reflection Elicits change talk Delivers feedback Help set a goal Provide educational material VI. Organization/Efficiency 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Unsatisfactory Satisfactory Superio	Patient: AgeSex	NewFallow-up	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Unsatisfactory Superior Satisfactory Superior Supe	complexity: Low	Moderate Hi	gh
Superior Satisfactory Superior			
Resipints appropriately to non-verbal cues Effectively uses reflective listening L Physical Examination Skills Not observed II. Humanistic Qualities/Professionalism 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior Express empathy Rows respect Responds appropriately avoiding argumentation V. Clinical Judgment 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior Express empathy Rows respect Responds appropriately avoiding argumentation V. Clinical Judgment 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior Express to change habits Develop discrepancy Rouse realiness to change habits Develop discrepancy Rouse realiness to change habits Develop discrepancy Rouse reflection Ricits change talk Delivers feedback felp set a goal Trovide oducational material VI. Organization/Efficiency 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior Superior Rouse reflection Ricits change talk Delivers feedback felp set a goal Trovide oducational material VI. Organization/Efficiency 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior Superior Superior Formal Clinical Competency 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfaction with Minit-CEX OW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Rosatisfaction with Minit-CEX OW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH Resident Satisfaction with Minit-CEX OW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH Resident Satisfaction with Minit-CEX OW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH Resident Satisfaction with Minit-CEX OW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH			
Responds appropriately to non-verbal cues Effectively uses reflective listening L. Physical Examination Skills Not observed II. Humanistic Qualities/Professionalism 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 June 1 3 3 4 5	Activates commun	Satisfactory	
Efectively uses reflective listening L. Physical Examination Skills Not observed II. Humanistic Qualities/Professionalism 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior Express empathy Inow respect tesponds appropriately avoiding argumentation V. Clinical Judgment 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior Superior Satisfactory Superior			
I. Physical Examination Skills Not observed II. Humanistic Qualities/Professionalism 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior Express empathy Thomas respect Tesponds appropriately avoiding argumentation V. Clinical Judgment 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Toleral Graph Stills To			HELD.
Not observed II. Humanistic Qualities/Professionalism 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insaturactory Satisfactory Superior Superse empathy Bows respect Responds appropriately avoiding argumentation V. Clinical Judgment 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior Superi			
II. Humanistic Qualities/Professionalism 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior Supers empathy thows respect Responds appropriately avoiding argumentation V. Clinical Judgment 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior S			
Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior Su)ualities/Professio	nalism
Express empathy shows respect tesponds appropriately avoiding argumentation V. Clinical Judgment 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior Superi	1 2 3		
thows respect tesponds appropriately avoiding argumentation V. Clinical Judgment 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior Supe	Insatisfactory	Satisfactory	Superior
Responds appropriately avoiding argumentation V. Clinical Judgment 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Support self-efficacy Responds Skills 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Develop discrepancy Responds Skills 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory Responds Skills 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Responds Skills Responds Skills I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Responds Skills Responds Skills I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Responds Skills Responds Skills I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Responds Skills Responds Skills I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Responds Skills Responds Skills I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Responds Skills Responds Responds Skills Responds Respon			
V. Clinical Judgment 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior Satisfactory Superior			entation
Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior Su			(mark) mark (a)
Jauge readiness to change habits Develop discrepancy Support self-efficacy tolls with resistance V. Counseling Skills 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior States conclusion and recommendation clearly Succourage reflection Slicits change talk Delivers feedback Self set a goal Provide educational material VI. Organization/Efficiency 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior S			7 8 9
Develop discrepancy Support self-efficacy toolls with resistance V. Counseling Skills 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Superior States conclusion and recommendation clearly factory growth factory and recommendation clearly factorized school self-efficiency from the self-efficiency for the self-efficiency for the self-efficiency statisfactory from the self-efficiency factorized for the self-efficiency			Superior
Repport self-efficacy Rolls with resistance 7. Counseling Skills 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior Ricits change talk Delivers feedback felp set a goal Provide educational material 71. Organization/Efficiency 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior Remarkacy Superior Remarkacy Superior Remarkacy			
Counseling Skills 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior States conclusion and recommendation clearly Succurage reflection Ricits change talk Delivers feedback Help set a goal Provide educational material Provide education undersity I 2 3 6 5 7 8 Insatisfactory Superior Superior Disserving: Minutes Providing Feedback: Minutes Evaluator Satisfaction with Mini-CEX LOW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH Resident Satisfaction with Mini-CEX LOW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH Resident Satisfaction with Mini-CEX LOW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH			
V. Counseling Skills 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior States conclusion and recommendation clearly Succurage reflection Stilicits change talk Deliver's feedback Help set a goal Provide educational material VI. Organization/Efficiency 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Junatisfactory Satisfactory Superior Summarizes Schedules follow up or referral Agrees on a plan VII. Overall Clinical Competency 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Junatisfactory Satisfactory Superior Super			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Justitisfactory Satisfactory Superior States conclusion and recommendation clearly successful and recommendation clearly superior successful and recommendation clearly superior successful and recommendation superior successful and recommendation superior successful and recommendation superior successful and recommendation superior super	cons with reststant		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Superior States conclusion and recommendation clearly incourage reflection flicits change talk Delivers feedback felp set a goal Provide educational material Provide education	Counseling Sk	ills	
Insatisfactory Superior itates conclusion and recommendation clearly incourage reflection illicits change talk believers feedback felp set a goal Provide educational material vi. Organization/Efficiency 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Jusatisfactory Satisfactory Superior immarizes chedules follow up or referral agrees on a plan vii. Overall Clinical Competency 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Jusatisfactory Satisfactory Superior in the control of the cont			7 8 9
itates conclusion and recommendation clearly incourage reflection incommendation inc			Superior
Comparison Com	states conclusion a	nd recommendation of	learly
Delivers feedback See Se		>1%	
Provide educational material VI. Organization/Efficiency			
VI. Organization/Efficiency 1 2 3 4 5 6 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Summarizes Schedules follow up or referral Agrees on a plan VII. Overall Clinical Competency 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Diserving: Minutes Providing Feedback: Minutes Svaluator Satisfaction with Mini-CEX OW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH Resident Satisfaction with Mini-CEX OW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH Resident Satisfaction with Mini-CEX OW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH Resident Satisfaction with Mini-CEX OW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH		Q15500000000000000000000000000000000000	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Jusatisfactory Satisfactory Summarizes Schedules follow up or referral Agrees on a plan VII. Overall Clinical Competency 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Jusatisfactory Satisfactory Diserving: Minutes Providing Feedback: Minutes Providing Feedback: Minutes Sevaluator Satisfaction with Mini-CEX LOW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH Resident Satisfaction with Mini-CEX LOW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH Resident Satisfaction with Mini-CEX LOW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH			
Superior Superior			TENTE W
immarizes ichedules follow up or referral lyrees on a plan VII. Overall Clinical Competency II. 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Satisfactory Diserving: Minutes Providing Feedback: Minutes Evaluator Satisfaction with Mini-CEX OW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH Resident Satisfaction with Mini-CEX OW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH Resident Satisfaction with Mini-CEX OW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH			
Comparison		Satisfactory	Superior
Agrees on a plan VII. Overall Clinical Competency 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Insatisfactory Disserving: Minutes. Providing Feedback: Valuator Satisfaction with Mini-CEX LOW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH Resident Satisfaction with Mini-CEX LOW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH Resident Satisfaction with Mini-CEX LOW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH		n or referral	
VII. Overall Clinical Competency 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 nsatisfactory Satisfactory Observing: Minutes. Providing Feedback: Minutes Evaluator Satisfaction with Mini-CEX LOW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH Resident Satisfaction with Mini-CEX LOW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH Resident Satisfaction with Mini-CEX LOW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH		to contract the	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Junear Satisfactory Discring: Minutes. Minutes Providing Feedback: Minutes Svaluator Satisfaction with Mini-CEX OW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 High Resident Satisfaction with Mini-CEX OW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 High		cal Competence	
Insatisfactory			(T. 9 0
Observing: Minutes. Providing Feedback: Minutes Svaluator Satisfaction with Mini-CEX OW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH Resident Satisfaction with Mini-CEX OW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH			Superior
Providing Feedback: Minutes Voluntor Satisfaction with Mini-CEX .OW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HiGH tenident Satisfaction with Mini-CEX .OW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HiGH			orange contract
Evaluator Satisfaction with Mini-CEX OW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH Resident Satisfaction with Mini-CEX OW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH	roviding Feedbac		
OW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH Resident Satisfaction with Mini-CEX OW 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 HIGH			
OW 123456789 HIGH	OW 1 2 3 4 5	6 7 8 9 HIGH	
Comments:		6 2 8 9 HIGH	
	Comments:		
		Evaluator	

Physical Examination **Skills: Follows** efficient. logical sequence; balances screening/diagnostic steps for problem; patient; sensitive to informs patient's comfort, modesty.

Humanistic Qualities/Professionalism:

Shows respect, compassion, empathy, establishes trust; attends to patient's needs of comfort, modesty, confidentiality, information.

Clinical Judgment: Selectively orders/performs appropriate diagnostic studies, considers risks, benefits.

Counseling Skills: Explains rationale for diet modification, explain need for Panchakarma therapy, explain need of Yog therapy and exercise, need of regular follow up, need to take medicines timely, the student uses the language that is comprehensible to the patient.

Organization/Efficiency: Prioritizes; is timely; succinct.

Overall Clinical Competence:Demonstrates judgment, synthesis, caring, effectiveness efficiency.

Ratings were on a 9-point scale

1–3 = unsatisfactory performance;

4-6 = satisfactory performance; and

7-9 = superior performance

Annexure-III

INFORMATION SHEET

This is to inform you that we are conducting a study on Use of Mini-CEX as a direct observation tool to asses & give feedback to Interns in a case of Sandhivata (Osteoarthritis). To do this we need the help from you to take part in the study. You are being asked to participate because the application of Mini-CEX would help the students and teachers assess the competency of the student for diagnostic skills .The purpose of this study is immediate feedback provided by the Teacher shall be benefit to

the student improve his/her skills build confidence.

If you take part in this study your work shall be directly observed by the faculty member and feedback of the work would be given by them.

The following seven competencies will be assessed namely interviewing, physical examination, professionalism, clinical judgment, counselling, organization and efficiency, and overall competence. History taking, examination of the patient, diagnosis & treatment plan according to Ayurveda shall be demonstrated and assessed.

Your participation in the study is entirely voluntary. It is your choice whether to participate or not, there would be no change in the teaching programme as well as their assessment.

Annexure-IV

CERTIFICATE OF CONSENT

I have gone through the information about Mini- CEX. I have the opportunity to ask questions till my satisfaction. I consent voluntarily to participate as a Participant in this study.

Name of the Participant:

Signature of the Participant:

Date:



REFERENCES

- Mini-CEX. American Board of Internal Medicine
- [Internet]. www.abim.org/program-directors-administrators/assessment-tools/mini-cex.aspx. Accessed 1 Aug 2015.
- 2. Norcini JJ, Blank LL, Duffy FD, Fortna GS (2003). The mini-CEX: a method for assessing clinical skills. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 138(6), pp. 476-481.
- 3. Van der Vleuten CP, Norman GR, De Graaff E (1991). Pitfalls in the pursuit of objectivity: issues of reliability. *Medical Education* 25(2), pp. 110-118.
- 4. Noel GL, Herbers JE, Caplow MP, Cooper GS, Pangaro LN, Harvey J (1992). How well do internal medicine faculty members evaluate the clinical skills of students? *Annals of Internal Medicine* 117(9), pp. 757-765.
- 5. Kalet A, Earp JA, Kowlowitz V (1992). How well do faculties evaluate the interviewing skills of medical students. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 7(5), pp. 499-505.
- 6. Norcini JJ, Blank LL, Arnold GK, Kimball HR. The mini-CEX (clinical evaluation exercise): A preliminary investigation. Ann Intern Med 1995; 123:795-9.

- 7. Kalet A, Earp JA, Kowlowitz V (1992). How well do faculties evaluate the interviewing skills of medical students. Journal of General Internal Medicine 7(5), pp. 499-505.
- 8. Kane MT (1992). The assessment of professional competence. Evaluation & the Health Professions 15(2), pp.163-182.
- 9. Miller G (1990). The assessment of clinical skills/competence/performance. Academic Medicine 65(9 suppl), pp. 63-67. 10.Al Ansari A, Ali SK, Donnon T. The construct and criterion validity of the mini-CEX: a meta-analysis of the published research. Acad Med. 2013; 88:413–20.
- 11. Kogan JR, Bellini LM, Shea JA. Feasibility, reliability, and validity of the mini-clinical evaluation exercise (mCEX) in a medicine core clerkship. Acad Med. 2003; 78:S33–5.
- 12.Kogan JR, Holmboe ES, Hauer KE. Tools for direct observation and assessment of clinical skills of medical trainees: a systematic review. JAMA. 2009;302:1316
- 13.Pelgrim EA, Kramer AW, Mokkink HG, van den Elsen L, Grol RP, van der Vleuten CP. Intraining assessment using direct observation of single-patient encounters: a literature review. Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract. 2011;16:131–
- 14.Alves de Lima A, Conde D, Costabel J, Corso J, Van der Vleuten C. A laboratory study on the reliability estimations of the mini-CEX.



Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract. 2013;18:5–13.

15. Govaerts MJ, van der Vleuten CP, Schuwirth LW, Muijtjens AM. Broadening perspectives on clinical performance assessment: rethinking the nature of in-training assessment. Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract. 2007; 12:239–60.

16.Crossley J, Jolly B. Making sense of work-based assessment: ask the right questions, in the right way, about the right things, of the right people. Med Educ. 2012; 46:28–37.

17. Shumway JM, Harden RM. AMEE Guide No. 25: The assessment of learning outcomes for the competent and reflective physician. Med Teach. 2003; 25:569–84.