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#### Abstract

Recently, in India severe incidence by the invasive insect pest, the South American tomato pinworm, Tuta absoluta (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) was reported for the first time infesting tomato crop in Pune, Maharashtra. Later infestation was reported in the other states of India. In T. absoluta infested tomato field, zoophytophagous mirid, Nesidiocoris tenuis (Reuter) was observed to be associated with tomato pinworm. A net house experiment was conducted to evaluate $N$. tenuis on $T$. absoluta infested tomato plants. The aim was to ascertain, if releases of $N$. tenuis could reduce $T$. absoluta infestation or due to its phytophagous nature it could lead to damage of tomato plants. The treatments comprised of a) release of $N$. tenuis on $T$. absoluta infested plants, b) releases of $N$. tenuis on uninfested plants and c) T. absoluta infested plants were maintained as control. Results indicated that in treatment with $N$. tenuis, number of T. absoluta eggs and the $\%$ mined area were lesser by $83.25 \%$ and $89 \%$, respectively. Overall number of necrotic rings per plant during all 10 weeks caused by $N$. tenuis was significantly lesser in $T$. absoluta infested plants treated with $N$. tenuis $(0.74 \pm 0.18)$ compared to treatment with $N$. tenuis alone ( $2.16 \pm 0.35$ ). Flower abortion was also not observed at this density (total release of 6 adults/plant). When mean number of T. absoluta eggs were high in 3rd week ( 9.54 eggs/plant) number of necrotic rings were negligible though average number of N. tenuis was $4.1 /$ plant during the same week. During 5 th week when number of $N$. tenuis was on peak (11.2/plant), the average numbers of $T$. absoluta eggs and necrotic rings were $3.61 \mathrm{eggs} / \mathrm{plant}$ and $0.46 /$ plant, respectively. It shows that number of necrotic rings per plant increased with decreasing T. absoluta population. Further studies are needed to investigate the precise role of $N$. tenuis as a natural mortality factor of $T$. absoluta in field situations and its damage potential after a long interaction with plant in pest scarcity and when it occurs at high density.
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## INTRODUCTION

Tuta absoluta (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae), is considered as serious pests of tomato in South America (Miranda et al. 1998; Desneux et al., 2010; Desneux et al. , 2011). Its origin is South America. It was first recorded in India during November 2014 in Pune, Maharashtra, infesting tomato crop. In Karnataka it was first observed in Bangalore and Kolar districts. Because of concealed feeding behavior of this gelechiid moth, chemical control is not effective. During survey of infested tomato fields in Karnataka, Nesidiocoris tenuis (zoophytophagous mirid) was found to be associated with tomato pinworm in all the fields (Ballal et al., 2016). N. tenuis has been found to control thrips, whiteflies, leaf miners, spider mites and eggs and early larval instars of cutworm, Spodoptera litura in greenhouses
(Arzone et al., 1990; Calvo and Urbaneja, 2003; Carnero et al. 2000; Marcos and Rejesus 1992; Solsoloy et al., 1994; Urbaneja et al. 2005). Recently it has been also reported as a predator of Tuta absoluta (Urbaneja et al. 2009). In India, $N$. tenuis has already been reported predating on whitefly and eggs and early instars of Tuta absoluta in tomato ecosystem (Sridhar et al., 2012a; Sridhar et al., 2012b; Sridhar et al., 2014). Therefore, in present study, we have tried to observe if releases of $N$. tenuis, could reduce $T$. absoluta infestation in Indian condition. In India, it is reported as pest on sesame (Ahirwar et al., 2009), tobacco (Patel, 1980). So its status as a predator is not clear. Damage caused by this bug includes brown necrotic rings on shoots, stem, pedicels of leaves and flowers. It may cause flower abortion. There are reports that due to pest scarcity $N$. tenuis causes damage to tomato plant (Calvo et al., 2009; Sanchez, 2008). The
other objective of this study was to ascertain if $N$. tenuis has to release for control of T. absoluta, whether its release could lead to significant damage of tomato plants due to its phytophagous nature. This information could be a basis to optimize role of $N$. tenuis for management of $T$. absoluta in horticultural ecosystem.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in experimental cage ( $90 \mathrm{~cm} \times 45 \mathrm{~cm} \times 45 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) in greenhouse of ICAR-National Bureau of Agricultural Insect Resources, Bangalore. $N$. tenuis stages were collected from infested tomato fields in and around Bangalore and were reared on tomato plants and eggs of Corcyra cephalonica were provided as prey ad libitum in acrylic cages in the laboratory.. Tomato seed variety "NS-6237" was sown in the polystyrene tray with cells filled with vermicompost and cocopeat mixture. After three weeks, seedlings were transplanted individually in pots. Afterwards these potted plants were used for the experiment. Two potted plants were kept in each insect rearing cages ( 3 feet length) in net house. Experiment was carried out consisting of three treatments with 12 replications in a complete randomized design. i) In first treatment (T. absoluta $+N$. tenuis) 1 pair of $T$. absoluta adult / plant were released twice at 15 days interval. In this treatment adults of $N$. tenuis were released @ 2 adults (1 pair) per plant and total of 3 releases were made at weekly interval starting from a day after release of Tuta moth, ii) In second treatment only T. absoluta adult was released at the rate of 1 pair of $T$. absoluta adult /plant, twice at 15 days interval and iii) In Third treatment only adults of $N$. tenuis were released at the rate of 2 adults ( 1 pair) / plant and 3 releases were made at weekly interval. Each treatment was replicated 12 times. Three releases of predator were made by assuming that pest multiply fast and according to literature $N$. tenuis take some time for establishment.

## Observations

Plants were monitored weekly for 10 weeks after transplanting. Observations were made on total number of mines on selected five leaves (upper half portion of each plant) and eggs of T. absoluta. For calculation of leaf area damaged by T. absoluta 10 leaves were selected randomly from each plant and rated $0,1,2,3,4$ or 5 when the mined area was $0,1-25 \%, 26-50 \%, 51-75 \%, 76-99 \%$ or $100 \%$ of the leaf surface, respectively as mentioned by Calvo et al. (2012). For N. tenuis, nymph and adults were counted from three leaves from upper, one from middle and one from lower third of plant. To measure damage by N. tenuis on tomato plant, total number of brown rings around stem, shoot, leaf and petiole of flower cluster were recorded. Number of truss, total number of flowers and fruit set per plant was
also observed where $N$. tenuis was released. In addition of that total height of all plants and total number of leaves were also taken in all replications.

## Statistical analysis

Data was analyzed using one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using IBM SPSS (version 16.0). Before analysis, total number of $N$. tenuis adult and nymph, number of T. absoluta eggs and necrotic rings and percentage of T. absoluta affected tomato leaf area were subjected to $\log$ and arcsin transformation, respectively. Graphical expression created using Microsoft Excel (version 2010).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Number of T. absoluta eggs peaked in $3^{\text {rd }}$ week after the release of adult moths in both the treatments, T. absoluta alone and T. absoluta + N. tenuis and were significantly higher in the treatment $T$. absoluta alone compared to $T$. absoluta $+N$. tenuis ( $\mathrm{F}_{1,180}=183.65, \mathrm{P}<.0001$ ) (Fig. 1) as third treatment was not considered due to lack of T. absoluta infestation. T. absoluta larvae start feeding on leaf which results in reduction of healthy leaf area. It was observed that leaf area damage was significantly more in treatment where T. absoluta was released alone than the treatment T. absoluta $+N$. tenuis ( $\mathrm{F}_{1,180}=264.02, \mathrm{P}<.001$ ) (third treatment was not considered due to lack of $T$. absoluta infestation). $\%$ affected leaf area by T. absoluta increased continuously and $90 \%$ leaf area damage was observed, after 10 weeks of $1^{\text {st }}$ release in the treatment with $T$. absoluta alone compared to treatment $T$. absoluta $+N$. tenuis where $\%$ damaged area was approx. 9 times less at the end of the experiment (Fig. 2). Where N. tenuis was released, number of T. absoluta eggs and \% damaged area by T. absoluta were significantly lower, which confirms that at the density of 6 adults per plant (total 3 releases @ 2 adults/plant), N. tenuis could control T. absoluta population. This result corroborates with earlier findings that demonstrated that $N$. tenuis can suppress T. absoluta and whitefly alone in tomato (Urbaneja et al., 2009; Calvo et al., 2009).


Fig. 1. Mean number of Tuta absoluta eggs per plant per week.


Fig. 2. Mean \% affected leaf area by Tuta absoluta per week.
Mean number of $N$. tenuis was significantly higher in treatment $T$. absoluta $+N$. tenuis compared to treatment $N$. tenuis alone $\left(\mathrm{F}_{1,180}=25.06, \mathrm{P}<.001\right)$ (here only two treatments were considered as in treatment 2, only T. absoluta was released alone). In treatment $T$. absoluta $+N$. tenuis, number of $N$. tenuis peaked in $5^{\text {th }}$ weeks of first release of predator, then number of predator decreased during next two weeks; further increased during the following weeks (Fig. 3). In the same treatment, first nymph appeared after a week of first release of predator with mean number 3.92/ plant. Slowly they attained first peak in $5^{\text {th }}$ week followed by decrease in number and again attained second peak in $10^{\text {th }}$ week. Late instar nymphs were prevalent in the population. Total 2-3 generations of this bug were observed in treatment T. absoluta $+N$. tenuis. In treatment $N$. tenuis alone, number of bug increased during first four weeks after which it started decreasing during following weeks. Number of adult was low compared to nymphs. Mostly $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ instars nymphs were observed. In our study it is observed that $N$. tenuis could survive and multiply in presence of $T$. absoluta. It was also observed that $N$. tenuis could not complete one generation without pest and number of adults and nymphs were very less when released alone. This corroborates with the Urbaneja et al., (2005) results where they found that the bug although survive on tomato plant but could not complete development in absence of supplemental food (E. kuehniella). It shows the low ability of $N$. tenuis to survive without pest solely on tomato plant. This low ability to survive may result in low damage potential by this bug. It was also observed that nymphs and adults were aggregated in the top part of tomato plant. This bug prefers this region contains $18 \%$ protein content compared to lower part which as it contains $10 \%$ protein content (El-Dessouki et al., 1976).

First necrotic ring was appeared in $4^{\text {th }}$ week in treatment $T$. absoluta $+N$. tenuis while in treatment $N$. tenuis alone first necrotic ring was observed in second week and continuously it started to increase till the end of experiment. Consequently, the number of necrotic rings increased
with time in both the treatments (Fig. 4). However, number of necrotic rings in treatment $T$. absoluta $+N$. tenuis were significantly lower compared to treatment $N$. tenuis alone ( $\mathrm{F}_{1,180}=73.92, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). It shows although $N$. tenuis has capacity for controlling insect pests, but when there is scarcity of pest, it starts feeding on the plant which is evident in form of necrotic rings in present study. At low prey densities, $N$. tenuis cause damage to tomato and becomes phytophagous (Sanchez et al., 2006; Calvo et al., 2009; Arno et al., 2009, 2010; Castane et al., 2011). Although no. of necrotic rings in treatment $T$. absoluta $+N$. tenuis are not enough to cause economic damage to plant. In our findings, which also corroborates with Sanchez (2008) we have also found that $N$. tenuis has a low damage potential on tomato as the number of necrotic rings was low (in the range not associated with yield loss) (Sanchez, 2008) and we have not observed flower abortion. Therefore, from the current study it is clear that damage caused by $N$. tenuis does not seem to be significant for the current conditions. However Sanchez (2008) reported that N. tenuis could cause $50 \%$ flower abortion when whitefly number was almost negligible and $N$. tenuis occurred at 2 individuals per plant in tomato.


Fig. 3. Mean number of Nesidiocoris tenuis per plant per week.


Fig. 4. Mean number of necrotic rings per plant per week.
Number of necrotic rings per plant increased with decreasing number of T. absoluta eggs indicating that in the presence of the pest, necrotic rings caused by $N$. tenuis are
less and survivability of zoophytophagous mirid is more (Fig. 5). When mean number of T. absoluta eggs were high in $3^{\text {rd }}$ week ( $9.54 \mathrm{eggs} / \mathrm{plant}$ ) number of necrotic rings were negligible though average number of $N$. tenuis was 4.1/ plant during the same week. Gradually mean number of $N$. tenuis increase with decrease of T. absoluta eggs. During $5^{\text {th }}$ week when number of $N$. tenuis was on peak (11.2/plant), the average number of $T$. absoluta eggs and necrotic rings were 3.61 eggs/plant and 0.46 / plant, respectively. Further in last week ( $10^{\text {th }}$ week) mean number of $N$. tenuis was $8.7 /$ plant with mean number of $T$. absoluta eggs and number of necrotic rings were $0.69 \mathrm{eggs} /$ plant and 1.59 necrotic rings/ plant, respectively. It justifies the above statement. It is also clear that when pest density decreases, $N$. tenuis may feed on plant. However, in field condition survivability of N. tenuis is not a question as it can feed on other insect pests. In the current study, no flower abortion was observed in treatment where $N$. tenuis adults were released alone.


Fig. 5. Mean number of Tuta absoluta eggs/Nesidiocoris tenuis/ necrotic rings per plant per week in treatment T. absoluta + N. tenuis.

In summary, we could observe that Nesidiocoris tenuis was able to feed on Tuta absoluta in tomato. However, at the time of pest scarcity it may become phytophagous so it is mandatory to monitor and keep check on its population to avoid any further risk and economic damage to tomato crop. However in real field conditions there is many more pests on which it can feed and avoid plant damage. $N$. tenuis is polyphagous predator and it can survive by preying upon other sucking pests like thrips, spider mites etc (Urbaneja et al., 2003, 2009). As per current study it has low potential of damage, therefore it can be considered beneficial predator at densities similar to those used in current study. Further research on long term interaction with the plants at different densities of $N$. tenuis should be carried out to determine whether $N$. tenuis can be used in augmentative biological control or in IPM in India. Further studies should explore its ability to survive on tomato in presence of different pest, viz., T. absoluta, thrips, spider mites etc.
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