[研究文章 Research Article]

http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:A0E91035-FD44-4621-818A-24EAAEB80BB6

Notes on Taxonomic Classification of *Podabrus santaritensis* Cockerell, 1936 (Coleoptera: Cantharidae)

YUN HSIAO^{1, 3}, BIN-HONG HO^{2, 3}

¹ Department of Entomology, National Taiwan University, No. 27, Lane 113, Sec. 4, Roosevelt Rd., Taipei 106, Taiwan. Email: yunhsiao@outlook.com

² Department of Entomology, National Chung Hsing University, 145 Xingda Rd., South Dist., Taichung City 402, Taiwan. Email: f0563801@cloud.dyu.edu.tw

³ Taiwanese Society of Insect Natural History, 172 Zhongshan Rd., Dashu Dist., Kaohsiung City 840, Taiwan.

Abstract. *Podabrus santaritensis* Cockerell, 1936 is a fossil cantharid species described based on a single elytron preserved in the Paleocene Sunchal Formation from Quebrada, Jujuy, Argentina. The present study aims to discuss on the questionable familial and generic classification on the basis of the insufficient morphological information preserved in the holotype compression of this species. The case reveals the continuous future works on reexamination of the systematic placement of documented fossil Cantharidae is badly needed.

Key words: Soldier beetles, compression fossil, paleoentomology, classification

Introduction

The family Cantharidae, commonly known as soldier beetles, is a diverse and worldwide distributed group of soft-bodied terrestrial beetles in the superfamily Elateroidea, which includes five subfamilies, over 130 genera and 5,000 species (Delkeskamp, 1977, 1978; Ramsdale, 2010). The earliest fossil record of soldier beetle can be traced back to the Early Cretaceous Lebanese amber, which was mentioned while undescribed by Kirejtshuk & Azar (2013). The oldest cantharids, with credible taxonomic placement, were described from the Late Cretaceous Burmese amber (Poinar & Fanti, 2016; Hsiao et al., 2017; Fanti & Ellenberger, 2017). Fanti (2017c) investigated the fossil records of Cantharidae and listed 61 fossil species based on literatures. Subsequently, 12 additional fossil species were described from amber deposits (Fanti, 2017a, b; Fanti & Vitali, 2017; Fanti & Castiglione, 2017; Fanti & Kupryjanowicz, 2017, 2018; Fanti & Pankowski, 2018; Fanti et al., 2018; Fanti & Michalski, 2018). Thus, a total of 73 fossil cantharid species have been described or identified to species level up to now.

However, the morphological characters preserved in fossils may be sometimes incomplete and insufficient to warrant its systematic placement, especially in partially preserved compression fossils, which would mislead the further biological research. In this study, the familial and generic placement of *Podabrus santaritensis* Cockerell, 1936 described from the Paleocene Sunchal Formation (Quebrada, Jujuy, Argentina) is questioned since it was merely based on the extremely limited characters in one preserved elytron. The case shows the uncertain systematic placement of formerly published fossil cantharids and highlights continuous future works aiming to reexamine described fossil Cantharidae is needed.

Material and methods

The holotype fossil of *Podabrus santaritensis* Cockerell, 1936 is deposited at American Museum of Natural History (AMNH). The present study examined extant specimens deposited in the following institutions and private collection: B.-H. Ho's personal collection, Taipei, Taiwan (BHC); Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan (EIHU); National Museum of Natural Science, Taichung, Taiwan (NMNS); Y. Hsiao's personal collection, Taichung, Taiwan (YHC). The specimens were observed by using a Leica EZ4 stereo microscope. The habitus photos were taken using a Nikon COOLPIX P310 digital camera. The illustration of *Podabrus santaritensis* was modified based on Cockerell (1936).

Results

Podabrus santaritensis Cockerell, 1936

Chinese name: 聖塔里雙齒菊虎

(Fig. 1)

Podabrus (?) *santaritensis* Cockerell, 1936: 5, Fig. 5; Kirejtshuk & Ponomarenko, 2009–2015; Mitchell, 2013 - EDNA The Fossil Insect Database; Fanti, 2017c: 20; The Paleobiology Database.

Type material. Holotype (AMNH), one elytron in dorsal position; sex unknown, D. C. Harrell leg., No. 24520. **Redescription** (After Cockerell, 1936) (Fig. 1). Brownish pale. Elytron ca. 4 mm, with apex missing; surface provided with three major, parallel, widely separated, strongly raised elytral costae, with two faint costae between each pair of major costae.

Discussion

Cockerell (1936) described this species based on one elytron preserved in the compression and assigned this taxon into the modern cantharid genus Podabrus Westwood, 1840 according to its three strongly raised and widely separated elytral costae, which can be seen in extant Podabrus, evidencing its attribution to Cantharidae. However, this character is apparently too weak to warrant its generic or familial placement because it commonly occurred in several phylogenetically unrelated groups such as Carabidae (Fig. 2), Dascillidae (Fig. 3), Melandryidae (Fig. 4), Scarabaeidae (Fig. 5-8), and Pyrochroidae (Fig. 9). Although several species in Podabrus as P. rugosulus LeConte, 1850, P. pygmaeus Green, 1948 and P. tricostatus (Say, 1835) are characterized by three major elytral costae and rounded elytral shape (in P. pygmaeus and P. tricostatus), which resemble Podabrus santaritensis, most Podabrus species have more elongate elytra and the elytral costae in some species as P. alpinus (Paykull, 1798) (generic type of Podabrus; Fig. 10), P. intrusus Green, 1947 and P. osawai Nakane & Makino, 1981 are weakly developed. Furthermore, strongly raised elytral costae not only autoapomorphically occurred in Podabrus but also in other cantharid genera as Lycocerus Gorham, 1889, Yukikoa Sato, 1976 and Falsopodabrus Pic, 1927, which are unrelated among one another (Figs. 11–13), highlighting the inappropriate generic assignation only based on the elytral costae. On the other hand, distinctly raised elytral costae and strongly rounded elytral shape in Podabrus santaritensis are apparently closer to many extant scarab beetles in various tribes as Heptophyllini Medvedev, 1951 (e.g., Hexataenius ezaki (Niijima & Kinoshita, 1923); Fig. 5), Melolonthini Leach, 1819 (e.g., Tocama formosana (Yu, Kobayashi & Chu, 1998) and Melolontha taihokuensis Niijima & Kinoshita, 1923; Figs. 6-7) and Rhizotrogini Burmeister, 1855 (e.g., Eotrichia taiwana (Nomura, 1977); Fig. 8) rather than soldier beetles although it is still insufficient to evidence its placement in Scarabaeidae. Therefore, the morphological characters proposed in Cockerell (1936) cannot well support its original familial attribution nor provide further diagnostic characters used in classification under Coleoptera.

A well-preserved fossil specimen could provide the useful morphological information for further phylogenetic studies and a well-justified fossil calibration is crucial for the molecular dating analysis. Thus, the inaccurate classification of fossil taxa would undoubtedly result in misinterpretation. Up to date, 73 fossil species of cantharid beetles have been described and only approximately half taxa described from amber inclusions are well studied for its taxonomic placement in recent research (e.g., Kazantsev, 2013; Poinar and Fanti, 2016; Hsiao et al., 2017; Fanti & Vitali, 2017; Fanti & Pankowski, 2018; Fanti et al., 2018; Fanti & Michalski, 2018). The case shown in the present paper suggests the further studies on the reexamination of previously described fossil soldier beetles are badly needed.



Figures 1–13. Dorsal view of elytra. 1. *Podabrus santaritensis* Cockerell, 1936 (after Cockerell, 1936) (AMNH); 2. *Pseudozaena* sp., Paussinae, Carabidae (BHC); 3. *Dascillus lanceus* Jin, Ślipiński & Pang, 2013, Dascillidae (YHC); 4. *Melandrya dubia* (Schaller, 1783), Melandryidae (YHC); 5. *Hexataenius ezaki* (Niijima & Kinoshita, 1923), Heptophyllini, Scarabaeidae (BHC); 6. *Tocama formosana* (Yu, Kobayashi & Chu, 1998), Melolonthini, Scarabaeidae (BHC); 7. *Melolontha taihokuensis* Niijima & Kinoshita, 1923, Melolonthini, Scarabaeidae (BHC); 8. *Eotrichia taiwana* (Nomura, 1977), Rhizotrogini, Scarabaeidae (BHC); 9. *Pseudopyrochroa obtusicristata* Young, 2000, Pyrochroidae (NMNS); 10. *Podabrus alpinus* (Paykull, 1798) (EIHU); 11. *Lycocerus maculicollis* (Hope, 1831) (EIHU); 12. *Yukikoa masatakai* Takahashi, 2003 (NMNS); 13. *Falsopodabrus refossicollis* (Pic, 1907) (EIHU).

Acknowledgments

We wish to express our hearty thanks to Mr. Fabrizio Fanti (Italy) for his useful comments on the early version of the manuscript. The first author is grateful to Prof. Masahiro Ôhara (Hokkaido University Museum, Japan) for various assistance during his traveling in Hokkaido, and to Prof. Chiun-Cheng Ko and Prof. Ping-Shih Yang (Department of Entomology, National Taiwan University) for their continuous encouragement and permitting the use of the equipment in the lab.

References

- Cockerell, T.D.A. 1936. The fauna of the Sunchal (or Margas Verdes) Formation, Northern Argentina. American Museum Novitates 886: 1-9.
- Delkeskamp, K. 1977. Cantharidae. In: Wilcox, JA (ed). Coleopterorum catalogus supplementa pars 165, fasc. 1. Cantharidae. W. Junk, The Hague. 485 pp.

- Delkeskamp, K., 1978. Cantharidae, Corrigenda et addenda. pp 487-556. In: Wilcox, JA (ed). Coleopterorum catalogus supplementa Pars 165, Fasc. 2. W. Junk, The Hague.
- Fanti, F. 2017a. *Malthodes michalskii*: a new species of Cantharidae from Baltic amber Coleoptera. Giornale italiano di Entomologia 14: 685-690.
- Fanti, F. 2017b. New fossil Cantharidae genus and species from Baltic amber (Insecta Coleoptera). Giornale italiano di Entomologia 14: 709-714.
- Fanti, F. 2017c. World catalog of fossil Cantharidae. Fossils & Minerals Review 2 (Special Issue): 1-52.
- Fanti, F. & Castiglione, E. 2017. Description of a new genus and species of Cantharidae from Eocene Baltic amber (Insecta, Coleoptera). Palaeodiversity 10 (1): 123-127.
- Fanti, F. & Ellenberger, S. 2017. *Myamalycocerus vitalii*: A new genus and species of soldier beetle in Burmese amber (Coleoptera Cantharidae). Cretaceous Research 71: 166-169.
- Fanti, F. & Kupryjanowicz, J. 2017. A new soldier beetle from Eocene Baltic amber. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica 62 (4): 785-788.
- Fanti, F. & Kupryjanowicz, J. 2018. Discovery of a new fossil soldier beetle in Eocene Baltic amber, with the establishment of the new tribe Cacomorphocerini. Annales de Paléontologie (In press).
- Fanti, F. & Vitali, F. 2017. Key to fossil Malthininae, with description of two new species in Baltic amber (Coleoptera Cantharidae). Baltic Journal of Coleopterology 17(1): 19-27.
- Fanti, F. & Michalski, A.R. 2018. An unusual fossil *Malthodes* with long elytra (Insecta Coleoptera Cantharidae). Giornale italiano di Entomologia 15: 127-132.
- Fanti, F. & Pankowski, M.J. 2018. A new fossil soldier beetle (Coleoptera, Cantharidae, Silinae) from Eocene Baltic amber. Zootaxa 4370 (2): 189-193.
- Fanti, F., Damgaard, A.L. & Ellenberger, S. 2018. Two new genera of Cantharidae from Burmese amber of the Hukawng Valley (Insecta, Coleoptera). Cretaceous Research 86: 170-177.
- Hsiao, Y., Ślipiński, A., Deng, C. & Pang, H. 2017. A new genus and species of soldier beetle from Upper Cretaceous Burmese amber (Coleoptera, Cantharidae, Malthininae). Cretaceous Research 69: 119-123.
- Kazantsev, S.V. 2013. New taxa of Baltic amber soldier beetles (Insecta, Coleoptera, Cantharidae) with synonymic and taxonomic notes. Russian Entomological Journal 22 (4): 283-291.
- Kirejtshuk, A.G. & Azar, D. 2013. Current knowledge of Coleoptera (Insecta) from the Lower Cretaceous Lebanese amber and taxonomical notes for some Mesozoic groups. Terrestrial Arthropod Reviews 6 (1-2): 103-134.
- Kirejtshuk, A.G. & Ponomarenko, A.G. 2009–2015. Taxonomical list of fossil beetles of the suborder Scarabeina (part2). Available from: https://www.zin.ru/Animalia/Coleoptera/eng/paleosy1.htm. (accessed 10 October 2017).

Mitchell, A.A. 2013. EDNA, The Fossil Insect Database. Available from: http://edna.palass-hosting.org (accessed 10 October 2017).

- Poinar, Jr, G. & Fanti, F. 2016. New fossil soldier beetles (Coleoptera: Cantharidae) in Burmese, Baltic and Dominican amber. Palaeodiversity 9: 1-7.
- Ramsdale, A.S. 2010. Cantharidae Imhoff, 1856. pp 153-162. In: Leschen, RAB, Beutel, RG, Lawrence, JF (eds). Coleoptera, Beetles, Handbuch der Zoologie/Handbook of Zoology, vol. 2. Walter de Gruyter Inc., Berlin.
- The Paleobiology Database. Available from: https://paleobiodb.org (accessed 10 October 2017).

聖塔里雙齒菊虎分類短記 (鞘翅目:菊虎科)

蕭昀^{1,3}、何彬宏^{2,3}

1國立臺灣大學昆蟲學系 10617 臺北市羅斯福路四段 113 巷 27 號

²國立中興大學昆蟲學系 402 臺中市南區興大路 145 號

³臺灣昆蟲同好會 84044 高雄市大樹區中山路 172 號

摘要:聖塔里雙齒菊虎 Podabrus santaritensis Cockerell, 1936 為根據阿根廷胡胡伊省奎布拉達之古新世孫查爾組所出土的 單一翅鞘化石所描述的物種,本研究欲討論因正模式埋藏化石所保存的形態資訊極為不足,以致於本種當前的科級和屬 級分類仍有相當存疑的狀況。本案例顯示未來應持續對已紀錄過的菊虎科化石物種的系統分類進行重新檢視。

關鍵詞: 菊虎、埋藏化石、古昆蟲學、分類