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#### Abstract

Quadratic Residue codes are among the best codes. They have high capacity of error correction but they are very difficult to enumerate and therefore to analyse. Despite all developed methods in this domain, the weights enumerators of Quadratic Residue codes are known only for lengths less than or equal to 167. For the lengths 191 and 199 only estimations are available. In this paper, we present a new method based on the Multiple Impulse Method (MIM) and hash techniques to find the weights enumerators of Quadratic Residue codes having lengths in the form $8 \mathrm{~m}-1$, for an integer m . The proposed method Hash_MIM_Weights_Enumerators is validated on all Quadratic Residue codes of known weights enumerators; its reduced spatial and temporal complexities yields to new important results. So, the weights enumerators for the lengths 191, 199 and 223 are determined. These three codes are the best binary linear block codes in terms of minimum distance known until today and their analytical performances are remained unknowns in more than 60 years ago and they are available now.
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## 1. Introduction

The weights enumerator of a binary linear code $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k})$ is the polynomial $A(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} A_{i} x^{i}$, where $A_{i}$ represents the number of codewords having the weight $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{n}$ is the code length and k is its dimension. Finding the polynomial $A(x)$ is a very interesting problem in coding theory [1-3]. The minimum distance d of C is the less non zero weight i for which the coefficient $A_{i}$ is not null. The problem of finding d is NP-hard [4] and therefore finding $A(x)$ is a more difficult problem, because it requires finding the number of all codewords of each weight. In [5] we have presented the method PWEH(Partial Weights Enumerator with Hash techniques) for finding an approximation of Partial Weights Enumerator by integration of Hash techniques in the PWE(Partial Weights Enumerator) [6] in order to reduce its temporal complexity. In [7], authors have proposed a method to find only an approximation of
the weights enumerators of quadratic residue codes especially for the lengths 191 and 199. In [8], the authors proposed the use of the complete weights enumerator in order to deduce the weights enumerator for linear code. In [9-10], the authors establish the matroid structures corresponding to data-local and local maximally recoverable codes (MRC). In [11] the authors have determined the weights enumerator for the duals of a class of cyclic codes with three zeros, few months later, in [12] they have generalized their method for the codes whose duals have 2 i zeros, where $(2 \leq i \leq$ $\left.\frac{j+1}{2}\right)$ for $i, j \in I N^{2}$.

In [13] authors have determined the weights enumerators for every irreducible cyclic code of length n over a finite field $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}$, in the case which each prime divisor of n is also a divisor of $\mathrm{q}-1$.
In [14] the authors have used Gauss periods to determine weight distribution of some cyclic codes.

In [15], the authors obtained the weight distribution and constructed some classes of cyclic codes whose duals have two Niho type zeroes; the advantage of the class thus constructed is that it contains optimal cyclic codes with two or three non-zeros weights.
For a linear block code over a Binary Symmetric Channel (BSC) with a transition probability $p$, the upper bound of decoding error probability [16] is given by the Eq. (1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{e}(C) \leq \sum_{i=t+1}^{n}\binom{n}{i} p^{i}(1-p)^{n-i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $t$ is the code correcting capacity
Proakis [17] exposes that the transition probability $p$ can be formulated as in Eq. (2):

$$
\begin{align*}
p=Q\left(\sqrt{2 R \frac{E_{b}}{N_{0}}}\right. & \text { and } Q(x) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-z^{2} / 2} d z \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Where R represent the code rate $\left(R=\frac{k}{n}\right)$ and $\frac{E_{b}}{N_{0}}$ represents the ratio signal/noise.

On a Gaussian channel AWGN (Additive white Gaussian noise) an upper bound about decoding error probability [16] is given by Eq. (3).

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{e}(C) \leq \sum_{w=d}^{n} A_{w} Q\left(\sqrt{2 w R \frac{E_{b}}{N_{0}}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The authors of [18] have demonstrated that for a systematic linear block code over a decoded AWGN channel by the maximum likelihood decoder (MLD) algorithm, the binary error probability $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{C})$ has the following upper bound Eq. (4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{e}(C) \leq P_{a}=\sum_{w=0}^{n} \frac{w A_{w}}{n} Q\left(\sqrt{2 w R \frac{E_{b}}{N_{0}}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bound $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}$ represents the analytical performances over the AWGN channel for the code C. Despite the various works proposed in this field, the weights enumerators of several codes are still unknown, for example the largest Quadratic Residue code QR(n) of which the weights enumerator is known is that of length 167 [19-22]. The best linear codes known today are given in [23]; This web site regularly

Table 1. Comparison between lower bounds and the minimum distances of some QR codes

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ | Minimum weight of <br> QR(n) | Lower <br> Bound |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 191 | 96 | 27 | 27 |
| 199 | 100 | 31 | 31 |
| 223 | 112 | 31 | 31 |

updated contains for each length $n$ less than 256 and each dimension k the best known code of highest minimum distance called the lower bound LB.

Before presenting QR codes, we give in table 1 a comparison between the values of LB and those of the minimum distances of some quadratic residue codes of lengths up to 223.

The minimum distances of quadratic residue code of lengths 191, 193, 199 and 223 are respectively $27,27,31$ and 31 [24-25]. Those of higher lengths are given in [26-29]; they are found by using the Multiple Impulse Method (MIM) and its improvements.

It is well known that in the binary case all Extended Quadratic Residue codes (EQR) of lengths in the form 8.m are doubly even self-dual and all EQR codes with lengths in the form $8 m+1$ are formally self-dual [30]. Let $E(n)$ the weights enumerator of $\operatorname{EQR}(\mathrm{n})$, we have the following equalitie Eq. (5) obtained from the MacWilliamsidentity [3]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \forall j \leq n: E_{j}= \\
& \quad 2^{-k} \sum_{i=0}^{n} E_{i} \sum_{l=0}^{j}(-1)^{l}\binom{i}{l}\binom{n-i}{j-l} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{n})$ the binary weights enumerator of $\mathrm{EQR}(\mathrm{n})$, $B(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} B_{i} x^{i}$ where $B_{i}$ is equal to 1 if there are some codewords of weight i in $\operatorname{EQR}(\mathrm{n})$ and it is equal to 0 otherwise. For $n$ in the form $8 \mathrm{~m}-1$ where $m \in I N^{*}, \mathrm{EQR}(\mathrm{n})$ are doubly even self dual code, therfore if 4 doesn't divide j then $B_{j}=E_{j}=0$.

From $B(n)$ and Eq. (5) we obtain a linear system $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{n})$ of integer variables $E_{j}$. The resolution of $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{n})$ permits to considerably reduce the number of unknown values in E .

Let $A(n)$ be, the weights enumerator of the $\mathrm{QR}(\mathrm{n})$. by the Pless identity [31] we have:

$$
\text { for } j \leq \frac{n-1}{2}: \quad . \quad 2 j A_{2 j}=(n-(2 j-1)) A_{2 j-1}
$$

By definition of EQR codes and (6) we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { for } j \leq \frac{n-1}{2}: \\
& \qquad E_{2 j}=\frac{n+1}{n+1-2 j} A_{2 j}=\frac{n+1}{2 j} A_{2 j-1} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

The formula (7) permits to deduce A form E and E from A.

Let $\quad c=\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots ., c_{n-3}, c_{n-2}, c_{n-1}\right) \quad$ a codeword from $\mathrm{QR}(\mathrm{n})$ which is cyclic, then $\pi(c)=$ $\left(c_{n-1}, c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots ., c_{n-3}, c_{n-2}\right)$ and all words $\pi^{j}(c)$ obtained by shifting c at j time are codewords in QR(n).

$$
\forall j \in\{1,2,3, \ldots, n-1\}: \pi^{j}(c)=\underbrace{\pi(\pi(\ldots(\pi(c))))}_{j \text { time }}
$$

The codeword c is called of full order if the set $T=\pi^{j}(c): j \epsilon\{1,2,3, \ldots, n\}$ is of cardinal $n$ and all $n$ codewords obtained by $j$ cyclic shift $(j \in\{1,2,3, \ldots, n\})$ are distincts, otherwise c is called of incomplete order.

The remainder of this paper is organised as follows. In the next section, we describe briefly the method Hash_MIM_Weights_Enumerators proposed in this work. In section 3 we explain how to validate and check the results of Hash_MIM_Weights_Enumerators. In section 4, we give the main new results. In section 5 , we present the advantages and strengths of the proposed method, finally, a conclusion and a possible future direction of this research are outlined in section 6.

## 2. Description of the proposed method Hash_MIM_Weights_Enumerators

Let N be a positive integer that represents the size of the Hash table and a list L of many codewords (only information part) of weight w. All elements of L belong to $\mathrm{QR}(\mathrm{n})$. In order to accelerate the search of an element in $L$, this latest is divided on N sub-sets L[0], L[1],...,L[N-1]; each one
contains the words of the same hash value given by the Hash function presented in the algorithm A1 below.

Algorithm A1: The used hash function
Function hash (word, N)
Pos $\leftarrow 0$
For $\mathrm{i}=1$ to the dimension k of the code
If word [i] $=1$ then
Pos $\leftarrow$ Pos +i ;
End If
End For
Return (Pos modulo N)
EndFunction

To check if a codeword(only the information part) exist in the list $L$ or not, we define the Fast_Search_By_Hash function presented in A2 algorithm. We also define, in A3 algorithm, a function that we called NumberOfCodeWordsWithoutCyclicCopie, this function is used to compute the number of codewords of a given weight in $\mathrm{QR}(\mathrm{n})$ code by saving a sample for each codewords class.

Algorithm A2: Fast Search of a vector in a list

| 1 | Function Fast_Search_By_Hash (L,e) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | L : a set of binary vectors of length $k$, divided on N sub-sets numbered from 0 to N-1. |
| 3 | e : a binary vector of length $k$ |
| 4 | Outputs: |
| 5 | True if e in L and False otherwise. |
| 6 | Begin Function |
| 7 | $\mathrm{h} \leftarrow \operatorname{hash}(\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{N})$ |
| 8 | If e in $\mathrm{L}[\mathrm{h}]$ then |
| 9 | Return True |
| 10 | Else |
| 11 | Return False |
| 12 | End If |
| 13 | End Function |

Algorithm A3: Find the number of codewords of a given weight in a given $\mathrm{QR}(\mathrm{n})$ code

```
Function NumberOfCodeWordsWithoutCyclicCopies (w, GEN, Half_Aut)
    Inputs:
        - The weight w
        - The generator matrix G of QR(n)
        - The half of the sub group Half_Aut of Automorphisms without inverses
    Begin Function
        - Initially the list L is empty : L }\leftarrow[
        - }\mp@subsup{\textrm{A}}{w}{\leftarrow
        - Number_of_iterations }\leftarrow
        Repeat
        - Find a codeword c of weight w by the MIM method
```

```
                    - For each element \sigma from Half_Aut do:
            - If \sigma(c) is of full order then
            If there not exists any integer p in [0,1,2,\ldots,n-1]:
                Fast_Search_By_Hash(information_part( }\mp@subsup{\pi}{}{\textrm{p}}(\sigma(\textrm{c}))),\textrm{L})=\mathrm{ True then
                    o Add \sigma(c) in the list L.
                    O }\mp@subsup{\textrm{A}}{w}{}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{\textrm{A}}{\textrm{w}}{}+
            End
        Else display("Element of incomplete order is found")
        End
        - Number_of_iterations }\leftarrow\mathrm{ Number_of_iterations +1
        Until there not exists any element to add in L
    Outputs: The number N of elements in L
    End Function
```

In A4 algorithm we present the method Hash_MIM_Weights_Enumerators:

## Algorithm A4: hash_MIM_Weights_Enumerators steps

Inputs:

- The length $n$ of the quadratic residue code QR(n).
- The generator matrix $\mathrm{GE}(\mathrm{n})$ of $\mathrm{EQR}(\mathrm{n})$.

Outputs:

- The weights enumerator $E(n)$ and $A(n)$ of the $\mathrm{EQR}(\mathrm{n})$ and $\mathrm{QR}(\mathrm{n})$ codes.

1. Find the binary weights enumerators $B(n)$ of $\operatorname{EQR}(\mathrm{n})$ using GE(n) and the MIM method
2. Create the system $S(n)$ by using $B(n)$ and the MacWilliams identity
3. Solve $S(n)$ to obtain the form of $E(n)$ and that of $A(n)$ and find the list $R(n)$ of the residual unknowns of the form $R_{4 j}$ which are sufficient to determine $A(n)$ and $E(n)$
4. Find $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{n})$ as follows:

For each element $R_{4 j}$ in $R(n)$ do
4.1) find the number $\Gamma_{4 j-1}$ of codewords of weight $4 \mathrm{j}-1$ in the $\mathrm{QR}(\mathrm{n})$ code without cyclic copies:
$\Gamma_{4 \mathrm{j}-1} \leftarrow$ NumberOfCodeWordsWithout-CyclicCopies(4j-1, GEN, Half_Aut)
4.2) $\mathrm{A}_{4 \mathrm{j}-1} \leftarrow \mathrm{n} * \Gamma_{4 \mathrm{j}-1}$

End For
5. Determine the weights enumerator $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{n})$ by using the Pless identity and A(n).

In order to reduce the temporal (run time) and spatial (memory) complexities of the proposed method, the codewords of $\mathrm{QR}(\mathrm{n})$ are divided in many classes as it is illustrated in the Fig. 1. Two codewords c and $\mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ are in the same class if it exist an integer $u$ such that $c^{\prime}=\pi^{u}(c)$. The idea behind this reduction is to store only one representative element of each class to construct the set $\mathrm{L}_{4 \mathrm{j}-1}$ whose the size
is $\Gamma_{4 j-1}$, then we deduce $A_{4 j-1}$ by multiplying $\Gamma_{4 j-1}$ by n.

In order to clarify the proposed method Hash_MIM_Weights_Enumerators steps we give some examples.

## Example 1:

The $\mathrm{QR}(7)$ code which can be generated by the polynomial $g$ in binary form $g=\{1,0,1,1\}$.

Firstly, $g$ is used to construct the generator matrices GEN(7) and GE(7) of the $\mathrm{QR}(7)$ and $\mathrm{EQR}(7)$ codes respectively.

$$
G=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1000101 \\
0100111 \\
0010110 \\
0001011
\end{array}\right) \quad G E=\left(\begin{array}{l}
10001011 \\
01001110 \\
00101101 \\
00010111
\end{array}\right)
$$



Figure. 1 Representation of cyclic class

Table 2. The system $\mathrm{S}(7)$

```
E[0]=1, E[1]=0,
E[2]=0, E[3]=0,
E[5]=0, E[6]=0,
E[7]=0,E[8]=1,
-4E[6]+2E[3]+8E[0]+6E[1]+4E[2]-6E[7]-8E[8]-
2E[5]=0,
4E[6]+14E[7]-2E[3]+4E[2]+14E[1]-
2E[5]+28E[0]+28E[8]-4E[4]=0,
-14E[7]-56E[8]-6E[3]+4E[6]+6E[5]-
4E[2]+56E[0]+14E[1]=0,
14E[7]+56E[0]-4E[2]-14E[1]+6E[3]-56E[8]-
6E[5]+4E[6]=0,
28E[8]-14E[1]+2E[3]-14E[7]-
4E[4]+28E[0]+2E[5]+4E[2]+4E[6]=0,
8E[0]+4E[2]+6E[7]-4E[6]-8E[8]-2E[3]+2E[5]-6E[1]=0,
```

The binary weights enumerator of the $\mathrm{EQR}(7)$ code is below:
$B=\{1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1\}$
From B and the MacWilliams identity (5), the system $S(7)$ for the $\operatorname{EQR}(7)$ code is presented as Table 2. Solving the system (S) above gives the following solution
$\{\mathrm{E}[0]=1, \mathrm{E}[1]=0, \mathrm{E}[2]=0, \mathrm{E}[3]=0, \mathrm{E}[4]=14, \mathrm{E}[5]=0$, $\mathrm{E}[6]=0, \mathrm{E}[7]=0, \mathrm{E}[8]=1\}$

Which doesn't contains any unknown, therefore the weights enumerators E of $\mathrm{EQR}(7)$ is obtained without executing the fourth step of the method Hash_MIM_Weights_Enumerators.

By the formula (7) the weights enumerator $\mathrm{A}(7)$ is obtained and it is as follows:
$\{\mathrm{A}[0]=1, \mathrm{~A}[1]=0, \mathrm{~A}[2]=0, \mathrm{~A}[3]=7, \mathrm{~A}[4]=7, \mathrm{~A}[5]=0$,
$\mathrm{A}[6]=0, \mathrm{~A}[7]=1\}$ $\mathrm{A}[6]=0, \mathrm{~A}[7]=1\}$

## Example 2:

The $\mathrm{QR}(71)$ code can be generated by the polynomial $g$ in binary form
$\mathrm{g}=\{1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0$, $0,1,1,0,1,1,0,0,1,1\}$.

Like in the first example, the generator matrices $\mathrm{GEN}(71)$ and $\mathrm{GE}(71)$ are constructed, the binary weights enumerator $B(71)$ is found and the system $S(71)$ is made.

By solving $S(71)$, the form of $\mathrm{E}(71)$ is obtained and it is given in Table 3. By the Pless identity, the form of $\mathrm{A}(71)$ is also obtained and it is given in Table 4.

From Tables 3 and 4, the list $\mathrm{R}(71)$ contains only the unknown variable $R=\{R 12\}=\{X\}$. By the step (4.1), the value of $\Gamma 11$ is 7 and that of A11 is 497. The value of R12 is 2982 and the corresponding enumerators $\mathrm{A}(71)$ and $\mathrm{E}(71)$ are given respectively in Tables 5 and 6.

Table 3. The form of E (71)
$\mathrm{E}[12]=6 * \mathrm{x}$
$\mathrm{E}[16]=249849-72 * \mathrm{x}$
$\mathrm{E}[20]=18106704+396 * \mathrm{x}$
$\mathrm{E}[24]=462962955-1320 * \mathrm{x}$
$\mathrm{E}[28]=4397342400+2970 * \mathrm{x}$
$\mathrm{E}[32]=16602715899-4752 * \mathrm{x}$
$\mathrm{E}[36]=25756721120+5544 * \mathrm{x}$

Table 4. The form of $\mathrm{A}(71)$

| $\mathrm{A}[11]=\mathrm{x}$ |
| :--- |
| $\mathrm{A}[12]=5 * \mathrm{x}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}[15]=55522-16 * \mathrm{x}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}[16]=194327-56 * \mathrm{x}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}[19]=5029640+110{ }^{*} \mathrm{x}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}[20]=13077064+286 * \mathrm{x}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}[23]=154320985-440 * \mathrm{x}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}[24]=308641970-880 * \mathrm{x}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}[27]=1710077600+1155 * \mathrm{x}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}[28]=2687264800+1815 * \mathrm{x}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}[31]=7378984844-2112 * \mathrm{x}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}[32]=9223731055-2640 * \mathrm{x}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}[35]=12878360560+2772 * \mathrm{x}$ |

Table 5. The weights enumerator $\mathrm{A}(71)$
$\mathrm{A}[0]=1$
$\mathrm{A}[11]=497$
$\mathrm{A}[12]=2485$
A[15]=47570
$\mathrm{A}[16]=166495$
A[19]=5084310
$\mathrm{A}[20]=13219206$
$\mathrm{A}[23]=154102305$
$\mathrm{A}[24]=308204610$
$\mathrm{A}[27]=1710651635$
$\mathrm{A}[28]=2688166855$
$\mathrm{A}[31]=7377935180$
$\mathrm{A}[32]=9222418975$
$\mathrm{A}[35]=12879738244$
Table 6. The weights enumerator E (71)
$\mathrm{E}[0]=1$
$\mathrm{E}[12]=2982$
$\mathrm{E}[16]=214065$
$\mathrm{E}[20]=18303516$
$\mathrm{E}[24]=462306915$
$\mathrm{E}[28]=4398818490$
$\mathrm{E}[32]=16600354155$
$\mathrm{E}[36]=25759476488$

## 3. Check of the results of the method Hash_MIM_Weights_Enumerators method (Mykkeltveit congruence check)

### 3.1 Check by congruence of the number of

 codewords of a given weightLet $G$ the projective special linear group $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathrm{n})$. In [22],the authors have demonstrated that it is possible to compute the weights enumerator E of $\operatorname{EQR}(\mathrm{n})$ modulo $|G|=\frac{n\left(n^{2}-1\right)}{2}$ as follows:
i. Factor $|G|$ in prime numbers $|G|=\prod_{i=1}^{l} q_{i}{ }^{m_{i}}$, where $q_{i}$ are prime numbers and $m_{i}$ is the highest power of $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}$ that divides $|G|$.
ii. For each divisor $q_{i} \neq 2$ :
a) Find a permutation $g_{i}$ of order $q_{i}$ from $G, g_{i}$ is a generator of a group $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ called a Sylow $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}$-subgroup of G .
b) Find $E^{q_{i}}$ the weights enumerator of the subcode $C_{i}$ of $E Q R(n)$ fixed by $g_{i}$.
iii. For the divisor $q_{i}=2$ :
a) Find the highest integer $m$ such that $2^{m}$ divide $\frac{n+1}{2}$ or $\frac{n-1}{2}$.
b) Find two permutations $a$ and $b$ verifying : $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{G}$ and $\mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{G}, a^{2^{m}}=1, b^{2}=1, b a b=$ $a^{-1}$.
c) Find $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ the weights enumerator of the subcode $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ fixed by: $H_{2}=\left\{1, a^{2^{m-1}}\right\}$.
d) Find $\mathrm{F}^{0}$ the weights enumerator of the subcode $\mathrm{C}^{0}$ fixed by: $G_{4}^{0}=\left\{1, a^{2^{m-1}}, b\right.$, $\left.a^{2^{m-1}} b\right\}$.
e) Find $\mathrm{F}^{1}$ the weights enumerator of the subcode $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ fixed by: $G_{4}^{1}=\left\{1, a^{2^{m-1}}, a b\right.$, $\left.a^{1+2^{m-1}} b\right\}$.
f) Find $E^{2}$ the weights enumerator of the subcode fixed by S2, a Sylow 2-subgroup of G by :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall j \leq n: E_{j}^{2}= & \left(2^{m}+1\right) F_{j}^{2}-2^{m-1}\left(F_{j}^{0}\right. \\
& \left.+F_{j}^{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

iv. For each divisor $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}$ of $|G|$ and for each integer $j$ less than or equal to $n$, compute $E_{j}$ modulo $q_{i}{ }^{m_{i}}$ according to the following equality: $E_{j} \bmod q_{i}^{m_{i}}=E_{j}^{q_{i}} \bmod q_{i}{ }^{m_{i}}$.
v. For each integer $j \leq n$, compute $E_{j}$ modulo $|G|$ by using the Chinese remainder theorem.

### 3.2 Validation of the Hash_MIM_Weights_ Enumerators method

In order to validate the proposed method, we present here its application on all quadratic residue codes of the form $8 \mathrm{~m}-1$ for which these metrics are available.

### 3.2.1. The $Q R$ codes of lengths 7 and 71

For QR codes of lengths 7 and 71 , their weights enumerators found by the proposed method as explained in the examples above, coincide with those already known.

### 3.2.2. The QR codes of lengths $\mathbf{n}$ in $\{23,31,47,79,103\}$

For these codes, only the three fist steps are required. Solving the system $S(n)$ for these codes yield to find the weights enumerators without any residual unknown variables in the list $R(n)$. The obtained results coincide with the true available values.

### 3.2.3. The QR code of length 127

The $\mathrm{QR}(127)$ code can be generated by the polynomial $g$ in binary form $\mathrm{g}=\{1,1,1,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,1$ , $0,1,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,1,0,1,0,0,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,0,0$ , $1,1,1,0,1,0,1,0,0,1\}$.

The generator matrices $\operatorname{GEN}(127)$ and $\operatorname{GE}(127)$ are constructed and the system $S(127)$ is made.
By solving $S(127)$, the form of $E(127)$ is obtained and it is given in Table 7. By the Pless identity, the form of $\mathrm{A}(127)$ is also obtained and it is given in Table 8.

From Tables 7 and 8, the list $R(127)$ contains only the unknown variable $\mathrm{R}=\{\mathrm{R} 20\}=\{\mathrm{X}\}$. By the step (4.1), the value of $\Gamma_{19}$ is 70 and that of $A_{19}$ is 8890. The value of $\mathrm{R}_{20}$ is 56896 and the corresponding enumerators $\mathrm{A}(127)$ and $\mathrm{E}(127)$ are given respectively in Tables 9 and 10 .

Table 7. The form of E (127)

[^0]In order to validate the implementation of the proposed check, we give in Tables 11 and 12 the Mykkeltveit method results for $\mathrm{EQR}(127)$ code and the congruence of the number of codewords of weight 20 for this code. The weights enumerators given in Tables 9 and 10 are then successfully checked.

Table 8. The form of $\mathrm{A}(127)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}[19]=5 * \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~A}[20]=27 * \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~A}[23]=2480310-36 * \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~A}[24]=10748010-156 * \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~A}[27]=643337296-623 * \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~A}[28]=2297633200-2225 * \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~A}[31]=80102771595+12000 * \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~A}[32]=240308314785+36000 * \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~A}[35]=5082756133680-98325 * \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~A}[36]=12989265674960-251275 * \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~A}[39]=172663692664050+511860 * \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~A}[40]=379860123860910+1126092 * \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~A}[43]=3262570872010560-1907961 * \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~A}[44]=6228544392020160-3642471 * \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~A}[47]=35293527303040440+5395392 * \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~A}[48]=58822545505067400+8992320 * \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~A}[51]=223367532870465984-11967150 * \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~A}[52]=326460240349142592-17490450 * \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~A}[55]=840260196635537020+21253400 * \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~A}[56]=1080334538531404740+27325800 * \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~A}[59]=1899367029009525600-30610710 * \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~A}[60]=2152615966210795680-34692138 * \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~A}[63]=2596788425972146835+36010624 * \mathrm{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 9. The weights enumerator $\mathrm{A}(127)$

[^1]Table 10. The weights enumerator E (127)

| $\mathrm{E}[0]=1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{E}[20]=56896$ |
| $\mathrm{E}[24]=12886944$ |
| $\mathrm{E}[28]=2935906752$ |
| $\mathrm{E}[32]=320496430380$ |
| $\mathrm{E}[36]=18071400219840$ |
| $\mathrm{E}[40]=552526728803616$ |
| $\mathrm{E}[44]=9491105395362624$ |
| $\mathrm{E}[48]=94116098389459776$ |
| $\mathrm{E}[52]=549827720843995776$ |
| $\mathrm{E}[56]=1920594821540759296$ |
| $\mathrm{E}[60]=4051982879111857664$ |
| $\mathrm{E}[64]=5193576979998072832$ |

Table 12. Congruence of the number of codewords of weight 20 in $\operatorname{EQR}(127)$

| n | $\|G\|$ | $w$ | $E_{w} \bmod \|G\|$ | Check |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 127 | 1024128 | 20 | 56896 | Ok |

Table 13. The form of $\mathrm{E}(167)$

```
E[24]=7*y
E[28]=6*x
E[32]=5776211364-168*x+2541*y
E[36]=1251098739072+2268*x-60144*y
E[40]=166068570988089-19656*x+562947*y
E[44]=13047071967014400+122850*x-2761920*y
E[48]=629049676288183920-589680*x+5856697*y
E[52]=19087122102289097472+2260440*x+15900192*
y
E[56]=372099732633702386736-7104240*x-
188636133*y
E[60]=4739291366078578079232+18648630*x+875355
712*y
E[64]=39973673769401063697390-41441400*x-
2764837383*y
E[68]=225696676750383595333248+78738660*x+6663
305712*y
E[72]=860241110734660092710580-128845080*x-
12836992553*y
E[76]=2227390680768729820388352+182530530*x+20
247545472*y
E[80]=3935099590080354173030112-224652960*x-
26494540443*y
E[84]=4755747408657232763578880+240699600*x+28
958598592*y
E[88]=3935099590080354173030112-224652960*x-
26494540443*y
E[92]=2227390680768729820388352+182530530*x+20
247545472*y
E[96]=860241110734660092710580-128845080*x-
12836992553*y
```

```
E[100]=225696676750383595333248+78738660*x+666
3305712*y
E[104]=39973673769401063697390-41441400*x-
2764837383*y
```

Table 14. The form of $\mathrm{A}(167)$

## A[23]=y

$A[24]=6 * y$
$\mathrm{A}[27]=\mathrm{x}$
$\mathrm{A}[28]=5 * \mathrm{x}$
$\mathrm{A}[31]=1100230736-32 * \mathrm{x}+484 * \mathrm{y}$
$\mathrm{A}[32]=4675980628-136^{*} \mathrm{x}+2057 * \mathrm{y}$
A[35] $=268092586944+486 * x-12888 * y$
$\mathrm{A}[36]=983006152128+1782 * \mathrm{x}-47256 * \mathrm{y}$
$\mathrm{A}[39]=39540135949545-4680 * \mathrm{x}+134035 * \mathrm{y}$
$\mathrm{A}[40]=126528435038544-14976 * \mathrm{x}+428912 * \mathrm{y}$
A[43] $=3417090277075200+32175 * x-723360 * y$
$\mathrm{A}[44]=9629981689939200+90675 * \mathrm{x}-2038560 * \mathrm{y}$
$\mathrm{A}[47]=179728478939481120-68480 * \mathrm{x}+1673342 * \mathrm{y}$
$\mathrm{A}[48]=449321197348702800-21200 * \mathrm{x}+4183355 * \mathrm{y}$
$\mathrm{A}[51]=5907918745946625408+699660 * x+4921488^{*} \mathrm{y}$ $\mathrm{A}[52]=13179203356342472064+1560780 * x+10978704^{*}$ y
A[55]=124033244211234128912-2368080*x-
62878711*y
A[56]=248066488422468257824-4736160*x-
125757422*y
$\mathrm{A}[59]=1692604059313777885440+6660225 * \mathrm{x}+3126270$ 40*y
$\mathrm{A}[60]=3046687306764800193792+11988405 * \mathrm{x}+562728$
672*y
$\mathrm{A}[63]=15228066197867071884720-15787200{ }^{*} \mathrm{x}$ -
1053271384*y
A[64]=24745607571533991812670-25654200*x-
1711565999*y
A[67]=91353416779917169539648+31870410*x+26970 52312*y
A[68]=134343259970466425793600+46868250*x+3966 253400*y
$\mathrm{A}[71]=368674761743425754018820-55219320 * x-$ 5501568237*y
$\mathrm{A}[72]=491566348991234338691760-73625760 * x-$
7335424316*y
$\mathrm{A}[75]=1007629117490615871128064+82573335^{*} \mathrm{x}+915$ 9603904*y
$\mathrm{A}[76]=1219761563278113949260288+99957195 * \mathrm{x}+110$ 87941568*y
$\mathrm{A}[79]=1873856947657311510966720-106977600 * x-$ 12616447830*y
$\mathrm{A}[80]=2061242642423042662063392-117675360 * x-$
13878092613*y
$\mathrm{A}[83]=2377873704328616381789440+120349800 * \mathrm{x}+14$
479299296*y
$\mathrm{A}[84]=2377873704328616381789440+120349800 * x+14$ 479299296*y
A[87]=2061242642423042662063392-117675360*x13878092613*y
A[88]=1873856947657311510966720-106977600*x12616447830*y
$\mathrm{A}[91]=1219761563278113949260288+99957195 * \mathrm{x}+110$

```
87941568*y
A[92]=1007629117490615871128064+82573335*x+915
9603904*y
A[95]=491566348991234338691760-73625760*x-
7335424316*y
A[96]=368674761743425754018820-55219320*x-
5501568237*y
A[99]=134343259970466425793600+46868250*x+3966
253400*y
A[100]=91353416779917169539648+31870410*x+2697
052312*y
A[103]=24745607571533991812670-25654200*x-
1711565999*y
```


### 3.2.4. The QR code of length 167

From Tables 13 and 14, the list $R(167)$ contains two unknown variables $R=\{R 24, R 28\}=\{X, Y\}$. By the step (4.1), the value of $\Gamma 23$ is 664 and that of A23 is 110888 and that of $\Gamma 27$ is 18094 and that of A27 is 3021698 . The value of R24 is 776216 and that of R28 is 18130188. Therefore the corresponding enumerators $\mathrm{A}(167)$ and $\mathrm{E}(167)$ are obtained and they coincide with those already found in [21].

Tables 15 and 16 give the Mykkeltveit method results for the $\mathrm{EQR}(167)$ code and the congruence of the number of codewords of weights 24 and 28 for this code. The weights enumerators $\mathrm{A}(167)$ and $E(167)$ are then successfully checked.

Table 15. The Mykkeltveit method results for EQR (167)

| code |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{H 2}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{4}^{0}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{4}^{1}$ | S3 | S7 | S83 | S167 |
| k |  | 22 | 21 | 28 | 12 | 2 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{E}[24]$ | 252 | 6 | 4 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{E}[28]$ | 1812 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |

Table 16. Congruence of the number of codewords of weights 24 and 28 in $\operatorname{EQR}(167)$

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\|\mathbf{G}\|$ | $\mathbf{w}$ | $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{m o d}$ | Check |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\|\mathbf{G}\|$ |  |
| 167 | 2328648 | 24 | 776216 | 776216 | Ok |
| 167 | 2328648 | 28 | 18130188 | 1829652 | Ok |

Table 17. The form of $\mathrm{E}(191)$

[^2]```
20195136*y
E[64]=366292346792783194741815+13670572032*x+
63109800*y
E[68]=4798230291291549388046400-56511000000*x-
168292800*y
E[72]=43753732703694320252103840+175210813440*
x+387073440*y
E[76]=280144274178089715889150656-
434619319680*x-774146880*y
E[80]=1268289709189717721455882224+89027831808
0*x+1354757040*y
E[84]=4082464373929527973794806080-
1533608219520*x-2084241600*y
E[88]=9382224038665793129097020640+22466297548
80*x+2828613600*y
E[92]=15439604564036779974450436032-
2818036032480*x-3394336320*y
E[96]=18224832149069836877698945680+3037942333
440*x+3606482340*y
```

| A[27]-7 ${ }^{\text {x }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{A}[28]=41{ }^{*} \mathrm{x}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}[31]=\mathrm{y}$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{A}[32]=5 * \mathrm{y}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}[35]=12949825212+2163^{*} \mathrm{x}-36 * \mathrm{y}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{A}[36]=56115909252+9399 * x-156 * y$ |  |
| $\mathrm{A}[39]=3475209095820-80640^{*} \mathrm{x}+620^{*} \mathrm{y}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{A}[40]=13205794564116-306432 * \mathrm{x}+2356 * \mathrm{y}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{A}[43]=604583344128060+1068408 * x-6820$ * y |  |
| $\mathrm{A}[44]=2033598521158020+3593736^{*} \mathrm{x}-22940$ * y |  |
| $\mathrm{A}[47]=65029676853039780-7504896 * \mathrm{x}+53940$ \% |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}[48]=195089030559119340-22514688 * \mathrm{x}+161820 * \mathrm{y} \\ & \mathrm{~A}[51]=4470430284871339932+27646632 * \mathrm{x}-327236 * \mathrm{y} \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |
| $\mathrm{A}[52]=12035773843884376740+74433240 * x-881020 * \mathrm{y}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{A}[55]=200934872675474057932-$ |  |
| $2073344 * x+1585836$ * ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{A}[56]=487984690783294140692-$ |  |
| $5035264 * x+3851316 * y$ |  |
| A[59] $=6019239694363602987420-642278700 * x-$$6310980 * y$ |  |
|  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}[60]=13242327327599926572324-1413013140 * \mathrm{x}- \\ & 13884156 * \mathrm{y} \\ & \mathrm{~A}[63]=122097448930927731580605+4556857344 * \mathrm{x}+21 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 036600*y |  |
| $\mathrm{A}[64]=244194897861855463161210+9113714688 * x+42$ |  |
| 073200*y |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}[67]=1699373228165757074933100-20014312500 * x- \\ & 59603700 * \mathrm{y} \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}[68]=3098857063125792313113300-36496687500^{* x}- \\ & 108689100 * y \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |
| $\mathrm{A}[71]=16407649763885370094538940+65704055040 * \mathrm{x}$ |  |
| +145152540*y |  |
| $\mathrm{A}[72]=27346082939808950157564900+109506758400^{*}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{x}+241920900$ \% |  |
| $\mathrm{A}[75]=110890441862160512539455468-$ |  |
| 172036814040*x-306433140*y |  |
| $\mathrm{A}[76]=169253832315929203349695188$ - |  |
| 262582505640 *x-467713740*y |  |
|  | $\mathrm{A}[79]=528454045495715717273284260+370949299200$ |

```
*x+564482100*y
\(\mathrm{A}[80]=739835663694002004182597964+519329018880\)
*x+790274940*y
\(\mathrm{A}[83]=1786078163594168488535227660-\)
670953596040*x-911855700*y
\(\mathrm{A}[84]=2296386210335359485259578420-\)
862654623480*x-1172385900*y
\(\mathrm{A}[87]=4300186017721821850836134460+10297053043\)
\(20 * x+1296447900 * y\)
\(\mathrm{A}[88]=5082038020943971278260886180+12169244505\)
60*x+1532165700*y
\(\mathrm{A}[91]=7398143853600957071090833932\)
1350308932230*x-1626452820*y
\(\mathrm{A}[92]=8041460710435822903359602100-\)
1467727100250*x-1767883500*y
\(\mathrm{A}[95]=9112416074534918438849472840+15189711667\)
20*x+1803241170*y
```

Table 19. Results of Hash_MIM_Weights_ Enumerators method for EQR(191)

| 苞 | Weigh t w | Sizeof (Half_A ut) | $\Gamma_{\mathrm{w}-1}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{w - 1}}$ | $\mathbf{E}_{\text {w }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 28 | 9073 | 665 | 127015 | 870960 |
|  | 32 | 9073 | 14004 | 267493 | 1604961 |
|  |  |  | 9 | 59 | 54 |

Table 20. The Mykkeltveit method results for $\operatorname{EQR}(191)$

| $\mathbf{1 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{H 2}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{4}^{0}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{4}^{1}$ | $\mathbf{S 3}$ | S5 | S19 | S191 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| k |  | 25 | 24 | 32 | 20 | 6 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{E}[28]$ | 144 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{E}[32]$ | 5274 | 30 | 42 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 |

Table 21. Congruence of the number of codewords of weights 28 and 32 in $\operatorname{EQR}(191)$

| $\mathbf{N}$ | $\|\mathbf{G}\|$ | $\mathbf{w}$ | $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{w}}$ <br> $\|\mathbf{G}\|$ | $\bmod$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Check

## 4. New results obtained by the proposed Hash_MIM_Weights_Enumerators method

### 4.1 For the $\mathbf{Q R}(191)$ code

The forms of $\mathrm{E}(191)$ and $\mathrm{A}(191)$ are given in Tables 17 and 18. From Tables 17 and 18, the list $\mathrm{R}(191)$ contains two unknown variables $\mathrm{R}=\{\mathrm{R} 28$, $\mathrm{R} 32\}=\{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}\}$. By the step (4.1), the value of $\Gamma 27$ is 665 and that of A27 is 127015 and that of $\Gamma 31$ is 140049 and that of A31 is 26749359 . The value of R28 is 870960 and that of R32 is 160496154 .

Tables 20 and 21 give the Mykkeltveit method results for the $\operatorname{EQR}(191)$ code and the congruence of the number of codewords of weights 28 and 32 for
this code. The obtained weights enumerators $A(191)$ and $\mathrm{E}(191)$ are then successfully checked.

### 4.2 For the $\mathrm{QR}(199)$ code

The forms of $\mathrm{E}(199)$ and $\mathrm{A}(199)$ are given in Tables 22 and 23. From Tables 22 and 23, the list $\mathrm{R}(199)$ contains one unknown variable $R=\{R 32\}=\{X\}$. By the step (4.1), the value of $\Gamma 31$ is 40260 and that of A 31 is 8011740 . The value of R32 is 50073375 .

Table 22. The form of E (199)

```
E[32]=25*x
E[36]=21005534550-450*x
E[40]=6467522952660+1225*x
E[44]=1252975498471200+48800*x
E[48]=152872620852751800-824600*x
E[52]=12069364505468120400+7427600*x
E[56]=630615147670747950200-46927800*x
E}[60]=22215915779698502141280+227986400*x
E[64]=535999851662996527356550-892437300*x
E[68]=8973312175360724436541800+2896038600*x
E[72]=105388467829350995361897825-7941316500*x
E[76]=876310274663366548170765600+18652452000*
X
E[80]=5197894915757311013178267720-
37900941000*x
E[84]=22129281942550350836000132400+6711754200
0*x
E[88]=67949637583204730713462120200-
104150049000*x
E[92]=151037779970268049961942408800+142175052
000*x
E[96]=243659108313146247784654076100-
171190052250*x
E[100]=285720732951827690430040227204+18209200
0500*x
```

Table 23. The form of $\mathrm{A}(199)$

|  | A [31] $=4 *$ x |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{A}[32]=21$ * ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{A}[35]=3780996219-81 * \mathrm{x}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{A}[36]=17224538331-369 * x$ |
|  | $\mathrm{A}[39]=1293504590532+245 * \mathrm{x}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{A}[40]=5174018362128+980 * \mathrm{x}$ |
|  | A[43]=275654609663664+10736*x |
|  | A[44]=977320888807536+38064*x |
|  | $\mathrm{A}[47]=36689429004660432-197904 * x$ |
|  | A [48] $=116183191848091368-626696 * x$ |
|  | $\mathrm{A}[51]=3138034771421711304+1931176 * x$ |
|  | $\mathrm{A}[52]=8931329734046409096+5496424 * x$ |
|  | A[55]=176572241347809426056-13139784*x |
|  | $\mathrm{A}[56]=454042906322938524144-33788016 * x$ |
|  | $\mathrm{A}[59]=6664774733909550642384+68395920 * x$ |
|  | $\mathrm{A}[60]=15551141045788951498896+159590480 * x$ |
|  | $\mathrm{A}[63]=171519952532158888754096-285579936 *$ x |
|  | $\mathrm{A}[64]=364479899130837638602454-606857364 * \mathrm{x}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{A}[67]=3050926139622646308424212+984653124 * \mathrm{x}$ |

$\mathrm{A}[32]=21 * \mathrm{x}$
A [35]=3780996219-81*x
$\mathrm{A}[36]=17224538331-369 * x$
$\mathrm{A}[39]=1293504590532+245 * \mathrm{x}$
$\mathrm{A}[40]=5174018362128+980 * \mathrm{x}$
A[43]=275654609663664+10736*x
A[44]=977320888807536+38064*x
A $[47]=36689429004660432-197904 * x$
$\mathrm{A}[48]=116183191848091368-626696 * x$
$\mathrm{A}[51]=3138034771421711304+1931176 * x$
$\mathrm{A}[52]=8931329734046409096+5496424 * x$
$\mathrm{A}[55]=176572241347809426056-13139784 * \mathrm{x}$
$\mathrm{A}[56]=454042906322938524144-33788016 * \mathrm{x}$
$\mathrm{A}[59]=6664774733909550642384+68395920 * x$
$\mathrm{A}[60]=15551141045788951498896+159590480 * \mathrm{x}$
$\mathrm{A}[63]=171519952532158888754096-285579936{ }^{*} \mathrm{X}$
$\mathrm{A}[64]=364479899130837638602454-606857364 * \mathrm{x}$
$\mathrm{A}[67]=3050926139622646308424212+984653124 * \mathrm{x}$

```
A[68]=5922386035738078128117588+1911385476*x
A[71]=37939848418566358330283217-2858873940*x
A[72]=67448619410784637031614608-5082442560*x
A[75]=332997904372079288304890928+7087931760*
X
A[76]=543312370291287259865874672+11564520240
*x
A[79]=2079157966302924405271307088-
15160376400*x
A[80]=3118736949454386607906960632-
22740564600*x
A[83]=9294298415871147351120055608+2818936764
0*x
A[84]=12834983526679203484880076792+389281743
60*x
A[87]=29897840536610081513923332888-
45826021560*x
A[88]=38051797046594649199538787312-
58324027440*x
A[91]=69477378786323302982493508048+654005239
20*x
A[92]=81560401183944746979448900752+767745280
80*x
A[95]=116956371990310198936633956528-
82171225080*x
A[96]=126702736322836048848020119572-
89018827170*x
A[99]=142860366475913845215020113602+91046000
250*x
```

Table 24. Results of Hash_MIM_Weights_ Enumerators method for $\mathrm{EQR}(199)$

| O | Weight <br> w | Sizeof <br> (Half_Aut) | $\Gamma_{w-1}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{w - 1}}$ | $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{w}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 32 | 9851 | 40260 | 8011740 | $\begin{aligned} & 500733 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 25. The Mykkeltveit method results for $\mathrm{EQR}(199)$

| code |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{H 2}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{4}^{0}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{4}^{1}$ | $\mathbf{S 3}$ | $\mathbf{S 5}$ | S11 | $\mathbf{S 1 9 9}$ |
| k |  | 25 | 26 | 34 | 20 | 10 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{E}[32]$ | 2675 | 33 | 15 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 26. Congruence of the number of codewords of weight 32 in $\mathrm{EQR}(199)$

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\|\mathbf{G}\|$ | $\mathbf{w}$ | Ew | $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | mod | Check |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 199 | 3940200 | 32 | 50073375 | $\|\mathbf{G}\|$ | 2790975 | Ok |

Tables 25 and 26 give the Mykkeltveit method results for the $\mathrm{EQR}(199)$ code and the congruence of the number of codewords of weight 32 for this code. The obtained weights enumerators $\mathrm{A}(199)$ and $\mathrm{E}(199)$ are then successfully checked.

### 4.3 For the $\mathbf{Q R}(223)$ code

The forms of $\mathrm{E}(223)$ and $\mathrm{A}(223)$ are given in Tables 27 and 28. From Tables 27 and 28, the list $R(223)$ contains two unknown variables $R=\{R 32, R 36\}=\{X, Y\}$. By the step (4.1), the value of $\Gamma 31$ is 3219 and that of A31 is 717837 and the value of $\Gamma 35$ is 301365 and that of A35 is 67204395. The value of R32 is 5024859 and the value of R36 is 418160680.

Table 27. The form of $\mathrm{E}(223)$
E[32]=7*x
$\mathrm{E}[36]=56 * \mathrm{y}$
$\mathrm{E}[40]=246417930220+2884 * x-1232 * y$ $E[44]=80690107161664-47936 * x+7112 * y$
$\mathrm{E}[48]=17550496534206454-82670 * x+92064 * y$
$\mathrm{E}[52]=2504471683086108736+8145088 * x-2263800 * y$
E[56]=240078191252776863116-
$97417180 * x+24670128 * y$
$\mathrm{E}[60]=15763939270240573508096+645783040 * x-$
183186696*y
E[64]=720767184970029831323690-
2704246405*x+1038487296*y
$\mathrm{E}[68]=23271686084583894860833280+6325921280 * x-$ 4740925728*y
$\mathrm{E}[72]=536980260826595701652416880+3049738832 * \mathrm{x}$ +17984612800*y
$\mathrm{E}[76]=8945959934878559088858602240-$
104783015680*x-57879288544*y
$\mathrm{E}[80]=108548656056240810065913755400+561702053$ 976*x+160365374848*y
$\mathrm{E}[84]=966438422361296455257637094144-$
1999652402944*x-386702936928*y
$E[88]=6353270924418855788746208965424+55229306$ $24400 * x+818266002624 * y$
$\mathrm{E}[92]=31000218573269999868742423985664-$
12508919711232*x-1528972452000*y
$\mathrm{E}[96]=112755414265555964374737628832469+238756$ 60564350*x+2535090243840*y
$E[100]=306759943483298927727889868797440-$
$39008931601920 * x-3743402125680 * y$
$E[104]=625861933005349483774332446615016+55080$ 443818680*x+4936109381280*y
$\mathrm{E}[108]=959338593364805114215735730445696$ -
$67605850032000 * x-5822988919440 * y$
$\mathrm{E}[112]=1105989123247284833952831214328836+7236$ 0343810860*x+6151986456000*y

Table 28. The form of $\mathrm{A}(223)$
A[31]=x
$\mathrm{A}[32]=6 * x$
A[35]=9*y
$\mathrm{A}[36]=47 * \mathrm{y}$
$\mathrm{A}[39]=44003201825+515 * \mathrm{x}-220 * \mathrm{y}$
$\mathrm{A}[40]=202414728395+2369 * x-1012 * y$
$\mathrm{A}[43]=15849842478184-9416 * x+1397 * y$
$\mathrm{A}[44]=64840264683480-38520 * \mathrm{x}+5715 * \mathrm{y}$
$\mathrm{A}[47]=3760820685901383-17715 * \mathrm{x}+19728 * \mathrm{y}$

A[48]=13789675848305071-64955*x+72336*y
$\mathrm{A}[51]=581395212144989528+1890824 * \mathrm{x}-525525 * \mathrm{y}$
$\mathrm{A}[52]=1923076470941119208+6254264 * \mathrm{x}-1738275^{*} \mathrm{y}$
A[55]=60019547813194215779-
24354295*x+6167532*y
A[56]=180058643439582647337-
73062885*x+18502596*y
$\mathrm{A}[59]=4222483733100153618240+172977600 * x-$
49067865*y
$\mathbf{A}[60]=11541455537140419889856+472805440 * x-$
134118831*y
A 63$]=205933481420008523235340-$
772641830*x+296710656*y
A[64]=514833703550021308088350-
1931604575*x+741776640*y
$\mathrm{A}[67]=7064618989962968082752960+1920368960 *$ x1439209596*y
$\mathrm{A}[68]=16207067094620926778080320+4405552320 * x-$ 3301716132*y
$\mathrm{A}[71]=172600798122834332673991140+980273196 * \mathrm{x}+$ 5780768400*y
$\mathrm{A}[72]=364379462703761368978425740+2069465636 * x$
+12203844400 \%
$\mathrm{A}[75]=3035236406476653976577025760-$
35551380320*x-19637615756*y
$\mathrm{A}[76]=5910723528401905112281576480-$
69231635360*x-38241672788*y
$\mathrm{A}[79]=38767377162943146452112055500+2006078764$
$20^{*} \mathrm{x}+57273348160 * \mathrm{y}$
$\mathrm{A}[80]=69781278893297663613801699900+3610941775$
$56 * x+103092026688^{*} y$
$\mathrm{A}[83]=362414408385486170721613910304-$
749869651104*x-145013601348*y
$\mathrm{A}[84]=604024013975810284536023183840-$
1249782751840*x-241689335580*y
$\mathrm{A}[87]=2495927863164550488436010664988+21697227$ 45300*x+321461643888*y
$\mathrm{A}[88]=3857343061254305300310198300436+33532078$ 79100*x+496804358736*y
$\mathrm{A}[91]=12732232628307321374662066994112-$
5137592024256*x-627970828500*y
$\mathrm{A}[92]=18267985944962678494080356991552-$
7371327686976*x-901001623500*y
$\mathrm{A}[95]=48323748970952556160601840928201+1023242$ 5956150*x+1086467247360*y
$\mathrm{A}[96]=64431665294603408214135787904268+1364323$
4608200*x+1448622996480*y
$\mathrm{A}[99]=136946403340758449878522262856000-$
17414701608000*x-1671161663250*y
$\mathrm{A}[100]=169813540142540477849367605941440-$
21594229993920*x-2072240462430*y
$\mathrm{A}[103]=290578754609626546038082921642686+25573$
063201530*x+2291765069880*y
$\mathrm{A}[104]=335283178395722937736249524972330+29507$
380617150*x+2644344311400*y
$\mathrm{A}[107]=462538250372316751496872584322032-$
32595677694000*x-2807512514730*y
$\mathrm{A}[108]=496800342992488362718863146123664-$
$35010172338000 * x-3015476404710 * y$
$\mathrm{A}[111]=552994561623642416976415607164418+36180$

## $171905430 * x+3075993228000 * y$

Table 29. Results of Hash_MIM_Weights_Enumerators method for $\operatorname{EQR}(223)$

| OONN | Weig $h t w$ | Sizeof <br> (Half_Au <br> $t)$ | $\Gamma_{w-1}$ | $\boldsymbol{A}_{\text {w-I }}$ | $\boldsymbol{E}_{w}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 32 | 12377 | 3219 | 717837 | 5024859 |
|  | 36 | 12377 | $30136$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6720439 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4181606 \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 30. The Mykkeltveit method results for $\operatorname{EQR}$ (223)

| code |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 2 3}$ | H2 | $\mathrm{G}_{4}^{0}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{4}^{1}$ | $\mathbf{S 3}$ | $\mathbf{S 7}$ | $\mathbf{S 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{S 2 2 3}$ |
| k |  | 29 | 28 | 38 | 16 | 4 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{E}[32]$ | 539 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{E}[36]$ | 7448 | 50 | 0 | 481 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 31. Congruence of the number of codewords of weights 32 and 36 in $\operatorname{EQR}(223)$
$\left.\begin{array}{llllll}\hline \mathbf{n} & |\mathbf{G}| & \mathbf{w} & \mathbf{E w} & \begin{array}{l}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{w}} \\ |\mathbf{G}|\end{array} & \mathbf{m o d} \\ \hline 22 & 554467 & 32 & 5024859 & 5024859 & \text { Ok } \\ 3 & 2 & & 516068 \\ 22 & 554467 & 36 & \begin{array}{l}41816068 \\ 3\end{array} & 2 & 2\end{array}\right)$


Figure. 2 Study of the convergence of A3 algorithm for $\mathrm{QR}(191), \mathrm{QR}(199)$ and $\mathrm{QR}(223)$ for $\Gamma_{27}$ and $\Gamma_{31}$

Tables 30 and 31 give the Mykkeltveit method results for the $\mathrm{EQR}(223)$ code and the congruence of the number of codewords of weights 32 and 36 for this code. The obtained weights enumerators $\mathrm{A}(223)$ and $E(223)$ are then successfully checked.

The main advantage of the proposed method is its very low complexity comparing to known methods. All results found here are given by a simple configuration machine instead of the grid that contains about 1500 machines used in [20] for only the small length 137 .


Figure. 3 Study of the convergence of A3 algorithm for $\mathrm{QR}(223)$ and $\Gamma_{35}$

We note that during the computing of the three weights enumerators of $\mathrm{QR}(191), \mathrm{QR}(199)$ and $\mathrm{QR}(223)$ no codeword of incomplete order is found.

The stop criteria of the algorithm A3 isn't deterministic but when this algorithm seems converges to true value, the proposed check method permits to verify if the convergence is reached or not yet. For example, as it is explained in [7], for the $\mathrm{QR}(191)$ there exists an integer $\eta$ such that $\Gamma_{31}=3040 * \eta+209$.

When A3 converges to the value $\Gamma 31=140049$ it means that $\eta=46$. In order to show the convergence of the algorithm A3 for the $\mathrm{QR}(191), \mathrm{QR}(199)$ and QR(223) codes, we plot in Fig. 2 and 3 the value of Gamma versus number of iterations. These figures show clearly the convergence of A3 for these three codes. We note that the compute of $\Gamma_{35}$ in $\mathrm{QR}(223)$ by A3 has required more number of iterations before its convergence.

## 5. Advantages and strengths of the proposed method Hash_MIM_Weights_ Enumerators

The main succes of the proposed method is that it is succesfully used for enumerating three Quadratic Residue codes that they aren't enumerables despite all developped methods in this field.

The first main advantage is that without hash techniques, the latest step in the old method [7] is very complex and it is very difficult to find the complete list $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{w}}$ of codewords of a given weight w because it was necessary to verify if a new codeword isn't in $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{w}}$ before inserting it in $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{w}}$ when the size of this latest increases. For solving this problem, the authors of [7] have proposed to use a statistical Monte Carlo method to estimate the value of the size $A_{w}$ of $L_{w}$. This statistical way allows finding an estimation of $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{w}}$ when it is relatively
small. When $A_{w}$ increases, a list $S_{w}$ of codewords of weight $w$, which they found by applying the automorphism group on an initial list $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{w}}$ obtained by a genetic algorithm, is used to estimate the size of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{w}}$ as a set, i.e. without repetition of any codeword. So, the old method uses an estimation based on other estimation and the use of the automorphism group generates a set of samples used in the Monte Carlo method that aren't uniformly distributed. These problems have a direct impact on the quality of the estimated values of $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{w}}$ and they justified the partial differences between the results obtained in [7] and those obtained in this work. For the QR(191) code the values of A27 is 127015 both in [7] and in this work, but the value of A31 is 26749359 here and it is estimated by 19677165 in [7]. For the $\mathrm{QR}(199)$ code the value of A 31 is 8011740 here but it is estimated by 6755539 in [7].

The second main advantage is that in [7] a genetic algorithm is used to catch codewords of a given weight, but here it is replaced by the powerful faster Multiple Impulse Method.

The third main advantage is that this new proposed method requires finding only the list $\Gamma_{4 j-1}$ of codewords of weight $4 \mathrm{j}-1$ in the $\mathrm{QR}(\mathrm{n})$ code without cyclic copies. This improvement allows reducing considerably both the used space memory and the run time.

The spatial and temporal complexity is divided by the length of the code. The temporal complexity is much reduced due to the hash technique used to verify the non existence of a codeword before adding it and also due to the no use of the cyclic copies.

The fourth main advantage is that the congruence of the number of codewords for a given weight firstly proposed by Mykkeltveit is used here to check the obtained result and to verify if the new proposed method completely converges to the exact values, but it is used in the old method to estimate the most likelihood values of the weights enumerators.

## 6. Conclusion and perspectives

In this paper we have presented a new efficient method for finding the weights enumerators for binary quadratic residue codes having lengths of in the form $8 \mathrm{~m}-1$. These codes are very old but they are very important; they were discovered by Prange in 1957. For the lengths 191, 199 and 223 the weights enumerators are remained unknowns in this long period of 60 years because they present a very hard and difficult problem. In consequence of the new results found in this paper, the analytical
performances of these three codes are now available. The very important particular property of these three codes is that they are the best known linear block codes until now in terms of the minimum distances that they offer. In the perspectives we have to apply our method on QR Codes with higher lengths and also for other linear block codes like BCH and LDPC codes.
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[^0]:    E[20]=32*x
    $\mathrm{E}[24]=13228320-192 * \mathrm{x}$
    $\mathrm{E}[28]=2940970496-2848 * x$
    $\mathrm{E}[32]=320411086380+48000 * \mathrm{x}$
    $\mathrm{E}[36]=18072021808640-349600 * \mathrm{x}$
    $\mathrm{E}[40]=552523816524960+1637952 * \mathrm{x}$
    $\mathrm{E}[44]=9491115264030720-5550432 * x$
    $\mathrm{E}[48]=94116072808107840+14387712 * \mathrm{x}$
    $\mathrm{E}[52]=549827773219608576-29457600 * \mathrm{x}$
    $\mathrm{E}[56]=1920594735166941760+48579200 * x$
    $\mathrm{E}[60]=4051982995220321280-65302848 * x$
    $\mathrm{E}[64]=5193576851944293670+72021248 * \mathrm{x}$

[^1]:    $\mathrm{A}[0]=1$
    A[19]=8890
    $\mathrm{A}[20]=48006$
    A[23]=2416302
    A[24]=10470642
    A[27]=642229602
    $\mathrm{A}[28]=2293677150$
    A[31]=80124107595
    $\mathrm{A}[32]=240372322785$
    $\mathrm{A}[35]=5082581311830$
    $\mathrm{A}[36]=12988818908010$
    A[39]=172664602751130
    A[40]=379862126052486
    A[43]=3262567479655902
    $\mathrm{A}[44]=6228537915706722$
    $\mathrm{A}[47]=35293536896047416$
    $\mathrm{A}[48]=58822561493412360$
    A[51]=223367511592873280
    A[52]=326460209251122496
    A[55]=840260234424082176
    A[56]=1080334587116677120
    $A[59]=1899366974583683328$
    $\mathrm{A}[60]=2152615904528174336$
    $\mathrm{A}[63]=2596788489999036416$

[^2]:    $\mathrm{E}[28]=48 * \mathrm{x}$
    $E[32]=6 * y$
    $\mathrm{E}[36]=69065734464+11568 * x-192 * y$
    $\mathrm{E}[40]=16681003659936-387072 * \mathrm{x}+2976 * \mathrm{y}$
    $\mathrm{E}[44]=2638181865286080+4662144 * \mathrm{x}-29760 * \mathrm{y}$
    $\mathrm{E}[48]=260118707412159120-30019584 * x+215760 * y$
    $\mathrm{E}[52]=16506204128755716672+102079872 * x-$
    1208256*y
    E[56]=688919563458768198624-7108608*x +5437152 \%
    $\mathrm{E}[60]=19261567021963529559744-2055291840 * x-$

