International Journal of Mathematics and Soft Computing Vol.4, No.2 (2014), 95 - 104.



Topological properties of Sierpiński Gasket Rhombus graphs

D. Antony Xavier, M. Rosary, Andrew Arokiaraj Department of Mathematics, Loyola College, Chennai, INDIA. E-mail: roserosary19@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, we propose a new graph namely *Sierpiński Gasket Rhombus* SR_n based on triangular graph like *Sierpiński Gasket graph*. *Sierpiński Gasket Rhombus* SR_n is obtained by identifying two copies of *Sierpiński Gasket graph* along their side edges. Further we study some basic properties of *Sierpiński Gasket Rhombus* SR_n and find hamiltonicity, pancyclicity and chromatic number of SR_n .

Keywords: Sierpiński Gasket Rhombus graph, chromatic number, hamiltonicity, pancyclicity. **AMS Subject Classification(2010):** 05C15, 05C45.

1 Introduction

Sierpiński Gasket graphs are strongly related to the well known fractal called the Sierpiński Gasket. Sierpiński Gasket graphs appear in different areas of graph theory, topology, probability [2, 4], pscychology [5]. Sierpiński Gasket graphs S_n are the graphs naturally defined by the finite number of iterations. Grundy, Scorer and Smith introduced this connection in [10]. Teguia and Godbole [11] studied several properties of these graphs, in particular the chromatic number, the domination number and the pebbling number. S_n is uniquely 3–colorable and the chromatic index of S_n is 4 [9]. S_n contains 1–perfect code only for n = 1 and n = 3. The distribution of Euclidean and geodesic distances on the Sierpiński Gasket were studied by Band and Mubarak [1]. Total chromatic number of S_n is studied in [3]. The graph presented in this paper are stimulated from the fractal structure given by Marcelo Epstein and Samer M. Adeeb [6]. The graph is obtained by identifying two copies of Sierpiński Gasket graphs along their side edges leading to Rhombus like structure. Hamiltonicity is one of the most important, areas of graph theory. Several papers have been published seeking more sufficient conditions for a graph to contain a Hamilton cycle. In this paper, we establish some basic properties, chromatic number, quotient labeling, hamiltonicity and pancyclicity of Sierpiński Gasket Rhombus SR_n.

Definition 1.1. A Path – Sum is a way of combining two graphs by gluing them together along a path. If two graphs G and H, each contains a path of equal length, the Path – Sum of G and H is formed from their disjoint union by identifying pair of vertices in these two paths to form a single shared path.



Figure 1: Path – Sum of two graphs.

The graphs are formed by taking the Path - Sum of two triangular graphs, where the path along one of their sides is considered.



Figure 2: Path – Sum of two triangular graphs.

Definition 1.2. A Sierpiński Gasket Rhombus of level n [denoted by SR_n], is obtained by identifying the edges in two Sierpiński Gasket graphs S_n along one of their sides.



Figure 3: Sierpiński Gasket Rhombus, SR₃ and SR₅.

2 Sierpiński Gasket Rhombus SR_n

Sierpiński Gasket Rhombus SR_n consists of two copies of Sierpiński Gasket S_n . The four corner vertices of SR_n is denoted by $SR_{n,T,T}$, $SR_{n,R,R}$, $SR_{n,B,B}$ and $SR_{n,L,L}$. SR_n can be decomposed into four parts: The top Sierpiński Gasket triangle of level n-1 [denoted by $(S_{n,T})$], the left Sierpiński

Gasket Rhombus of level n-1 [denoted by $(SR_{n,L})$], the right *Sierpiński Gasket Rhombus* of level n-1 [denoted by $(SR_{n,R})$] and the bottom *Sierpiński Gasket triangle* of level n-1 [denoted by $(S_{n,B})$].



Figure 4: Sierpiński Gasket Rhombus SR_n.

Theorem 2.1. Sierpiński Gasket Rhombus SR_n has $3^n - 2^{n-1} + 2$ vertices and $2 \cdot 3^n - 2^{n-1}$ edges.

Proof: To construct, SR_{n+1} from SR_n , we add $2 \cdot 3^n$ points and eliminate 2^{n-1} points.

$$V_{n+1}| = |V_n| + 2 \cdot 3^n - 2^{n-1}$$

= |V_1| + 2 \sum 3^i - \sum 2^j
= 4 + 2[\frac{3}{2}(3^n - 1)] - (2^n - 1)
= 3^{n+1} - 2^n + 2

as asserted. The number of edges in SR_n may now be easily determined using the fact that the sum of the vertex degrees equals twice the number of edges:

$$\begin{split} |E_n| &= \frac{1}{2} \sum \deg \left(v_j \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [2 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 2 + 6(2^{n-1} - 1) + 4(3^n - 2^n - 1)] \\ &= 2 \cdot 3^n - 2^{n-1} \end{split}$$

completing the proof.

Properties:

From the construction of SR_n , we observe the following,

1. The vertex connectivity is 2 and the edge connectivity is also 2.

2. SR_n is not Eulerian, since it has odd degree vertices.

3. Edge disjoint cycle cover does not exist for SR_n , since it has odd degree.

In order to improve or increase the efficiency of message transmission we need to minimize diameter of the graph. The distance between two vertices x, y in a connected graph G is the length of the shortest path (x, y) – path and is denoted by d(x, y). The diameter d(G) of a connected graph G is defined as $max \{d(x,y):x,y \in V(G)\}$ [7].

Theorem 2.2. The diameter of *Sierpiński Gasket Rhombus* SR_n is 2^n , for $n \ge 1$.

Quotient labeling of SR_n is defined as the graph with four special vertices (1...1), (2...2), (3...3)and (4...4) called the extreme vertices of SR_n , together with vertices of the form $(u_0, u_1, ..., u_r)\{i, j\}$, $1 \le r \le n-2, i < j$ where all $u'_k s$, i and j are from $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $(u_0, u_1, ..., u_r)$ is called the prefix of $(u_0, ..., u_r)\{i, j\}$. (See Figure 5)



Figure 5: Quotient Labeling of SR_4 .

A k-vertex coloring of G is an assignment of k colors to the vertices of G. The coloring is proper if no two distinct adjacent vertices have the same color. G is k-vertex colorable if G has a proper k-vertex coloring abbreviated as k-colorable. The chromatic number, $\chi(G)$ of G is the minimum k for which G is k-colorable. If $\chi(G) = k$, then G is said to be k-chromatic.

Theorem 2.3. The chromatic number of SR_n is 3, for all $n \ge 1$.

Proof: Clearly, $\chi(SR_1) = 3$. Suppose, $\chi(SR_{n-1}) = 3$. The upper half of SR_n can be colored with exactly three colors. The remaining vertices in lower half of SR_n , are colored as follows: The vertex (4...4) will have the same color as (1...1). Other vertices of the lower half of SR_n will be of the form, (u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_r) $\{i, j\}, 0 \le r \le n - 2, i < j$, where

 $\begin{array}{ll} (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_r, i, j) \in \{2, 3, 4\} & \cdots & (\mathrm{i})\\ \text{Replacing the digit "4" by "1" we get, } (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_r) \; \{i, j\}, \; 0 \leq r \leq n-2, \; i < j \; \text{where} \\ (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_r, i, j) \in \{1, 2, 3\} & \cdots & (\mathrm{ii}) \end{array}$

Now the remaining vertices in (i) should take up the same color as in (ii). For instance, replace 4 by 1 in vertex $4\{4,2\}$ we get the vertex $1\{1,2\}$.

Therefore, $4\{4,2\}$ will have the same color as $1\{1,2\}$.

A path that contains every vertex of G is called a hamiltonian path. A cycle that contains every vertex of G is called a Hamiltonian cycle. G is said to be Hamiltonian if it contains a Hamiltonian cycle. G is Hamiltonian – connected, if a Hamiltonian path exists between every pair of vertices in G [8].

Lemma 2.4. SR_n has two Hamiltonian paths between the top most vertex $SR_{n,T,T}$ to the bottom most vertex $SR_{n,B,B}$. Also, there exists Hamiltonian paths from the top/bottom most vertex $SR_{n,T,T}/SR_{n,B,B}$ to the left/right most vertex $SR_{n,L,L}/SR_{n,R,R}$.

Proof: The lemma is true for SR_1 in both the cases (that is, from $SR_{n,T,T}$ to $SR_{n,B,B}$ and from $SR_{n,T,T}/SR_{n,B,B}$ to $SR_{n,L,L}/SR_{n,R,R}$).



Figure 6: Hamiltonian paths in Sierpiński Gasket Rhombus, SR₁.

Suppose it is true for SR_n . Consider SR_{n+1} , which consists of $S_{n,T}$, $SR_{n,L}$, $SR_{n,R}$ and $S_{n,B}$ as mentioned in Section 2.

Case (i): Consider a Hamiltonian path of $S_{n,T}$ moving from the top vertex of $S_{n,T}$ to the top vertex of $SR_{n,L}$. Followed by the Hamiltonian path (guaranteed by the induction hypothesis) we move from the top vertex of $SR_{n,L}$ to the right vertex $SR_{n,L,R}$. Followed by the Hamiltonian path (guaranteed by the induction hypothesis) we move from that vertex to the bottom vertex $SR_{n,B,R}$ of $SR_{n,R}$, but with a critical modification, by avoiding the vertex $SR_{n,T,R}$ of $SR_{n,R}$. Finally, we consider the hamiltonian path of $S_{n,B}$ starting at its right vertex $SR_{n,B,R}$ and ending at its bottom vertex $SR_{n,B,B}$ (with a critical modification, by avoiding the left vertex $SR_{n,B,L}$) of $S_{n,B}$. Thus, we have constructed a Hamiltonian path of SR_{n+1} from its top most vertex $SR_{n,T,T}$ to its bottom most vertex $SR_{n,B,B}$.



Figure 7: Hamiltonian path from $SR_{n,T,T}$ to $SR_{n,B,B}$.

By considering the vertical reflection of the path obtained in Case (i), we get another Hamiltonian path from the top vertex of SR_{n+1} to the bottom vertex.

Case (ii): Consider a Hamiltonian path of $S_{n,T}$ moving from the top vertex of $S_{n,T}$ to the top vertex of $SR_{n,L}$. Followed by the Hamiltonian path (guaranteed by the induction hypothesis) we move from that vertex to the bottom vertex of $SR_{n,L}$. Followed by the Hamiltonian path (guaranteed by the induction hypothesis) we move from the vertex $SR_{n,B,L}$ to the right vertex $SR_{n,B,R}$ of $S_{n,B}$. Finally, we take the hamiltonian path of $SR_{n,R}$ starting at the vertex $SR_{n,B,R}$ and ending at the vertex $SR_{n,R,R}$ but with

a critical modification, by avoiding the vertices $SR_{n,L,R}$ and $SR_{n,T,R}$ of $SR_{n,R}$. In this method, we have constructed a Hamiltonian path of SR_{n+1} from its top most vertex $SR_{n,T,T}$ to its right most vertex $SR_{n,R,R}$.



Figure 8: Hamiltonian path from $SR_{n,T,T}$ to $SR_{n,R,R}$.

By similar argument, we can obtain the Hamiltonian paths

- from $SR_{n,T,T}$ to $SR_{n,L,L}$,
- from $SR_{n,L,L}$ to $SR_{n,B,B}$ and
- from $SR_{n,R,R}$ to $SR_{n,B,B}$.



100



Figure 9: Hamiltonian paths in *Sierpiński Gasket Rhombus*, SR_3 and SR_4 .

Theorem 2.5. SR_n is Hamiltonian for each n.

Proof: We take the Hamiltonian path of $S_{n+1,T}$ that moves from $SR_{n+1,T,L}$ to $SR_{n+1,T,R}$. Next, we take the Hamiltonian path of $SR_{n+1,R}$ that moves from $SR_{n+1,T,R}$ to $SR_{n+1,B,R}$. Next, we take the Hamiltonian path of $S_{n+1,B}$ that moves from $SR_{n+1,B,R}$ to $SR_{n+1,B,L}$. And finally, we take the Hamiltonian path of $SR_{n+1,L}$ that moves from $SR_{n+1,B,L}$ to $SR_{n+1,T,L}$. This gives the Hamiltonian cycle for SR_n .



Figure 10: Hamiltonian cycle of SR_n .

Lemma 2.6. [11] Each Hamiltonian path of S_n say, from $S_{n,L,L}$ to $S_{n,R,R}$, can be sequentially reduced in length by one at each step, while maintaining the starting and ending vertices, with the process ending in a path from $S_{n,L,L}$ to $S_{n,R,R}$ along the base of S_n .



Figure 11: Hamiltonian path of S_1 .

Lemma 2.7. The Hamiltonian path of SR_n as defined in Lemma 2.4, from $SR_{n,T,T}$ to $SR_{n,B,B}$ and $SR_{n,T,T}$ to $SR_{n,L,L}$ can be sequentially reduced in length by one at each step, while maintaining the starting and ending vertices, with the process ending in a path from $S_{n,T,T}$ to $S_{n,B,B}$ and $S_{n,T,T}$ to $S_{n,L,L}$ along the left side of SR_n .

Proof: We prove this lemma by induction. We note that the result is clearly true for n = 1. Assume that the result is true for SR_{n-1} . Now we prove the result for SR_n .

Case(i): There exists a hamiltonian path from $SR_{n,T,T}$ to $SR_{n,B,B}$ via $SR_{n,T,R}$, $SR_{n,L,R}$ and $SR_{n,B,L}$. The four hamiltonian paths in $S_{n,T}$, $SR_{n,R}$, $SR_{n,L}$ and $S_{n,B}$ are reduced to paths along their sides by using induction and Lemma 2.6, resulting to a path as in Figure 12(b). We modify the reduced path $SR_{n,T,T} \rightarrow SR_{n,T,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,B,L} \rightarrow SR_{n,B,B}$ as follows to achieve the further required reduction: (i) In Figure 12(b), $SR_{n,T,T} \rightarrow SR_{n,T,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,R}$ of length 2s (where s is the length of side in S_n) is replaced by the path, $SR_{n,T,T} \rightarrow SR_{n,T,L} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,R}$ is also of length 2s (See Figure 12(c)). (ii) In Figure 12(c), $SR_{n,T,L} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,B,L}$ of length 2s is replaced by the path $SR_{n,T,L} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,L} \rightarrow SR_{n,B,L}$ is also of length 2s (See Figure 12(d)). This yields the required path from $SR_{n,T,T}$ to $SR_{n,B,B}$ of length 4s.



Case (ii): There exists a hamiltonian path from $SR_{n,T,T}$ to $SR_{n,L,L}$ via $SR_{n,T,R}$, $SR_{n,B,R}$ and $SR_{n,B,L}$. The four hamiltonian paths in $S_{n,T}$, $SR_{n,R}$, $SR_{n,L}$ and $S_{n,B}$ are reduced to paths along their sides by using induction and Lemma 2.6 resulting to a path as in Figure 13(b). We modify the reduced path $SR_{n,T,T} \rightarrow SR_{n,T,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,R,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,B,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,B,L} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,L}$ as follows to achieve the further required reduction: (i) In Figure 13(b), the path $SR_{n,R,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,B,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,B,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,B,R}$ of length 2s is replaced by the path $SR_{n,R,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,B,L}$ is also of length 2s (See Figure 13(c)). (ii) In Figure 13(c), the path $SR_{n,L,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,B,L} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,R}$ of length 2s is reduced using Lemma 2.6 to the path $SR_{n,L,L} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,R}$ of length 2s (See Figure 13(d)). Also the path $SR_{n,T,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,R,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,R,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,R}$ of length 2s is reduced using Lemma 2.6 to the path $SR_{n,T,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,R}$ of length 2s is reduced using Lemma 2.6 to the path $SR_{n,T,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,R}$ of length s (See Figure 13(d)). (iii) In Figure 13(d), the path $SR_{n,T,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,L}$ of length 2s is replaced by the path $SR_{n,T,L} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,L}$ is also of length 2s. (iv) In Figure 13(e), the path $SR_{n,T,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,L}$ of length 2s is replaced using Lemma 2.6 to the path $SR_{n,T,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,R}$ of length 2s is replaced using Lemma 2.6 to the path $SR_{n,T,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,R}$ of length 2s is replaced using Lemma 2.6 to the path $SR_{n,T,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,R}$ of length 2s is replaced using Lemma 2.6 to the path $SR_{n,T,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,R}$ of length 2s is replaced using Lemma 2.6 to the path $SR_{n,T,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,R}$ of length 2s is replaced using Lemma 2.6 by the path $SR_{n,T,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,T,R}$ of length 2s is replaced using Lemma 2.6 by the path $SR_{n,T,L} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,L}$ of length 2s is reduced using Lemma 2.6 by the path $SR_{n,T,L} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,L}$ of length 2s is reduced using Lemma 2.6 by the path $SR_{n,T,L} \rightarrow SR_{n,L,L}$ of length 2s is reduced usi Topological properties of Sierpiński Gasket Rhombus graphs



Theorem 2.8. SR_n is pancyclic for each n.

Proof: The proof is by induction. Assume that the result is true for all $m \le n$. The Hamiltonian cycle $SR_{n,T,L} \rightarrow SR_{n,T,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,B,R} \rightarrow SR_{n,B,L} \rightarrow SR_{n,T,L}$ of SR_n consists of four Hamiltonian paths in $S_{n,T}$, $SR_{n,R}$, $S_{n,B}$ and $SR_{n,L}$ respectively. By Lemma 2.6, applied to $S_{n,T}$ and $S_{n,B}$; also by Lemma 2.7, applied to $SR_{n,R}$ and $SR_{n,L}$, we reduce these as necessary to get cycles of all sizes $\geq 6s$ [See Figure 14]. Cycles of smaller sizes are obtained by invoking the induction hypothesis on SR_{n-1} , noting that $|SR_{n-1}| \ge 6s$.



Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced Sierpiński Gasket Rhombus graph based on Sierpiński Gasket graph. The chromatic number, hamiltonicity and pancyclicity of SR_n are discussed. Further determining other graph theory problems of Sierpiński Gasket Rhombus SR_n are under investigation.

Acknowledgement

This work is supported by Maulana Azad National Fellowship F1-17.1/2013-14/MANF-2013-14 -CHR-TAM-29053 of the University Grants Commission, New Delhi, India.

References

- C. Bandt, M. Mubarak, Distribution of distances and interior distances for certain self-similar [1] measures, Arab. J. Sci. Eng; 29, Number 2C(2004).
- A.M. Hinz and A. Schief, The average distance on the Sierpiński gasket, Probab. Theory Related [2] Fields 87(1990), 129–138.

- [3] M. Jakovac and S. Klavzar, *Vertex-, edge-, and total- colorings of Sierpiński-like graphs*, Discrete Mathematics, 309(6)(2009), 1548–1556.
- [4] V. A. Kaimanovich, *Random walks on Sierpiński graphs: hyperbolicity and stochastic homogenization*, Fractals in Graz (2001), 145–183, Trends Math., Birkhäuser, Basel, (2003).
- [5] F. Klix and K. Rautenstrauch–Goede, *Struktur– and Komponenten–analyse von Problemlösungsprozersen*, Z. Psychol. 174(1967), 167–193.
- [6] Marcelo Epstein, Samer M. Adeeb, *The stiffness of self-similar fractals*, International Journal of Solids and Structures 45(2008), 3238–3254.
- [7] Paul Manuel, Indra Rajasingh, Bharathi Rajan and Prabha R, *Augumented Butterfly Network*, Journal of Combinatorics, Information and System Sciences Vol. 33 Nos. 1–2(2008), 27–35.
- [8] S. Razavi and H. S. Azad, *The Triangle–Pyramid: Routing and topological properties*, Information Sciences: an International Journal, 180(2010), 2328–2339.
- [9] Sandi Klavzar, *Coloring Sierpiński graphs and Sierpiński gasket graphs*, Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics, 12(2)(2008), 513–522.
- [10] R. S. Scorer, P. M. Grundy and C. A. B. Smith, *Some binary games*, Math. Gaz. 28(1944), 96–103.
- [11] A.M. Teguia and A.P. Godbole, *Sierpiński gasket graphs and some of their properties*, Australas.
 J. Combin, 35(2006), 181–192.