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#### Abstract

In this paper the extremal graphs on the domination number of the distance $k$-complement graph $G_{k}^{c}$ of a graph $G$ are characterized and the relation between the domination number of $G_{k}^{c}$ and the distance $k$-domination number of $G$ are established.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a simple graph of order $p$ and size $q$. The distance $d_{G}(u, v)$ or $d(u, v)$ between two veritecs $u$ and $v$ in $G$ is the length of a shortest path joining $u$ and $v$ in the graph $G$. For $u \in V(G)$, let $N(u)=\{v \in V(G): u v \in E(G)\}$ and $d(u)=|N(u)|$. The eccentricity of a vertex $u$ is given by $e(u)=\max \{d(u, v): v \in V(G)\}$. The radius and diameter of of the graph $G$ are given by $\operatorname{rad}(G)=\min \{e(u): u \in V(G)\}$ and $\operatorname{diam}(G)=\max \{e(u): u \in V(G)\}$ respectively. A vertex with eccentricity equal to $\operatorname{rad}(G)$ is called central vertex. A vertex $v$ is called an eccentric vertex of some vertex $u$ in $G$ if $d_{G}(u, v)=e(u)$. The set of all eccentric vertices of a vertex $u$ is denoted by $C(u)$. The corona $H^{+}$of a graph $H$ is a graph obtained from $H$ by adding new vertex $u^{\prime}$ corresponding to each vertex $u$ in $H$ and by joining $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ by an edge. The complement graph $\bar{G}$ is a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and two vertices are adjacent in $\bar{G}$ if and only if $d_{G}(u, v)>1$. The antipodal graph $A(G)$ of a graph $G$ is a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and two vertices $u$ and $v$ are adjacent in $A(G)$ if and only if $d_{G}(u, v)=\operatorname{diam}(G)$. In [3] the authors introduced the concept of distance $k$-complement graph, a natural generalization of the complement graph and hence the antipodal graph and in this paper the extremal graphs on the domination number of the distance $k$-complement graph $G_{k}^{c}$ of a graph $G$ are characterized and the relation between the domination number of $G_{k}^{c}$ and the distance $k$-domination number of $G$ are established.

Definition 1.1. For an integer $k \geq 0$, the distance k-complement graph, denoted by $G_{k}^{c}$, of a graph $G=(V, E)$ is a graph whose vertex set is $V(G)$ and two vertices are adjacent in $G_{k}^{c}$ if and only if $d_{G}(u, v)>k$. That is, the edge set is $\left\{u v: d_{G}(u, v)>k\right\}$.

Definition 1.2. For $k \geq 1$, a graph $G$ is said to be a distance $k$-complete graph if $\operatorname{diam}(G) \leq k$.
Theorem 1.3. [3] Let $G$ be a graph. Then $k \leq \operatorname{rad}(G)-1$ if and only if $G_{k}^{c}$ has no isolated vertices.

## 2 Domination in $G_{k}^{c}$

Definition 2.1. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph. A subset $D$ of $V$ is said to be a dominating set of $G$ if every vertex in $V-D$ is adjacent to some vertex in $D$. The minimum cardinality of a dominating set is called the domination number of $G$ and is denoted by $\gamma(G)$.

Definition 2.2. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph and $k \geq 1$ be an integer. A subset $D$ of $V$ is said to be a distance $k$-dominating set of $G$ if for every vertex $u$ in $V-D$, there is some vertex $v$ in $D$ such that $d_{G}(u, v) \leq k$. The minimum cardinality of a distance $k$-dominating set is called the distance $k$-domination number of $G$ and is denoted by $\gamma_{k}(G)$.

Definition 2.3. A partition $V_{1}, V_{2}, \cdots, V_{l}$ of $V(G)$ of a graph $G$ is said to be a domatic partition of $G$ if each $V_{i}$ is a dominating set of $G$. The maximum size of a such partition is called the domatic number of $G$ and is denoted by $d(G)$.

By using the following theorem, we characterize the extremal graphs attaining the upper bound on the domination number of the distance $k$-complement graph.

Theorem 2.4. [2] For a graph $G$ with even order $p$ and no isolated vertices, $\gamma(G)=\frac{p}{2}$ if and only if the components of $G$ are the cycle $C_{4}$ or the corona $H^{+}$for any connected graph $H$.

Proposition 2.5. A graph $G$ has an isolated vertex if and only if $\gamma\left(G_{k}^{c}\right)=1$.
Proposition 2.6. Let $G$ be a graph of order $p$. If $k \leq \operatorname{rad}(G)-1$, then $\gamma\left(G_{k}^{c}\right) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{p}{2}\right\rfloor$.
Proof: This follows from Theorem1.3 and the classical Ore's Theorem [2] on the bound on domination number of a graph.

Theorem 2.7. Let $G=(V, E)$ be graph and $k \leq \operatorname{rad}(G)-1$ be an integer. Then the components of $G_{k}^{c}$ are the cycle $C_{4}$ or the corona $H^{+}$for some connected graph $H$ if and only if there exists a partition $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ of $V(G)$ such that $\left|V_{1}\right|=\left|V_{2}\right|$ and at least one of $V_{1}, V_{2}$, say $V_{1}$ has the following property: Every vertex $u$ in $V_{1}$ has either the unique eccentric vertex $x$ in $V_{2}$ or has two eccentric vertices $x_{1}, x_{2}$ in $V_{2}$ with $c\left(x_{1}\right)=c\left(x_{2}\right)=\{u, v\}$ and $c(u)=c(v)=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ for some vertex $v$ in $V_{1}$ and $\operatorname{rad}(G)=k+1$.

Proof: Let the components of $G_{k}^{c}$ be the cycle $C_{4}$ or the corona $H^{+}$for some connected graph $H$. Let $V_{1}$ be the set of all pendant vertices of $H^{+}$if $H \neq K_{1}$, one pendant vertex of $K_{1}^{+}$and the vertices in one partite set of $C_{4}$ and let $V_{2}=V(G)-V_{1}$. Let $u \in V_{1}$. If $u$ is a pendant vertex of some $H^{+}$and $x$ is its support vertex, then $x$ is the unique eccentric vertex of $u$ in $G$ and $k=\operatorname{rad}(G)-1$. Let $d(u)=2$ in $G_{k}^{c}$. Then there exist two vertices $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ such that $d\left(u, x_{1}\right) \geq k+1$ and $d\left(u, x_{2}\right) \geq k+1$. Since $d(u)=2$ in $G_{k}^{c}, d\left(u, x_{1}\right) \leq k+2$ and $d\left(u, x_{2}\right) \leq k+2$. If $d\left(u, x_{1}\right)=k+2$ and $d\left(u, x_{2}\right)=k+2$, then there exists a vertex $y$ such that $d_{G}(u, y)=k+1$. Then $d(u) \geq 3$ in $G_{k}^{c}$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, we have $d\left(u, x_{1}\right)=k+1$ and $d\left(u, x_{2}\right) \leq k+2$.

Suppose that $d\left(u, x_{2}\right)=k+2$. If there exists a shortest $u-x_{2}$ path not containing $x_{1}$ in $G$, then there exists a vertex $y \neq x_{1}$ on the $u-x_{2}$ path such that $d_{G}(u, y)=k+1$. Thus, $d(u) \geq 3$ in $G_{k}^{c}$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $x_{1}$ is on every shortest $u-x_{2}$ path in $G$. Let $y$ be a vertex on a
shortest $u-x_{2}$ path such that $d_{G}(u, y)=k$. Since $G_{k}^{c}$ has no isolates, there exists a vertex $z$ such that $d_{G}(y, z)=k+1$. If $w \in N\left(x_{2}\right)$ such that $w$ lies on a shortest $x_{2}-z$ path, then $d_{G}(u, w) \geq k+1$, since $d_{G}\left(u, x_{2}\right)=k+2$. If $x_{1} \neq w$, then $d(u) \geq 3$ in $G_{k}^{c}$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $w=x_{1}$. If $d_{G}\left(z, x_{1}\right) \leq k-1$, then the path containing the $z-x_{1}$ path followed by the edge $x_{1} y$ is of length at most $k$ which is a contradiction to $d_{G}(y, z)=k+1$. Thus, $d_{G}\left(z, x_{1}\right) \geq k$ and hence $d_{G}\left(z, x_{2}\right) \geq k+1$, since $x_{1}$ lies on every $z-x_{2}$ shortest path. Let $u_{1}$ be a vertex on the $u-x_{2}$ path such that $d_{G}\left(u_{1}, x_{2}\right)=k+1$. Since $d_{G}(u, y)=k$ and $d_{G}(z, y)=k+1, z \neq u_{1}$ and $z \neq u_{1}$. Therefore, $d\left(x_{2}\right) \geq 3$ in $G_{k}^{c}$ which is a contradiction to $x_{2}$ lies on $C_{4}$. Thus, $d\left(u, x_{1}\right)=k+1$ and $d\left(u, x_{2}\right)=k+1$. Hence $c(u)=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$. If $v$ is the other vertex of $C_{4}$, then $c(v)=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$. Hence $c\left(x_{1}\right)=c\left(x_{2}\right)=\{u, v\}$.

Conversely, let $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ be the partition of $V(G)$ having the given property and $k=\operatorname{rad}(G)-1$. Then the vertices $u, v, x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ induce the cycles $C_{4}$ in $G_{k}^{c}$. If $u$ has the unique eccentric vertex $x$ in $G$, then $u$ is the pendant vertex and $x$ is its support vertex in $G_{k}^{c}$. Thus, the components of $G_{k}^{c}$ are the cycle $C_{4}$ or the corona $H^{+}$for some connected graph $H$.

Corollary 2.8. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph of order $p$ and $k \leq \operatorname{rad}(G)-1$. Then $\gamma\left(G_{k}^{c}\right)=\frac{p}{2}$ if and only if there exists a partition $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ of $V(G)$ such that at least one of $V_{1}, V_{2}$, say $V_{1}$ has the following property: Every vertex $u$ in $V_{1}$ has either the unique eccentric vertex $x$ in $V_{2}$ or two eccentric vertices $x_{1}, x_{2}$ in $V_{2}$ with $c\left(x_{1}\right)=c\left(x_{2}\right)=\{u, v\}$ and $c(u)=c(v)=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ for some $v$ in $V_{1}$ and $\operatorname{rad}(G)=k+1$.

Theorem 2.9. If a graph $G$ has no isolated veritces and $\operatorname{diam}(G) \geq 2 k+1$, then $\gamma\left(G_{k}^{c}\right)=2$.
Proof: Since $G$ has no full degree vertices, $\gamma\left(G_{k}^{c}\right) \geq 2$ by Proposition 2.5. Let $u$ and $v$ be two diametrical opposite vertices of $G$. Then $d_{G}(u, v) \geq 2 k+1$ and for every $w \in V(G)-\{u, v\}, d_{G}(u, w)>k$ or $d_{G}(w, v)>k$. Thus $\{u, v\}$ is a dominating set of $G_{k}^{c}$. Hence, $\gamma\left(G_{k}^{c}\right)=2$.

Theorem 2.10. Let $G$ be a graph of order $p$. Then for an integer $k \geq 1, \gamma_{k}(G) \cdot \gamma\left(G_{k}^{c}\right) \leq p$.
Proof: For $S \subset V(G)$, define $D_{e}(S)=\left\{y \in V-S: d_{G}(y, x) \leq k\right.$ for every $\left.x \in S\right\}$ and $D_{i}(S)=$ $\left\{y \in S: d_{G}(y, x) \leq k\right.$ for every $\left.x \in S\right\}$. Let $d_{i}(S)=\left|D_{i}(S)\right|$ and let $d_{e}(S)=\left|D_{e}(S)\right|$. Let $D=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{\gamma_{k}}\right\}$ be a $\gamma_{k}$ set of $G$ and partition $V(G)$ into $\gamma_{k}$ subsets $B_{1}, B_{2}, \cdots, B_{\gamma_{k}}$ such that $x_{j} \in B_{j}$ and all the vertices in $B_{j}$ are adjacent to $x_{j}$, for $1 \leq j \leq \gamma_{k}$. Let $P$ be a such partition for which $\sum_{j=1}^{\gamma_{k}} d_{i}\left(B_{j}\right)$ is maximum.

Suppose that $d_{e}\left(B_{j}\right) \geq 1$. Let $x \in D_{e}(B j)$. Then $x \notin B_{j}$. Since $B_{j}$ 's partition $V(G), x \in B_{m}$ for some $m \neq j$. If $x \in D_{i}\left(B_{m}\right)$, then $D-\left\{x_{j}, x_{m}\right\} \cup\{x\}$ is a distance $k$-dominating set of $G$, which is contradiction to $D$ is a $\gamma_{k}$-set of $G$. Therefore, $x \notin D_{i}\left(B_{m}\right)$. Now let $B_{l}^{\prime}=B_{l}$ for $l \neq m$ and $l \neq j$ and $B_{j}^{\prime}=B_{j} \cup\{x\}$ and $B_{m}^{\prime}=B_{m}-\{x\}$. Then $\left\{B_{1}^{\prime}, B_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, B_{\gamma_{K}}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a partition of $V$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{\gamma_{k}} d_{i}\left(B_{j}^{\prime}\right)>\sum_{j=1}^{\gamma_{k}} d_{i}\left(B_{j}\right)$, which is a contradiction to our choice of the partition $P$. Thus $d_{e}\left(B_{j}\right)=0$ and hence $D_{e}\left(B_{j}\right)=\phi$ for $1 \leq j \leq \gamma_{k}$. Thus for every $y \notin B_{j}$, there exists $x \in B_{j}$ such that $d_{G}(x, y) \geq k+1$. Then $x$ and $y$ are adjacent in $G_{k}^{c}$. Thus, each $B_{j}$ is a dominating set of $G_{k}^{c}$. Therefore $\gamma\left(G_{k}^{c}\right) \leq\left|B_{j}\right|$ and hence, $p=\sum_{j=1}^{\gamma_{k}}\left|B_{j}\right| \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\gamma_{k}} \gamma\left(G_{k}^{c}\right)=\gamma_{k}(G) \gamma\left(G_{k}^{c}\right)$.

In the proof of the above theorem, the partition $P$ is a domatic partition of $G_{k}^{c}$. It gives the lower bound for the domatic number of $G_{k}^{c}$.

Corollary 2.11. For any graph $G, d\left(G_{k}^{c}\right) \geq \gamma_{k}(G)$.
The proof for the following theorems are straight forward as given in [2] for the domination number of $G$.

Proposition 2.12. Let $G$ be a (p,q) graph and $k$ be an integer. Then $\gamma_{k}(G)+\gamma\left(G_{k}^{c}\right) \leq p+1$. Equality holds if and only if $G$ is either a totally disconnected graph or a distance $k$-complete graph.

Theorem 2.13. Let $G$ be a ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}$ ) graph and $k \geq 1$ be an integer. If the radius of each component of $G$ is greater than or equal to $k+1$, then $\gamma_{k}(G)+\gamma\left(G_{k}^{c}\right) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{p}{2}\right\rfloor+2$. The bound is attained by $G=C_{2 k+2}$.

Theorem 2.14. Let the radius of each component of $G$ be greater than or equal to $k+1$. Then for $k \geq 2, \gamma_{k}(G)+\gamma\left(G_{k}^{c}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{p}{2}\right\rfloor+2$ if and only if $\gamma\left(G_{k}^{c}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{p}{2}\right\rfloor$.

Proof: Since $\gamma_{k}(G) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{p}{k+1}\right\rfloor$ and $k \geq 2$, the theorem follows.
Theorem 2.15. $G_{k}^{c}=H^{+}$for some graph $H$ if and only if $G$ has at least $\frac{p}{2}$ central vertices having unique eccentric vertices and $k=\operatorname{rad}(G)-1$.

Proof: Assume that $G$ has at least $\frac{p}{2}$ central vertices having unique eccentric vertices and $k=\operatorname{rad}(G)-$ 1. Since all the central vertices having unique eccentric verices in $G$ are pendant vertices in $G_{k}^{c}$ and the unique eccentric vertices in $G$ are the support vertices in $G_{k}^{c}, G_{k}^{c}=H^{+}$for some graph $H$.

Conversely, let $G_{k}^{c}=H^{+}$for some graph $H$. Then each pendent verices in $H^{+}$must be a central vertex and have unique eccentric vertex in $G$. Since $H^{+}$has no isolates, $e(u) \geq k+1$, for every $u \in V(G)$. Since $\delta\left(G_{k}^{c}\right)=1, \operatorname{rad}(G)=k+1$. In $H^{+}$, some of the components may be $K_{2}$. Therefore, $G$ has at least $\frac{p}{2}$ central vertices having unique eccentric vertices and $k=\operatorname{rad}(G)-1$.

Corollary 2.16. If $G$ has at least $\frac{p}{2}$ central vertices having unique eccentric vertices and $k=\operatorname{rad}(G)-1$, then $\gamma\left(G_{k}^{c}\right)=\frac{p}{2}$.
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