RESEARCH ARTICLE

The Comparative and Usefulness of Platelet Distribution Width in Acute Coronary Syndrome

Idar Mappangara, Ali Aspar Mappahya, Sheila Witjaksono*

Department of Cardiology and Vascular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Hasanuddin University, Jl. Perintis Kemerdekaan Km.10, Makassar, Indonesia

*Corresponding author. E-mail: sheilawitjaksono@yahoo.com

Received date: Jul 17, 2016; Revised date: Aug 26, 2016; Accepted date: Sep 21, 2016

Abstract

ACKGROUND: Identifying risk factors for acute coronary syndrome (ACS) is important for both diagnostic and prognostic purposes. Abnormal platelet parameters, mainly platelet count (PC), mean platelet volume (MPV) and platelet distribution width (PDW) are thought to be among these risk factors because platelet activation plays a pivotal role in ACS. PDW is a more specific marker of platelet activation, since it does not increase during simple platelet swelling. In this study, the comparison of risk factors along with the PDW between Non ST-Elevation ACS (NSTEACS) and ST-Elevation ACS (STEACS) were investigated.

METHODS: This is a cross-sectional study, patients with ACS that admitted at dr.Wahidin Sudirohusodo General Hospital Makassar since December 2014 to March 2015 who undergo coronary angiography were enrolled. All data variables were taken according to the medical record. PDW was taken on first blood examination at hospital

Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases (CVD) account for approximately 12 million deaths annually and are the commonest cause of death globally.(1) Ischaemic heart disease (IHD) is one of CVD that defined as myocardial impairment due to imbalance between coronary blood flow (supply) and myocardial requirement (demand). It is divided into stable angina secondary to coronary artery disease (CAD) and admission. Data was significant if p-value <0.05 and was processed with SPSS version 16.

RESULTS: A total of 63 patients were enrolled. There were significantly higher PDW (p=0.047) in STEACS group (13.7±2.0 fL) than in NSTEACS group (12.6±2.3 fL). There were a significant positive correlation between PDW value and SYNTAX scores (Pearson, r=0.552, p=0.000). Cardiovascular risk factors such as history of ACS before (p=0.049 & OR=10.216) and dyslipidemia (p=0.049 & OR=3.915) along with PDW (p=0.003 & OR=1.733) were significant predictor of the incidence of multivessel disease in patient with ACS.

CONCLUSION: An increased PDW may predicting more severe disease in ACS based on incidence of STEACS and multivessel disease more often and higher SYNTAX score.

KEYWORDS: platelet distribution width, PDW, acute coronary syndrome, ACS, multivessel disease, SYNTAX

Indones Biomed J. 2016; 8(3): 173-8

acute coronary syndromes (ACS). ASC is composed of patients with acute myocardial infarction with ST-segment elevation on their presenting electrocardiogram, ST-Elevation ACS (STEACS), and those with unstable angina and non ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, or non ST-Elevation ACS (NSTEACS).(2) Conventional risk factors for atherosclerosis include smoking, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia, obesity and stress which either acting singly or in combination increase the chances of developing coronary atherosclerosis. However,



they only explain part of the cases and other relevant risk factors need to be identified for an accurate calculation of an individual's risk for myocardial infarction.

Platelet indices such as platelet count (PC), mean platelet volume (MPV), and platelet distribution width (PDW) have been well utilized for certain conditions like idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP), aplastic anemia and other haemotological and myeloproliferative disorders to assess the prognosis but are underutilized for cardiovascular disorders.(3) Platelets played a role in the pathogenesis of cardiovascular disorders including atherosclerosis and its complications such as ACS and sudden cardiac death. In ACS there was hyperactivity and activation of platelet. Platelet activity is reflected by platelet size which is indirectly measured by the some parameters. Larger platelets are metabolically and enzymatically more active than small platelets.(4) Platelet indices correlate with functional status of platelets and is an emerging risk marker for atherothrombosis.(5) Platelets with increased number and size of pseudopodia differ in size, possibly affecting PDW. PDW is a more specific marker of platelet activation, since it does not increase during simple platelet swelling.(6)

The most sensitive and specific biomarkers of myocardial damage are troponin I and troponin T, levels of both begin to rise at 2 to 4 hours and peak at 48 hours. Creatine Kinase enzymes begins to rise within 2 to 4 hours of the onset of myocardial infarction, peaks at about 24 hours and returns to normal within approximately 72 hours.(7) Platelet parameters can be detected earlier than markers of myocardial infarction. Platelet indices are easily recorded by automated cell counter and are routinely available in most clinical laboratories. There is an aim to make better use of the platelet parameters generated, as patients with larger platelets can easily be identified during routine haematologial analysis and could possibly benefit from timely treatment.(4) The study was undertaken to compare the PDW in STEACS and NSTEACS.

Methods

This is a cross-sectional study, which took place at Cardiology and Vascular Department, Faculty of Medicine, Hasanuddin University/dr.Wahidin Sudirohusodo General Hospital Makassar. All data variables based on medical record of patients with ACS who admitted at dr.Wahidin Sudirohusodo General Hospital Makassar since December 2014 to March 2015. The inclusion criteria were patients more than 18 years who undergo coronary angiography.

174

The exclusion criteria were patient with hematology disease and bleeding diathesis, patient with end stage renal disease, patient with carcinoma, patient with active or chronic inflammation, patient with autoimmune disease, patient with active infection, patient with blood transfusion, patient with cardiomyopathy and patient who didn't undergo cardiac catheterization in any cause. Data of blood examination was taken on first blood examination at emergency department when admitted to the hospital.

PDW was taken on first blood examination at hospital admission. Patients were divided into two groups: patients with STEACS and with NSTEACS. Results were expressed as mean±standard deviation. Independent T tests were used to compare numerical variables. Chi square tests were used to compare categorical variables. Presence of multivessel disease and SYNTAX score based on coronary angiography. Correlation between continuous variables and SYNTAX score were determined by Pearson's correlation test. Predictor of multivessel disease were determined by logistic regression test. Data was significant if the *p*-value <0.05 and processed with SPSS version 16.

Results

A total of 63 cases were studied and were divided further into two groups, patients with STEACS and with NSTEACS. The mean age of patients was 53.46±8.62 years old, with range between 35-72 years old. The mean body weight was 66.62±12.39 kg, with range between 40-96 kg. The mean body height was 163.19±5.76 cm, with range between 143-175 cm. The mean body mass index was 24.93±4.05 kg/ m², with range between 16-36.6 kg/m². The mean waist circumference was 92.04±11.39 cm, with range of 61-119 cm. The mean systolic blood pressure was 135.71±32.27 mmHg, with range of 60-230 mmHg. The mean diastolic blood pressure was 80.48±21.73 mmHg, with range of 0-130 mmHg. The mean random blood glucose was 151.87±78.19 mg/dL, with range of 73-442 mg/dL. The mean leukocyte was 11,970±4,891 /µL, with range of 5,000-27,000 /µL. The mean PC was 272,000±74,495 /µL, with range of 95,000-460,000 / μ L. The mean platelecrit was 0.220±0.06% with range of 0.08-0.36%. The mean MPV was 7.88±1.03 fL, with range of 6.3-11 fL. The mean PDW was 13.25±2.18 fL, with range of 7.8-17.5 fL. The mean total cholesterol was 193.40±47.54 mg/dL, with range of 108-328 mg/dL. The mean high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol was 11.20±33.54 mg/dL, with a range of 9-62 mg/dL. The mean low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol

was 129.97 ± 45.28 mg/dL, with range of 62-247 mg/dL. The mean triglyceride was 168.73 ± 97.49 mg/dL, with range of 61-643 mg/dL. The mean length of stay was 7.9 ± 4.67 days, with range of 1-27 days.

Total number of males was 56 (88.9%) and number of females was 7 (11.1%). The number of males in the STEACS group were 35 (62.5%) compared to NSTEACS group 21 (37.5%). The numbers of females in the STEACS group were 3 (42.85%) compared to NSTEACS group 4 (57.14%). In our present study, risk factors were evaluated. Significantly, more number of patients who had history of ACS before and older age were present in NSTEACS than STEACS. Other risk factors did not different significantly among both of them. There were no difference in the incidence of multivessel disease, SYNTAX score group and death in hospital between STEACS and NSTEACS. There was significantly more number of patients who had heart failure in NSTEACS than STEACS (Table 1).

There was statistically significant different in mean ages between STEACS group (51.45 years old) and NSTEACS group (56.52 years old) with p=0.021. There was statistically significant different in mean leukocyte between STEACS group (14.08 years old) and NSTEACS group (8.76 years old) with p=0.000. There was statistically significant different in mean PDW between STEACS group (13.69 years old) and NSTEACS group (12.58 years old) with p=0.047. There were not statistically significant different in body weight, body height, body mass index, waist circumference, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, random blood glucose, trombocyte, platelecrit, MPV, total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, triglyserides, uric acid, number of vessel with significant lesion, SYNTAX scores, and length of stay in hospital between STEACS group and NSTEACS group (Table 2).

In this study, we also performed Pearson correlation test to see platelet indices correlation with SYNTAX score. The results of the analysis with Pearson correlation between the PDW and SYNTAX scores showed a very significant positive correlation (Pearson, r=0552, p=0.000); while the indices of other platelets and SYNTAX score as platelet count (Pearson, r=-0159, p=0214), platelecrit (Pearson, r=0.065, p=0612) and MPV (Pearson, r=0185, p=0.147) did not show a significant correlation with SYNTAX score.

We analyse risk factor along with platelet indices and other variables with logistic regression to search factor or parameter that can predict the incidence of multivessel disease. The mean PDW in the group with multivessel disease was 13.9 ± 1.9 fL, while in the group without multivessel Table 1. Comparison of categorical variables in STEACS andNSTEACS.

Diely Faster	T (1	Α	р	
Risk Factor	Total	STEACS NSTEACS		
Sex				
Male	56	35 21		0.317
Female	7	3	4	
Family History of CAD				
No	51	29	22	0.248
Yes	12	9	3	
History of ACS				
No	48	35	13	0.000
Yes	15	3	12	
Hypertension				
No	26	18	8	0.225
Yes	37	20	17	
Diabetes Mellitus				
No	52	31	21	0.804
Yes	11	7	4	
Dyslipidemia				
No	31	19	12	0.877
Yes	32	19	13	
History of Stroke				
No	63	38	25	-
Yes	0	0	0	
Smoking				
No	15	6	9	0.065
Yes	48	32	16	
Obesity (BMI>25kg/m ²)				
No	35	21	14	0.954
Yes	28	17	11	
Central Obesity				
No	28	19	9	0.274
Yes	35	19	16	
Multivessel Disease				
No	22	14	8	0.693
Yes	41	24	17	
SYNTAX score group				
Low (≤22)	40	26	14	0.316
Moderate-High (>22)	23	12	11	
Presence of Heart Failure				
No	30	14	16	0.035
Yes	33	24	9	
Death in Hospital				
No	60	37	23	0.328
Yes	3	1	2	

*Data was significant if the *p* -value <0.05

disease was 11.9 \pm 1.9 fL. Statistical analysis showed that PDW mean significantly different with *p*=0.000. Other platelet indices such as platelet count (*p*=0.649), platelecrit (*p*=0.446) and MPV (*p*=0.591) do not have statistically significant association with multivessel disease. Other risk factors such as history of ACS (*p*=0.009), dyslipidemia (*p*=0.027) and age (*p*=0.024) also had significant association with multivessel disease (Table 3).

Table 4 shows that history of ACS, dyslipidemia, age and PDW were analyzed by logistic regression. Statistically

Table 2.	Comparison	the mean	of numerical	variables in	STEACS	and NSTEACS.
----------	------------	----------	--------------	--------------	--------	--------------

	SKA Group	n	mean±SD	р
	NSTEACS	25	56.52±8.88	
Age (years)	STEACS	38	51.45±7.93	0.021
	Total	63	53.46±5.62	
	NSTEACS	25	66.36±13.00	
Body Weight (kg)	STEACS	38	66.79±12.14	0.894
	Total	63	66.62±12.39	
	NSTEACS	25	162.48±4.74	
Body Height (cm)	STEACS	38	163.66±6.36	0.431
	Total	63	163.19±5.76	
	NSTEACS	25	25.09±4.56	
BMI (kg/m ²)	STEACS	38	24.83±3.74	0.801
	Total	63	24.93±4.05	
	NSTEACS	25	91.84±11.52	
Vaist Circumference (cm)	STEACS	38	92.17±11.45	0.911
	Total	63	92.04±11.39	
	NSTEACS	25	141.6±39.34	0.040
Sistolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)	STEACS	38	131.84±26.49	0.243
	Total	63	135.71±32.27	
Viastalia Blaad Duassess (NSTEACS	25	81.2±25.55	0 022
Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)	STEACS	38	80±19.17	0.832
	Total	63 25	80.48±21.73	
andom Blood Chusess (mg/dL)	NSTEACS STEACS	25 38	133.92±45.19 163.68±92.52	0.141
Random Blood Glucose (mg/dL)	Total	58 63	163.08±92.52 151.87±78.20	0.141
	NSTEACS	25	8.76±2.21	
Leukocyte (10 ³ /µL)	STEACS	38	14.08±5.04	0.000
Leukocyte (10 ⁷ /µL)	Total	63	11.97±4.89	0.000
	NSTEACS	25	269.48±65.75	
Γrombocyte (10 ³ /μL)	STEACS	38	274.5±80.53	0.796
	Total	63	272.51±74.50	
	NSTEACS	25	0.22±0.59	
Plateletcrit (%)	STEACS	38	0.21±0.06	0.739
	Total	63	0.22±0.06	
	NSTEACS	25	8.03±1.17	
MPV (fL)	STEACS	38	7.78±0.93	0.351
	Total	63	7.88±1.03	
	NSTEACS	25	12.58±2.25	
PDW (fL)	STEACS	38	13.69±2.05	0.047
	Total	63	13.25±2.18	
	NSTEACS	25	200.8±48.91	
Fotal Cholesterol (mg/dL)	STEACS	38	188.53±46.63	0.320
	Total	63	193.4±47.54	
	NSTEACS	25	36.32±9.49	
HDL Cholesterol (mg/dL)	STEACS	38	31.71±11.97	0.111
	Total	63	33.54±11.20	
	NSTEACS	25	138.92±48.80	
LDL Cholesterol (mg/dL)	STEACS	38	124.08±42.44	0.206
	Total	63	129.97±45.28	
	NSTEACS	25	164.36±94.32	o
friglyserides (mg/dL)	STEACS	38	171.61±100.67	0.776
	Total	63	168.73±97.49	
	NSTEACS	25	7.31±2.20	0.010
Jric Acid (mg/dL)	STEACS	38	6.57±2.33	0.212
	Total	63	6.86±2.29	
Number of Vessel with Significant	NSTEACS	25	1.92±1	0.007
Lession	STEACS	38	1.92±0.88	0.997
	Total	63	1.92±0.92	
EVNITA V Saara	NSTEACS	25	16.26±10.43	0.000
SYNTAX Score	STEACS	38	15.67±10.60	0.829
	Total NSTEACS	63 25	15.91±10.45	
Length of Stay in Hospital (days)	STEACS	25 38	7.44±3.32 8.21±5.4	0.526
Angui of Stay III Flospital (days)		38 63		0.320
Data was significant if the <i>p</i> -value <0.05	Total	03	7.9±4.67	

*Data was significant if the p -value <0.05

Table 3. Comparison between multivessel disease group.

	Multivess		
Risk Factor	Yes (n=41)	No (n=22)	р
Sex			
Male	36	20	0.709
Female	5	2	
Family History of CAD			
Yes	8	4	0.898
No	33	18	
History of ACS			
Yes	14	1	0.009
No	27	21	
Hypertension			
Yes	25	12	0.621
No	16	10	
Diabetes Mellitus			
Yes	9	2	0.200
No	32	20	
Dyslipidemia			
Yes	25	7	0.027
No	16	15	
History of Stroke		-	
Yes	0	0	-
No	41	22	
Smoking			
Yes	31	17	0.883
No	10	5	
Obesity (BMI >25 kg/m ²)	10	0	
Yes	17	11	0.516
No	24	11	0.010
Central Obesity	21	11	
Yes	24	11	0.516
No	17	11	0.010
Presence of Heart Failure	17	11	
Yes	23	10	0.420
No	18	10	0.420
Death in hospital	18	12	
Yes	3	0	0.194
No	38	22	0.174
Age (years)	55.2±8.7	50.1±7.6	0.024
	55.2±8.7 65.9±13.5	50.1 ± 7.6 67.8±10.1	
Body Weight (kg) Body Height (cm)	65.9±13.5 162.9±6.4	163.6 ± 4.5	0.563 0.689
BMI (kg/m ²)	162.9±6.4 24.7±4.3	163.6±4.5 25.3±3.5	0.689
BMI (kg/m ⁻) Waist Circumferences (cm)			
	92.1±12.4	91.8±9.5	0.920
Sistolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)	134.2±29.1	138.6±38.1	0.603
Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg) Random Blood Glucose (mg/dL)	80.0±20.6	81.4±24.1	0.815
	153.6±77.7	148.6±80.9	0.812
Leukocyte $(10^3/\mu L)$	11.2±4.4	13.3±6.1	0.104
PC $(10^3/\mu L)$	269.3±74.8	278.4±75.3	0.649
Plateletcrit (%)	0.219±0.06	0.278±0.06	0.446
MPV (fL)	7.9±0.8	7.8±1.4	0.591
PDW (fL)	13.9±1.9	11.9±1.9	0.000
Total Cholestrol (mg/dL)	197.3±52.3	186.1±37.0	0.376
HDL Cholestrol (mg/dL)	32.7±12.5	35.1±8.3	0.412
LDL Cholestrol (mg/dL)	137.5±51.6	116±25.7	0.073
Trygliserides (mg/dL)	161.1±104.6	182.9±83.1	0.402
Uric Acid (mg/dL)	7.2±2.6	6.2±1.3	0.082
Length of stay in Hospital (days) *Data was significant if the <i>p</i> -value <0.05	7.3±3.5	9.1±6.3	0.157

*Data was significant if the p -value ${<}0.05$

analyse showed that age is no longer a predictor of the incidence of multivessel disease with p=0.352; whereas history of ACS (p=0.049 & OR=10.216), dyslipidemia (p=0.049 & OR=3.915) and PDW (p=0.003 & OR=1.733) are a significant predictor of the incidence of multivessel disease.

Table 4. Logistic regression analysis of history SKA, dyslipidemia, age, and PDW with incidence of multivessel disease.

	В	SE	р	OR
History of ACS	2.324	1.181	0.049	10.216
Dyslipidemia	1.365	0.693	0.049	3.915
Age	0.041	0.044	0.352	1.042
PDW	0.550	0.186	0.003	1.733
Constant	-9.643	3.223	0.003	0.000

*Logistic regression analyze was significant if the p -value ${<}0.05$

Discussion

This study showed that mean PDW value was higher in STEACS than NSTEACS. It has been known that STEACS was more severe than NSTEACS. Pathophysiologically, NSTEACS is somewhat different from STEACS. NSTEACS caused by complete occlusion of a minor coronary artery or a partial occlusion of a major coronary artery and its causes a partial damage of heart muscle. STEACS caused by a complete occlusion of a major coronary artery and its causes a full damage of heart muscle. Both of them can make some complications. But some complications like cardiogenic shock, left ventricular failure (like in this study), severe mitral regurgitation due to papillary muscle rupture, cardiac tamponade due to ventricular wall rupture are more in STEACS (due to full thickness heart muscle damage) than NSTEACS.

There were significantly higher leukocytes in STEACS than NSTEACS. This result is similar with previous study that conclude STEACS patients have significantly higher peak CRP levels compared to NSTEACS patients and suggest that inflammatory processes play an independent role in the pathogenesis of myocardial infarction.(8) There were significantly higher PDW in STEACS than NSTEACS. In ACS, rupture of unstable plaque activated the thrombogenic cascade. However, platelet reactivity plays a vital role in the formation and propagation of intracoronary thrombus.(9) Other platelet indices such as platelet count, platelecrit, and MPV did not significantly different between STEACS and NSTEACS. This result similar with study by Vagdatli, *et al.*, which conclude that PDW is a more specific marker of platelet activation.(6)

PDW has a positive correlation with SYNTAX scores. This result is similar with study by Bekler, et al., that conclude PDW is associated with severity of CAD based on Gensini score (10) and study by Akin, et al., that conclude PDW is a cheap and easy laboratory test which independently associated with high SYNTAX score (11). We also divided patients into multivessel disease group and no multivessel group, so we may predict the incidence of multivessel disease because it associated with poor prognosis.(12) Analyzing the data with logistic regression, we found that PDW among with history of ACS before and dyslipidemia are statistically significant to predicting multivessel disease. We all have known that history of ACS before and dyslipidemia are well known cardiovascular risk factors that had been proven influence the incidence of multivessel disease.

Limitations of the study include the small sample size and only done in one place. The study also did not follow up the patient to see that PDW value can be used as a marker of future cardiovascular events.

Conclusion

Platelet distribution width in STEACS group is higher than in NSTEACS group. The higher PDW, SYNTAX score will also be higher. Platelet distribution width is also higher in multivessel group. In this study, PDW may be useful to predict more severe disease in ACS based on incidence of multivessel disease and SYNTAX score.

References

- Shah SN, Anand MP, Billimoria AR, Kamath SA, Karnad DR. API textbook of Medicine. 8th edition. New Delhi: Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers; 2008.
- Fauci AS, Kasper DL, Longo DL, Braunwald E, Hauser S. Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine. 17th edition. New Delhi: Mc Graw Hill Medical; 2008.
- Kaito K, Otsubo H, Usui N, Yoshida M, Tanno J, Kurihara E, *et al.* Platelet size deviation width, platelet large cell ratio, and mean platelet volume have sufficient sensitivity and specificity in the diagnosis of immune thrombocytopenia. Br J Haematol. 2005; 128: 698-702.
- Khandekar MM, Khurana AS, Deshmukh SD, Ka-krani AL, Katdare AD, Inamdar AK. Platelet volume indices in patients with coronary artery disease and acute myocardial infarction. J Clin Pathol. 2006; 59: 146-9.
- Lippi G, Filippozzi L, Salvagno GL, Montagnana M, Franchini M, Guidi GC, *et al.* Increased mean platelet volume with acute coronary syndromes. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2009; 133: 1441-3.
- Vagdatli E, Gounari E, Lazaridou E, Katsibourlia E, Tsikopoulou F, Labrianou I. Platelet distribution width: a simple, practical and specific marker of activation of coagulation. Hippokratia. 2010; 14: 28-32.
- Kumar V, Abbas AK, Fauselto N, Aster JC. Robbins and Cotran Pathologic Basis of Disease. 8th edition. New Delhi: Elsevier; 2010.
- Habib SS, Kurdi MI, Al Aseri Z, Suriya MO. CRP levels are higher in patients with ST elevation than non-ST elevation acute coronary syndrome. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2011; 96: 13-7.
- Martin JF, Bath PM, Burr ML. Influence of platelet size on outcome after MI. Lancet. 1991; 338: 1409-11.
- Bekler A, Ozkan MT, Tenekecioglu E, Gazi E, Yener AU, Temiz A, *et al*. Increased platelet distribution width is associated with severity of coronary artery disease in patients with acute coronary syndrome. Angiology. 2015; 66: 638-43.
- Akin F, Ayca B, Kose N, Altun I, Avsar M, Celik O, *et al.* Relation of platelet indices to severity of coronary artery disease in patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention. Perfusion. 2016; 31: 216-22.
- Sorajja P, Gersh BJ, Cox DA, McLaughlin MG, Zimetbaum P, Costantini C, *et al.* Impact of multivessel disease on reperfusion success and clinical outcomes in patients undergoing primary PCI for AMI. Eur Heart J. 2007; 28: 1709-16.