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#### Abstract

Cardio Vascular Diseases (CVD) are one of the major NCDs comprising of a group of diseases of Heart and Vascular system. CHD accounts for nearly $50 \%$ of all deaths in the developed world and $25 \%$ in developing countries, such as India. The aetiology of CVD is multi-factorial. Most of the Risk factors of CVD are easily modifiable on simple IEC activities(Information, Education and Communication). This Cross Sectional Descriptive study on modifiable risk factors of Cardio Vascular diseases in adults at Kankipadu Village, Krishna district is conducted to estimate the prevalence of risk factors in a rural area of Andhra Pradesh. Prevalence of various risk factors in this study are systolic hypertension $16.42 \%$, diastolic hyper tension $9.14 \%$, over weight and obesity $19 \%$, smoking habit $21.14 \%$, alcohol consumption $18.86 \%$, physical inactivity $45 \%$.
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## Introduction

Chronic Non - Communicable Diseases (NCDs) are assuming increasing importance among the adult population in both developed and developing countries. The prevalence of chronic diseases is showing an upward trend in many countries for the following reasons.

1. Life expectancy is increasing in many countries. As a result of this, a large number of people are living up to older age and are at greater risk to chronic diseases of various kinds.
2. The rapidly changing life styles and behavioral patterns of the people (ex: smoking, alcoholism etc.) combined with predicted decrease in the morbidity and mortality from Infectious diseases. The future burden of Non-communicable diseases is likely to be a major emerging Health Challenge for developing countries.
Cardio Vascular Diseases (CVD) are one of the major NCDs comprising of a group of diseases of Heart and Vascular system. The major conditions are Ischemic Heart Disease (IHD), Hypertension, Cerebro Vascular Disease (Stroke), Rheumatic Heart Disease (RHD) and Congenital Heart Disease. In the South East Asia region, cardiovascular diseases cause an estimated 3.6 million deaths or a quarter of all deaths annually. Cardiovascular diseases are killing people at $a$ relatively younger age in the region; $27 \%$ of all deaths due to cardiovascular diseases in the region occur before the age of 60 years compared to $16 \%$ in the rest of the world. ${ }^{(1)}$

Studies show that at the beginning of the 20th century, coronary heart disease(CHD) accounted for less than $10 \%$ of all deaths worldwide. At the beginning of the 21 st century, CHD accounts for nearly $50 \%$ of all deaths in the developed world and $25 \%$ in developing
countries, such as India. ${ }^{(2)}$ Overall prevalence has increased from $2.06 \%$ in 1970 to $5 \%$ in 2002 in rural area and $1.04 \%$ in early 1960 to $13.02 \%$ in 2004 in urban area. ${ }^{(3)}$

Cardiovascular diseases (CVD), comprising coronary heart diseases (CHD)and cerebro-vascular diseases, are currently the leading cause of death globally, accounting for 21.9 per cent of total deaths, and are projected to increase to 26.3 percent by 2030. ${ }^{(4)}$

It was reported that the mortality from CVD was projected to decline in the developed countries from 1970 to 2015, while it was projected to almost double in the developing countries. ${ }^{(5)}$ For men and women, cardiovascular risk is known to increase with age, smoking, hypertension, blood lipids, glucose levels, and central obesity. ${ }^{(6)}$ Despite that over the lifespan, approximately the same proportion of the female population as the male population dies of complications resulting from CVD. It has been traditionally considered as a middle-aged "male" disease, the consequence has been for long, the exclusion of women from clinical trial and epidemiologic studies, making extensive to women the results obtained for men. In the 1970s, it was suggested that endogenous hormones protect against CVD in women, and that oestrogen deprivation after menopause increased their cardiovascular risk. ${ }^{(7)}$ It was also evident that among the Asian Indian women, postmenopausal women were more susceptible to diabetes and cardiovascular diseases than premenopausal women. ${ }^{(8)}$

A peculiar cause of concern is the relative early age of CVD Deaths in India. India is at the mid - point of the emerging Epidemic and will face its full impact in the coming years. India can be benefited from the strategy of primary prevention.

The present morbidity and mortality rates are the
consequence of previous exposure to behavioural risk factors such as inappropriate Nutrition, Insufficient physical activity and increased Tobacco consumption. It is called the "lag-time" effect of risk factors for CVD. Over weight, central obesity, High Blood pressure, Dyslipidaemia, Diabetes and low cardio - Respiratory fitness are among the Biological factors contributing principally to increased risk.

It is now well established fact that a persistently high cholesterol level can almost certainly precipitate a cardiac event such as IHD. Still most people do not have an idea of Nutritional requirements and a balanced diet. Unhealthy dietary practices include a high consumption of saturated fats, salts, and refined carbohydrates, as well as low consumption of vegetables and fruits and these tend to cluster together.

Coronary Heart Disease (CHD, Syn: Ischemic Heart Disease) has been defined as impairment of Heart function due to inadequate blood flow to the heart compared to its needs caused by obstructive changes in the coronary circulation to the Heart. ${ }^{(9)}$

In view of the above reasons, a Cross Sectional Descriptive Study of Modifiable Risk factors of Cardio Vascular diseases in adults at Kankipadu Village, Krishna district was conducted to estimate the prevalence of risk factors in a rural area of Andhra Pradesh.

## Aims \& Objectives

1. To estimate the prevalence of selected modifiable risk factors of cardiovascular diseases.
2. To find out any significant Association between selected demographic variables and risk factors.
3. To find out any significant Association between selected risk factors with other risk factors.

## Materials and Methods

This study was a cross sectional descriptive study on the modifiable risk factors of cardio vascular diseases in adults of aged about 30 years and above of both sexes at Kankipadu Village, Krishna district in the period between January 2012 and August 2013. The required sample size of 700 individuals was selected using systematic random sampling technique. Both Descriptive and Inferential Statistics were used to analyze the data.

## Inclusion Criteria:

1. Men and Women aged above 30 years.
2. Adults who can understand Telugu and reside at Kankipadu village.
3. Adults who are present at the time of study.
4. Adults who are willing to participate in the study.

## Exclusion Criteria:

1. Adults who were previously diagnosed as Hypertensive and are on treatment.
2. Adults who are ill and are on treatment for other Ailments.
3. Antenatal and postnatal mothers.
4. Visitors or Guests who are not normally residing in Kankipadu Area.

## Sample Size

$$
\text { Formula: } \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{z} \alpha 2 * \mathrm{pq} / \mathrm{d} 2
$$

Where, n is the required sample size, $\mathrm{Z} \alpha$ is the standard normal deviate, which is equal to 2 at $95 \%$ confidence interval. $p$ is the prevalence in the population of the factor under study.

$$
\mathrm{q}=100-\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{~d}=\text { allowable error }
$$

Different sample sizes can be calculated based upon different prevalence level of different risk factors. Here the sample size was arrived with the prevalence of major CVD Risk factor hypertension $20 \%$, as reported in a study conducted by ICMR(Indian Council of Medical Research) and WHO in India. (Surveillance of Risk factors for non communicable diseases. The WHO STEP wise approach).
$p=20 \%, q=80 \%, d=$ Allowable error, $n=$ number of samples is to be studied
Allowed Error (limit of accuracy) $=15 \%$ of prevalence is allowed to calculate the value of 'd'.
$\mathrm{d}=15 \%$ of $20 \%=3 \%$
So,
$\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{z} \alpha 2 * \mathrm{pq} / \mathrm{d} 2$
$=(1.96) 2 * 20 * 80 /(3) 2=682$
Study Method: The required sample size of 700 individuals was selected using systematic random sampling technique. Kankipadu village consists of a population of 13026 people residing in approximately 3210 houses. In Andhra Pradesh, People aged 30 years and above constitute around $50 \%$ of the population 89. This means that in Kankipadu village there are 6513 people aged 30 years and above. These 6513 adults are residing in 3210 houses, which gives approximately 2 individuals aged 30 years and above in each house. So to get the required sample size of 700 people in Village the investigator had to survey approximately 350 houses.

These 350 houses out of the total 3210 houses were selected using systematic random sampling; where in the sampling unit is a house.

The sampling interval is $=n / N$
Where, $\mathrm{n}=$ sample size $=350$
$\mathrm{N}=$ population size $=3210$
Sampling interval $=\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$
$=350 / 3210$
$=1 / 9$
In systematic random sampling, the denominator of the sampling interval is considered. The voter's list for Kankipadu village was obtained from the President of the village at the Gram Panchayati office. Next a random number was taken using the currency note method in the field. This was equal to 7. Therefore the first house for the study was taken as the seventh house in the voter's list. For the selection of the subsequent
houses, the denominator of the sampling fraction is added to the random number, i.e.
$7+9=16$ th house in the voter's list was taken as the second house for the study.
$16+9=25$ th house in the voter's list was taken as the third house for the study
$25+9=34$ th house in the voter's list was taken as the fourth house for the study and so on.

In this way every 9th house was selected till the investigator reached a total of 700 people. In each of the houses visited by the investigator, maximum two adults aged 30 years and above who were present at that time were included in the study. If any house does not have individuals aged 30 years and above, then the next house is included in the study (10th house).
Classification of Blood Pressure

| Category | SBP | DBP |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Normal | $<129$ | $<85$ |
| High Normal | $130-139$ | $85-89$ |
| Grade-I <br> Hypertension | $140-159$ | $90-99$ |
| Grade-II <br> Hypertension | $160-179$ | $100-109$ |
| Grade-III <br> Hypertension | $>180$ | $>110$ |

Classification of Body Mass Index (BMI)

| Category | BMI |
| :--- | :---: |
| Underweight | $<18.5$ |
| Normal | $18.51-24.99$ |
| Overweight | $25.00-29.99$ |
| Obese | $>30$ |

## Results

Table 1: Distribution of study population according to Age and Sex ( $n=700$ )

| Age Group | No. of Persons (\%) | Male (\%) | Females(\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $30-39$ | $345(49.29)$ | $172(24.57)$ | $173(24.71 \%)$ |
| $40-49$ | $174(24.86)$ | $117(16.71)$ | $57(8.14 \%)$ |
| $50-59$ | $83(11.86)$ | $56(8 \%)$ | $27(3.86 \%)$ |
| $60-69$ | $48(6.86)$ | $36(5.14 \%)$ | $12(1.71 \%)$ |
| $70-79$ | $38(5.43)$ | $25(3.57 \%)$ | $13(1.86 \%)$ |
| $80-89$ | $12(1.71)$ | $6(0.86 \%)$ | $6(0.86 \%)$ |
| Total | 700 | $412(58.86 \%)$ | $288(41.14 \%)$ |

(Note: Percentage refers to \% of Total $(\mathrm{n}=700)$ )
Table 1 shows that majority of the study population (49.29\%) belonged to the age group of $30-39$ followed by 40-49 ( $24.86 \%$ ) and $50-59(11.86 \%)$. Males constituted $58.86 \%$ of the study population and females constitute $41.14 \%$ of the study population. Majority of the males $(24.57 \%)$ and females $(24.71 \%)$ belonged to the age group 30-39. The mean age of the study population was 42.73 with a standard deviation of 13.64 and standard error of 0.515 .

Table 2: Classification of Blood Pressure among the study population ( $n=700$ )

| Category | SBP | Frequency(\%) | DBP | Frequency(\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal | $<129$ | $471(67.29 \%)$ | $<85$ | $491((70.14 \%)$ |
| High Normal | $130-139$ | $114(16.29 \%)$ | $85-89$ | $145(20.72 \%)$ |
| Grade I <br> Hypertension | $140-159$ | $88(12.57 \%)$ | $90-99$ | $50(7.14 \%)$ |
| Grade II <br> Hypertension | $160-179$ | $25(3.57 \%)$ | $100-109$ | $12(1.71 \%)$ |
| Grade III <br> Hypertension | $>180$ | $2(0.29 \%)$ | $>110$ | $2(0.29 \%)$ |
| Total |  | 700 |  | 700 |

Note: (SBP= Systolic Blood Pressure (mm of Hg))
(DBP = Diastolic Blood Pressure ( mm of Hg ))
Table 2 shows that $16.42 \%$ of the study population has Systolic hypertension of $>140 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg and $9.14 \%$ has Diastolic hypertension of $>90 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg .

Table 2 depicts the classification of blood pressure levels. $83.58 \%$ of the population fell in optimal systolic (120 $+/-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg ) blood pressure and $90.86 \%$ in optimal diastolic ( $80+/-\mathrm{mm}$ of Hg ) blood pressure, $12.57 \%$ of the population fell in Grade I systolic ( $140-159 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg ) hypertension and $7.14 \%$ fell in Grade I diastolic ( $90-99$ mmHg ) hypertension. $3.57 \%$ of population fell in Grade 2 systolic ( $160-179 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) hypertension. $1.71 \%$ of
population fell in Grade 2 Diastolic ( $100-109 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg ) hypertension.

Table 3: Classification of Body Mass Index (BMI) among the study population $(n=700)$

| Category | BMI | Frequency(\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Underweight | $<18.5$ | $65(9.28 \%)$ |
| Normal | $18.51-24.99$ | $502(71.72 \%)$ |
| Overweight | $25-29.99$ | $98(14 \%)$ |
| Obese | $>30$ | $35(5 \%)$ |

Table 3 shows that $71.12 \%$ of the study population has normal BMI. $9.28 \%$ of the study population were underweight, $14 \%$ were overweight and $5 \%$ of the study population were obese.

Table 4: Smoking

| 1. Habit of Smoking (n=700) | Frequency(\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Absent | $552(78.86 \%)$ |
| Rarely | $39(5.57 \%)$ |
| Weekly twice | $6(0.86 \%)$ |
| Daily | $103(14.71 \%)$ |
| Total | 700 |

## 2. Type of tobacco used ( $\mathrm{n}=148$ )

| Filter cigarette | $52(35.14 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Filter less cigarette | $41(27.70 \%)$ |
| Beedi | $40(27.03 \%)$ |
| Cigar | $15(10.14 \%)$ |
| Total | 148 |

## 3. No. Used per $\operatorname{day}(\mathrm{n}=148)$

| $1-5$ | $114(77.03 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $6-10$ | $32(21.62 \%)$ |
| $11-15$ | $2(1.35 \%)$ |
| Grand total | 148 |

Table 4 shows that $21.14 \%$ of the study population had habit of smoking. All the respondents (148) who have the habit of smoking were males.

Among 148 respondents who have the habit of smoking, 103 ( $69.59 \%$ ) respondents smoke daily, 52 (35.14\%) respondents use filter cigarette, 41(27.70\%) respondents use filter less cigarette, 114 (77.03\%) respondents smoke 1 to 5 cigarettes per day and 32 ( $21.62 \%$ ) respondents smoke 6 to 10 per day.

Table 5: Alcohol Consumption

| 1. Habit of Alcohol <br> Consumption (n=700) | Frequency(\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Absent | $568(81.14 \%)$ |
| Rarely | $95(13.57 \%)$ |
| Monthly once | $69(0.86 \%)$ |
| Weekly twice | $16(2.29 \%)$ |
| Daily | $15(2.14 \%)$ |
| Total | 700 |
| Type of alcohol (n=132) |  |
| Toddy | $24(18.18(\%)$ |
| Beer | $10(7.58 \%)$ |


| Wine | $2(1.52 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Gin/brandy/whisky/vodka | $64(48.48 \%)$ |
| Country liquor(arrack) | $32(24.24 \%)$ |
| Total | 132 |
| 3. Amount of alcohol consumed per day(n=132) |  |
| $30-90 \mathrm{ml}$ | $72(54.55 \%)$ |
| $120-180 \mathrm{ml}$ | $32(24.24 \%)$ |
| $210-270 \mathrm{ml}$ | $14(10.61 \%)$ |
| $300-360 \mathrm{ml}$ | $14(10.61 \%)$ |
| Total | 132 |

Table 5 shows the habit of alcohol consumption among the study population. $18.86 \%$ of the study population has the habit of alcohol consumption. All the respondents (132) who have the habit of alcohol consumption are males. Among 132 respondents who have the habit of alcohol consumption, 64(48.48\%) respondents use Gin/ Brandy/ Whisky/ vodka. 32 (24.24\%) respondents use country liquor. 72 (54.55\%) respondents consume $30-90 \mathrm{ml}$ of alcohol per day, $32(24.24 \%)$ respondents consume $120-180 \mathrm{ml}$ of alcohol per day.

Table 6: Physical in Activity

| 1. Household activity per day |
| :--- | :---: |
| (hrs) |$\quad$| Frequency |
| :---: |
| $(\%)$ |$|$| 10 hrs | $116(16.57 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $6-9 \mathrm{hrs}$ | $133(19.00 \%)$ |
| $2-5 \mathrm{hrs}$ | $33(5.43 \%)$ |
| $<2 \mathrm{hrs}$ | $225(32.14 \%)$ |
| Never do | $188(26.86 \%)$ |
| Total | 700 |
| 2 |  |

2. Rest including nap per day (hrs)

| $<5 \mathrm{hrs}$ | $46(6.57 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $6-8 \mathrm{hrs}$ | $556(79.43 \%)$ |
| 9-11hrs | $59(8.43 \%)$ |
| 12-14hrs | $35(5.00 \%)$ |
| $>15 \mathrm{hrs}$ | $4(0.57 \%)$ |
| Total | 700 |

3. External activities per day(Hrs)

| 10 hrs | $289(41.29 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $6-9 \mathrm{hrs}$ | $92(13.14 \%)$ |
| $2-5 \mathrm{hrs}$ | $29(4.14 \%)$ |
| $<2$ hrs | $118(16.86 \%)$ |
| Never do | $172(24.57 \%)$ |
| Total | 700 |

4. Exercises if any

| Going to gym | $4(0.57 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Swimming | $4(0.57 \%)$ |
| Cycling | $29(4.14 \%)$ |
| Walking/runniny | $348(49.71 \%)$ |
| Never do | $315(45.00 \%)$ |
| Total | 700 |

5. Exercise per Day (hrs)(n=385)

| $>2$ | $12(3.12 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $1.6-2 \mathrm{hrs}$ | $2(0.52 \%)$ |
| $1-1.5 \mathrm{hrs}$ | $2(0.52 \%)$ |
| $30 \mathrm{~min}-1 \mathrm{hr}$ | $164(42.60 \%)$ |
| $<30 \mathrm{~min}$ | $205(53.25 \%)$ |
| Total | 385 |

Table 6 shows the percentage distribution of prevalence of the modifiable risk factors associated with physical activity. Considering household activities, $32.14 \%$ perform less than 2 hours daily and $26.86 \%$ are non engaged. $16.57 \%$ do more than 10 hours of household activities daily. $24.57 \%$ of the population never do external activities, while $41.29 \%$ perform more than 10 hours. $79.43 \%$ take rest for $6-8$ hrs per day including nap. $49.71 \%$ of the population walks fast or run as exercise and $45 \%$ never exercise apart from their daily activities. Among those who do exercises, 53.25\%
do exercise for less than 30 minutes per day and $42.6 \%$ do for 30 minutes to 1 hour per day.

Dietary Patterns: $90.57 \%$ of the population were non vegetarians, among them $72.56 \%$ consume once per week and $1.26 \%$ takes daily. $36.86 \%$ use refined sunflower oil for cooking where as $31.71 \%$ use groundnut oil. $60.57 \%$ has Percapita oil consumption of less than 20 ml per day and $5.71 \%$ has Percapita oil consumption of more than 35 ml per day. $64.14 \%$ has Percapita salt consumption of less than 5 grams per day and $4.86 \%$ has Percapita salt consumption of more than 20 grams per day. $8.71 \%$ consume fried foods daily. $18.43 \%$ of the population consume pickles daily and $23 \%$ consume rarely. $38.71 \%$ of the population eat papad twice a week, $2.29 \%$ consume papad daily.

Table 7: Frequency distribution of the levels of risk for various modifiable risk factors among adults

| Risk <br> Factors | Low <br> Risk(<50\%) | Moderate <br> Risk(51-75\%) | High <br> Risk(>75\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency (\%) | Frequency (\%) | Frequency <br> $(\%)$ |
| Smoking | $618(88.29 \%)$ | $82(11.71 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ |
| Alcohol | $627(89.57 \%)$ | $73(10.43 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ |
| Physical <br> inactivity | $111(15.86 \%)$ | $532(76 \%)$ | $57(8.14 \%)$ |
| Diet | $206(29.43 \%)$ | $488(69.71 \%)$ | $6(0.86 \%)$ |
| Cumulative <br> risk | $545(77.85 \%)$ | $155(22.15 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ |

Table 7 elicits the score obtained by the clients for the modifiable risk factors. In view of diet, $29.43 \%$ were under low risk, $69.71 \%$ were at moderate risk and $0.86 \%$ at high risk. Regarding physical inactivity, $15.86 \%$ had low risk and $8.14 \%$ were at high risk. It was found that that in smoking $88.29 \%$ were at low risk, and $11.71 \%$ were under high risk. Studying the alcohol intake pattern, $89.57 \%$ were under low risk and $10.43 \%$ were found to fall under moderate risk category. The overall cumulative risk (smoking+alcohol+physical inactivity+ diet) findings revealed that $77.85 \%$ were found under low risk and $22.15 \%$ were at moderate risk of developing CVD.

Association between Age and Risk factors: Statistically significant association was found between < 40 age group and $>40$ age group with respect to the following risk factors. The difference between the two groups was found to be statistically significant.

Table 8

| Risk factor or variable | <40 year age <br> group | $>40$ year age <br> group | P <br> value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SBP of $>140 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg | $8.17 \%$ | $25.52 \%$ | $<0.05$ |
| DBP of $>90 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg | $4.08 \%$ | $14.71 \%$ | $<0.05$ |
| Filter cigarette usage among <br> males | $54.54 \%$ | $19.31 \%$ | $<0.05$ |
| Gin/brandy/whisky/vodka usage <br> among males | $60 \%$ | $38.8 \%$ | $<0.05$ |

Statistically Non- significant association was found between < 40 age group and> 40 age group with respect to the following risk factors. The difference between the two groups was found to be statistically Non-significant.

Table 9

| Risk factor or variable | 440 year age <br> group | $>40$ year <br> age group | P value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| History of smoking in males | $35.48 \%$ | $65.42 \%$ | $>0.05$ |
| History of alcoholism in <br> males | $32.25 \%$ | $31.85 \%$ | $>0.05$ |
| Males who smoked <br> cigarettes per day | $21.22 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ | $>0.05$ |
| Male who consumed >90ml <br> of alcohol per day | $43.34 \%$ | $47.23 \%$ | $>0.05$ |
| $>2$ hours of household <br> activity per day among <br> females | $74.59 \%$ | $71.97 \%$ | $>0.05$ |
| Those who do exercise or <br> physical activity daily | $55.31 \%$ | $54.65 \%$ | $>0.05$ |
| $>1$ hour of exercise or <br> physical activity per day | $3.95 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $>0.05$ |
| Percapita oil consumption of <br> $>20 \mathrm{ml}$ per day | $41.42 \%$ | $37.24 \%$ | $>0.05$ |
| Percapita salt consumption of <br> $>5 m l ~ p e r ~ d a y ~$ | $38.7 \%$ | $32.74 \%$ | $>0.05$ |
| BMI>25 | $20.17 \%$ | $17.72 \%$ | $>0.05$ |

Association between Systolic Blood Pressure and various risk factors: Statistically significant association was found between study population who have Systolic Blood Pressure of $<140 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg and those who have $>140$ mm of Hg with respect to the following risk factors. The difference between the two groups was found to be statistically significant.

Table 10

| Risk factor or variable | SBP <140 <br> $\mathbf{m m}$ of $\mathbf{H g}$ | SBP <br> $<\mathbf{1 4 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{m m ~ o f ~}$ <br> $\mathbf{H g}$ | P value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BMI >25 | $13.67 \%$ | $46.08 \%$ | $<0.05$ |
| History of smoking in males | $23.85 \%$ | $82.35 \%$ | $<0.05$ |
| Filter cigarette usage among males | $40.81 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $<0.05$ |
| History of alcoholism in males | $20.18 \%$ | $77.64 \%$ | $<0.05$ |
| Non vegetarian diet pattern | $89.57 \%$ | $95.65 \%$ | $<0.05$ |
| Percapita oil consumption of $>20 \mathrm{ml}$ <br> per day | $36.23 \%$ | $55.65 \%$ | $<0.05$ |
| Percapita salt consumption of $>5 \mathrm{ml}$ <br> per day | $32.99 \%$ | $50.43 \%$ | $<0.05$ |

Statistically Non significant association was found between study population who have Systolic Blood Pressure of < 140 mm of Hg and those who have $>140 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg with respect to the following risk factors. The difference between the two groups was found to be statistically Non-significant.

Table 11

| Risk factor or variable | SBP $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{m m ~ o f ~ H g ~}$ | $\mathbf{S B P ~ > 1 4 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{m m}$ of Hg | $\mathbf{P}$ <br> value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males who smoked <br> cigarettes per day | $10.2 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $>0.05$ |
| Those who do exercise or <br> physical activity daily | $54.18 \%$ | $59.13 \%$ | $>0.05$ |
| Use of refined sunflower oil | $37.43 \%$ | $33.91 \%$ | $>0.05$ |

Association between Diastolic Blood Pressure and various risk factors: Statistically significant association was found between study population who have Diastolic Blood Pressure of $<90 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg and those who have $>90 \mathrm{~mm}$
of Hg with respect to the following risk factors. The difference between the two groups was found to be statistically significant.

Table 12

| Risk factor or variable | DBP <90 <br> $\mathbf{m m}$ of $\mathbf{~ H g}$ | DBP >90 <br> $\mathbf{m m ~ o f ~ H g ~}$ | P value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BMI >25 | $16.98 \%$ | $39.06 \%$ | $<0.05$ |
| History of smoking in males | $32.98 \%$ | $77.77 \%$ | $<0.05$ |
| Males who smoked <br> cigarettes per day | $0.01 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $<0.05$ |
| History of alcoholism in <br> males | $28.83 \%$ | $77.7 \%$ | $<0.05$ |

Statistically Non- significant association was found between study population who have Diastolic Blood Pressure of $<90 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg and those who have $>90 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg with respect to the following risk factors. The difference between the two groups was found to be statistically Non-significant.

Table 13

| Risk factor or variable | DBP $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{9 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{m m}$ of $\mathbf{H g}$ | DBP $>\mathbf{9 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{m m ~ o f ~}$ <br> $\mathbf{H g}$ | P value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Filter cigarette usage among <br> males | $36.22 \%$ | $28.57 \%$ | $>0.05$ |
| Those who do exercise or <br> physical activity daily | $55.5 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $>0.05$ |
| Non vegetarian diet pattern | $90.73 \%$ | $89.09 \%$ | $>0.05$ |
| Use of refined sunflower oil | $37.89 \%$ | $26.56 \%$ | $>0.05$ |
| Per capita oil consumption of <br> $>20 \mathrm{ml}$ per day | $38.37 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $>0.05$ |
| Per capita salt consumption of <br> $>5 \mathrm{ml}$ per day | $34.75 \%$ | $46.88 \%$ | $>0.05$ |

Association between Body Mass Index and various risk factors: Statistically significant association was found between study population who have Body Mass Index of $<25$ and those who have $>25$ with respect to history of alcoholism in males only. $25.64 \%$ of males with BMI < 25 and $66.15 \%$ of males with BMI > 25 have habit of alcohol consumption. The difference was found to be statistically significant.

Statistically Non- significant association was found between study population who have Body Mass Index of < 25 and those who have > 25 with respect to the following risk factors. The difference between the two groups was found to be statistically Non-significant.

Table 14

| Risk factors or variable | BMI<25 | BMI<25 | $P$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Those who do exercise or physical activity daily | 55.02\% | 54.88\% | >0.05 |
| Non vegetarian diet pattern | 90.3\% | 91.73\% | >0.05 |
| Percapita oil consumption of $>20 \mathrm{ml}$ per day | 37.92\% | 45.87\% | >0.05 |

Association between History of smoking among males and selected risk factors: Among males, history of alcoholism is seen in $75.67 \%$ of respondents who smoke and in $7.57 \%$ of respondents who does not smoke. The difference was found to be statistically significant.

## Discussion

Hypertension: Analysis of the collected Data in table 2 depicts $83.58 \%$ of the population fell in optimal systolic
(120+/- 10 mm Hg ) blood pressure and $90.86 \%$ in optimal diastolic ( $80+/-10 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ ) blood pressure, $12.57 \%$ of the population fell in Grade I systolic (140159 mm Hg ) hypertension and $7.14 \%$ fell in grade I diastolic ( $90-99 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ ) hypertension. $3.57 \%$ of population fell in Grade 2 systolic ( $160-179 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) hypertension. $1.71 \%$ of population fell in Grade 2 Diastolic (100-109 mm of Hg ) hypertension. $16.42 \%$ of the study population has Systolic hypertension of $>140$ mm of Hg and $9.14 \%$ has Diastolic hypertension of $>$

90 mm of Hg . Systolic blood pressure reading showed a mean score of 121.4 with a standard deviation of 15.17 . Diastolic blood pressure results showed a mean score of 80.5 with a standard deviation of 10.43 . Systolic hypertension of $>140 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg and Diastolic hypertension of $>90 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg was seen more commonly in > 40 age group than < 40 age group. This difference was statistically significant. Males have Systolic hypertension of $>140 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg more commonly than females $(20.63 \%$ and $10.41 \%$ respectively) and the difference was statistically significant. Similarly females have Diastolic hypertension of $>90 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg more commonly than males ( $12.84 \%$ and $6.55 \%$ respectively) and the difference was statistically significant.

A cross sectional study conducted by Joshi SV and co-workers in Mumbai, shown that, the prevalence of hypertension was $7.77 \%$ with higher prevalence in females ( $10.57 \%$ ) compared to males ( $6.13 \%$ ). Prevalence was similar to the prevalence of Diastolic hypertension in present study which was also more common in females than males. ${ }^{(10)}$ In a study done by Yajnik CS, in rural India in adults above 40 years of age $14 \%$ were hypertensive which was similar to the prevalence of Systolic hypertension in our present study. ${ }^{(11)}$ In South India the prevalence has been reported as high as $17.8 \pm 1 \%$ by Kutty VR in Kerala which was similar to the prevalence of Systolic hypertension in our present study. ${ }^{(12)}$ In a study done by Bharadwaj et al in a rural community, prevalence of Hypertension was found to be $14.8 \%$ among males and $15.9 \%$ among females. ${ }^{(13)}$ These findings are similar to the prevalence of Systolic hypertension in our present study ( $16.42 \%$ ). A cross sectional study conducted by Avadaiammal Vimala et al in Urban Kerala, reported that the prevalence of hypertension was $47 \%$, with stage-1 hypertension ( $21.6 \%$ ) was more compared to stage-2 $(9.34 \%)$. The prevalence in this study was high compared to the prevalence ( $16.42 \%$ ) in our present study most probably because of rural urban differences. ${ }^{(14)}$ Analysis of epidemiological studies on hypertension in Western Indian urban and rural cohorts by Rajeev Gupta revealed that the mean systolic BP was $126 \pm 15 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and the diastolic BP $81 \pm 9 \mathrm{mmHg}$ which was similar to the findings in our present study. ${ }^{(15)}$ A study conducted by Meenakshi Bhakshi Mehan et al, in Baroda city, among people with age group of 18-65years, revealed that, prevalence of hypertension was $6.6 \%$, and prevalence was more in males (8.9\%) compared to females (4.6\%). ${ }^{(16)}$ Prevalence in this study is similar to the prevalence of Diastolic hypertension in our present study which is more common in females than males. A cross sectional study conducted by Thankappan et al in Kerala, reported that, hypertension was prevalent in $34.9 \%$ of people, and the hypertension prevalence was more in men (36.2\%) compared to women (33.6\%) ${ }^{(17)}$ Prevalence in this study is more than the prevalence in
our present study (16.42\%) but Systolic hypertension in our study is more common in males than females which are similar to the above mentioned study.

Obesity: Table 3 shows that $71.12 \%$ of the study population has normal BMI. $9.28 \%$ of the study population were underweight, $14 \%$ were overweight and $5 \%$ of the study population were obese. The mean score of BMI was 22.62 with a standard deviation of 3.76. $15.77 \%$ males and $23.61 \%$ females have BMI of > 25 and the difference was statistically significant. $13.67 \%$ of study population who have Systolic Blood Pressure of $<140 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg and $46.08 \%$ of study population who have Systolic Blood Pressure of > 140 mm of Hg were overweight (BMI > 25). The difference was statistically significant. $16.98 \%$ of study population who have Diastolic Blood Pressure of $<90 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg and $39.06 \%$ of study population who have Diastolic Blood Pressure of $>90 \mathrm{~m}$ of Hg were overweight (BMI $>25)$. The difference was statistically significant. $15.75 \%$ males and $23.61 \%$ females are overweight and the difference is statistically significant.

In a study done by Chow et al in a rural area in Andhra Pradesh, the prevalence of Overweight and Obesity was found to be $25 \%$ and $4.4 \%$ respectively. ${ }^{(18)}$ Prevalence of obesity is similar to the prevalence of obesity in our present study (5\%). In as study done by Nawi et al in rural Indonesia, Prevalence of Obesity was found to be $4.8 \%$ among males and $12 \%$ among females. ${ }^{(19)}$ Prevalence of obesity among males is similar to the prevalence of obesity in our present study (5\%). A study conducted by Anand K, Bela Shah and co- workers revealed that, the prevalence of overweight was $16 \%$ among men and $21.9 \%$ among women. ${ }^{(20)}$ These findings are similar to the findings in our study. In a study done by Yajnik CS, in rural India in adults above 40 years of age the mean BMI was $19.4 \pm 2.8 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ in men and $19.7 \pm 3.8$ in women. ${ }^{(11)}$ Mean BMI in our present study is higher(22.62). The difference is most probably due to dietary patterns and physical inactivity. A study done by Chadha SL, Gopinath N, Shekhavat S in Delhi revealed that $21 \%$ urban men, $33 \%$ urban women, $10 \%$ rural men and $10 \%$ rural women were obese. ${ }^{(21)}$ These findings are higher than the findings in our present study (5\%) and the difference is most probably due to dietary patterns and lack of physical activity. In a study done in rural India, by Gupta R, Gupta VP, Ahluvalia NS, it was observed that $6 \%$ of the population (males $5 \%$ and females 6\%) had a BMI > 27. ${ }^{(22)}$ In the present study $13 \%$ had BMI of $>27$ and the difference may be due to dietary patterns, environmental factors etc. A cross sectional study conducted by Ranjan Tiwari and coworkers in Gwalior city, reported that prevalence of obesity was $32.7 \%$ with $34.4 \%$ of males and $31.3 \%$ of the females. ${ }^{(23)}$ The prevalence in our present study was low ( $5 \%$ ) and this may be due to rural urban difference. A cross sectional study conducted by Meenakshi

Bhakshi Mehan et al, in Baroda city, among people with age group of 18-65years, revealed that, the prevalence of high BMI (overweight and obesity) was $54.5 \%$, and prevalence was more in males (57.1\%) compared to females ( $52.3 \%$ ). ${ }^{(16)}$ The prevalence of Overweight and Obesity in our present study was $19 \%$ and this may be due to rural urban differences, dietary pattern differences and lack of physical activity in urban people
Smoking: Table 4 shows that $21.14 \%$ of the study population has habit of smoking. All the respondents (148) who have the habit of smoking are males ( $35.92 \%$ of males). Among males, history of smoking was present in $63.63 \%$ of Illiterates and $31.65 \%$ of Literates. The difference was found to be statistically significant. Among males, history of smoking was present in $23.85 \%$ of respondents who have Systolic blood pressure of $<140 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg and $82.35 \%$ of respondents who have Systolic blood pressure of $>140 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg . The difference was found to be statistically significant. Among males, history of smoking was present in $32.98 \%$ of respondents who have Diastolic blood pressure of $<90 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg and $77.77 \%$ of respondents who have Diastolic blood pressure of $>90 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg . The difference was found to be statistically significant.

A study conducted by Meenakshi Bhakshi Mehan et al, in Baroda city, among people with age group of 18-65years, revealed that, total tobacco usage habit, in any form, was $22.3 \% .{ }^{(16)}$ This is similar to the prevalence of smoking in our present study( $21.14 \%$ ). A cross sectional study conducted in Chandigharh city by Bharadwaj S, reported that prevalence of current smoking was $17.7 \%$, with $29.9 \%$ among males and $5.6 \%$ among females. ${ }^{(24)}$ This is similar to the prevalence of smoking in our present study (21.14\%).In a study done by Bharadwaj et al in a rural community, prevalence of Smoking was found to be $20.5 \%$ among males. ${ }^{(13)}$ This is similar to the prevalence of smoking in our present study $(21.14 \%)$. In a study done by Nath et al in a urban community, prevalence of Smoking was found to be $18.4 \% .{ }^{(25)}$ This is similar to the prevalence of smoking in our present study ( $21.14 \%$ ). In a study done by Chow et al in a rural area in Andhra Pradesh, the prevalence of Tobacco usage in any form was found to be $19.9 \%{ }^{(18)}$ This is similar to the prevalence of smoking in our present study ( $21.14 \%$ ). A cross sectional study conducted by Thankappan et al in rural Kerala, reported that, Tobacco usage in any form was prevalent in $17.8 \%$ of people. ${ }^{(17)}$ This is similar to the prevalence of smoking in our present study (21.14\%). A cross sectional study conducted by Sugathan TN et al, in Kerala, reported that $40 \%$ of males were current smokers. The prevalence of smoking habit was almost nil in females ( $0.4 \%$ ). ${ }^{(26)}$ In our present study $35.92 \%$ of males are smokers and smoking habit is not seen in females which is similar to the above study. In a study done by Gupta R et al in Rajasthan Smoking was seen in $58.7 \%$ of the population.(females $4.3 \%$ and males
$42.4 \%$ ). ${ }^{(27)}$ In our present study smoking was seen in $35.92 \%$ of males which is less than that of the above study.
Alcohol Consumption: Table 5 shows the habit of alcohol consumption among the study population. $18.86 \%$ of the study population has the habit of alcohol consumption. All the respondents (132) who have the habit of alcohol consumption are males ( $32.03 \%$ of males). Among males, history of alcoholism was present in $65.45 \%$ of Illiterates and $26.89 \%$ of literates. The difference was found to be statistically significant. A study conducted by Meena, Pradeep Khanna et al in Rohtak city showed that, prevalence of alcohol use was $19.7 \%$ in urban males. ${ }^{(28)}$ This is similar to the prevalence in our present study ( $18.86 \%$ ).

A cross sectional study conducted by Thankappan et al in Kerala, revealed that, prevalence of alcohol usage was $13.2 \%$; it was $26.5 \%$ in men and only $0.1 \%$ in women. ${ }^{(16)}$ The overall prevalence and prevalence in men was less than that of the present study ( $18.86 \%$ and $32.03 \%$ respectively). A multi-centric study conducted by Bela Shah et al, reported that, ever consumption of alcohol in urban men was ranging from 40-50\%. ${ }^{(29)}$ These findings are higher than that of the findings in our present study( $32.03 \%$ ) most probably due to rural urban differences. A cross sectional study conducted in Amritsar, Punjab by Jagjeet Singh et al, reported that prevalence of alcohol consumption in urban population was $25.1 \%{ }^{(30)}$ These findings are higher than that of the findings in our present study ( $18.86 \%$ ) most probably due to rural urban differences.
Physical in Activity: Table 6 shows that $49.71 \%$ of the population walks fast or run as exercise and $45 \%$ never exercise apart from their daily activities. $38.59 \%$ of males and $54.16 \%$ females never do exercises. Among those who do exercises, $53.25 \%$ do exercise for less than 30 minutes per day and $42.6 \%$ do for 30 minutes to 1 hour per day.

In a study done by Gupta R Sedentary lifestyle was seen in $33.6 \%$ of the population (males $20.3 \%$ and females 29.7). ${ }^{(27)}$ This is lower than our present study finding of $45 \%$.In a study done in rural Rajasthan, by Gupta R, Gupta VP, Ahluvalia NS it was observed that only $15 \%$ of the males and $19 \%$ of the females were physically active. ${ }^{(22)}$ In our study $60.41 \%$ males and $45.84 \%$ females are physically active. The difference may be due to increased awareness regarding exercises and their importance. In a study done by Amitavbanerjee, Swati Kathri in Pune $48.2 \%$ indulge in walking $15.29 \%$ indulge in jogging. ${ }^{(31)}$ This is similar to the finding in our present study ( $49.71 \%$ ).

## Summary and Conclusions

- Almost two thirds of the study population are males and majority of the males $(24.57 \%)$ and females ( $24.71 \%$ ) belong to the age group 30-39.
- Approximately every sixth person of the study population has Systolic hypertension of $>140 \mathrm{~mm}$
of Hg and every tenth person has Diastolic hypertension of $>90 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg .
- $12.57 \%$ of the study population fell in Grade I systolic (140-159 mm of Hg ) hypertension and $7.14 \%$ fell in Grade I diastolic ( $90-99 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) hypertension. $3.57 \%$ of population fell in Grade 2 systolic ( $160-179 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) hypertension. $1.71 \%$ of population fell in Grade 2 Diastolic (100-109 mm of Hg ) hypertension.
- More than two third of the study population has normal BMI. $9.28 \%$ were underweight, $14 \%$ were overweight and $5 \%$ of the study population were obese.


## Risk Profile

Table 15: Prevalence of various risk factors in this study

| S. No | Risk Factor | Prevalence |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Systolic hypertension | $16.42 \%$ |
| 2 | Diastolic hypertension | $9.14 \%$ |
| 3 | Over weight and obesity | $19 \%$ |
| 4 | Smoking habit | $21.14 \%$ |
| 5 | Alcohol consumption | $18.86 \%$ |
| 6 | Physical inactivity | $45 \%$ |
| 7 | Per capita salt consumption <br> of $>5$ grams per day | $35.86 \%$ |

- Approximately one fifth of the study population has habit of smoking. All the respondents who have the habit of smoking are males.
- Among those who have the habit of smoking, 69.59\% smoke daily, $35.14 \%$ use filter cigarette, $77.03 \%$ respondents smoke 1 to 5 cigarettes per day.
- Habit of alcohol consumption was observed in less than one fifth of the study population. All of them were males.
- Among those who have the habit of alcohol consumption, $48.48 \%$ use Gin/ Brand/ Whisky/ vodka, $24.24 \%$ use country liquor, $54.55 \%$ respondents consume $30-90 \mathrm{ml}$ of alcohol per day, $10.61 \%$ consume more than 300 ml of alcohol per day.
- Among females, $8.33 \%$ never do any house hold activities and $30.2 \%$ do more than 10 hours of household activities per day. Among males, $12.62 \%$ never do any external activities and $54.12 \%$ do more than 10 hours of external activities per day.
- Nearly half of the study population walks fast or run as exercise and $45 \%$ never exercise apart from their daily activities. Among those who do exercises, more than half of them do exercise for less than 30 minutes per day and $42.6 \%$ do for 30 minutes to 1 hour per day.
- Majority ( $90.57 \%$ ) of the study population were non - vegetarians. About two thirds of the study
population use non refined cooking oils. About one third of the study population had per capita oil consumption of more than 20 ml per day and per capita salt consumption of more than 5 grams per day. $8.71 \%$ consume fried foods daily. $18.43 \%$ of the population consume pickles daily.
- Considering the frequency distribution of the levels of risk for various modifiable risk factors, more than three fourth of study population were found to be under low risk and less than one fourth under moderate risk of developing CVD.
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