



Copyright © 2018 by KAD International
All rights reserved.
Published in the Ghana

<http://kadint.net/our-journal.html>



ISSN 2410-4981

Didactic Conditions of Students' Cognition and Creative Independence Formation in Heuristic Learning

Svitlana Mikhno ^{a, *}

^a H.S. Skovoroda Kharkiv National Pedagogical University, Kharkiv, Ukraine

Paper Review Summary:

Received: 2017, December 30

Received in revised form: 2018, May 20;

Acceptance: 2018, August 04

Novelty:

This paper reviews recent literature in didactic conditions of students' cognitive and how to formulate creative independence in heuristic learning.

Abstract

This article gives the definition of the concept of "didactic conditions". It theoretically substantiates the key didactic conditions of students' cognitive and creative independence formation in heuristic learning. These include the development of individual educational paths based on the use of modern information technologies. It also ensures the variability of the tasks for independent learning activities. Lastly, it aids the activation of students' reflection mechanisms on this basis.

Keywords: cognitive independence, creative independence, didactic conditions, formation, heuristic learning, student.

Introduction

The problem of determining the category of "didactic conditions" is not fully resolved in professional literature. In psychology, the concept of "condition" is represented in the context of mental development and is clarified through a set of internal and external causes which determine the individual psychological development (Vandenbroucke et al., 2018). Teachers take a similar position and consider the "condition" as a set of changeable natural, social, external and internal influences. These factors are said to affect the physical, moral, education, personality and mental development of an individual (Britto et al., 2017; Darling-Hammond, 2008).

In pedagogy, the concept of "condition" is most often combined with the adjective "pedagogical" or "didactic" (Kamerilova et al., 2016; Khutorskoi, 2006). Thus, didactic condition provides the basis for purposive selection, design and application of the elements of content, methods (techniques), as well as organizational forms of learning to achieve a didactic goal. As a result, pedagogical conditions reflect the set of the possibilities in educational and material

* Corresponding author

E-mail addresses: valeriy.61.sh@gmail.com (S. Mikhno)

environment. These possibilities influence the personal and process aspects of the pedagogical system, and ensure its effective functioning and development (Guseinova, 2018). The purpose of this article is to theoretically substantiate the didactic conditions of students' cognitive and creative independence in heuristic learning.

What is Didactic Condition?

Pedagogical literature has got several definitions of "didactic condition". Researchers note that, didactic condition can be considered as a set of objective possibilities as regards content of education, methods, organizational forms and wherewithal for their implementation, which ensure the successful resolution of the task (Valeev et al, 2016; Vaskivska, 2017). It has been established that, the didactic conditions help to achieve the didactic goal in educational settings (Valeev et al., 2016). Synthesising the definitions available in pedagogical domain, the concept of "didactic conditions" is operationalised in the study as a set of factors which will help to promote the level of the students' social competence formation in pedagogical universities (Nicolopoulou et al, 2015; Zholdasbekova et al., 2016).

Analysis of previous works regarding the definitions of didactic conditions provides varied meanings. For example, Zakharova (2015) believes that, the formation process of students' independent and cognitive development is effective through didactic conditions. This provides motivating and stimulating basis for the use of information technologies by students. Other activities may include computer training, educational games, and computer test platforms like Logit, G-speak, etc. Furthermore, Hurska (2015) defines pedagogical conditions as factors contributing to the successful functioning of the didactic system. This definition by Hurska considers the didactic condition as scientifically grounded actions and processes which positively influence the course of the phenomenon under study. Generally, they create a stimulating educational environment for intensive accumulation of social science knowledge.

Furthermore, Pavlenko's (2014) work defines didactic conditions as the expansion of the culture in educational space (personal and general educational institution). Thus, this involves the sensible use of cultural science commentary in the process of studying the humanities. Additionally, Benera (2003) states that future teachers' cognitive independence is successfully formed under systematic and conscious mastering of meaningful cognitive skills by students. In this respect, didactic conditions enable the students' pedagogical interaction to be organised in the intellectual game. In consequence, they permit students to optimally internalize the corresponding content of education which includes, the actualization of both internal and external activities. They also improve students' independence in the creative search for the ways of organizing their own professional and pedagogical activities in college and pre-school educational institutions.

Feasibility and Effectiveness of Creating a Set of Didactic Conditions

Before identifying the feasibility and effectiveness of creating a set students' didactic conditions in heuristic learning, there is a need to develop the individual educational paths based on the use of modern information technologies. One should also ensure the variability of the tasks for independent learning activities and students' reflection. The idea of individualizing the educational process is based on the idea of "navigating" the movement in the educational space to suit each student (Seong et al., 2015). The development of individual educational process involves and requires the consideration of individual characteristics, their requests, opportunities and interests at prognostic and hypothetical levels. The subject of the educational process should be tailored to their individual experiences. Students analyses their professional interests and educational needs, as well as the possibilities for future higher education. Individualizing the educational process will help them to find select the right educational programs, subjects, modules and courses needed for the career. In effect, individualizing the educational process allows for modern reorientation of education to provide functional results and competency among students.

Today, the organization of educational activities according to the individual educational path has become a rising interest. Such a path should be implemented in the educational process from the perspective of "personality-oriented-positions". Specialists characterize the meaning of the word "personality" as the holistic psychosocial nature of a person (Slobodchikov, 1995). According to Belichenko (2014), an individual educational path on the basis of predecessors' theoretical

experience generalization is realized in the individual progress in the educational space. It is worth mentioning that the implementation of individual educational paths constantly pushes students' educational needs to meet their individuality. As a result, students become active learners, creative, autonomous, purposefulness and resourceful.

Burlakova's (2013) work substantiates the essence of variable and reflective approaches as strategies for realizing the ambiguity that may arise as higher pedagogical institutions individualize students' professional training. The realization of these approaches will reveal the challenges associated with the interconnection and inter-influence of the external and internal sides of the individualization process. Remarkably, the external side correlates with the term "variability", and the internal one does with the understanding of the concept of "reflection". The analysis of the problem of variability in education is shown in the works of O. Abdullina, T. Spirina and other authors who revealed related questions (Abdullina, 1990; Abdullina, 1993; Liakh et al., 2017; Valeeva, Gafurov, 2017).

Moreover, the generalization of pedagogical experience gained from the study of variability makes it possible to consider it more as a process. This process aims at increasing the level of students' social and professional mobility. Again, it aids in improving the possibilities of the individual competent choice for life path and self-development. The development of the elective component of education correlates with the variety of organizational and legal forms of educational institutions, their types, content and forms of educational process organization (Abdullina, 1990; Valeeva, Gafurov, 2017). Additionally, many other scholars consider reflection as a generalized type of pedagogical experience which is manifested through various types of creative activities. The reconsideration of these activities enables one to differentiate the individuality among the different substructures in pedagogy, and to integrate them considerably into a unique integrity. (Osmanbekova et al., 2016; Shaidullina et al., 2015; Slastenin, 2002).

Conclusion

The leading didactic conditions for the students' cognitive and creative independence formation in heuristic learning can be considered as, the development of individual educational paths. These paths are based on the use of modern information technologies. Finally, the variability of independent learning activities and students' reflection mechanisms can aid in achieving this process.

Conflicts of interest

The author declares no financial conflicts of interest.

References:

- Abdullina, O. A. (1990). *General pedagogical training of teachers in the system of higher pedagogical education*. M: Prosveshchenie.
- Abdullina, O. A. (1993). Personality of the student in the process of professional training. *Higher Education in Russia*, (3), 48-53.
- Belichenko, V. V. (2014). *Razvitiye individualnosti studentov v obrazovatelnom protsesse vuza s ispolzovaniyem informatsionno-pedagogicheskikh resursov FGOS VPO* [Students' individuality development in the educational process of the university with using informational and pedagogical resources of the GEF VPO]. Retrieved from http://obrazovanie21.narod.ru/Files/2014_3_149-154.pdf (in Rus.)
- Britto, P. R., Lye, S. J., Proulx, K., Yousafzai, A. K., Matthews, S. G., Vaivada, T., ... & MacMillan, H. (2017). Nurturing care: Promoting early childhood development. *The Lancet*, 389(10064), 91-102.
- Burlakova, T. (2013). Osnovnye podkhody k traktovke kachestva obrazovaniya v Rossii i za rubezhom [Basic approaches to interpretation of education quality in Russia and abroad]. *Innovatsii v obrazovanii*, 1, 35-39.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (2008). Teacher learning that supports student learning. *Teaching for Intelligence*, 2(1), 91-100.
- Guseinova, E. E. (2018). Organizational and pedagogical conditions for the development of professional competencies in the technical students' individual work through the example of studying the discipline "hydraulics and fluid mechanics". *European Journal of Contemporary Education*, 7(1), 118-126.

Hurska, O. V. (2015). *Dydaktychni umovy realizatsii innovatsiynyi form navchalnoi diialnosti studentiv koledzhiv u protsesi vyvchennia suspilnoznavchykh dystsyplin* [Didactic conditions for the implementation of innovative forms of educational activity of college students in the process of studying social sciences] (Abstract of candidate's thesis). Poltava, Ukraine (in Ukr.).

Kamerilova, G. S., Kartavykh, M. A., Ageeva, E. L., Veryaskina, M. A., & Ruban, E. M. (2016). Electronic informational and educational environment as a factor of competence-oriented higher pedagogical education in the sphere of health, safety and environment. *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education*, 11(13), 6185-6194.

Khutorskoi, A. V. (2006). The place of the textbook in the didactic system. *Russian Education & Society*, 48(3), 78-93.

Liakh, T., Spirina, T., & Popova, A. (2017). Students standing before the distance learning in institution of higher education. *Електронне наукове видання "Відкрите Освітнє E-Середовище Сучасного Університету"*, 3, 80-88.

Nicolopoulou, A., Cortina, K. S., Ilgaz, H., Cates, C. B., & de Sá, A. B. (2015). Using a narrative-and play-based activity to promote low-income preschoolers' oral language, emergent literacy, and social competence. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 31, 147-162.

Ospanbekova, M. N., Duisebekova, A. E., Dauletova, A. S., Bizhanova, G. K., & Kara, A. B. (2016). Training prospective elementary school teachers for developing reflection in pupils based on innovative technologies. *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, 11(7), 2137-2150.

Pavlenko I. S. (2014). *Formuvannia zahalnokulturnoi kompetentnosti uchniv starshoi shkoly u protsesi vyvchennia predmetiv humanitarnoho tsykladu* [General cultural competence formation of high school students in the process of studying the humanities]. Ternopil, Ukraine (in Ukr.).

Seong, Y., Wehmeyer, M. L., Palmer, S. B., & Little, T. D. (2015). Effects of the self-directed individualized education program on self-determination and transition of adolescents with disabilities. *Career Development and Transition for Exceptional Individuals*, 38(3), 132-141.

Shaidullina, A. R., Maksimova, O. G., Fadeeva, E. Y., Khairullina, E. R., Valeyeva, N. S., Zaripov, R. N., ... & Kuzmin, N. V. (2015). Development dynamics study of professional and pedagogical culture of communication in professional activities of teachers. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 6(2 S3), 216.

Slastenin, V. A. (2002). Methodological reflection in pedagogical research. *Teacher education and science*, 4, 28-32.

Slobodchikov, V. I. (1995). *Osnovy psihologicheskoy antropologii. Psihologiya cheloveka: Vvedeniye v psihologiyu subektivnosti: ucheb. posobiye dlya vuzov* [Fundamentals of psychological anthropology. Human psychology: Introduction to the psychology of subjectivity: Textbook. manual for high schools]. Moscow: School – Press. (in Rus.).

Valeeva, R. A., & Gafurov, I. R. (2017). Initial teacher education in Russia: Connecting theory, practice and research. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 40(3), 342-360.

Vandenbroucke, L., Spilt, J., Verschueren, K., Piccinin, C., & Baeyens, D. (2018). The classroom as a developmental context for cognitive development: A meta-analysis on the importance of teacher–student interactions for children's executive functions. *Review of Educational Research*, 88(1), 125-164.

Vaskivska, H. O. (2017). Didactic aspects of upper secondary and university education fundamentalization. *Science and Education*, 5, 45-50.

Zakharova, H. B. (2015). *Dydaktychni umovy formuvannia vmin samostiino-piznavalnoi diialnosti studentiv zasobamy informatsiynykh tekhnolohii* [Didactic conditions for the formation of students' skills of independent and cognitive activity of by means of information technologies]. Ternopil, Ukraine (in Ukr.).

Zholdasbekova, S., Nurzhanbayeva, Z., Mavedov, R., Saipov, A., Zhiyentayeva, B., & Tlemissova, A. (2016). Didactic conditions of improvement of pedagogical personnel training at higher education institutions to dual education in the system of VET. *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education*, 11(18), 12345-12359.