

CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB

ISSN: 2349-7750

INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1064337

Available online at: <u>http://www.iajps.com</u>

Research Article

ANKLE BRACHIAL INDEX (ABI) IN PATIENTS WITH TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS

Prof. Dr. Bikha Ram Devrajani¹, Prof. Dr. Shamsuddin Shaikh^{2*}, Dr. Naveed Aslam Lashari³, Dr. Syed Zulfiquar Ali Shah⁴, Dr. Sajjad Ali⁵

¹Vice Chancellor, Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences (LUMHS),

Jamshoro, Sindh, Pakistan

² Pro Vice Chancellor, Peoples University of Medical & Health Sciences for women, Shaheed Benazirabad, Sindh Pakistan

³ Medical Specialist Pakistan Air Force (P.A.F) Hospital Lahore Punjab Pakistan
⁴ Department of Medicine, Liaquat University Hospital Hyderabad Sindh Pakistan
⁵ Steward Carney Hospital Boston, Massachusetts, U.S.A

Abstract:

Objective: To estimate the ankle brachial index in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus at tertiary care hospital **Patients and Methods:** All the diabetic population (\geq 3 years duration) between 40 - 80 years of age, either gender without previous diagnosis of peripheral arterial disease or clinical suggestive of intermittent claudication intermittent visited at tertiary care hospital from January 2016 to June 2016 & gave voluntary consent to participate in the study were recruited. The highest systolic blood pressure of lower limbs was divided by highest systolic blood pressure of lower limb was considered as ABI and the normal range is 0.9 and 1.2 while the ABI <0.9 was considered as low ABI (existence of arterial disease). The quantitative variables are presented as mean (deviation standard) and qualitative as frequencies and percentages by using statistical analyze program SPSS version 16.

Results: During six months study period total fifty patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus were evaluate for ankle-brachial index. The mean \pm for age (years) & duration of diabetes mellitus (years) for whole population was 60.52 \pm 8.92 & 9.95 \pm 3.85 respectively. The ABI was detected in 34 (68%) of the patients with male gender predominance 22 (64.7%) while the mean \pm SD for ABI in male and female population was 0.51 \pm 2.31 and 0.63 \pm 1.94 respectively.

Conclusion: Low ABI was detected in diabetic population and is strong risk factor for cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases.

Keywords: Ankle brachial index, Diabetes mellitus and Peripheral vascular disease.

Corresponding author:

Prof. Dr. Shamsuddin Shaikh Pro Vice Chancellor, Peoples University of Medical & Health Sciences for women, Shaheed Benazirabad, Sindh Pakistan Email: zulfikar229@hotmail.com



Please cite this article in press as Bikha Ram Devrajani et al., Ankle Brachial Index (ABI) In Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus, Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2017; 4(11).

INTRODUCTION:

Diabetes is associated with an increased risk of disease coronary and cerebrovascular disorders with higher risk mortalities. In addition to prevalence of arteriosclerosis high cardiovascular mortality of this population is due to its worse prognosis after a coronary complication [1-3]. All this highlights the importance of establishing preventable strategies in this high risk group. Early diagnosis of the existence of vascular disease in asymptomatic diabetic subjects could increase the degree of attention to the existence of cardiovascular risk factors, favor adoption of energetic preventive strategies aimed at control and establish measures to diagnose the presence of arteriosclerosis in vascular territories and reducing the risk of vascular complications. [4, 5] The anklebrachial index (ABI) is a simple technique and accessible to detect the presence of obstructive artery of lower limbs [6, 7], Various studies have shown that a reduced value, usually lower than 0.9, is associated with a higher risk of vascular complications (peripheral vascular diseases) and death [8, 9]. The systematic approach detect very high risk subjects who could get benefit from a strict glycemic control, the various risk factors and extensive studies for asymptomatic vascular disease in other vascular territories [10]. Thus the present study evaluates the prevalence of low or pathological ankle brachial pressure index in diabetic population at tertiary care hospital as early detections can save the patients from various complications associated with peripheral vascular diseases.

PATIENTS AND METHODS:

All the diabetic population (\geq 3 years duration) between 40 - 80 years of age, either gender without previous diagnosis of peripheral arterial disease or clinical suggestive of intermittent claudicating intermittent visited at tertiary care hospital from

January 2016 to June 2016 & gave voluntary consent to participate in the study were recruited and enrolled in this six months cross sectional descriptive study. The detail history, physical examination and relevant investigations were advised and the blood sample drawn for blood glucose, HBA1C, lipids and lipoproteins while the subjects with a diagnosis of type I diabetes mellitus were excluded from the analysis. A portable eco-doppler was used to determine the ankle ankle-brachial index (ABI), bidirectional 8 MHz and sphygmomanometer Calibrated mercury. The systolic blood pressure was measured (PAS) in the posterior tibial artery and dorsalis pedis arteries of both lower limbs and in the brachial artery of both upper limbs. The higher systolic reading of the left and right arm brachial artery is generally used for the assessment while the pressures in each foot's posterior tibial artery and dorsalis pedis artery are measured with the higher of the two values used as the ABI for that leg. The highest systolic blood pressure of lower limbs was divided by highest systolic blood pressure of lower limb. The normal range is 0.9 and 1.2 while the ABI <0.9 was considered as positive test (existence of arterial disease). All participants gave their informed consent and the quantitative variables are presented as mean (deviation standard) and qualitative as frequencies and percentages by using statistical analyze program SPSS version 16.

RESULTS:

During six months study period total fifty patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus were evaluate for anklebrachial index. The mean \pm for age (years) & duration of diabetes mellitus (years) for whole population was $60.52\pm8.92 & 9.95\pm3.85$ respectively. The demographical and clinical profile presented in Table 1 while the frequency of low ABI is presented in Table 2.

TABLE 01: THE DEMOGRAPHICAL AND CLINICAL PROFILE OF THE PATIENTS

| AGE (years) | FREQUENCY (N=50) | PERCENTAGE (%) |
|--|------------------|----------------|
| 40-49 | 11 | 22 |
| 50-59 | 14 | 28 |
| 60-69 | 13 | 26 |
| 70-80 | 12 | 24 |
| GENDER | | |
| Male | 32 | 64 |
| Female | 18 | 36 |
| RESIDENCE | | |
| Urban | 23 | 46 |
| Rural | 27 | 54 |
| Duration of diabetes mellitus (yrs) | | |
| 3-5 | 06 | 12 |
| 5-10 | 18 | 36 |
| >10 | 26 | 52 |
| НВА1С | | • |
| Normal | 12 | 24 |
| Raised | 38 | 76 |
| Treatment Status | | |
| Irregular | 32 | 64 |
| Regular | 18 | 36 |
| Type of Treatment | | |
| Oral hypoglycemic drugs | 08 | 16 |
| Insulin | 05 | 10 |
| Both | 05 | 10 |

TABLE 02: THE FREQUENCY OF LOW ABI IN DIABETIC POPULATION

| PARAMETER | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Ankle-brachial index (<0.9) | | |
| Yes | 34 | 68 |
| No | 16 | 32 |
| Gender (n=34) | | |
| Male | 22 | 64.7 |
| Female | 12 | 35.2 |
| Mean ±SD for ABI | | |
| Male | 0.51±2.31 | |
| Female | 0.63±1.94 | |

DISCUSSION:

Our data show that diabetic patients have a high prevalence of a low ABI (68%) and this prevalence, however, does not can be considered as a whole population as the study was single institutional study. In fact, the prevalence of a low ABI in previous studies in diabetics is reported to be higher, between 16% and 29%, according to age, gender and time of evolution of diabetes [11, 12]. In our study this prevalence was higher in males, at more advanced ages, in subjects who continued treatment with oral anti diabetics or insulin, which translated a more evolved disease, and in those who presented cardiovascular disease. The risk factors identified were smoking, hypertension, the raised level for serum cholesterol and triglycerides (TG), LDL-C and reduce level of HDL-C and is consistent with the study by Kennedy M, et al [13]. Diabetics with pathological ABI had a raised concentration of LDL-C however the LDL-C is a risk factor for the development of peripheral arterial disease in several studies [14, 15]. The presence of metabolic syndrome is also association with a higher prevalence of abnormal ABI in our population. Similar finding was also observed that the presence of the metabolic syndrome in diabetics is associated with a higher mortality rate [16]. In the DIAD study, 22% of diabetics without known coronary disease or clinical suspect had myocardial perfusion defects and this frequency is much higher in the diabetics with peripheral arterial disease [17, 18]. The American Diabetes Association (ADA) advises that an active search for coronary disease should be performed in diabetic population with peripheral arteriopathy and also recommended the screening for ABI to improve risk stratification in such population [19]. Thus, the prevalence of a low ABI is exist in diabetic population, relating to age, gender, time of evolution of diabetes and the presence of arteriosclerosis in other vascular territories. The determination in this population would allow to those high risk individuals who are candidates for more energetic control of their glycemic status, risk factors and screening for vascular disease at the coronary and carotid level.

CONCLUSION:

Low ABI was detected in diabetic population and is strong risk factor for cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases. Thus the ABI measurement is a simple, inexpensive, noninvasive and time nonconsuming method for atherosclerosis and subclinical atherosclerosis detection and can supply best standard approach for the cardiovascular risk prediction.

REFERENCES:

1.Wang JL. Shaw NS, Yeh HY, Kao MD. Magnesium status and association with diabetes in the Taiwanese elderly. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 2005;14(3):263-9

2.Phuong-Chi T, Phuong-Mai T, Pham SV, Miller JM, Phuong-Thu T. Hypomagnesemia in patients with type 2 diabetes. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2007;2:366-73.

3.Seyoum B, Siraj ES, Saenz C, Abdulkadir J. Hypomagnesemia in Ethiopians with diabetes mellitus. Ethn Dis 2008;18(2):147-51

4.Barbagallo M, Dominguez LJ. Magnesium metabolism in type 2 diabetes mellitus, metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance. Arch Biochem Biophys 2007;458(1):40-7

5.Corica F, Corsonello A, Lentile R, Cucinotta D, Benedetto AD, Perticone F, et al. Serum Ionized Magnesium Levels in Relation to Metabolic Syndrome in Type 2 Diabetic Patients. J Am Coll Nutr 2006;25(3):210-5.

6.Lin JS, Olson CM, Johnson ES, Whitlock EP. The ankle-brachial index for peripheral artery disease screening and cardiovascular disease prediction among asymptomatic adults: a systematic evidence review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med. 2013 Sep 3;159(5):333-41

7.Balta S, Demirkol S, Demir M, et al. Anklebrachial index in coronary artery disease. Clinics (Sao Paulo). 2014 Sep; 69(9): 653.

8.Ponka D, Baddar F. Ankle-brachial index. Can Fam Physician. 2013 Mar; 59(3): 270.

9.Khan TH, Farooqui FA, Niazi K. Critical Review of the Ankle Brachial Index. Curr Cardiol Rev. 2008 May; 4(2): 101–106.

10.Davies JH, Kenkre J, Williams EM. Current utility of the ankle-brachial index (ABI) in general practice: implications for its use in cardiovascular disease screening. BMC Fam Pract. 2014; 15: 69.

11.Ogren M, Hedblad B, Engstrom G, Janzon L. Prevalence and prognostic significance of asymptomatic peripheral arterial disease in 68-yearold men with diabetes. Results from the population study «Men born in 1914 from Malmo, Sweden. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2005;29(2):182-9.

12.Kallio M, Forsblom C, Groop PH, Groop L, Lepantalo M. Development of new peripheral arterial occlusive disease in patients with type 2 diabetes during a mean follow-up of 11 years. Diabetes Care. 2003;26(4):1241-5.

13.Kennedy M, Solomon C, Manolio TA, Criqui MH, Newman AB, Polak JF, et al. Risk factors for declining ankle-brachial index in men and women 65 years or older: the Cardiovascular Health Study. Arch Intern Med. 2005 Sep 12;165(16):1896-902.

14.Murabito JM, Evans JC, Nieto K, Larson MG, Levy D, Wilson PW. Prevalence and clinical correlates of peripheral arterial disease in the Framingham Offspring Study. Am Heart J. 2002;143(6):961-5.

15. Curb JD, Masaki K, Rodríguez BL, Abbott RD, Burchfiel CM, Chen R, et al. Peripheral artery disease and cardiovascular risk factors in the elderly. The Honolulu Heart Program. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 1996; 16(12):1495-500.

16. Malik S, Wong ND, Franklin SS, Kamath TV, L'Italien GJ, Pio JR, et al. Impact of the metabolic syndrome on mortality from coronary heart disease, cardiovascular disease, and all causes in United States adults. Circulation.2004;110(10):1245-50.

17. Wackers FJ, Young LH, Inzucchi SE, Chyun DA, Davey JA, Barrett EJ, et al. Detection of silent myocardial ischemia in asymptomatic diabetic subjects: the DIAD study. Diabetes Care. 2004;27(8):1954-61.

18. Rajagopalan N, Miller TD, Hodge DO, Frye RL, Gibbons RJ. Identifying high-risk asymptomatic diabetic patients who are candidates for screening stress single-photon emission computed tomography imaging. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;45(1):43-9.

19. Di Carli MF, Hachamovitch R. Should we screen for occult coronary artery disease among asymptomatic patients with diabetes? J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;45(1):50-3.