OPEN ACCESS

Hydrobiology of reservoir Thodga, Ahmedpur Dist. Latur 413515 (MS) India

Patil Prashant V

Mrs. K.S. K. College Beed 431122 <u>E-Mail-drprashantpatil123@yahoo.com</u>

Manuscript Details

Available online on <u>http://www.irjse.in</u> ISSN: 2322-0015

Editor: Dr. Arvind Chavhan

Cite this article as:

Patil Prashant V. Hydrobiology of reservoir Thodga, Ahmedpur Dist. Latur 413515 (MS) India, *Int. Res. Journal of Science & Engineering*, April 2018 | Special Issue A6: 75-77.

© The Author(s). 2018 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

ABSTRACT

Thodga reservoir is a minor irrigation reservoir at Thodga, Ahmedpur Dist Latur. The reservoir is constructed in the year 1994 for irrigation purpose. The total catchment area of reservoir is 46.7589 sq. km. The village Thodga use this water for irrigation and pisciculture. The fishermen society also use this water for pisciculture activities. The hydrobiology aspects of this reservoir are studied in three i.e. Rainy, Winter and Summer. The biotic and abiotic factors of this reservoir were studied. The details of these factors are given in the text.

Key words- Thodga, Hydrobiology

INTRODUCTION

Number of minors, medium and major reservoirs are constructed for irrigation and pisciculture activies. Reservoirs plays important role in developing the agriculture sector. The runoff water is stored in the form of dams and reservoirs for irrigation, pisciculture, power generation etc. Several scientists have worked on hydrobiological conditions of reservoir in India [1] [2] [3,] [4][5][6][7][8][9][10][11][12].

MATERIAL AND METHOD

For the study two spots were selected namely Spot A and Spot B. The spot A is near waste weir and spot B is at the opposite of spot A the area of this spot is infested with many aquatic plants. The samples were collected in morning hours between 7.00 am to 8.00 am and calculated as per the guidelines given in Trivedi and Goel (1986).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Biotic and Abiotic factors are important in the production of pond. Water is the primary requisite for pisciculture and which offers most favorable conditions for the existence of fishes and other organisms. Physicochemical factors of a particular water body have effects on the fish production of that water body. Physicochemical factors play important role in the distribution and the survival of aquatic life. Fish production is also depent on these factors [13] studied water qualities of several ponds in Manipur, Assam, West Bengal, Orissa, Madhya Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh in relation to fish production. According to him pH ranges from 6.5 to 7.5 is most favorable for fish production. The total alkalinity below 20ppm is indicative of poor production. Dissolved Oxygen content below 5ppm may be considered unfavorable for fish production where as normal condition of oxygen above 7ppm is

suitable for productive water body. Abidi and Thakur [14] suggested the ideal values of physicochemical characteristics of fresh water some of them are as fallows.

- 1) Temperature (Tropical Climate): 25°C to 32°C
- 2)Total Alkalinity: 50 to 300 mg/lit
- 3) Dissolved Oxygen: 5 to 10 mg/lit
- 4) Free Carbon dioxide = more 3 mg/lit
- 5) Productivity = 1000 to 3000 mgC/m3/day

Sewell probably did the first study of water quality of a fish pond in India, when he studied the mortality of a fish in the museum tank in Calcutta. Banergea and Roy Choudhary [15] has studied physic-chemical features of Chilka lake, Dwivedi and Choudhary [16] has studied hydrobiology of Kettham lake. Desilva [17] studied limnological aspects of three main made lakes of Srilanka. Trivedi and Goel [18] studied the changes in pH, Do2 content free Co2 at three ponds of Mangloor (Karnatka).

| Mont h | Temperature | | | | рН | | Do | | Co2 | | Alkalinity | | Turbidity | |
|-----------|--------------|-------|----------------|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | Air A & B | | Water A & B | | Spot A | Spot B | Spot A | Spot B | Spot A | Spot B | Spot A | Spot B | Spot A | Spot B |
| | | | | | 11 | D | 11 | D | 11 | D | | D | | D |
| June | 32 | 30 | 24 | 23 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 87 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 48.73 | 49 | 42 | 44 |
| July | 31 | 31 | 22 | 23 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 0.81 | 0.6 | 49.60 | 49.1 | 40 | 44 |
| Aug | 31 | 30 | 23 | 22 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 8.5 | 8.8 | 0.82 | 0.67 | 51.2 | 49.2 | 40 | 45 |
| Sept | 32 | 30 | 23 | 21 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 9.0 | 0.73 | 0.7 | 56.33 | 50.1 | 39.5 | 46 |
| Oct | 30 | 29 | 24 | 22 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 8.6 | 9.1 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 58 | 51.2 | 39 | 46 |
| Nov | 30 | 29 | 22 | 20 | 7.7 | 7.2 | 8.7 | 9.2 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 60.1 | 52.2 | 37 | 42 |
| Dec | 29 | 27 | 22 | 19 | 7.7 | 8 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 62.4 | 54.1 | 33 | 40 |
| Jan | 30 | 28 | 20 | 21 | 7.5 | 8 | 8.9 | 9.1 | 0.42 | 0.6 | 64 | 56.7 | 33 | 40 |
| Feb | 31 | 29 | 21 | 24 | 8 | 8.1 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 0.39 | 0.6 | 63 | 58.2 | 32 | 39 |
| Mar | 32 | 32 | 24 | 25 | 8 | 8 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 0.33 | 0.5 | 65.2 | 59.7 | 30 | 39 |
| Apr | 34 | 34 | 24 | 26 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 9.1 | 9.3 | 0.32 | 0.5 | 62.1 | 60.2 | 30 | 35 |
| May | 35 | 35 | 25 | 26 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 8.9 | 9.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 61 | 64.3 | 35 | 33 |
| Avg. | 31.41 | 30.30 | 21 | 22.6 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 9.03 | 0.54 | 0.62 | 58.47 | 58.59 | 39.12 | 41.08 |

Table 1: shows the Physico-chemical parameters

The temperature was recorded by standard thermometer and average values of Temperature was ranged between 31.41°c and 30.30.3°C at spot A and B. similarly the average values of water temperature ranged between at spot A 210C and 22.6°C at spot B. The pH was recorded by standard pH meter it was ranged at spot A between 8.3 to and 7.7 at spot B similar results were observed that water is favorable for pisciculture. The dissolved oxygen contents are expressed in mg/lit and it was ranged 8.7 mg/lit and 9.03mg/lit at spot B. The carbon dioxide is expressed in mg/lit and it was ranged at spot A 0.54 mg/lit and 0.62 mg/lit at spot B. The Alkalinity is expressed in mg Caco3/lit and it was ranged between 58.47 mg C/lit and 58.59 mg C/lit at spot B. The results of turbidity show 39.12 at spot A and 41.08 at spot B. The turbidity was recorded by Secchi disc method and it was more at both the spot in rainy season due to optimum rain fall and mixing of runoff water in the rainy season.

The above results show that the ideal values of physicchemical characters of fresh water reservoir Thodga. The reservoir is potable for fish culture.

Conflicts of interest: The authors stated that no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Sriniwasan. Status of reservoir fisheries in Tamilnadu Madras J. fisheries VII, 1962 60-68.
- 2. Sriniwasan. Limnology and Fish productivity of Poondi reservoir, *Madras J. of Fishries*, 1969; VI : 101-112.
- 3. Osteen. Researches on Great lakes in U.S.A. Ihthyologia, 1962; Vol.II.
- 4. Banergea & Roy Choudhary. Observations in some physic chemical Features of the Chilka Lake, *Indian J.Fishries*, 1966, VII (1/2) 396-421.
- 5. Rajan. Pond productivity of and Photosynthesis by aquatic communities in North West China . *Ecol.* 1965, 40: 327-383.
- 6. Kulkarni. Water quality testing of seetakhandi tank for fish culture preliminary approach Nat. Conf. 2000.
- Patnaik. Observation on Seasonal fluctuations of plankton in the Chilka lake, *Indian J.Fishries*, 1973; 20, (112): 43-55
- Motwani & Saigal. Fish fauna of Sardasagar reservoir in Pilibhit (U.P.) and some recommendations for development of reservoir fisheries. *Indian J. Fishries*, 1974; 21;109-111

- Kohli. In final project of studies on Hydrobiology and Fishries of Powai lake Mumbai CIFE Research Proj.1-FE-M 40/8105 Sept.1987-Aug 1989;1-64
- 10. Dubey & Verma. Hydrobiological Study of Freshwater reservoir M.P. Nat. Semi. Proc. 1996: 42-66
- 11. Kularni & Kanwate. The study of fish cultural aspects of Kndhar tank, 1998
- 12. Sahu *et al.* Diel fluctuation s of some water quality parameters of the river Ganga (Rishikesh Kanpur) during June 1994, *Poll.Res*, 1995; 15 (1): 61-65.
- 13. Banergea. A note on hydrobiology of Bhawanisagar reservoir for year 1956-1961. *Madras J. of Fishries*, 1967; 1: 70-71.
- Abidi & Thakur. Recent advances in mamagement of water quality parameters in aquaculture CIFE Mumbai. 1977.
- 15. Banergea & Roy Choudhari, Observations in some physic chemical Features of the Chilka Lake. *Indian J.Fishries*, 1966; VI (1/2) 126-251.
- Dwivedi & Choudhari. Hydrobiiology of Kettham lake. 1977
- Desilva. Recomandations in reservoir fishriesof Asia-55 International development –Research centre, Ottawa, Canada Desilva (Ed) 1992; 13-17
- 18. Trivedi & Goel. Chemical and Biological methods for water pollution studies Environmental pub. Karad India, 1995,

© 2018 | Published by IRJSE

Submit your manuscript to a IRJSE journal and benefit from:

- ✓ Convenient online submission
- ✓ Rigorous peer review
- ✓ Immediate publication on acceptance
- ✓ Open access: articles freely available online
- ✓ High visibility within the field

Email your next manuscript to IRJSE : editorirjse@gmail.com

Int. Res. J. of Science & Engineering, Special Issue A6, April, 2018: