

Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease

journal homepage: <http://www.apjtc.com>Original article <https://doi.org/10.12980/apjtd.7.2017D7-137>

©2017 by the Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease. All rights reserved.

Entamoeba spp. diagnosis in patients with inflammatory diarrhea by staining, copro-antigen ELISA and multiplex PCR methodsZahra Gharibi^{1,2}, Forough Kazemi^{1,2}, Mehdi Tavalla^{2,3*}¹Student Research Committee, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran²Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Science, Ahvaz, Iran³Health Research Institute, Infectious and Tropical Diseases Research Center, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 Jun 2017

Received in revised form 20 Jun 2017

Accepted 2 Aug 2017

Available online 25 Sep 2017

Keywords:*Entamoeba* spp.

Inflammatory diarrhea

Trichrome

Copro-antigen ELISA

Multiplex PCR

ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate *Entamoeba* spp. diagnosis in patients with inflammatory diarrhea by staining, copro-antigen ELISA and multiplex PCR methods.**Methods:** In this descriptive cross-sectional survey, 200 stool samples were randomly collected during 2015–2016. The stool samples were evaluated microscopically for the presence of the parasite using direct and formalin-ether concentration and trichrome staining methods. Then, the stool samples were examined by copro-antigen ELISA (Biomerica Company) and multiplex PCR methods.**Results:** Of 200 samples, 17, 29 and 23 cases were positive for *Entamoeba* species by the staining, copro-antigen ELISA and multiplex PCR methods, respectively. Of 23 positive samples in multiplex PCR test, 13 and 10 samples were positive for *Entamoeba dispar* (*E. dispar*) and *Entamoeba histolytica* (*E. histolytica*), respectively.**Conclusions:** Our finding indicated a relatively high prevalence of *Entamoeba* species in patients with inflammatory diarrhea in Ahvaz city. Due to the complications of *E. histolytica/dispar* infection, the health authorities of the city must pay more attention to control and prevent the transmission of *E. histolytica/dispar* to individuals.**1. Introduction**

Intestinal parasitic infections (IPIs) have the high prevalence at around the world, especially in developing countries[1]. Approximately, one-third of the people of the world (more than two billion people) are in the influence of IPIs. About 450 million people suffer from IPIs that at least 50% of these individuals are children. Lack of health care, the tropical wet climate, lack of access to safe drinking water, poverty, and illiteracy are some factors associated with IPIs[2,3]. In recent years, the prevalence of IPIs has been reported in different areas of Iran[4-7]. *Entamoeba histolytica/dispar* (*E. histolytica/dispar*) is known as the second factor that causing death among individuals with parasitic diseases. The parasites are one of the main causes of threatening individual health, especially in travelers[8]. Studies have been reported that *E.*

dispar and *E. histolytica* are morphologically similar but genetically and biochemically are different that only *E. histolytica* is causing of the disease[9]. Also, 90% of *E. histolytica* infections and all *Entamoeba moshkovskii* and *E. dispar* infections are asymptomatic that *E. histolytica* infection can lead to amebiasis that is defined as extra intestinal or invasive intestinal, asymptomatic disease. The infections are one of the most predominant parasitic infections worldwide that can be leading to 40000–100000 deaths per year and infecting approximately fifty million individuals, especially in developing countries[9].

In a systematic review and meta-analysis study, in Iran during 1988–2009, Karambaigi *et al.* showed that the prevalence of *E. histolytica/dispar* was observed 1.3% (2.5% and 0.8% at rural and urban areas, respectively)[10]. *E. histolytica/dispar* infections are one of the serious concerns of public health, especially in the tropical and subtropical areas. On the other hand, in summer season, Ahvaz city has a temperature of about 50 °C. Thus, Ahvaz has the tropical and subtropical climates. Hence, due to the climatic and ecological conditions in the city, evaluation of the prevalence rate of *E. histolytica/dispar* infections was essential. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate *Entamoeba* spp. diagnosis in patients with inflammatory diarrhea by staining, copro-antigen ELISA and

*Corresponding author: Dr. Mehdi Tavalla, Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Science, Ahvaz, Iran.

Tel: +98 61 333337077

E-mail: Am.tavalla@gmail.com

Foundation Project: Supported by Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences project registered with OG-93140 code.

The journal implements double-blind peer review practiced by specially invited international editorial board members

multiplex PCR methods.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study area

Ahvaz is a city in the center of Khuzestan Province in southwest of Iran. The city is 375 square km and its population has been reported as 1 425 891 until 2006. The city has a desert climate with temperatures above 50 °C that is one of the warmest cities in the world. The average annual rainfall is about 230 mm. According to report by the World Health Organization, Ahvaz has the most polluted climate in the world[11].

2.2. Sample collection

In this descriptive cross-sectional survey, the study population was individuals referred to the teaching hospitals and health centers of Ahvaz city under Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences during 2015–2016. At first, the purpose and nature of the study were told to the referred individuals. Then, 200 stool samples (83 from females and 117 from males) were randomly collected among them. The data such as sex, age, and disease symptoms were collected using the questionnaire[6,11].

2.3. Stool examination and trichrome staining

The stool specimens (1–3 g) were collected in labeled plastic vials without any preservatives and were tested immediately (less than 60 min after collecting). Then, we macroscopically evaluated the consistency of stool samples, the presence of mucus, blood and the worm parasites. Finally, the stool samples were microscopically evaluated for the presence of the parasite trophozoites, cysts, and eggs using the direct and formalin-ether concentration methods. Briefly, 0.5–1.5 g stool samples were mixed with 4 mL formal saline. After filtering, diethyl ether was added and mixed once again. After centrifuging, the sediment was stained with trichrome and was examined by light microscope (40× magnification)[11–13].

2.4. Copro-antigen ELISA

The stool samples were examined by copro-antigen ELISA (*E. histolytica/dispar* ELISA kit from Biomerica company) method[14,15].

2.5. Extraction of DNA

The DNA was extracted by the DNA extraction kit for stool (Bioneer) and the extracted DNA was stored at –20 °C. This kit contained spin column that the parasite DNA was absorbed by the column and after twice washing with special buffers, the purified DNA was obtained.

2.6. Molecular analysis

The extracted DNA was examined by multiplex PCR. We used from the specific primers that including EntaF, 5'-ATGCACGAGAGCGAAAGCAT-3', EhR, 5'-GATCTAGAAACAATGCTTCTCT-3', EdR, 5'-CACCACTTACTATCCCTACC-3' and EmR, 5'-TGACCGGAGCCAGAGACAT-3' for the diagnosis *Entamoeba* spp. [16]. These primers were designed based on small subunit ribosomal

RNA (16S rRNA) gene that was used at the identification of all the different species of *Entamoeba*. The primers were purchased from Bioneer Company and were stored at –20 °C. After molecular analysis, the amplified products of multiplex PCR were observed by the ethidium bromide staining after electrophoresis on agarose gels 1.5%[16].

2.7. Statistical analysis

SPSS statistical software of version 16 was used for the data analysis and *Chi*-square test for significance differences. The *P* value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

Table 1 shows the symptoms of patients with inflammatory diarrhea in Ahvaz, southwest of Iran. Of 200 samples, 17, 29 and 23 cases were positive for *Entamoeba* species by the staining, copro-antigen ELISA and multiplex PCR methods, respectively. Of 23 positive samples in multiplex PCR test, 13 and 10 samples were positive for *E. dispar* and *E. histolytica*, respectively. On the other hand, among infected men and women significant differences were seen and no significant differences were observed between vomiting, fever and abdominal pain with *Entamoeba* species infected patients (*P* > 0.05). Only, significant difference was observed between diarrhea and *Entamoeba* species infected patients (*P* = 0.027).

Table 1

The symptoms of patients with inflammatory diarrhea in Ahvaz, southwest of Iran.

| Symptoms | Yes/No | Numbers | Percentage |
|----------------|--------|---------|------------|
| Diarrhea | Yes | 160 | 80 |
| | No | 40 | 20 |
| Vomiting | Yes | 72 | 36 |
| | No | 128 | 64 |
| Fever | Yes | 32 | 16 |
| | No | 168 | 84 |
| Abdominal pain | Yes | 104 | 52 |
| | No | 96 | 48 |

4. Discussion

IPIs are one of the serious concerns of the public health in several countries, in particular in the tropical and subtropical developing countries. The infections have been seen mainly in children. The prevalence of IPIs in each community is indicator of the health status of the area. Some environmental factors such as geographical location, climate, poverty, inadequate health conditions and economic situation, as well as personal factors such as nutrition, safety conditions, health status, cultural habits, literacy, and the high density of population facilitate the prevalence of IPIs[3,17]. Several evaluations were conducted in the different areas of Iran that showed the high prevalence of IPIs[4–7]. In the study, we demonstrated that of 200 samples, 17, 29 and 23 cases were positive for *Entamoeba* species by the staining, copro-antigen ELISA and multiplex PCR methods, respectively. These results were consistent with the conducted study by Koohsar *et al.* in Gorgan Province in 2013[18]. Also, in a systematic review and meta-analysis study, in Iran during 1988–2009, Karambaigi *et al.* showed that the prevalence

of *E. histolytica/dispar* was observed 1.3% (2.5% and 0.8% at rural and urban areas, respectively)[10].

Copro-antigen ELISA and the microscopic analysis of stool are appropriate screening methods for the identification of *Entamoeba* infections among patients with diarrhea. Due to the incapability of the initial screening methods for detection of *E. dispar* and *E. histolytica*, the confirmatory test of PCR is recommended. Serological methods are an appropriate indicator for *E. histolytica*; but occasionally, cross-reactions with *E. dispar* parasite are possible[19]. The cognition of *E. dispar* and *E. histolytica* has provided significant insights into the epidemic behavior of amoebiasis[20]. Hence, we indicated that of 23 positive samples in multiplex PCR test, 13 and 10 samples were positive for *E. dispar* and *E. histolytica*, respectively. Unlike our results, previous studies indicated that *E. dispar* was approximately ten times more common in stool samples than *E. histolytica* [19,21].

In the study, the prevalence of *E. histolytica/dispar* infections in males was higher than females. This difference can be explained by sample size, number of individuals referred to the hospital. Overall, the differences of present study with other studies may be attributed to number of study population, cultural habits of the region, methodology, type of sampling, occupations, sanitary status, geographical location and many other factors. Our findings showed that still the prevalence of *E. histolytica/dispar* infections is relatively high and it can be the serious risk threatening the public health, especially, in children. Therefore, the improvement of the life style of people is essential that its results can be leading to preventing the risk of *E. histolytica/dispar* infections. In conclusion, our finding indicated a relatively high prevalence of *Entamoeba* species in patients with inflammatory diarrhea of Ahvaz city. Due to the complications of *E. histolytica/dispar* infection, the health authorities of the city must pay more attention to control and prevent the transmission of *E. histolytica/dispar* to individuals.

Conflict of interest statement

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude towards Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences for sponsoring this research project registered with OG-93140 code. Thanks also go to the Department of Parasitology, Jundishapur University of Ahvaz for its assistance in laboratory tasks.

References

- [1] Omrani VF, Fallahi S, Rostami A, Siyadatpanah A, Barzgarpour G, Mehravar S, et al. Prevalence of intestinal parasite infections and associated clinical symptoms among patients with end-stage renal disease undergoing hemodialysis. *Infection* 2015; **43**(5): 537-44.
- [2] Sadeghi H, Borji H. A survey of intestinal parasites in a population in Qazvin, north of Iran. *Asian Pac J Trop Dis* 2015; **5**: 231-3.
- [3] Alum A, Rubino JR, Ijaz MK. The global war against intestinal parasites—should we use a holistic approach? *Int J Infect Dis* 2010; **14**: e732-8.
- [4] Tappeh H. Evaluation of prevalence of intestinal parasites in adult patients with or without gastrointestinal manifestations referring to Oncology Clinic of Urmia Imam Khomeini Hospital. *Urmia Med J* 2011; **22**: 309-14.
- [5] Saki J, Asadpoori R, Khademvatan S. Prevalence of intestinal parasites in vegetables consumed in Ahvaz, south west of Iran. *J Med Sci* 2013; **13**: 488.
- [6] Sadeghi H, Bakht M, Saghafi H, Shahsavari T. Prevalence of intestinal parasites in a population in Eghbaleh city from Qazvin Province, Iran. *J Parasit Dis* 2015; **39**(2): 126-9.
- [7] Khoshnood S, Saki J, Rafiei A, Alizadeh K. Prevalence of intestinal parasitic infections among people in Baghmalek during 2013–2014. *Jentashapur J Health Res* 2015; **6**. doi: 10.5812/jjhr.24792.
- [8] Khedmat H, Shirbazou SH, Izadi M, Nakhaei F, Hosseini M. Clinical and laboratory status of enteric symptomatic amoebiasis in patients. *Trauma Mon* 2011; **16**: 175-80.
- [9] Solaymani-Mohammadi S, Rezaian M, Babaei Z, Rajabpour A, Meamar AR, Pourbabai AA, et al. Comparison of a stool antigen detection kit and PCR for diagnosis of *Entamoeba histolytica* and *Entamoeba dispar* infections in asymptomatic cyst passers in Iran. *J Clin Microbiol* 2006; **44**: 2258-61.
- [10] Karambaigi F, Abdi J, Sayehmiri K. Prevalence of *Entamoeba histolytica* in Iran during 1988 to 2009: systematic review and meta-analyses. *Afr J Microbiol Res* 2012; **6**: 3944-7.
- [11] Safi M, Tavalla M, Mardani M, Afrisham R. Prevalence of intestinal parasitic infections among applicants for health cards attending Ahvaz East Health Center during 2012–2013. *Asian Pac J Trop Dis* 2016; **6**: 151-4.
- [12] Hooshyar H, Bagherian T, Baghbani F. Prevalence of intestinal parasitic infections among patients referred to Kashan Reference Laboratory in 2007–2011. *Jundishapur J Health Sci* 2013; **5**: 18-22.
- [13] Shoab S, Hafiz A, Tauheed S. Role of trichrome staining techniques in the diagnosis of intestinal parasitic infections. *J Pak Med Assoc* 2002; **52**(4): 152-4.
- [14] Fotedar R, Stark D, Beebe N, Marriott D, Ellis J, Harkness J. Laboratory diagnostic techniques for *Entamoeba* species. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2007; **20**: 511-32.
- [15] El-Hamshary E, El-Shewy K, Hegazy M, Zakaria H. Diagnostic potentials of copro-antigen detection based ELISA, compared to microscopy in intestinal amoebiasis. *J Egypt Soc Parasitol* 2004; **34**: 601-10.
- [16] Hamzah Z, Petmitr S, Mungthin M, Leelayoova S, Chavalitshewinkoon-Petmitr P. Differential detection of *Entamoeba histolytica*, *Entamoeba dispar*, and *Entamoeba moshkovskii* by a single-round PCR assay. *J Clin Microbiol* 2006; **44**: 3196-200.
- [17] Abedi M, Dabirzadeh M, Zohoor A, Biranvand L, Vatanparast A. Prevalence study of intestinal parasitic infections among health card applicants Zabol City in 2012. *J Rostamineh* 2013; **5**: 53-9.
- [18] Koohsar F, Amini A, Asghar Ayatollahi A, Niknejad F, Abbasi Nejat Z. The prevalence of intestinal worms and amoebiasis in Gorgan (2005–2011). *Med Lab J* 2013; **7**: 54-60.
- [19] Herbinger KH, Fleischmann E, Weber C, Perona P, Löscher T, Bretzel G. Epidemiological, clinical, and diagnostic data on intestinal infections with *Entamoeba histolytica* and *Entamoeba dispar* among returning travelers. *Infection* 2011; **39**: 527-35.
- [20] Walsh JA. Problems in recognition and diagnosis of amoebiasis: estimation of the global magnitude of morbidity and mortality. *Rev Infect Dis* 1986; **8**: 228-38.
- [21] Gutiérrez-Cisneros M, Cogollo R, López-Vélez R, Martín-Rabadán P, Martínez-Ruiz R, Subirats M, et al. Application of real-time PCR for the differentiation of *Entamoeba histolytica* and *E. dispar* in cyst-positive faecal samples from 130 immigrants living in Spain. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol* 2010; **104**: 145-9.