RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

Production of Biofertilizers From Agro – Wastes

¹Vidhya Devi, ²Dr. V. Judia Harriet Sumathy

PG & Research Department of Biotechnology, Women's Christian College, Chennai – 600006

Abstract:

In the past centuries, the farmers were eager in the usage of chemical fertilizers as it yielded great number of crops. But eventually, they realized that chemical fertilizers affects the soil fertility and kills the beneficial microbes which enhances the growth of the crops. The major issue they faced using chemical fertilizers not only affected the soil but human beings as well. To overcome this problem faced by farmers, Biofertilizers came as the solution. It is an ecofriendly method both to environment and farmers. The Biofertilizer and biological waste are used to replace the usage of chemical fertilizers as it does not contain any toxic substance and makes the soil enriched. Use of such natural products like biofertilizers in crop cultivation will help in safeguarding the soil health and also the quality of crop products. Solid State Fermentation has been defined as a fermentation process which is used in cultivation of microorganisms under controlled conditions in the absence or near absence of free water. It is a potential technology that is used in the production of microbial products such as feed, fuel, food, chemical and pharmaceutical products. Solid substrate generally provides a good environment to the microbial flora containing bacteria, fungi and yeast. The present study is aimed at producing Biofertilizers from Agro - wastes using Solid State Fermentation.

Keywords — Chemical Fertilizers, Bio fertilizers, Microbial Products, Agro – wastes and Solid State Fermentation.

INTRODUCTION

Biofertilizers are defined as preparations containing living cells or latent cells of efficient strains of microorganisms that help crop plants' uptake of nutrients by their interactions in the rhizosphere when applied through seed or soil (Laditi et. al. 2012). They accelerate certain microbial processes in the soil which augment the extent of availability of nutrients in a form easily assimilated by plants (Moola Ram1 et. al. 2014). Very often microorganisms are not as efficient in natural surroundings as one would expect them to be and therefore artificially multiplied cultures of efficient selected microorganisms play a vital role in accelerating the microbial processes in soil. Use of biofertilizers is one of the important components of integrated nutrient management, as they are cost effective and renewable source of plant nutrients to supplement the chemical fertilizers for sustainable agriculture (Bákonyi et. al. 2013). Several microorganisms and their association with crop plants are being exploited in the production of biofertilizers (Rakesh Kumar Meena et. al. 2014). They can be grouped in different ways based on their nature and function (Table 1).

S. No.	Groups	Examples
N2 fixing Biofertilize	ers	
1.	Free-living	Azotobacter, Beijerinkia, Clostridium, Klebsiella, Anabaena, Nostoc,
2.	Symbiotic	Rhizobium, Frankia, Anabaena azollae
3.	Associative Symbiotic	Azospirillum
P Solubilizing Biofer	tilizers	
1.	Bacteria	Bacillus megaterium var. phosphaticum, Bacillus subtilis Bacillus circulans, Pseudomonas striata
2.	Fungi	Penicillium sp, Aspergillus awamori
P Mobilizing Biofert	ilizers	
1.	Arbuscular mycorrhiza	Glomus sp.,Gigaspora sp.,Acaulospora sp., Scutellospora sp. & Sclerocystis sp.
2.	Ectomycorrhiza	Laccaria sp., Pisolithus sp., Boletus sp., Amanita sp.
3.	Ericoid mycorrhizae	Pezizella ericae
4.	Orchid mycorrhiza	Rhizoctonia solani
Biofertilizers for Mic	cro nutrients	•
1.	Silicate and Zinc solubilizers	Bacillus sp.
Plant Growth Promo	oting Rhizobacteria	
1.	Pseudomonas	Pseudomonas fluorescens
	•	•

Table 1: Types of Biofertilizers

India's agriculture is composed of many crops especially besides wheat and rice besides pulses, potatoes, sugarcane, coffee, oil seeds and jute (Shah Alam and Rajendra Kumar Seth, 2012; Dumitrescu et.al., 2009). Currently, the total agricultural output is lost due to inefficiencies in harvesting, transport and storage of government subsidized crops. (Abdullahi et. al., 2012). Decline of agriculture is due to depletion of soil fertility and also partially associated with unfavourable distribution of rainfall, drought, storm and floods. The major problem faced by the farmers are high cost of inorganic fertilizers require for the plant growth (Anita Khanafari et. al. 2012). The chemical fertilizer pollutes the air, soil and water polluting agents during the production of crops. In the present study, different fruits are used as bio fertilizers to check the efficiency in improving plant growth (Soh-Fong Lim and Sylvester UsanMatu, 2015). The microorganisms present in various fertilizers which benefit the plant growth have also been studied (Muhammad Yasin et.al., 2012).

MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

COLLECTION OF SAMPLES

Agro – wastes (rotten fruits) were collected from the fruit market near CMBT. The five different fruits used for the present study are watermelon, papaya, pine apple, custard apple and guava (**Figure 1**). Fruits were cut into small pieces and smashed. They were used for Solid-State Fermentation (SSF). The soil samples were collected from Kanchipuram and Kolathur (**Figures 2 - 3**).



Figure 1: Collection of fruits wastes



Figure 2: Kanchipuram



Figure 3: Kolathur

PREPARATION FOR FERMENTATION PROCESS

Two batch of fermentation process were carried out - BATCH - I & II.

MATERIALS REQUIRED

- 1. Polyethene bottle
- 2. Fruit wastes (rotten)
- 3. Distilled water

BATCH - I

ISSN: 2395-1303 http://www.ijetjournal.org Page 455

Five hundred grams of water melon wastes was placed in a polythene bottle which has a capacity of 2.5 L. Hundred milliliters of water was added to it. The bottle was kept undisturbed for 30 -40 days until the soluble product was formed. This soluble product was filtered with a fabricated filter. The fermented solution is the first batch water melon biofertilizer.

BATCH - II

Hundred milliliters of this filtered solution was used as inoculum precursor to the next SSF process. 500 g of new water melon wastes were placed in a polythene bottle. The precursor increases the rate of fermentation and minimizes the duration of SSF process. The bottle was kept undisturbed for 20-30 days at room temperature until the soluble product was formed. This soluble product was filtered with a fabricated filter. This filtered solution is called second batch water melon biofertilizer. Agro-wastes from pine apple, papaya, and custard apple were also used to produce first and second batches of biofertilizer.

SOIL FERTILITY ANALYSIS

Soil Fertility Analysis was carried out by estimating the Soil pH, Electric Conductivity, Calcium, Magnesium, Sulphate, Chloride, Phosphorous, Total Organic Carbon, Nitrogen, Sodium, Potassium, Iron, Zinc, Manganese and Copper.

ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROPHOTOMETER

Standard solutions were prepared in the range 0, 1,2,5,10 µg/ml of the trace metal. The standard solutions were diluted with DTPA solution. The working condition of the instrument is optimized and the readings were taken for standard as well as soil samples.

CALCULATION

 $\mu g \text{ Te/g soil} = \mu g \text{ Te/ml}_{\text{sample}} * 20\text{ml/5 g soil.}$

ISOLATION OF MICROORGANISMS FROM SAMPLE

10 gm of the soil sample was added to 90 ml of sterilized water and was mixed with a magnetic blender for 30 minutes to separate the microorganisms from the soil completely. After being deposited for 20 minutes, 1ml of suspension was added to the broth and was incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.

SERIAL DILUTION

The incubated tubes were taken for serial dilution. 9 ml of saline was added to 10 sterilized test tubes. 1 ml from the incubated test tubes was added to the first test tube that gives 1:10 dilution. The tube was mixed well and 1 ml from the first test tube was transferred to the

second tube. This was continued till the eighth tube. And 1 ml from the eighth tube was discarded. Dilutions such as 10⁴, 10⁵, 10⁶ and 10⁷ were chosen for plating.

SPREAD PLATE TECHNIQUE

Once the plates solidified, 0.1 ml from 10⁴ dilution was taken and added to the petri plate, L-rod was flame sterilized using ethanol and it was used to spread the sample on agar surface. The same procedure was repeated for 10⁵, 10⁶ and 10⁷ dilutions. 1 plate was used as control and the plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs. After the incubation period (24 - 48hrs) the plates were observed for growth on the media.

Selective Media were prepared for Bacillus, Yeast and Rhizobium Species.

BIOCHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION

Biochemical screening was done by performing tests such as Indole, Methyl Red Test, Citrate Utilization Test, Triple Sugar Iron Test, Urease Test, Oxidase Test and Catalase Test.

APPLICABILITY OF THE BIOFERTILIZER IN VEGETABLE PLANTATION

The biofertilizers were applied on the various seeds samples of 2 weeks of age in order to determine the effectiveness of the biofertilizer. Each batch of the biofertilizers were applied on 100 plant samples. At the same time, another 100 samples were planted in the absence of any fertilizer.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN - POT CULTURE

250 g of soil was taken in empty box which has a capacity of 500gm. 50 g of Cumbu seeds were taken. 5 ml of watermelon fertilizer and 5 ml of water were mixed and applied to the soil. The procedure was followed for the rest of the fruits as well. At regular intervals, the fertilizer was sprinkled on the soil.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

SOLID STATE FERMENATION

The fermented solution from Batch II is used to check the efficiency of vegetation plantation (**Figure 4**).

BATCH - I BATCH - II



Figure 4: Batch 1& II Fermentation Process

POT CULTURE (SOIL SAMPLE – (Kanchipuram)

500g of soil sample from Kanchipuram was weighed and taken in a tray. 50 g of seeds (Cumbu) was taken. 5ml of the biofertilizer (watermelon) and 5ml of water is taken and mixed well. The fertilizer is applied daily to the soil. The following method is carried out for other fertilizers. The growth of the plants was observed periodically and the height was noted (**Figure 5**).



Figure 5: Growth of Plant in Soil sample – (Kanchipuram)

POT CULTURE (SOIL SAMPLE – (Kolathur)

500g of soil sample from Kolathur was weighed and taken in a tray. 50 g of seeds (Cumbu) was taken. 5ml of the biofertilizer (watermelon) and 5ml of water is taken and mixed well. The fertilizer is applied daily to the soil. The following method is carried out for other

fertilizers. The growth of the plants was observed periodically and the height was noted (Figure 6).




Figure 6: Growth of Plants tested in Soil sample - Kolathur.

MEASUREMENT OF PLANT (SOIL SAMPLE -KANCHIPURAM)

Observation of plant growth was noted in Soil sample from Kanchipuram and the measurement of plant height was taken at 3 week age of the plant. Root elongation, shoot length and number of leaves germinated were also recorded (**Figure 7**).



Figure 7: Measurement of Plant Growth in Soil sample – Kanchipuram.

MEASUREMENT OF PLANTS - (SOIL SAMPLE - KOLATHUR)

Observation of plant growth was noted in Soil sample from Kolathur and the measurement of plant height was taken at 3 week age of the plant. Root elongation, shoot length and number of leaves germinated were also recorded (**Figure 8**).




Figure 8: Measurement of Plant Growth in Soil sample - Kolathur

QUANTITAIVE ANALYSIS OF PLANT GROWTH – SOIL SAMPLE (Kolathur)

Each fruits unique in its nutritional elements which make the plant growth differ in their morphological characters such as length of root, shoot and height of plant and seed germination. **Custard apple, watermelon and guava** shows better growth in plant rate with reference to the height of plant, length of root, shoot and seeds germinated in soil sample. The soil sample taken from Kanchipuram showed better seed germination (**Table 2**).

S.NO	AGRO – WASTE	TOTAL	ROOT	SHOOT	NO. OF SEEDS
	(ROTTEN FRUITS)	HEIGHT OF	LENGTH	LENGTH	GERMINATED
		PLANT			
1	CONTROL PLANT	2 - 4 cm	2cm	3cm	20 - 45%
2	CUSTARD APPLE	5 – 10 cm	2 – 5 cm	3-7 cm	75 - 85 %
3	WATER MELON	10 – 15 cm	5 – 10 cm	3 – 10 cm	85 - 90%
4	GUAVA	15 – 20 cm	10 – 15 cm	5 - 20 cm	80- 85%
5	PINE APPLE	7- 9 cm	1 – 3cm	4-7 cm	60 - 65%
6	PAPAYA	5 – 10 cm	4 – 7 cm	3 – 6 cm	40 - 60%

Table 2: Quantitative Analysis of Plant growth (Soil sample – Kanchipuram)

QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLE - Kolathur

Each fruits unique in its nutritional elements which make the plant growth differ in their morphological characters such as length of root, shoot and height of plant and seed germination. **Custard apple, watermelon and guava** shows better growth in plant rate with reference to the height of plant, length of root, shoot and seeds germinated in soil sample. The soil sample taken from Kanchipuram showed better seed germination (**Table 3**).

S.NO	AGRO – WASTE	TOTAL	ROOT	SHOOT	NO. OF SEEDS
	(ROTTEN	HEIGHT OF	LENGTH	LENGTH	GERMINATED
	FRUITS)	PLANT			
1	CONTROL PLANT	5 cm	2cm	3cm	20 - 45%
2	CUSTARD APPLE	10 – 25 cm	7 – 22 cm	5 – 20 cm	75 - 80 %
3	WATER MELON	20 – 35 cm	5 – 20cm	10 – 15 cm	80 - 85%
4	GUAVA	15 – 30 cm	10 – 25 cm	10 -20 cm	75 - 85%
5	PINE APPLE	7-10 cm	1 – 3cm	4-6 cm	60 - 65%
6	PAPAYA	5 – 10 cm	4 – 7 cm	3 – 6 cm	40 - 60%

Table 3: Quantitative analysis of plant growth -Soil sample

QUANTIFICATION OF TRACE ELEMENTS IN AGRO - WASTES

The trace elements present in the fermented Agro-waste. In water melon, custard apple and guava the level of Potassium (K) and Phosphorus (P) are rich (Fig.10) shows the various parameters of agro-wastes. Hence the plants can utilize the amount of K and P present in the fertilizer as well as in the soil. The potassium helps in the plants in growth, whereas phosphorous helps in the growth of plants and role in the ripening of fruits (**Table 4**).

S.NO	PARAMETERS	UNITS	CUSTARD	PINE	PAPAYA	WATER	GUAVA
			APPLE	APPLE		MELON	
1.	pН	-	4.5	3.42	4.13	3.010	3.640
2.	Phosphorous	mg/kg	21.6	128.2	71	169	11.40
3.	Potassium	mg/kg	382.3	8.6	362	535.3	396.23
4.	Sodium	mg/kg	4.1	0.89	4	15.3	2.3
5.	Calcium	mg/kg	107	241	290	113	82.18
6.	Magnesium	mg/kg	39.2	356	135	543.13	174.23
7.	Iron	%	0.7	0.74	0.1	0.40	0.26
8.	Copper	mg/kg	2.6	2.6	2.2	2.47	2.50

ISSN: 2395-1303 http://www.ijetjournal.org Page 461

9.	Manganese	mg/kg	0.1	12.36	0.1	0.10	0.15
10.	Zinc	mg/kg	0.3	0.53	0.1	0.20	0.23
11.	Selenium	mg/kg	0.1	0.23	8.1	0.60	0.60

Table 4: Quantification of Trace Elements in Agro – wastes

SOIL FERTILITY ANALYSIS

Soil fertility analysis was carried out at Tamil Nadu Test House (Table 5).

S.NO	PARAMETERS	UNITS	SOIL – 1	SOIL – 2	NORMAL RANGE
1.	pН	-	7.24	7.1	6 – 8
2.	Electrical conductivity	μs/cm	1489	735	-
3.	·		131	117	0.20/
	Phosphorous	mg/kg			0.2%
4.	Potassium	mg/kg	470	420	1.0%
5.	Total organic carbon	%	0.50	0.60	-
6.	Sodium	mg/kg	650	520	100 – 500mg/kg
7.	Calcium	mg/kg	348	204	0.5 %
8.	Magnesium	mg/kg	98.11	75.00	0.2 %
9.	Sulphate	mg/kg	643.30	149.68	0.1 %
10.	Chloride	mg/kg	154.91	169.60	100 mg / kg
11.	Nitrogen	%	2.90	2.10	1.5%
12.	Iron	%	1.20	0.98	2-5%
13.	Copper	mg/kg	65.21	68.16	70 – 100 mg/kg
14.	Manganese	mg/kg	55.63	58.00	70 – 100 mg/kg
15.	Zinc	mg/kg	82.13	86.12	70 – 100mg/kg
16.	Molybdenum	mg/kg	0.001	0.001	0.1%
17.	Boron	mg/kg	0.001	0.001	0.1%
18.	Nickel	mg/kg	0.001	0.001	0.1%

Table 5: Comparison of Soil Fertility Analysis

ISOLATION OF SOIL ORGANISM

Soils were collected from Kanchipuram and Kolathur. Soil fertility analysis was done for the soil. The organisms were isolated from the soil and also from the fermented fruits. The organism present in the fermented solution helps to enhance the growth of the plants (**Figure 9**).

Aspergillus spp



Figure 9: Microorganisms isolated from Soil Samples

ISOLATION OF ORGANISM IN FERMENTED SOLUTION AND BIOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS

The following microorganisms were isolated from the fermented solution (**Figures 10 a- c & 11 and Table - 6**).

CUSTARD APPLE
AND PAPAYA

Aspergillus spp

Bacillus spp

Bacillus spp

Bacillus spp

Figure 10: Isolation of microbes from the fermented solution




Figure 11: *Bacillus spp*.(Gram positive rods)




Figure 12: Biochemical tests for Bacillus spp.

S.NO.	BIOCHEMICAL TEST	RESULT
1.	Indole test	Negative
2.	Methyl red test	Negative
3.	VogesProskauer test	Positive
4.	Citrate utilization test	Positive
5.	Triple sugar iron agar test	A/K, no gas production
6.	Oxidase test	Positive

ISSN: 2395-1303 http://www.ijetjournal.org Page 463

7.	Catalase test	Positive

Table 6: Biochemical tests for Bacillus spp.

IDENTIFICATION OF ORGANISM

- 1) The selective media MYP agar was used to confirm the presence of *Bacillus spp*. Three *Bacillus spp*.was isolated whose colony morphology are as follows
 - a) Bacillus spp. 1- Red Colour Colony- Lecithinase Activity –Present (Positive Opaque Zone around the colony)
 - b) Bacillus spp. 2 Yellow Colour colony-Lecithinase activity Absent
 - c) Bacillus spp. 3 Red Color colony
- 2) The selective media YEMA agar was used to confirm the presence of *Rhizobium spp*.
- 3) The selective media SDA agar was used to confirm the presence of *Aspergillus spp*.
- a) Aspergillus spp. 1- Yellow-green, powdery and pale yellowish.
- b) *Aspergillus spp.* 2- The initial growth is white and becomes black later on giving "salt and pepper appearance" which results from darkly pigmented conidia borne in large numbers on conidiophores.
- c) Aspergillus spp. 3- Blue green, powdery and pale yellow.
- d) Aspergillus spp. 4 Greenish-blue with whitish edge, yellow to brownish colour.

ADVANTAGES OF MICROORGANISM

1) Bacillus spp.

Bacillus spp. is a plant growth promoting bacteria which helps to enhance the availability of nutrient in the soil. Bacillus spp. produces plant hormones and solubilizes the insoluble form of phosphates. Bacillus spp. like Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus megaterium and Bacillus pumillus are the beneficial microorganism to the soil for improving the growth of plants. Bacillus spp. is called as a Synergistic plant promoter because both the soil and the plant get benefited. Thus Bacillus spp. helps the plant to absorb the phosphate by solubilizing the phosphates and produces the auxin which is used to stimulate cell elongation and delays fruit ripening.

2) Aspergillus spp.

Aspergillus spp. is one of the most important filamentous fungal genera. Aspergillus species are used in the fermentation industry. Aspergillus spp. is a saprophytic fungus that plays an

essential role in recycling environmental carbon and nitrogen. It is very effective in removing the exchangeable, carbonate, and Fe/ Mn oxide fractions of Cu, Cd, Pb and Zn.

CONCLUSION

The study aimed at producing Biofertilizers from Agro – wastes. Agro wastes are defined as waste which are formed from various agricultural activities. The agro-wastes are usually fruits, vegetables, weeds and organic manure. The collected Agro-wastes were subjected to Solid State Fermentation process to produce soluble fermented solution. The Agro – wastes used were water melon, guava, papaya, custard apple and pine apple. Solid state fermentation aided in the formation of soluble product and helped to produce the microorganism such as bacteria, fungi and yeast. The fermented solution was applied to vegetation to check the efficiency of the Biofertilizer. The soil was collected from two different locations – Kolathur and Kanchipuram to compare the presence of soil nutrient between two locations. Cumbu (*Pennisetum glaucum*) seeds were tested using the biofertilizer. The elongation of root, shoot and germination of seeds were compared. Watermelon, Custard Apple and Guava fertilizer showed the best efficiency in comparison to others.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Laditi M. A., NwokeO. C., Jemo1.M., AbaidooR. C. andOgunjobiA. A. (2012). Evaluation of microbial inoculants as biofertilizers for the improvement of growth and yield of soybean and maize crops in savanna soils. *Rican Journal of Agricultural Research*, 405-413.
- 2. **Moola Ram1, M. R. Davari** and **S.N. Sharma** (2014) Direct, residual and cumulative effects of organic manures and biofertilizers on yields, npk uptake, grain quality and economics of wheat (*triticumaestivum l.*) under organic farming of rice-wheat cropping system. *Journal of Organic Systems*, 9(1) 1177-4258.
- 3. Bákonyi .N ,Bott .S , Gajdos .E,Szabó .A ,Jakab .A, Tóth.B, Makleit.P and Veres. Sz. (2013) Using bio fertilizer to improve seed germination and early development of maize. *Pol. J. Environ. Stud.* Vol.1595-1599.

- 4. Rakesh Kumar Meena, Sanjay Kumar, SutanuMaji, Devendra Kumar and Manoj Kumar (2014), Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers on growth, flowering, yield and quality of tomato, *International Journal of Agricultural Science*, 329-332.
- 5. **Shah Alam and Rajendra Kumar Seth** (2012) Comparative Study on Effect of Chemical and BioFertilizer on Growth, Development and Yield Production of Paddy crop (Oryza sativa). *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)*, 2319-7064.
- 6. Dumitrescu, Manciulea, A.Sauciuc and C.Zaha (2009) Obtaining Biofertilizer by composting vegetable waste, sewage sludge and sawdust. *Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Brasov*, Vol.2(51).
- 7. Abdullahi I. N., Chuwang P. Z. and Isah A. D (2012) Effect of bio fertilizer application on growth of (*Vitellariaparadoxa*) seedlings. *Journal of Research in Environmental Science and Toxicology*, 2315-5698.
- 8. Anita Khanafari, Maryam Beladi and FaribaSharifnia (2013) An investigation of rhizobacteria as biofertilizer on *Mentha L.* compounds change. *Annals of Biological Research*, 3(9):4293-4302.
- 9. Soh-Fong Lim and Sylvester UsanMatu (2015) Utilization of agro-wastes to produce Biofertilizer. *Int J Energy and Environmental Engineering*, 6:31-35.
- 10. Muhammad Yasin, Kaleem Ahmad, WaqasMussarat and AsifTanveer (2012) Biofertilizers, substitution of synthetic fertilizers in cereals for leveraging agriculture. *Crop & environment*, 3 (1-2): 62-66.