

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine

journal homepage:www.elsevier.com/locate/apjtm



doi: Document heading

# Mosquito adulticidal and repellent activities of botanical extracts against malarial vector, Anopheles stephensi Liston (Diptera:Culicidae)

Marimuthu Govindarajan\*, Rajamohan Sivakumar

Division of Vector Biology and Phytochemistry, Department of Zoology, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar - 608 002, Tamil Nadu, India

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 5 May 2011 Received in revised form 10 October 2011 Accepted 15 October 2011 Available online 20 December 2011

Keywords: Adulticidal activity Repellent activity Anopheles stephensi Eclipta alba Andrographis paniculata

## ABSTR ACT

**Objective:** To determine the adulticidal and repellent activities of different solvent leaf extracts of Eclipta alba (E. alba) and Andrographis paniculata (A. paniculata) against malarial vector, Anopheles stephensi (An. stephensi). Methods: Adulticidal efficacy of the crude leaf extracts of E. alba and A. paniculata with five different solvents like benzene, hexane, ethyl acetate, methanol and chloroform was tested against the five to six day old adult female mosquitoes of An. stephensi. The adult mortality was observed after 24 h under the laboratory conditions. The repellent efficacy was determined against An. stephensi mosquito species at three concentrations viz., 1.0, 2.5 and 5.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> under laboratory conditions. Results: Among the tested solvents the maximum efficacy was observed in the methanol extract. The  $LC_{50}$  and  $LC_{90}$  values of *E. alba* and A. paniculata against adults of An. stephensi were 150.36, 130.19 ppm and 285.22, 244.16 ppm, respectively. No mortality was observed in controls. The chi-square values were significant at P<0.05 level. Methanol extract of E. alba and A. paniculata was produce maximum repellency against An. stephensi. Conclusions: From the results it can be concluded the crude extract of E. alba and A. paniculata was an excellent potential for controlling An. stephensi mosquitoes.

## **1. Introduction**

Mosquitoes are the principal vectors of malaria and other vector borne diseases and contribute to major disease burden in India. Disease transmission can be interrupted by controlling the vectors using various methods. However, the extensive and unbalanced use of chemical insecticides have created problems like enhancing resistance of mosquito population to synthetic insecticides, pollution of environment and adverse effects on the non-target flora and fauna inhabiting the same aquatic habitat<sup>[1]</sup>. These steadily growing problems, demand an intensive search for new products that are environmentally safe, targetspecific and degradable. Botanical phytochemicals with mosquitocidal potential are now recognized as potent alternative insecticides to replace synthetic insecticides in mosquito control programs due to their excellent larvicidal, ovicidal, adulticidal and repellent properties<sup>[2, 3,4]</sup>.

Extracts or essential oils from plants may be alternative sources of mosquito control agents, since they constitute a

rich source of bioactive compounds that are biodegradable into nontoxic products and potentially suitable for use to control mosquitoes. Plant extracts in general have been recognized as an important natural resource of insecticides[5]. A bitter plant, a decoction of Andrographis paniculata (A. paniculata) leaves or roots, is used as a vermifuge, against Dipetalonema reconditum and Brugia malayi[6], and the methanol and ethyl acetate extracts were tested on Callosobruchus chinensis<sup>[7]</sup> and relieve itchy skin and insect bites<sup>[8]</sup>. Cocculus hirsutus is a widely growing plant found in the plains of India in dry localities and is used medicinally by the Indian tribes for a wide range of ailments, including constipation and kidney problems<sup>[9]</sup>. Eclipta prostrata and Eclipta alba (E. alba) extracts have been reported to exert diverse biological activity including antiinflammatory, antihemorrhagic, antihyperlipidemic, and antihyperglycemic activities<sup>[10]</sup>.

The leaf extract of Acalypha indica with different solvents viz, benzene, chloroform, ethyl acetate, and methanol were tested for larvicidal, ovicidal activity, and oviposition attractancy against Anopheles stephensi (An. stephensi)[2]. Petroleum ether (60-80 °C) extracts of the leaves of Vitex negundo were acted as a promising repellent against Culex tritaeniorhynchus (Cx. tritaeniorhynchus)<sup>[11]</sup>. The ethyl acetate extracts of Hyptis suaveolens and Rhododendon tomentosum, Hyptis harmaja, and Myrica gale significantly

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Dr. M.Govindarajan, Principal Investigator, DST Fast Track Young Scientist Project & UGC Major Research Project, Division of Phytochemistry and Vector Biology, Department of Zoology, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar 608 002 Tamil Nadu, India.

E-mail: drgovind1979@rediffmail.com

reduced biting activity of Aedes aegypti (Ae. aegypti)<sup>[12]</sup>. The ethanol extract of Curcuma aeruginosa, Curcuma aromatica, and Curcuma xanthorrhiza were tested for repellent activity against Aedes togoi (Ae. togoi), Armigeres subalbatus, Culex quinquefasciatus (Cx. quinquefasciatus), and Culex tritaeniorhynchus (Cx. tritaeniorhynchus)<sup>[13]</sup>.

Insect repellents are widely used as personal protection against biting arthropods. Personal protection measures, including the use of repellents are important in reducing the risk of contracting disease. The vector borne diseases cause a high level of morbidity and mortality, but they are also responsible for great socio-economical loss. The majority of commercial insect repellent preparations contain the chemical N,N-diethyl-m-toluamide (DEET), first synthesized in 1954[14]. It has been reported that these chemical repellents are not safe for the public use. There has been much research on natural plant extracts both prior to and after the advent of synthetic repellents. Novak[15] emphasized the urgent need of the investigation of phytochemicals as repellents for mosquitoes in his review of nonchemical approach to mosquito control.

Cymbopogon excavatus gave 100% repellency for 2 h, when it was evaluated in the laboratory against Anopheles arabiensis (An. arabiensis) and its repellency decreased to 59.3% after 4 h[16]. Cymbopogon winterianus oil, mixed with 5% vanillin, gave 100% protection for 6 h against Aedes aegypti (Ae. aegypti), Cx. guinguefasciatus and Anopheles dirus (An. dirus), results compared to those observed with 25% DEET (N,N-diethyl-3-methylbenzanmide)[17]. The oil of Cymbopogon martinii provided 100% repellency for 12 h against Anopheles mosquitoes in a field test which was carried out by using pairs of volunteers who sat together, one of whom was treated with the oil while the other was not<sup>[18]</sup>. Oils extracted from the genus *Ocimum* spp. have been traditionally used as repellents<sup>[19]</sup>. Ocimum americanum volatile oil was shown to repel Ae. aegypti, Ae. dirus and Cx. quinquefasciatus, under cage conditions, for up to 8 h[17]; an ethanolic solution of Ocimum selloi oil (10% v/v) seemed to be very effective in repelling Anopheles braziliensis<sup>[19]</sup>; and liquid paraffin solutions of Ocimum gratissimum and Ocimum basilicum oils exhibited a high bite-protection<sup>[20]</sup>.

Repellency properties of nepetalactone (cyclopentanoid monoterpene) isolated from the catnip plant, Nepeta cataria, against 17 species of insects were reported by Eisner<sup>[21]</sup>. Also, many monoterpenes were reported for their insect repellent properties such as  $\alpha$  -pinenen, limonene, terpinolene, citronellol, citronellal, camphor, rotundial, dolichodial, teucrein, and isoborneol<sup>[22]</sup>. Jantan and Zaki<sup>[23]</sup> evaluated four essential oils of Litsea elliptica, Cinnamomum mollissimum, Cymbopogon nardus, and Pogostemom cablin, respectively, for their repellency effect against Ae. aegypti. Barnard<sup>[24]</sup> tested the repellency of five essential oils (Bourbon geranium, cedar wood, clove, peppermint, and thyme) singly applied at different concentrations (5, 10, 25, 50, 75, and 100%) or in combinations against two mosquito species Ae. aegypti and Anopheles albimanus. Thyme and clove oils were the most effective mosquito repellents. Das et al.<sup>[25]</sup> evaluated the repellent properties of Zanthoxylum armatum, Zanthoxylum alatum, Curcuma aromatica, and An. indica against mosquitoes in two bases of mustard oil (Brassica sp.) and coconut oil (Cocos sp.). The methanol extract of the *Foeniculum* fruits has repellent activity against Ae. aegypti (L.)<sup>[26]</sup>. Govindarajan<sup>[27]</sup> reported that

the leaf methanol, benzene, and acetone extracts of *Cassia fistula* were studied for the larvicidal, ovicidal, and repellent activities against *Ae. aegypti*.

Rohani et al.<sup>[28]</sup>, has reported the efficacy of few Malaysian essential oils such as Litsea elliptica, Polygonum minus and Piper aduncum as potential mosquito adulticides while Sulaiman et al.[29] has reported the essential oils of Melaleuca cajuputi and Cymbopogon nardus have adulticidal effects on Aedes mosquito at high-rise flats in Kuala Lumpur. The compounds, 4 thiophenes, 5-(but-3-ene-1ynyl)-2, 2' -bithiophene, 5-(but-3-ene-1-ynyl)-5' -methyl-2,2' -bithiophene, 2,2' ,5' ,2" - terthiophene, and 5-methyl-2,2' ,5' ,2" -terthiophene, isolated from floral extract of *Tagetes minuta* were largely responsible for the toxicity exhibited against the adults of Ae. aegypti and Anopheles stephensi (An. stephens)<sup>[30]</sup>. In view of the recently increased interest in developing plant origin insecticides as an alternative to chemical insecticide, this study was undertaken to assess the adulticidal and repellent potential of the extracts from the medicinal plants against malarial vector mosquito.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Plant collection

The Fully developed fresh leaves of *Eclipta alba* (*E. alba*) and *Andrographis paniculta* (*A. paniculata*) were collected from different regions of Cuddalore District, Tamilnadu, India. It was authenticated by a plant taxonomist from the Department of Botany, Annamalai University. A voucher specimen is deposited at the herbarium of the plant photochemistry division, Annamalai University.

## 2.2. Extraction

The leaves were washed with tap water, shade dried at room temperature and finely ground. The finely ground plant material (1.0 kg/solvent) was loaded in soxhlet apparatus and was extracted with five different solvents namely benzene, hexane, ethyl acetate, methanol and chloroform individually. The solvent from the extract was removed using a rotary vacuum evaporator to collect the crude extract. The crude residue of these plants varies with the solvents used. The *A. paniculata* and *E. alba* with five different solvents yielded 81.20, 94.85, 79.40, 97.60, 121.30 g and 75.25, 87.30, 70.0, 89.50, 102.80 g of crude residue respectively. Standard stock solutions were prepared at 1% by dissolving the residues in acetone. From this stock solution, different concentrations were prepared and these solutions were used for adulticidal and repellent bioassays.

#### 2.3. Test organisms

The mosquito, *An. stephensi* was reared in the vector control laboratory, Department of Zoology, Annamalai University. The larvae were fed on dog biscuits and yeast powder in the 3:1 ratio. Adults were provided with 10% sucrose solution and one week old chick for blood meal. Mosquitoes were held at  $(28\pm2)$  °C, 70%–85% relative humidity (RH), with a photo period of 14 h light, 10 h dark.

#### 2.4. Repellent activity

The repellent study was followed by the method of WHO[31]. Three-day-old blood-starved female An. stephensi mosquitoes (100) were kept in a net cage (45 cm  $\times$  30 cm  $\times$ 45 cm). The volunteer had no contact with lotions, perfumes, oils or perfumed soaps on the day of the assay. The arms of volunteer, only 25 cm<sup>2</sup> dorsal side of the skin on each arms were exposed and the remaining area covered with rubber gloves. The crude extracts were applied at 1.0, 2.5 and 5.0  $mg/cm^2$  separately in the exposed area of the forearm. Ethanol was served as the control. An. stephensi was tested during the night from 19.00 to 05.00 h. The control and treated arm were introduced simultaneously into the mosquito cage, and gently tapping the sides on the experimental cages, the mosquitoes were activated. Each test concentration was repeated six times. The volunteer conducted their test of each concentration by inserting the treated and control arm into the cages at a same time for one full minute for every 5 min. The mosquitoes that land on the hand were recorded and then shaken off before it imbibes any blood. The percentage of repellency was calculated by the following formula.

% Repellency=[(Ta– Tb)/Ta]  $\times$  100

Where Ta is the number of mosquitoes in the control group and Tb is the number of mosquitoes in the treated group.

## 2.5. Adulticidal activity

Five to six day old sugar-fed adult female mosquitoes were used. The E. alba and A. paniculata plants leaf extracts were diluted with ethanol to make different concentrations. The diluted plants extracts were impregnated on filter papers (140  $\times$  120 mm). A blank paper consisting of only ethanol was used as control. The papers were left to dry at room temperature to evaporate off the ethanol overnight. Impregnated papers were prepared fresh prior to testing. The bioassay was conducted in an experimental kit consisting of two cylindrical plastic tubes both measuring  $125 \times 44$  mm following the WHO method<sup>[32]</sup>. One tube served to expose the mosquitoes to the plants extracts and another tube was used to hold the mosquitoes before and after the exposure periods. The impregnated papers were rolled and placed in the exposure tube. Each tube was closed at one end with a 16 mesh size wire screen. Sucrose-fed and blood starved mosquitoes (20) were released into the tube, and the mortality effects of the extracts were observed every 10 min for 3 h exposure period. At the end of 1, 2, and 3h exposure periods, the mosquitoes were placed in the holding tube. Cotton pads soaked in 10% sugar solution with vitamin B complex were placed in the tube during the holding period of 24h. Mortality of the mosquitoes was recorded after 24 h. The above procedure was carried out in triplicate for each plants extracts concentration.

## 2.6. Statistical analysis

The average adult mortality data were subjected to probit analysis for calculating LC<sub>50</sub>, LC<sub>90</sub> and other statistics at 95% fiducial limits of upper confidence limit and lower confidence limit, and chi–square values were calculated using the SPSS 12.0 version software. Results with P<0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

#### **3. Results**

The results of the adulticidal activity of hexane, ethyl acetate, benzene, chloroform and methanol extract of E. alba and A. paniculata against the adult of important vector mosquito An. stephensi are presented in Tables 1 and 2. Among five solvent extracts tested, the highest adulticidal activity was observed in methanol extract of E. alba and A. paniculata against An. stephensi with the LC<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>90</sub> values of 150.36, 130.19 ppm and 285.22, 244.16 ppm respectively. The *chi*-square values are significant at P < 0.05 level. The 95% confidence limits [LC<sub>50</sub> (LCL-UCL)] and [LC<sub>90</sub> (LCL-UCL)] were also calculated. The hexane, ethyl acetate, benzene, chloroform and methanol extract of *E. alba* and *A.* paniculata show significant repellency against An. stephensi (Table 3 and 4). In this observation, these two plant crude extracts gave protection against mosquito bites without any allergic reaction to the test person, and also, the repellent activity is dependent on the strength of the plant extracts.

#### 4. Discussion

Different parts of plants contain a complex of chemicals with unique biological activity which is thought to be due to toxins and secondary metabolites, which act as attractants or deterrents. In our results showed that crude extract of A. paniculata and E. alba have significant adulticidal and repellent activity against An. stephensi mosquito. The results are comparable with an earlier report by Elango et al.<sup>[33]</sup> who reported that the maximum repellent activity was observed at 500 ppm in methanol extracts of Aegle marmelos (A. marmelos) and Acacia lineata (A. lineate) and ethyl acetate extract of Cytisus hirsutus (C. hirsutus), and the mean complete protection time ranged from 90 to 120 min with the different extracts tested; no egg hatchability was observed with ethyl acetate extract of A. marmelos; methanol extracts A. marmelos, A. lineata, and C. hirsutus were exerted at 1 000 ppm, and the percentage of effective oviposition repellency were 92.60, 93.04, 95.20, 88.26, 92.80, 94.01, 95.77, 96.93, and 92.54 at 500 ppm, and the lowest repellency were 47.14, 58.00, 56.52, 64.93, 71.09, 66.42, 50.62, 57.62, and 65.73 at 31.25 ppm in acetone, ethyl acetate, and methanol extracts of A. marmelos, A. lineata, and C. hirsutus, respectively. Amer and Mehlhorn<sup>[34]</sup> have reported that the five most effective oils were those of Litsea (Litsea cubeba), Cajeput (Melaleuca leucadendron), Niaouli (Melaleuca quinquenervia), Violet (Viola odorata), and Catnip (Nepeta cataria), which induced a protection time of 8 h at the maximum and a 100% repellency against Ae. aegypti, An. stephensi, and Cx. quinquefasciatus. The essential oil of Zingiber officinalis (Z. officinalis) showed repellent activity at 4.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>, which provided 100% protection up to 120 min against Cx. quinquefasciatus<sup>[35]</sup>. The seed acetone extract of Tribulus terrestris showed 100% repellency in 0, 4, and 6 h and in 1 and 6 h and in 0, 2, and 4 h at 10% concentration against An. culicifacies, An. stephensi and Cx. quinquefasciatus, respectively<sup>[36]</sup>.

Watanabe *et al.*<sup>[37]</sup> isolated a new compound, eucamol, and 4–isopropylbenzyl alcohol from *E. camaldulensis*. This new compound was compared with deet and proved to be

highly active against *Ae. aegypti* L.; after 3 h 75% of its repellency remained. Kerosene oil containing 1% neem oil resulted in the deviation of *Aopheles culicifacies* Giles, from living rooms to cattle sheds, followed by a reduced malaria incidence<sup>[38]</sup>. For personal protection neem oil (1–4%) mixed in coconut oil resulted in a protection of 81–91% from bites of *Anopheles* mosquitoes, when tested in a forested village in India<sup>[39]</sup>. Dua *et al.*<sup>[40]</sup> tested neem cream, also a repellent to be applied to the skin, against *Aedes, Culex* and *Anopheles* mosquitoes; one application was about 70% effective for 4 h. *Lantana camara* L. flower extract in coconut oil provides 94.5% protection from *Aedes albopictus* and *Ae. aegypti*, with no undesirable adverse effects on human volunteers for 3 months after the application<sup>[41]</sup>.

The seed acetone extract of *Tribulus terrestris* showed strong repellent activity against *An. culicifacies* species, 100% repellency in 1 and 6 h; *An. stephensi* 100% repellency in 0, 4, and 6 h; and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* 100% repellency in 0, 2, and 4 h, at 10% concentration, respectively<sup>[42]</sup>. Mullai *et al.*<sup>[43]</sup> have also reported that the skin repellent test at 1.0, 2.5, and 5.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> concentration gave the mean complete protection time ranged from 119.17 to 387.83 min against *An. stephensi* with the benzene, petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, and methanol extracts of *Citrullus vulgaris* tested. Govere *et al.*<sup>[44]</sup> reported that the alcohol plant extract of *Lippia javanica* provided 76.7% protection against An. arabiensis after a 4-h period, and Cymbopogon excavates and Pelargonium reniforme provided 66.7% and 63.3% protection for 3 h, respectively. Karunamoorthi et al.<sup>[11]</sup> have also reported that the leaves of Echinops sp. (92.47%), Ostostegia integrifolia (90.10%), and Olea europaea (79.78%) were also effective and efficient to drive away mosquitoes and the roots of Silene macroserene (93.61%), leaves of Echinops sp. (92.47%), Ostostegia integrifolia (90.10%), and Olea europaea (79.78%) were exhibited the significant repellency by direct burning. Tawatsin et al.<sup>[17]</sup> have reported repellent activity against Ae. aegypti, An. dirus and Cx. quinquefasciatus which is due to 5% vanillin which has been added to the essential oil of Curcuma longa.

Selvaraj Pandian *et al.*<sup>[45]</sup> also studied powdered preparation of the leaves of *Adhatoda vasica* (adhatoda), *Azadirachta indica* (neem) and *Ocimum sanctum* (tulsi), which on burning with charcoal produced smoke which repelled *Armigeres subalbatus* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* to prevent their biting activity for 6–8 h. Repellent activity of *Ferronia elephantum* (Rutaceae) (*F. elephantum*) leaf extract against *Ae. aegypti*. The total percentage of protection of *F. elephantum* was 45.8% of 1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> and 59.0% at 2.5 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> for 10 h<sup>[46]</sup>. Isolation of repellent ingredients from *L. camera* (Verbenaceae) flowers and their repellency against *Aedes* mosquitoes. One application of this fraction gave 100% protection for 2 h and may protect 75.8% at

Table 1

Adulticidal activity of different solvent extracts of E. alba against An. stephensi.

Solvents	Concentration (ppm)	% of mortality±SD	LC <sub>50</sub> (ppm)(LCL-UCL)	LC90 (ppm) (LCL-UCL)	X <sup>2</sup>
Hexane	Control	0.0±0.0			
	70	22.7±1.2			
	140	36.2±0.4	197.88	361.03	11 100*
	210	53.6±0.8	(101.78-250.59)	(307.07-439.03)	11.108*
	280	71.2±0.6			
	350	87.9±0.7			
Ethyl acetate	Control	$0.0 \pm 0.0$			
	70	70 26.3±0.6		2 12 20	
	140	39.2±0.4	184.74	343.30	12 920*
	210	58.0±0.9	(144.12-220.23)	(288.39-449.93)	15.659*
	280	73.8±1.1			
	350	91.2±0.9			
Benzene	Control	$0.0 \pm 0.0$			
	70	29.8±1.5	151.55	222.52	
	140	140 42.2±0.4		323.52	16 705*
	210	62.7±1.0	(120.40-213.40)	(208.12-430.30)	10.795*
	280	76.9±0.8			
	350	94.3±0.7			
Chloroform	Control	$0.0 \pm 0.0$			
	70	32.9±0.9	156 (0	207.49	
	140	45.9±0.4	(100.81, 200.04)	297.48	18 720*
	210	68.4±1.1	(109.01-200.04)	(244.85-405.85)	10.729
	280	81.9±0.6			
	350	97.2±1.0			
Methanol	Control	$0.0 \pm 0.0$			
	70	34.9±0.7	150.26	205 22	
	140	48.0±1.1	150.36	285.22	22 022*
	210	69.4±1.6	(90.33-190.00)	(229.30-412.28)	23.732
	280	83.0±0.4			
	350	99.6±0.7			

\*Significant at P<0.05, SD - Standard Deviation; LCL - Lower Confidence Limits; UCL - Upper Confidence Limits; X<sup>2</sup> - Chi square.

# Table 2

Adulticidal activity of different solvent extracts of A. paniculata against An. stephensi.

Solvents	Concentration (ppm) % of mortality		LC <sub>50</sub> (ppm) (LCL-UCL)	LC90 (ppm) (LCL-UCL)	X <sup>2</sup>	
Hexane	Control	0.0±0.0				
	60	19.2±0.4			9.528*	
	120	32.8±0.8	174.20	306.12 265.28–376.52		
	180	52.4±0.2	147.02-205.57			
	240	68.9±0.4				
	300	90.2±0.7				
Ethyl acetate	Control	$0.0 \pm 0.0$				
	60	20.2±0.4				
	120	37.2±1.2	163.86	292.15 252.23–361.43	10.403*	
	180	58.4±1.0	155.05-195.02			
	240	71.9±0.5				
	300	92.0±0.9				
Benzene	Control	$0.0 \pm 0.0$				
	60	23.9±0.9		277.55 233.52–362.54		
	120	42.2±1.4	152.70		15 009*	
	180	61.0±0.7	110.13-107.10		15.008	
	240	74.2±0.6				
	300	95.6±0.3				
Chloroform	Control	$0.0 \pm 0.0$				
	60	27.0±0.8			16.956*	
	120	46.2±1.2	142.19	262.96 219.04–350.12		
	180	65.4±0.4	103.12-177.03			
	240	78.0±1.1				
	300	97.2±0.9				
Methanol	Control	$0.0 \pm 0.0$				
	60	32.2±1.4				
	120	120 49.0±1.0		244.16	10.225*	
	180	68.9±0.5	90.77-100.40	200.94-332.73	19.225*	
	240	84.0±0.8				
	300	99.0±0.7				

## Table 3

Repellency of different solvent extracts of E. alba against An. stephensi.

	Concentration – (mg/cm <sup>2</sup> ) –	% of repellency ±SD							
Solvents		Time post application of repellent (min.)							
		15	30	60	90	120	150	180	210
Hexane	1.0	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	68.2±1.4	55.4±1.3	41.3±1.8
	2.5	100.0±0.0	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	71.7±1.6	62.2±1.8	49.7±2.0
	5.0	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	73.6±1.4	68.4±1.5
Ethyl acetate	1.0	100.0±0.0	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	74.6±1.8	67.0±1.5	51.7±1.7
	2.5	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	100.0±0.0	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	100.0±0.0	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	79.9±2.0	72.8±1.2	57.6±1.3
	5.0	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	100.0±0.0	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	80.4±2.0	71.9±2.1
Benzene	1.0	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	70.9±1.2	60.7±1.3	49.6±1.6	36.9±1.4
	2.5	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	74.6±1.5	64.3±1.4	56.7±1.4	43.3±1.5
	5.0	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	75.3±1.1	66.7±1.7	54.6±1.9
Chloroform	1.0	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	75.3±2.0	65.9±1.9	56.7±1.2
	2.5	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	100.0±0.0	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	81.6±1.9	71.3±2.1	66.9±2.1
	5.0	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	82.9±1.5	74.6±1.8
Methanol	1.0	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	100.0±0.0	79.7±1.6	68.4±2.3	60.3±1.4
	2.5	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	85.6±1.1	76.0±1.5	69.7±2.2
	5.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	100.0±0.0	87.3±1.7	76.5±1.6

Table 4			
Repellency of different solvent extracts of	of A. paniculata	against An.	stephensi.

	Concentration - (mg/cm <sup>2</sup> ) -	% of repellency ±SD							
Solvents		Time post application of repellent (min.)							
		30	60	90	120	150	180	210	240
Hexane	1.0	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	70.9±1.5	61.3±1.6	55.0±1.3
	2.5	$100.0\pm0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	76.5±1.8	66.7±1.3	58.9±1.7
	5.0	$100.0\pm0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	79.3±1.8	71.5±1.9				
Ethyl acetate	1.0	$100.0\pm0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	76.6±2.0	67.9±2.1	59.5±2.1
	2.5	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	82.2±1.7	70.6±1.6	63.3±1.4
	5.0	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	82.9±1.4	75.5±1.6
Benzene	1.0	$100.0\pm0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0\pm0.0$	80.6±1.2	71.3±1.9	65.0±1.8
	2.5	$100.0\pm0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	84.9±1.8	75.6±2.1	67.5±2.1
	5.0	$100.0\pm0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	84.7±1.7	79.0±1.5				
Chloroform	1.0	$100.0\pm0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	82.5±1.6	76.0±1.3	67.7±1.3
	2.5	$100.0\pm0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	86.2±1.9	80.4±1.8	71.9±1.5
	5.0	$100.0\pm0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	88.9±2.0	82.5±2.1				
Methanol	1.0	$100.0\pm0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	89.6±1.3	81.2±1.6	76.7±1.8
	2.5	100.0±0.0	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	94.0±1.5	86.9±1.5	79.6±1.3
	5.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	91.6±1.6	86.0±1.6

7 h<sup>[47]</sup>. Pushpanathan et al.<sup>[48]</sup> showed that the skin repellent test at 1.0, 2.5, and 5.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> concentration of *Cymbopogon citratus* essential oil against the filarial mosquito Cx. quinquefasciatus gave 100% protection up to 3.00, 4.00, and 5.00 h, respectively. The total percentage of protection of this essential oil was 49.64% at 1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>, 62.19% at 2.5 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>, and 74.03% at 5.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> for 12 h. About 70 plant extracts were tested for their ability to repel the attacks of blood-sucking arthropods. It was found that a CO<sub>2</sub> extract of the seeds of the Mediterranean plant Vitex agnus castus (monks pepper) can be used as a spray to keep away especially Ixodes ricinus and Rhipicephalus sanguineus ticks from animals and humans for at least 6 h. In addition, mosquitoes, biting flies, and fleas are also repelled for about 6 h<sup>[49]</sup>. Plant could be an alternative source for mosquito larvicides because they constitute a potential source of bioactive chemicals and generally free from harmful effects. Use of these botanical derivatives in mosquito control instead of synthetic insecticides could reduce the cost and environmental pollution. Further studies on identification of active compounds, toxicity and field trials are needed to recommend the active fraction of these plant extracts for development of eco-friendly chemicals for control of insect vectors.

## **Conflict of interest statement**

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgements

The author is thankful to the Department of Science and Technology (DST, SERC–Fast Track Young Scientist Project), New Delhi, India for providing financial assistance for the present investigation. The author is grateful to the Dr. (Mrs) Selvi Sabhanayakam, Professor and Head, Department of Zoology, Annamalai University for the laboratory facilities provided. I acknowledge the staff members of the VCRC (ICMR), Pondicherry for their cooperation.

#### References

- WHO. Vector resistance to pesticides: fifteenth report of WHO expert committee on vector biology and control. WHO Tech Rep Ser 1992; 818: 62.
- [2] Govindarajan M, Jebanesan A, Pushpanathan T. Larvicidal and ovicidal activity of *Cassia fistula* Linn. leaf extract against filarial and malarial vector mosquitoes. *Parasitol Res* 2008a; **102**(2):289– 292.
- [3] Govindarajan M, Jebanesan A, Pushpanathan T, Samidurai K. Studies on effect of Acalypha indica L. (Euphorbiaceae) leaf extracts on the malarial vector, Anopheles stephensi Liston (Diptera:Culicidae). Parasitol Res 2008b; 103(3):691-695.
- [4] Govindarajan M. Larvicidal efficacy of Ficus benghalensis L. plant leaf extracts against Culex quinquefasciatus Say, Aedes aegypti L. and Anopheles stephensi L. (Diptera: Culicidae) Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2010b; 14 (2): 107–111.
- [5] Govindarajan M, Mathivanan T, Elumalai K, Krishnappa K, Anandan A. Mosquito larvicidal, ovicidal, and repellent properties of botanical extracts against *Anopheles stephensi*, *Aedes aegypti*, and *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Parasitol Res* 2011e; **109**: 353–367.
- [6] Zaridah MZ, Idid SZ, Omar AW, Khozirah S. In vitro antifilarial effects of three plant species against adult worms of subperiodic Brugia malayi. J Ethnopharmacol 2001; 78: 79–84.
- [7] Bright AA, Babu A, Ignacimuth S, Dorn S. Efficacy of crude extracts of Andrographis paniculata Nees. on Callosobruchus chinensis L. during post harvest storage of cowpea. Indian J Exp Biol 2001; 39:715-718.
- [8] Burkill IH. A dictionary of the economic products of the Malay Peninsula, vol I & II. Kuala Lumpur: Ministry of Agriculture and Co-operatives;1966, p.2444.
- [9] Caius JF. The medicinal and poisonous plants of India. Jodhpur:Scientific Publ.;1986, p. 166–171.
- [10]Kumari CS, Govindasamy S, Sukumar E. Lipid lowering activity of *Eclipta prostrata* in experimental hyperlipidemia. J *Ethnopharmacol* 2006; 105: 332–335.
- [11]Karunamoorthi K, Ramanujam S, Rathinasamy R. Evaluation of leaf extracts of *Vitex negundo* L. (Family: Verbenaceae) against larvae of *Culex tritaeniorhynchus* and repellent activity on adult

vector mosquitoes. Parasitol Res 2008; 103: 545-550.

- [12]Jaenson TG, Pålsson K, Borg-Karlson AK. Evaluation of extracts and oils of mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) repellent plants from Sweden and Guinea-Bissau. J Med Entomol 2006; 43:113–119.
- [13]Pitasawat B, Choochote W, Tuetun B, Tippawangkosol P, Kanjanapothi D, Jitpakdi A, et al. Repellency of aromatic turmeric *Curcuma aromatica* under laboratory and field conditions. *J Vector Ecol* 2003; 28:234–240.
- [14]Cutris CF. Personal protection methods against vector disease. *Rev Med Veter Entomol.* 1992; 80(10):543–553.
- [15]Novak D. Nonchemical approaches to mosquito control in Czechoslavakia. In: *Control methodologies*. Vol. 2. San Diego: Academic Press;1985; p. 185–196.
- [16]Govere J, Durrheim DN, Du TN, Hunt RH, Coetzee M. Local plants as repellents against *Anopheles arabiensis*, in Mpumalanga Province, South Africa. *Cent Afr J Med* 2000; **46**: 213–216.
- [17]Tawatsin A, Wratten SD, Scott RR, Thavara U, Techandamrongsin Y. Repellency of volatile oils from plants against three mosquito vectors. *J Vector Ecol* 2001; 26: 76–82.
- [18]Ansari MA, Razdan RK. Operational feasibility of malaria control by burning neem oil in kerosene lamp in Beel Akbarpur Village, District Ghaziabad, India. *Indian J Malariol.* 1996; **33**: 81–87.
- [19]Padilha de Paula J, Gomes-Carneiro MR, Paumgartten FJR. Chemical composition, toxicity and mosquito repellency of Ocimum selloi oil. J Ethnopharmacol 2003; 88: 253-260.
- [20]Gbolade AA, Oyedele AO, Sosan MB, Adewoyin FB, Soyelu OL. Mosquito repellent activities of essential oils from two Nigerian Ocimum species. J Trop Med Plants, 2000; 1: 146–148.
- [21]Eisner T. Catnip: its raison d'etre. Science 1964; 146: 1318-1320.
- [22]Eisner T, Eisner M, Aneshansley DJ, WuC, Meinwald J. Chemical defense of the mint plant, *Teucrium marum* (Labiatae). *Chemoecology* 2000; 10: 211-216.
- [23]Jantan I, Zaki ZM. Development of environment-friendly insect repellents from the leaf oils of selected Malaysian plants. ASEAN Rev Div Env Conserv 1998; 5:10.
- [24]Barnard D. Repellency of essential oils to mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). J Med Entomol 1999; 36: 625–629.
- [25]Das NG, Nath DR, Baruah I, Talukdar PK, Das SG. Field evaluation of herbal mosquito repellents. J Commun Dis 1999; 31(4): 241–245.
- [26]Kim DH. Insecticidal and repellent activities of *Foeniculum vulgare fruit-derived materials*. M.S. thesis, Seoul National University, Suwon, Republic of Korea, 2000.
- [27]Govindarajan M. Bioefficacy of *Cassia fistula* Linn. (Leguminosae) leaf extract against chikungunya vector, *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2009; **13**(2): 99–103.
- [28]Rohani A, Nazni WA, Ngo LV, Ibrahim J, Lee HL. Adulticidal properties of the essential extracts of some Malaysian plants on vector mosquitoes. *Tropical Biomedicine*.1997; 14: 5–9.
- [29]Sulaiman S, Kadir AA, Pawanchee ZA, Othman HF, Shaari N, Wahab A, et al. Field evaluation of the control efficacy of plant extracts applied by ULV spraying at high-rise flats to control dengue vectors. *Arbovirus Research of Australia* 2001; 8: 375–378.
- [30]Perich MJ, Wells C, Bertsch W, Tredway KE. Isolation of the insecticidal components of *Tagetes minuta* (Compositae) against mosquito larvae and adults. *J Am Mosq Contr Assoc* 1995; 11(3): 307–310.
- [31]World Health Organization. Guidelines for efficacy testing of mosquito repellents for human skins. WHO/HTM/NTD/ WHOPES/2009.WHO:Gevena;2009,p. 4–18.
- [32]World Health Organization. Instructions for determining the susceptibility or resistance of adult mosquitoes to organochlorine,

organophosphate and carbamate insecticides: diagnostic test. Geneva: WHO/VBC/;1981,p.81–807.

- [33]Elango G, Bagavan A, Kamaraj C, Zahir AA, Rahuman AA. Oviposition-deterrent, ovicidal, and repellent activities of indigenous plant extracts against Anopheles subpictus Grassi (Diptera: Culicidae). Parasitol Res 2009; 105: 1567–1576.
- [34]Amer A, Mehlhorn H. Repellency effect of forty-one essential oils against Aedes, Anopheles, and Culex mosquitoes. Parasitol Res 2006; 99: 478-490.
- [35]Pushpanathan T, Jebanesan A, Govindarajan M. The essential oil of Zingiber officinalis Linn (Zingiberaceae) as a mosquito larvicidal and repellent agent against the filarial vector Culex quinquefasciatus Say (Diptera: Culicidae). Parasitol Res 2008; 102:1289–1291.
- [36]Singh SP, Raghavendra K, Singh RK, Mohanty SS, Dash AP. Evaluation of *Tribulus terrestris* Linn (Zygophyllaceae) acetone extract for larvicidal and repellence activity against mosquito vectors. J Commun Dis 2008; 40: 255-261
- [37]Watanabe K, Shono Y, Kakimizu A, Okada A, Matsuo N, Satoh A, et al. New mosquito repellent from *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*. J Agri Food Chem 1993; 41: 2164–2166.
- [38]Ansari MA, Razdan RK. Repellent action of *Cymbopogon martini* martini Stapf. var. Sofia against mosquitoes. *Indian J Malariol*. 1994; **31**: 95–102.
- [39]Mishra AK, Singh N, Sharma VP. Use of neem oil as a mosquito repellent in tribal villages of Mandala district, Madhya Pradesh. *Indian J Malariol* 1995; **32**: 99–103.
- [40]Dua VK, Nagpal BN, Sharma VP. Repellent action of neem cream against mosquitoes. *Indian J Malariol* 1995; 32: 47–53.
- [41]Dua VK, Gupta NC, Pandey AC, Sharma VP. Repellency of Lantana camara (Verbenaceae) flowers against Aedes mosquitoes. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 1996; 12: 406–408.
- [42]Singh RN, Saratchandra B. The development of botanical products with special reference to seri–ecosystem. *Caspian J Env Sci* 2005; 3: 1–8
- [43]Mullai K, Jebanesan A, Pushpanathan T. Mosquitocidal and repellent activity of the leaf extract of *Citrullus vulgaris* (cucurbitaceae) against the malarial vector, *Anopheles stephensi* liston (diptera culicidae). *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2008; **12**(1): 1–7.
- [44]Govere J, Braack LEO, Durrheim DN, Hunt RH, Coetzee M. Repellent effects on Anopheles arabiensis biting humans in Kruger Park, South Africa. Med Vet Entomol 2001; 15(3): 287–292
- [45]Selvaraj Pandian R, Manoharan AC, Pandian RS. Herbal smoke a potential repellent and adulticide for mosquitoes. *Insect Environ* 1995; 1(3): 14–15.
- [46]Venkatachalam MR, Jebanesan A. Repellent activity of *Ferronia elephantum* Corr. (Rutaceae) leaf extract against *Aedes aegypti* (L.). *Bioresour Technol* 2001; **76**: 287–288.
- [47]Dua VK, Gupta NC, Pandey AC, Sharma VP. Repellency of Lantana camara (Verbenaceae) flowers against Aedes mosquitoes. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 2003; 12: 406–408.
- [48]Pushpanathan T, Jebanesan A, Govindarajan M. Larvicidal, ovicidal and repellent activities of *Cymbopogan citratus* Stapf (Graminae) essential oil against the filarial mosquito *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Say) (Diptera: Culicidae). *Trop Biomed* 2006; 23 (2):208-212.
- [49]Mehlhorn H, Schmahl G, Schmidt J. Extract of the seeds of the plant *Vitex* agnus castus proven to be highly efficacious as a repellent against ticks, fleas, mosquitoes and biting flies. *Parasitolo Res* 2005; 95: 363–365.