

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine

journal homepage:www.elsevier.com/locate/apjtb



Document heading

doi:

©2012 by the Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine. All rights reserved.

Bioefficacy of botanical insecticides against the dengue and chikungunya vector *Aedes aegypti* (L.) (Diptera: Culicidae)

Samuel Tennyson^{1*}, K. John Ravindran² and S. Arivoli³

¹Department of Zoology, Madras Christian College, Chennai 600 059, Tamilnadu, India ²National Institute of Malaria Research (ICMR), Field Unit Chennai National Institute of Epidemiology Campus, Chennai 600 077, Tamilnadu, India ³P.G. & Research Department of Advanced Zoology and Biotechnology Loyola College, Chennai 600 034, Tamilnadu, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 25 August 2012 Received in revised from 15 September 2012 Accepted 21 December 2012 Available online 28 December 2012

Keywords: Aedes aegypti Botanical insecticides Plant extracts Larvicidal activity

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the bioefficacy of plant extracts *viz.*, whole plants of *Sphaeranthus indicus* (Asteraceae) and *Citrullus colocynthis* (Cucurbitaceae), leaves of *Abutilon indicum* (Malvaceae), *Cleistanthus collinus* (Euphorbiaceae), *Leucas aspera* (Lamiaceae) and *Murraya koenigii* (Rutaceae), and aerial parts of *Hyptis suaveolens* (Lamiaceae) against the dengue and chikungunya vector *Aedes aegypti*. **Methods:** The larvicidal activity was determined against the early third instar larvae at concentrations of 250, 500, 750 and 1000 ppm. Larval mortality was assessed after 24 h. **Results**: The ethyl acetate extract of *Sphaeranthus indicus* (201.11ppm) and hexane extract of *Abutilon indicum* (261.31ppm) was found to be effective. **Conclusions**: Further in–depth investigations on the crude extract/phytotoxic compounds of *Sphaeranthus indicus* are needed to elucidate the larvicidal activity against a wide range of all stages of mosquito species and also the active ingredients of the extract responsible for larvicidal activity in *Aedes aegypti* should be identified, and small scale field trials are needed for usage of this plant as a mosquitocidal agent.

1. Introduction

Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) represent a significant threat to human health because of their ability to vector pathogens that cause diseases that afflict millions of people worldwide. Several species belonging to genera Aedes, Anopheles and Culex are vectors for the pathogens of various diseases like Dengue fever, Dengue haemorrhagic fever, Malaria, Japanese encepahalitis and Filariasis [1]. Aedes aegypti, the vector of dengue is widely distributed in the tropical and subtropical zones and dengue haemorraghic fever is endemic to South East Asia, the Pacific Islands, Africa and America [2]. One of the successful way of reducing mosquito densities is by attacking the larval breeding sites [3]. Plant products have been used traditionally by human communities and application of easily degradable plant compounds is considered to be one of the safest methods of control of insect pests and vectors. Plants are a rich source of novel natural substances that can be used to develop environmental safe methods for insect control [4]. Development of resistance by pests and vectors against the botanicals has not been reported and botanical insecticides are generally pest specific, readily biodegradable, target specificity, lower bioaccumulation and lack toxicity to higher animals [5, 6]. Therefore, the

present study has been carried out to evaluate the larvicidal activity of whole plants of *Sphaeranthus indicus* (Asteraceae) and *Citrullus colocynthis* (Cucurbitaceae), leaves of *Abutilon indicum* (Malvaceae), *Cleistanthus collinus* (Euphorbiaceae), *Leucas aspera* (Lamiaceae) and *Murraya koenigii* (Rutaceae), and aerial parts of *Hyptis suaveolens* (Lamiaceae) extracts against the dengue and chikungunya vector, *Aedes aegypti* (L.).

2.MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Plant extracts

Seven plant extracts viz., whole plants of Sphaeranthus indicus (Asteraceae) and Citrullus colocynthis (Cucurbitaceae), leaves of Abutilon indicum (Malvaceae), Cleistanthus collinus (Euphorbiaceae), Leucas aspera (Lamiaceae), and Murraya koenigii (Rutaceae) and aerial parts of Hyptis suaveolens (Lamiaceae) collected in and around Chennai, Tamilnadu, India were brought to the laboratory, shade dried under room temperature and powdered using an electric blender. Dried and powdered plant parts of each plant (1 kg) was subjected to sequential extraction using 3 L of hexane, diethyl ether, dichloromethane and ethyl acetate for a period of 72 hours each to obtain the crude extracts using rotary vacuum evaporator. The hexane, diethyl ether, dichloromethane and ethyl acetate crude extracts thus obtained were lyophilized

^{*}Corresponding author: Dr. Samuel Tennyson, Assistant Professor, Department of Zoology Madras Christian College, Chennai 600 059, Tamilnadu, India E-mail: samtennyson@gmail.com

and a stock solution of 1,00,000 ppm prepared from each crude extract by adding adequate volume of acetone was refrigerated at 4 $^\circ\!\!C$ until testing for bioassays.

2.2 Test mosquitoes

Tests were carried out against laboratory reared *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes free of exposure to insecticides and pathogens. Cyclic generation of *Aedes aegypti* were maintained at 25–29 °C and 80–90 per cent R.H. in the insectarium. Larvae were fed on larval food (powdered dog biscuit and yeast in the ratio 3:1) and adult mosquitoes on ten per cent glucose solution. Adult female mosquitoes were periodically blood–fed on restrained albino mice for egg production.

2.3 Larvicidal bioassay

Bioassay for the larvicidal activity was carried out using WHO [7] procedure with slight modifications. From the stock solution, concentrations of 250, 500, 750 and 1000 ppm was prepared. Twenty five early third instar larvae were

Table 1

Probit analysis of larvicidal bioefficacy of plant extracts against Aedes aegypti

Extracts LC50(ppm) LC90(ppm) Chi-squarevalue Regression value Sphaeranthus indicus (Asteraceae) Hexane 966.03 4229.94 1.33* 1.69 Diethyl ether 869.37 4082.91 5.20* 1.91 Dichloromethane 1559.56 8958.67 0.01* 1.69 Ethyl acetate 201.11 865.83 17.86 2.02 Citrullus colocynthis (Cucurbitaceae) Hexane 1 90* 1087.64 3752.82 2.38 Diethyl ether 1022.36 2.24* 5122.13 1.83 Dichloromethane 515.69 1725.59 19.01 2.44 Ethyl acetate 1212.96 4682.91 0.28* 1.18 Abutilon indicum (Malvaceae) Hexane 261.31 1196.20 31.35 1.94 Diethyl ether 1442.34 5691.63 0.99^{*} 2.15 Dichloromethane 1434.59 5932.17 0.59^{*} 2.08 Ethyl acetate 898.87 2580.90 1.57^{*} 2.80 Cleistanthus collinus (Euphorbiaceae) Hexane 1291.21 5070.99 0.03* 2.16 Diethyl ether 837.36 3450.87 4.98* 2.08 Dichloromethane 755.26 2831.15 3.71* 2.23 Ethyl acetate 560.41 2669.86 7.10 1.89 Leucas aspera (Lamiaceae) Hexane 1359.25 6751.97 0.05^{*} 1.84 Diethvl ether 0.21* 927.62 1.23 4308.47 Dichloromethane 844.63 4251.98 3.53* 1.83 Ethyl acetate 483.21 3195.91 7.19 1.56 Murraya koenigii (Rutaceae) Hexane 856.70 3771.08 4.37* 1.99 Diethyl ether 0.92* 511.12 1882.24 1.75 Dichloromethane 1452.54 3.42* 3719.80 3.14 Ethyl acetate 6.39* 1421.09 4927.15 2.37Hyptis suaveolens (Lamiaceae) Hexane 543.66 3546.69 7.49 1.57 Diethyl ether 1443.53 8362.41 2.05* 1.68 Dichloromethane 1292.36 6016.58 0.23* 1.92 Ethyl acetate 853.04 3549.90 2.52* 2.07

*Significant at P < 0.05 level

S1843

introduced into a 250 ml glass beaker containing 200 ml of water with each concentration. A total of three trials were carried out with five replicates per trial. Control was prepared by the addition of acetone to water. Mortality was recorded after 24 hours and the control mortality was corrected using Abbott's ^[8] formula,

$$Per cent mortality = \frac{\% \text{ Mortality in treated} - \% \text{ Mortality in control}}{100 - \% \text{ Mortality in control}} \times 100$$

2.4 Statistical analysis

SPSS ^[9] was used for determination of LC_{50} and LC_{90} values. Data from mortality and effect of concentrations were subjected to analysis of variance. Difference between the treatments was determined by Tukey's test (P < 0.05).

3. RESULTS

The effect of various botanical extracts on the mortality

of *Aedes aegypti* larvae are presented in Table 1. The ethyl acetate extract of *Sphaeranthus indicus* whole plant was found to be effective with a LC_{50} value of 201.11 ppm against the larvae of *Aedes aegypti* followed by hexane leaf extract of *Abutilon indicum* with 261.31 ppm.

4. DISCUSSION

Vector control is facing a serious threat due to the emergence of resistance in vector mosquitoes to conventional synthetic insecticides or development of newer insecticides. However due to the continuous increase in resistance of mosquitoes to familiar synthetic insecticides, better alternative means are sought. A considerable number of plant derivatives have been screened effective against mosquitoes [10, 11]. Though several plant species from different families have been reported for mosquitocidal activity, only few botanicals have moved from laboratory to field use which might be due to the presence of phytochemicals when compared to synthetic insecticides. Larvae from the three medically important mosquito genera Aedes, Anopheles and Culex are all susceptible to a greater or lesser extent to some phytochemicals [11]. The screening of local medicinal plants for mosquito larvicidal activity may eventually lead to their use in natural product-based mosquito abatement practices. Plants belonging to different families have been extensively screened/studied for their larvicidal activity ever since the discovery of the larvicidal potential of the extract of *Chrysanthemum cinnerariaefolium* [12]. Crude extracts of many plants showed larvicidal activity against mosquitoes [13]. Ethyl acetate leaf extract of *Eclipta prostrata* (LC_{50} value of 119.89ppm) was found to be effective against Culex tritaeniorhynchus [14], Achilea *millefolium* methanolic stem extract (LC₅₀ value of 120.0ppm) against *Culex quinquefasciatus* ^[15], petroleum ether extract of Centratherum anthelminticum fruits (LC50 value of 162.60ppm) against Anopheles stephensi [16], Tanacetum *vulgare* methanolic flower extract (LC₅₀ value of 178.0ppm), methanolic stem extract of *Otanthus maritimus* (LC₅₀ value of 195.0ppm) [17] and ethyl acetate leaf extract of Strychnos nuxvomica against Culex quinquefascaitus [18] and petroleum ether leaf extracts of Artemisia nilagirica against Culex quinquefasciatus, Galinsoga quadriradiata against Culex quinquefasciatus, Anopheles stephensi and Aedes aegypti, Tagetes erectes against Anopheles stephensi and Aedes aegypti ^[19] were comparable with the results of the present study. Therefore, further in-depth investigations on the crude extract/phytotoxic compounds of Sphaeranthus indicus are needed to elucidate the larvicidal activity against a wide range of all stages of mosquito species and also the active ingredient of the extract responsible for larvicidal activity in Aedes aegypti should be identified, and small scale field trials are needed for usage of this plant as a mosquitocidal agent.

Conflict of interest statement

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The third author is thankful to the Department of Science and Technology, Govt. of India, New Delhi, India for financial assistance.

This work was sanctioned and carried under Department of Science and Technology, Govt. of India (Sanction No. SR/ FT/L-96/2005).

REFERENCES

- WHO. Malaria fact sheets No. 94. WHO Report. Geneva: WHO media centre; 2010
- [2] Senthilkumar A, Kannathasan K, Venkatesalu V. Chemical constituents and larvicidal property of the essential oil of *Blumea* mollis (D. Don) Merr. against *Culex quinquefasciatus*. Parasitology Research 2008; 103: 959–962.
- [3] Knio KM, Usta J, Dagher S, Zournajian H, Krediyyeh S. Larvicidal activity of essential oils extracted from commonly used herbs in Lebanon against the seaside mosquito, *Ochlerotatus caspius*. *Bioresource Technology* 2008; **99**: 763–768.
- [4] Mandal S. Mosquito vector management with botanicals the most effective weapons in controlling mosquito-borne diseases. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine* 2012; 336.
- [5] Wariko R, Ray A, Sandhu JK, Samal R, Wahab N, Kumar S. Larvicidal and irritant activities of hexane leaf extracts of *Citrus sinensis* against the dengue vector *Aedes aegypti L. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine* 2012; 152–155.
- [6] Govindarajan M, Sivakumar R. Mosquito adulticidal and repellent activities of botanical extracts against the malaria vector, *Anopheles stephensi* Liston (Diptera: Culicidae). *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine* 2011; 941–947.
- [7] WHO. Report of the WHO informal consultation on the evaluation and testing of insecticides. CTD/WHOPES/IC/96. 1. Control of Tropical Diseases Division. Geneva; 1996.
- [8] Abbott WS. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. Journal of Economic Entomology, 1925; 18: 265-267.
- [9] SPSS. SPSS for windows, Version 11.5. SPSS, Chicago, IL; 2007.
- [10]Sukumar K, Perich MJ, Boobar L.R. Botanical derivatives in mosquito control: A review. Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association 1991; 7(2): 210-237.
- [11]Shaalan EAS, Canyonb D, Younesc MW, Wahaba HA, Mansoura AH. A review of botanical phytochemicals with mosquitocidal potential. *Environment International*, 2005; **31**: 1149–1166.
- [12]Omena MC, Navarro DMAF, de Paula JE, Luna JS, de Lima MRF. and Sant'Ana A.E.G. Larvicidal activities against *Aedes aegypti* of some Brazilian medicinal plants. *Bioresource Technology* 2007; 98: 2549–2556.
- [13]Samuel T, Ravindran JK, and Arivoli S. Screening of twenty five plant extracts for larvicidal activity against *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say (Diptera: Culicidae). *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine* 2012; S1130 – S1134.
- [14]Elango G, Rahuman AA, Bagavan A, Kamaraj C, Zahir AA, Venkatesan C. Laboratory study on larvicidal activity of indigenous plant extracts against *Anopheles subpictus* and *Culex tritaeniorhynchus*. *Parasitology Research* 2009; **104**: 1381-1388.
- [15]Pavela R. Larvicidal effects of various Euro-Asiatic plants against *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say larvae (Diptera: Culicidae). *Parasitology Research* 2008; **102**: 555-559.
- [16]Srivastava A, Bartarya R, Touk S, Srivastava SS, Kumari KM. Larvicidal activity of an indigenous plant, *Centratherum* anthelminticum. Journal of Environmental Biology 2008; 29(5): 669–672.
- [17]Pavela R, Vrchotova N, Triska J. Mosquitocidal activities of thyme oils (*Thymus vulgaris* L.) against *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Parasitology Research* 2009; **105**: 1365–1370.
- [18]Arivoli S, Samuel T. Larvicidal efficacy of Strychnos nuxvomica Linn. (Loganiaceae) leaf extracts against the filarial vector Culex quinquefasciatus Say (Diptera: Culicidae). World Journal of Zoology 2012; 7(1): 6–11.
- [19]Sakthivadivel M, Daniel T. Evaluation of certain insecticidal plants for the control of vector mosquitoes viz., *Culex quinquefasciatus*, *Anopheles stephensi* and *Aedes aegypti. Applied Entomology and Zoology* 2008; **43**(1): 57–63.