Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine

journal homepage:www.elsevier.com/locate/apjtb

Document heading doi:

© 2012 by the Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine. All rights reserved.

# Ethnobotanical studies on the wild edible plants of Irula tribes of Pillur Valley, Coimbatore district, Tamil Nadu, India

## L. Rasingam<sup>\*</sup>

Keystone Foundation, Kotagiri –643217, The Nilgiris, Tamil Nadu, India Present Address: Botanical Survey of India, Deccan Regional Centre, Hyderabad – 48.

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 25 August 2012 Received in revised from 5 Semptember 2012 Accepted 7 December 2012 Available online 28 December 2012

*Keywords:* Coimbatore Edible plants Irula Pillur Tamil Nadu

### 1. Introduction

Consuming wild edibles is a part of the food habits of people in many societies and intimately connected to virtually all aspects of their socio-cultural, spiritual life and health <sup>[1, 2]</sup>. It plays a major role in meeting the nutritional requirement of the tribal population in remote parts of the country throughout the year [3-9]. Knowledge of non-domesticated food resources is part of traditional and unstated ecological knowledge, and is largely transmitted through socialization within cultural and household contexts <sup>[2]</sup>. The diversity in wild species offers variety in family diet and contributes to household food security [10-11]. The contributions of forest foods that make food security can be categorized into three main ways viz. (i). providing a supplementary source of food, (ii). as seasonal foods in the diet, and (iii) as emergency food supplies during periods when others are unavailable.

Principally, earlier studies in the Nilgiri Biosphere Reserve have dealt with medicinal species and little attention

Tel: +91 (40) 2002 0666

# ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To conduct an ethnobotanical studies and collect information about the wild edible plants collected and utilized by the Irula tribes of Pillur valley, Coimbatore District, Tamil Nadu, India. **Methods:** The study was conducted among the Irula peoples of Pillur valley through survey, interview and field work along with the knowledgeable individuals during January 2009 – September 2010. All the traditional and other knowledge related to the collection and consumption of wild edible plants on which the communities depend was documented. **Results:** A total of 74 plant species have been recorded as wild edible in the study areas, of which, fruits yielding plants ranked first with 42 species, green leaves, tubers, young shoots and flowers ranked next with 26, 7, 4 and 2 species respectively. **Conclusions:** Our study revealed that the adivasi community in the Pillur Valley continues to have and use the knowledge about the wild edible plants, including their habitat, collection period, sustainable collection, mode of preparation and consumption. To date, this knowledge appear to be fairly well conserved and used as a result of continued reliance of local community on the wild uncultivated foods.

was paid to wild edible plants <sup>[12–17]</sup>. Various reports also noted that many wild edibles are nutritionally rich and can supplement nutritional requirements, especially vitamins and micronutrients <sup>[18–21]</sup>. Nutritional analysis of some wild edible plants demonstrates that in many cases the nutritional quality of wild plants is comparable and in some cases they are superior to domesticated varieties <sup>[22–24]</sup>. Many wild plant species are believed to possess edible value and not documented yet <sup>[4, 18, 21]</sup>. So, there is an urgent need to explore, analyze and document the wild foods consumed by the Irula tribal communities in Nilgiri Biosphere Reserve (NBR). Hence the present study was undertaken in the Irula tribes of Pillur Valley.

### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Study area

The Nilgiri Biosphere Reserve is the first biosphere reserve in India established under MAB program by UNESCO in 1986 <sup>[25]</sup>. The Nilgiri Biosphere reserve has a large number of indigenous communities; most of them are forest dwellers and hunter gatherers <sup>[15, 26]</sup>. Studies were carried out in the Nilgiri Biosphere reserve, particularly on the Pillur Valley (Figure 1). It is situated in Mettupalayam taluk of Coimbatore district and the southeastern part of Nilgiri Biosphere



<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Dr. L. Rasingam, Botanical Survey of India, Deccan Regional Centre, Plot No. 366/1, in Zoological Survey of India Campus, Attapur (V), Hyderguda Post, Hyderabad – 500 048, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Fax: +91-(40)-2400 2287

E-mail: rasingam@gmail.com

Reserve. It is bounded by the Sathyamangalam and Nilgiri divisions on the north and northeast and the Palghat division of Kerala in the south and south west.



Figure 1. Map of Nilgiri Biosphere Reserve showing the study area

The elevations range from 0 to 700m and it receives rain from northeast monsoon. The annual average rainfall ranges from 1000 to 1400mm. Pillur is contiguous with the Kerala forests in the west and Coonoor slopes in the Nilgiri massif in the north. The forests in the area are unique and they exhibit a wide variety of floral diversity in different altitudinal and geographical zones. The major vegetations of Pillur Valley are broadly classified in to 1. Dry deciduous scrub jungles, 2. Riparian forests and 3. the *Phoenix* savannah.

# 2.2. Peoples

Irulas are a small tribal community that is part of the Dravidian language group that is spoken in south-eastern India. They are recognized as a Scheduled Tribe (ST) by the Government of India [8, 27]. They are basically a seminomadic tribe, spread all over the Nilgiri Biosphere Reserve and were initially hunter-gatherers depending on forest products for their day-to-day food and medicinal needs. They are the ultimate jungle folk, and their knowledge of plants and animals is a data bank of immense value. The areas encompass 15 Irula villages namely Poochamarathur, Baralikadu, Nellimarathur, Sethumadai, Shengalur, Nellithurai, Situkunni, Surki, Kodiyur, Neeradi, Veerakal, Anaipallam, Chinnalacombai, Thondai and Mannar.

The Irula tribals are mainly Non Timber Forest Produces (NTFPs) collectors and depend on forest resources for their survival. Most of their daily needs are met by the forest and very often they barter forest produce in the market. They also engage in agriculture which provide for some part of their food security. There are several honey gathering villages in the area. Villages like Poochamarathur and Neeradi have the highest number of honey gatherers. Most of the elder peoples in this area possess immense knowledge on forest plants. They use a wide variety of plant species for their daily sustenance and livelihood.

The study was conducted among the Irula peoples of Pillur valley through survey, interview and field work along with the knowledgeable individuals during January 2009 - September 2010. All the traditional and other knowledge related to the collection and consumption of on which the communities depend was documented. The data was collected about collections and consumption of wild edible plants, such as frequency of consumption and collection groups. Interviews held in the villages was used to determine villagers' perceptions of their own and other's social attitudes towards collection and consumptions were collected. Moreover, informal discussions and forest walks with key informants, both adults and children, was carried out to enhance understanding about traditional knowledge and about different species of wild edible plants available around the village. The collected specimens were identified with the help of local floras [28-33]. The identified plants are arranged alphabetically with family names, local name and part(s) used.

# 3. Results

The result shows that, Irula tribes of Pillur Valley possess a very good knowledge on the wild edible plants found around the forest areas. A total of 74 plants species from 58 genera and 41 families have been recorded as wild edible plants in the study areas (Table 1). Of which, fruits ranked first with 42 species, green leaves, tubers, young shoots and flowers ranked next with 26, 7, 4 and 2 species respectively (Figure 2). Among the 41 families, the most widely utilized species belonged to Amaranthaceae (7), Rhamnaceae (4) and Euphorbiaceae (4). The families Solanaceae, Tiliaceae, Asclepiadaceae, Cactaceae were represented by 3 species each and the remaining families were represented by one or two species each. In the present study, about 74 wild edible plants have been enumerated, among them 19 are herbs, 14 shrubs, 15 climbers, 4 stragglers and 22 trees (Figure 3).



Figure 2. Wild edible plant species in different categories

# Table 1

Plants used as wild edible in the Pillur Valley by Irula tribe.

	Plant Name	Family	Local Name	Parts used
1	Acacia pennata (L.) Willd.	Mimosaceae	Seengai	Leaf
2	Alternanthera sessilis (L.) R. Br. ex DC.	Amaranthaceae	Ponnakanni	Leaf
3	Amaranthus caudatus L.	Amaranthaceae	Thandu keerai	Leaf
4	Amaranthus graecizans L.	Amaranthaceae	Sirukeerai	Leaf
5	Amaranthus spinosus L.	Amaranthaceae	Mullu	Leaf
6	Amaranthus viridis L.	Amaranthaceae	Pattikerae	Leaf
7	Asparagus racemosus Willd.	Liliaceae	Neer vekkaea	Tuber
8	Nastus borbonicus J.F.Gmel.	Poaceae	Peria mungil	Shoot
9	Basella alba L.	Basellaceae	Vasala dagu	Leaf
10	Boerhavia diffusa L.	Nyctaginaceae	Serandai	Leaf
11	Brassica juncea (L.) Czern.	Brasscicaceae	Kadugu	Leaf
12	Cansjera rheedii J.F.Gmel.	Opiliaceae	Povi	Leaf
13	Canthium coromandelicum (Burm.f.) Alston	Rubiaceae	Bellakarai	Fruit
14	Capparis zeylanica L.	Capparaceae	Kevisi	Fruit
15	Caralluma bicolor Ramach, S. Joseph, H. A. John & C. Sofiya	Asclepiadaceae	Kattalae	Shoot
16	Cardiospermum halicacabum L.	Sapindaceae	Sitiki	Leaf
17	Carissa carandas L.	Apocynaceae	Kallakai	Fruit
18	Carissa spinarum L.	Apocynaceae	Sirukallakai	Fruit
19	Celosia argentea L.	Amaranthaceae	Pannae	Leaf
20	Cereus pterogonus Lem	Cactaceae	Ooci kalli	Flower
21	Cissus quadrangularis L.	Vitaceae	Naralai	Leaf
22	Coccinia grandis (L.) Voigt	Cucurbitaceae	Kovakai	Fruit
23	Commelina benghalensis L.	Commelinaceae	Kannae	Leaf
24	Cordia sinensis Lam.	Boraginaceae	Sellai	Leaf & Fruit
25	Cordia dichotoma G.Forst.	Boraginaceae	Karadisellai	Fruit
26	Cycas circinalis L.	Cycadaceae	Enthu	Tuber & tender leaf
27	Decalepis hamiltonii Wight & Arn.	Asclepiadaceae	Magalie	Tuber
28	Digera muricata (L.) Mart.	Amaranthaceae	Theyya	Leaf
29	Dioscorea oppositifolia L.	Dioscoreaceae	Riya	Tuber
30	Dioscorea tomentosa J.König ex Spreng.	Dioscoreaceae	Noorai	Tuber
31	Diospyros montana Roxb.	Ebenaceae	Bankini	Leaf
32	Diospyros malabarica (Desr.) Kostel.	Ebenaceae	Benson	Fruit
33	Drypetes sepiaria (Wight & Arn.) Pax & K.Hoffm.	Euphorbiaceae	Thanuvam	Fruit
34	Elaeagnus conferta Roxb.	Elaeagnaceae	Kolaga	Fruit
35	Ficus benghalensis L.	Moraceae	Aal	Fruit
36	Ficus racemosa L.	Moraceae	Athi	Fruit
37	Glycosmis pentaphylla (Retz.) DC.	Rutaceae	Melaekulukki	Fruit
38	Grewia hirsuta Vahl	Tiliaceae	Kallai	Fruit
39	Grewia tiliifolia Vahl	Tiliaceae	Lumma	Fruit
40	Grewia villosa Willd.	Tiliaceae	Jenukallai	Fruit
41	Hemidesmus indicus (L.) R.Br. ex Schult.	Asclepiadaceae	Nannari	Tuber
42	Ipomoea staphylina Roem. & Schult.	Convolvulaceae	Unnagodi	Tuber
43	Jasminum trichotomum B.Heyne ex Roth	Oleaceae	Malligai	Leaf
44	Lantana camara L.	Verbenaceae	Unnichedi	Fruit
45	Madhuca longifolia (J.König ex L.) J.F.Macbr.	sapotaceae	Lippae	Fruit
46	Mangifera indica L.	Anacardiaceae	Manga	Fruit
47	Moringa oleifera Lam.	Moringaeceae	Nugae/Murungai	Leaf
48	Murraya koenigii (L.) Spreng.	Rutaceae	Kariveppilai	Leaf
49	Opuntia monacantha (Willd.) Haw.	Cactaceae	Kalli	Fruit
50	Opuntia stricta (Haw.) Haw.	Cactaceae	Chappathikalli	Fruit
51	Oxalis corniculata L.	Oxalidaceae	Pulichera	Leaf
52	Pachygone ovata (Poir.) Diels	Menispermaceae	Varinkodi	Fruit
53	Phoenix loureiroi Kunth	Arecaceae	Eechipullu	Tender shoot & fruit
54	Phyllanthus emblica L.	Euphorbiaceae	Nelli	Fruit
55	Phyllanthus indofischeri Bennet	Euphorbiaceae	Nelli	Fruit
56	Phyllanthus reticulatus Poir.	Euphorbiaceae	Poola	Fruit

5	57	Physalis angulata L. var. angulata	Solanaceae	Potolai	Fruit
5	58	Pithecellobium dulce (Roxb.) Benth.	Mimosaceae	Konapuli	Fruit
5	59	Portulaca oleracea L.	Portulacaceae	Goni	Leaf
$\epsilon$	50	Psydrax dicoccos Gaertn.	Rubiaceae	Oppai	Fruit
$\epsilon$	51	Rivea hypocrateriformis Choisy	Convolvulaceae	Mustae	Leaf
$\epsilon$	52	Schleichera oleosa (Lour.) Merr.	Sapindaceae	Pulipoocha	Fruit
$\epsilon$	53	Scutia myrtina (Burm.f.) Kurz	Rhamnaceae	Sodalie/Julie	Fruit
6	54	Senna tora (L.) Roxb.	Caesalpiniaceae	Oosi thagarai	Leaf
$\epsilon$	55	Solanum americanum Mill.	Solanaceae	Kakae dagu	Leaf
6	56	Solanum virginianum L.	Solanaceae	Kandakathiri	Fruit
6	57	Solanum rudepannum Dunal	Solanaceae	Sundai	Fruit
6	58	Strychnos potatorum L.f.	Loganiaceae	Sillakottai	Fruit
6	59	Syzygium cuminii (L.) Skeels	Myrtaceae	Neera/Naval	Fruit
7	70	Tamarindus indica L.	Caesalpiniaceae	Puli	Fruit
7	71	Zaleya decandra (L.) Burm. f.	Portulacaceae	Koni dagu	Leaf
7	72	Ziziphus mauritiana Lam.	Rhamnaceae	Lanthai	Fruit
7	73	Ziziphus oenopolia (L.) Mill.	Rhamnaceae	Julie	Fruit
7	74	Ziziphus abyssinica Hochst. ex A.Rich.	Rhamnaceae	Kottae	Fruit



Figure 3. Life–forms of wild edible plants

The edible parts are consumed in many different ways. Some of them need only the washing of the part of the plant and some others imply a more or less complex preparation process. Nearly 47% of plants with edible leaves, roots or fruits are eaten after cooking. On the other hand, many edible fruits are directly consumed as desserts (44%), in fresh form (Carissa spinarum, C.carandus, Cordia wallichii, Drypetes sepiaria, Ficus racemosa, Opuntia stricta, Scutia myrtina, Syzygium cuminii, Ziziphus mauritiana, Z. xylopyrus and Z. oneoplia). Nearly 8% of the wild edibles are utilized as cooked/raw. A few of them are Solanum nigrum, Coccinia grandis, Tamarindus indica and Mangifera indica, Phyllanthus emblica, and P. indofisherii. A number of plants are gathered and preserved to be stored and consumed all year round. Many plants products are stored in pot vessels and plastic bags after proper preparations and used all year round. Some of them are Cycas circinalis, Tamarindus indica, Ficus racemosa, Coccinia grandis, Solanum torvum, Mangifera indica, Phyllanthus embelica and P.indofischerii.

#### 4. Discussion

Documentation of wild edible plants from ethnobotanical approach is important for enhancing the understanding of indigenous knowledge systems [10, 34-35]. The wide consumption and availability of wild plants attest their value, and are especially visible among indigenous cultures. But in recent times, the old traditions in many tribal communities are at risk and gradually decline; hence, there is urgent need to study such knowledge systems and find innovative ways of tapping their potential for the welfare of mankind [36]. But, our study revealed that the adivasi community in the Pillur Valley continues to have and use the knowledge about the wild edible plants, including their habitat, collection period, sustainable collection, mode of preparation and consumption. To date, this knowledge appear to be fairly well conserved and used as a result of continued reliance of local community on the wild uncultivated foods [37-38]. It is recommended that a harmonious blend of indigenous knowledge with modern science is essential to promote sustainable and sustained utilization of these uncultivated sources of nutrition.

# **Conflict of interest statement**

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

# Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to the Director, Botanical Survey of India, Kolkata and Officer In–Charge, Deccan Regional Centre, Hyderabad for encouragement and facilities. The author is thankful to the Directors and Coordinators of Keystone foundation, Kotagiri for giving this opportunity. This project was financed by the IDRC, Canada through grant No. 104645 dated 01/12/2007.

# References

- Singh A, Singh KA, Sureja AK. Cultural significance and diversity of ethnic foods of North East India. *Indian J Trad Knowl* 2006; 6: 79–94.
- [2] Setalaphruk C, Lisa LP. Children's traditional ecological knowledge of wild food resources: a case study in a rural village in Northeast Thailand. *J Ethnobiol Ethnomed* 2007; 3: 1–11.
- [3] Sundriyal M, Sundriyal RC. Wild Edible Plants of the Sikkim Himalaya: Nutritive values of selected species. *Econ Bot* 2001; 55: 377–390.
- [4] Grivetti LE, Britta OM. Value of traditional foods in meeting macro- and micronutrient needs: the wild plant connection. *Natl Res Rev* 2000; 13: 31–46.
- [5] Britta OM. Wild vegetables and Micronutrient Nutrition- studies on the Significance of Wild vegetables in Women's Diets in Vietnam, (Comprehensive summaries of Uppsala, Dissertations from the Faculty of Medicine). 2001.
- [6] Britta M, Dung NNX, Thanh DT, Hambraeus L. The contribution of Wild Vegetables to micronutrient intakes among women: An example from the Mekong Delta, Vietnam, *Ecol Food Nutr* 2001; 40: 159–184.
- [7] Britta OM, Tuyet HT, Duyet HN, Dung NNX. Food, Feed or Medicine: The multiple functions of edible wild plants in Vietnam. *Econ Bot* 2003; 57: 103–117.
- [8] Sasi R, Rajendran A, Maharajan M. Wild edible plant Diversity of Kotagiri Hills – a Part of Nilgiri Biosphere Reserve, Southern India. J Research Biol 2011; 2: 80–87.
- [9] Hazarika TK, Lalramchuana, Nautiyal BP. Studies on wild edible fruits of Mizoram, India used as ethno-medicine. Genet Resour Crop Evol 2012; DOI:10.1007/s10722-012-9799-5.
- [10]Uprety Y, Boon E, Poudel RC. Traditional Use of Plant Resources by Bankariya Ethnic Group in Makawanpur district, central Nepal. GRIN Verlag, Germany. 2008; pp. 60.
- [11]Tiwari JK, Ballabha R, Tiwari P. Some promising wild edible plants of Srinagar and its adjacent area in Alaknanda Valley of Garhwal Himalaya, India, *J American Sci* 2010; 6: 167–174.
- [12]Perumal Samy R, Ignacimuthu S. Antibacterial activity of some folklore medicinal plants used by tribals in Western Ghats of India. *J Ethnopharmaco* 2000; **69**: 63–71.
- [13]Rajan S, Jeyachandran M, Sethuraman M. Medicoethnobotany: A study on the Kattunayaka tribe of Nilgiri Hills, Tamil Nadu, Nat. *Remedies* 2003; **3**: 68–72.
- [14]Rajasekaran A, Prasad SN, Balasubramanian P. Commercial exploited medicinal plants in the Nilgiri Biosphere Reserve, India. *J Non– Timber Forest Prod* 2005; 12: 8–14.
- [15]Udayan PS, Tushar KV, George S, Balachandran I. Ethnomedicinal information from Kattunayakas tribes of Mudumalai Wildlife Sanctuary, Nilgiri district, Tamil Nadu. *Indian J Trad Knowl* 2007; 6: 574–578.
- [16]Revathi P, Parimelazhagan T. Traditional Knowledge on Medicinal Plants Used by the Irula Tribe of Hasanur Hills, Erode District, Tamil Nadu, India. *Ethnobotanical Leaflets* 2010; 14: 136–60.
- [17]Poongodi A, Thilagavathi S, Aravindhan V, Rajendran A. Observations on some ethnomedicinal plants in Sathyamangalam forests of Erode district, Tamil Nadu, India. *J Med Plant Res* 2011; 5: 4709–4714.
- [18]Balemie K, Kebebew F. Ethnobotanical study of wild edible

plants in Derashe and Kucha Districts, South Ethiopia. *J Ethnobiol Ethnomed* 2006; **2**: 53.

- [19]Ali-Shtayeh MS, Jamous RM, Al-Shafie JH, Elgharabah WA, Kherfan FA, Qarariah KH, et al. Traditional knowledge of wild edible plants used in Palestine (Northern West Bank): A comparative study. *J Ethnobiol Ethnomed* 2008; 4: 13.
- [20]Rashid A, Anand VK, Serwar J. Less Known Wild Edible Plants Used by the Gujjar Tribe of District Rajouri, Jammu and Kashmir State. Int J Botany, 2008; 4: 219–224.
- [21]Al-Qura'n, SA. Ethnobotanical and Ecological Studies of Wild Edible Plants in Jordan, *Libyan Agri Res Cent J Int* 2010; 1: 231– 243.
- [22]Kabuye CHS. Potential wild food plants of Kenya. In Conservation and utilization of indigenous medicinal plants and wild relatives of food crops Edited by: Kinyua AM, Kofi–Tsekpo WM, Dangana LB. Nairobi, UNESCO, 1997; p. 107–112.
- [23]Orech FO, Hansen AJ, Friis H. Ethnoecology of traditional leafy vegetables of the Luo people of Bondo district, western Kenya. Int J Food Sci Nutr 2007; 58: 522–530.
- [24]Yumnam JY, Bhuyan SI, Khan ML, Tripathi OP, Agro-diversity of East Siang-Arunachal Pradesh, Eastern Himalaya. Asian J Agri Sci, 2011; 3: 317–326.
- [25]Sumin GT, Shiny MR, Varghese A, Davidar P, Potts SG. Social bees and food plant associations in the Nilgiri Biosphere Reserve, India. *Trop Ecol* 2009; **50**: 79–88.
- [26]Daniels R. The Nilgiri Biosphere Reserve: A review of conservation status with recommendations for a holistic approach to management India. UNESCO, 1996; p. 41.
- [27]Ragupathy S, Newmaster SG. Valorizing the 'Irulas' traditional knowledge of medicinal plants in the Kodiakkarai Reserve Forest, India. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed 2009; 5: 1–13.
- [28]Gamble JS. Flora of the Presidency of Madras, Vols. I–III, Adlard & Co., London. 1915–1935.
- [29]Henry AN, Kumari GR, Chitra V. Flora of Tamilnadu, Series-I (2), Analysis, Botanical Survey of India, Coimbatore, India. 1987.
- [30]Henry AN, Chitra V, Balakrishnan NP. Flora of Tamilnadu, Series-I (3), Analysis, Botanical Survey of India, Coimbatore, India. 1989.
- [31]Matthew KM. The Flora of Tamil Nadu Carnatic, Vols. 1 3. The Rapinat Herbarium, St. Joseph's College, Thiruchirapalli. 1981 – 1984.
- [32]Matthew KM. The Flora of Palni Hills, South India. Parts 1 3. The Rapinat Herbarium, St. Joseph's College, Thiruchirapalli. 1999.
- [33]Nair NC, Henry AN. Flora of Tamil Nadu, Series- I (1), Analysis, Botanical Survey of India, Coimbatore India. 1983.
- [34]Kayang H. Tribal knowledge on wild edible plants of Meghalaya, Northeast India, *Indian J Trad Knowl* 2007; 6: 177–181.
- [35]Panda T. Preliminary Study of Ethno-Medicinal Plants Used to Cure Different Diseases in Coastal District of Orissa, India. *British* J Pharmaco Toxicol 2010; 1: 67–71.
- [36]Rasingam L, Rehel SM. Major wild edible plants of the Nilgiri Biosphere Reserve in India. *Voices* 2009; 17: 8–9.
- [37]Rasingam L. Wild edible Tubers and Rhizomes of the Nilgiri Biosphere Reserve. Newsl. Nilgiri Natur. *Hist. Soc.* 2010; 1: 3.
- [38]Yasodharan K, Sujana KA. Wild edible plants traditionally used by the tribes in the Parambikulam Wildlife Sanctuary, Kerala, India. *Natur Prod Radiance* 2007; 6: 74–80.