

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine



journal homepage:www.elsevier.com/locate/apjtb

Document heading

Evaluation of antibacterial activity of crude extracts of ascidian *Didemnum psammathodes* Sluiter, 1895 against isolated human and fish pathogens

N Sri Kumaran¹, S Bragadeeswaran^{1*}, VK Meenakshi²

¹Centre of Advanced Study in Marine Biology, Faculty of Marine Science, Annamalai University, Parangipettai – 608 502, Tamil Nadu, India ²A. P. C. Mahalakshmi College for Women, Tuticorin – 628 016, Tamil Nadu, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 July 2011 Received in revised form 7 August 2011 Accepted 26 August 2011 Available online 10 September 2011

Keywords: Ascidian Antimicrobial activity Human pathogens Fish pathogens

ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the antimicrobial activities of ascidian *Didemnum psammathodes* (*D. psammathodes*) against human and fish pathogenic organisms. **Methods:** In this study antimicrobial activities were carried out by standard disc diffusion method. In this experiment 40 human, fish bacterial and fungal pathogens were isolated and assayed against 7 different solvents such as methanol, acetone, ethanol, n-butanol, chloroform, ethyl acetate and dichloromethane. Each solvent were assayed at different concentrations of 25, 50, 75, 100 mg/mL. **Results:** From this experiment solvent having higher concentrations showed high inhibition activity and the fungi are showed more resistant than the bacterial strains used. **Conclusions:** These results indicate that the ascidian *D. psammathodes* is found to have remarkable antimicrobial activities against isolated microbes. Further studies will fulfill for purification and structural elucidation of antimicrobial drugs.

1. Introduction

Natural products and their derivatives contribute more than half of all clinically administered drugs^[1]. Of the natural products isolated from marine organisms, only less than 1% has been examined so far for pharmacological activities^[2]. Since the early days of marine natural product discovery, a large proportion of natural compounds have been extracted from marine invertebrates, Porifera (sponges) and Chordata (including ascidians) have dominated as the major contributing phyla of novel bioactive compounds^[3]. Tunicates have been reported to be rich sources of biologically active compounds and ranked third for their overall activities, next to sponges and bryozoans^[4]. Although research on bioactive compounds from ascidians were recently initiated, it is significant that the first marine natural product Didemnin B is entering in to human clinical trial and it is an ascidian metabolite.

Antimicrobial peptides have recently become the focus of considerable interest as a candidate for a new type of antibiotic, due primarily to their potency against pathogenic

*Corresponding author: Dr. S Bragadeeswaran, Assistant Professor, Marine Biotoxinology lab, Centre of Advanced Study in Marine Biology Faculty of Marine Sciences, Annamalai University, Parangipettai – 608 502, Tamil Nadu, India.

Tel: +91 4144 243223, Ext: 269

E-mail: drpragathi@gmail.com

microbes that are resistant to conventional antibiotics, as well as their broad-spectrum activity^[5]. In the last two decades, the incidence of human bacterial and fungal infections has increased dramatically, in parallel with the wide spread of incurable infectious diseases associated with antibiotic- resistant bacteria. Fungal and bacterial diseases have become a growing threat, especially in immunocompromised patients, for whom few or no effective drugs are currently available^[6]. Accordingly, a variety of studies have been conducted in an attempt to isolate natural anti bacterial and antifungal substances with potential pharmaceutical utility, and to develop and design new synthetic or semi-synthetic drugs^[7].

Most of the ascidians are utilized as food in various countries and they are known to produce bioactive metabolites which prevent bio-fouling and this can be considered as a kind of autogenic protection. The case of living marine surfaces the colonization process can additionally be affected by organic metabolites produced by the host organism. These metabolites may affect bacteria in a number of ways, ranging from the induction of chemotactic responses to the inhibition of bacterial growth or cell death. Since they accumulate chemical defenses, ascidians have been screened in a variety of pharmacological bioassays. Biological activities which have been frequently observed in ascidian crude extracts include antibiosis against both human microbial pathogens and marine microorganisms^[8]. The concentrations of the secondary metabolite plays vital role against micro organisms. Such potential ascidians need to be explored for the pharmaceutical purpose. Hence a broad based screening of ascidians for bioactive compound is necessary. This study will use to evaluate the anti microbial properties of the natural product derived from biofoulants ascidians *Didemnum psanmathodes* (*D. psanmathodes*) against isolated human and fish pathogenic micro organisms.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Specimen collection and identification

Ascidians were collected as common and persistent biofoulants from the rocks of Tuticorin Coast (Lattitude 8° 47' 20" and Longitude 78°09' 70"), India by SCUBA diving at the depth ranging from 1 to 3 m between September, 2010. The samples were thoroughly washed with treated sea water and removed from sand, mutt and overgrowing organisms at the site collection, and then transported to laboratory. The collected specimens were identified by the standard literature and immediately shade dried.

2.2. Extraction

The extraction method was followed by Chellaram *et al*^[9]. The freshly collected and dried ascidians were weighed 10 g, each 10 g of the ascidians were soaked in methanol, acetone, ethanol, n-butanol, chloroform, ethyl acetate and dichloromethane for 5 days. The extracts were filtered through Whatman[®] No.1 filter paper and the solvents were concentrated by rotary evaporator (VC100A Lark Rotavapor[®] at 30 °C) with reducing the pressure to give a dark brown gummy mass. The resultant residues were stored at 4 °C for further analysis.

2.3. Test microorganisms and microbial culture

2.3.1. Human bacterial and fungal pathogens

The reference pathogens used to test antimicrobial assay were the following gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria including Escherichia coli (E. coli), Klebsiella oxytoca (K. oxytoca), Klebsiella pneumoniae (K. pneumoniae), Proteus mirabilis (P. mirabilis), Salmonella paratyphi (S. paratyphi), Salmonella typhi (S. typhi), Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus aureus, Vibrio cholerae (V. cholerae) and Vibrio parahaemolyticus (V. parahaemolyticus), and the fungal pathogens such as Alternaria alternata (A. alternata), Aspergillus flavus (A. flavus), Aspergillus niger (A. niger), Candida albicans (C. albicans), Candida tropicalis (C. tropicalis), Mucor sp., Penicillium sp., Rhizopus sp., Trichophyton mentagrophytes (T. mentagrophytes) and Trichophyton rubrum (T. rubrum) were used. These microbes were obtained from Rajah Muthiah Medical College and Hospital, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar. Bacterial and fungal strains were maintained on nutrient agar and fungal agar slants at 4 °C respectively.

2.3.2. Fish bacterial and fungal pathogens

Fish pathogens were used for antimicrobial activity. The bacterial pathogens such as Aeromonas hydrophila (A. hydrophila), Aeromonas sp., Klebsiella sp., Micrococcus sp., P. mirabilis, Proteus sp.1, Streptococcus sp., V. cholerae, V. parahaemolyticus and Vibrio sp.1 and the fungal pathogens such as Aspergillus flavus (A. flaves), Aspergillus fumigatus (A. fumigatus), A. niger, Aspergillus sp. 1, Aspergillus sp.2, Fusarium sp., Ichthyophonus sp., Microsporum sp., Rhizopus sp. and Rhizopus sp.1 were used. These fish pathogens were isolated from infected fishes from Cuddalore Government fish hatchery during April and May 2010. Isolated pathogens were identified based on the morphological, cultural and biochemical characteristics following Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology and Manual of Clinical Microbiology^[10,11]. These fish pathogenic bacterial strains and fungal strains were maintained on Zobell marine agar and fungal agar slants at 4 °C.

2.4. Antibacterial activity

Antibacterial activity was carried out by using standard disc diffusion method by Dulger and Gonuz, Parekh and Chanda, and Laouer^[12-14]. The test cultures (bacteria 108 CFU/mL) were swabbed on top of the solidified media and allowed to dry for 10 min. The human bacteria were maintained on nutrient agar plates. Fouling and fish pathogens were maintained on Zobell marine agar plates. Samples were tested at different concentrations of 25, 50, 75 and 100 mg/mL and applied onto the 6 mm sterile discs. The different extracts were applied onto 6 mm sterile discs in aliquots of 30 μ L of solvent, allowed to dry at room temperature, and extract loaded discs were placed on agar plates seeded with isolated microorganisms and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. The susceptibility of the test organisms were determined by radius of the zones inhibition around each disc. The tetracycline discs (30 mg/disc) were used as a positive control and solvents discs were used as a negative control. All the extracts were tested with triplicate at a concentration of 30 mg/disc.

2.5. Antifungal activity

Antifungal activity was carried out by using the standard disc diffusion method by National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards^[15]. Aliquots of 30 μ L of each extract samples were tested at different concentration of 25, 50, 75 and 100 mg/mL onto 6 mm sterile discs, respectively. They were allowed to dry at room temperature and placed on agar plates seeded with microorganisms. The fungus were maintained on fungal agar plates and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Zones of growth inhibition were measured in millimeters after incubation. The tetracycline discs (30 mg/disc) were used as a positive control and solvents discs were used as a negative control. All the extracts were tested triplicate at a concentration of 30 mg/disc.

2.6. Statical analysis

The results were expressed as Mean \pm SD of three independent values.

3. Results

The ascidians, *D. psammathodes* (895 g in wet weight) was collected from Tuticorin fishing harbor. The specimens were identified by following the standard literature of Kott Cole and Lambert^[16,17]. Solvents of *D. psammathodes* were concentrated under reduced pressure to give a dark

brownish gummy mass of 2.20 g to 1.35 g respectively.

In the present investigation, high concentration extract of *D. psammathodes* showed high antimicrobial activity against human and fish pathogens. From the human pathogenic bacteria and fungal tested, *S. typhi* and *C. albicans* showed high sensitivity against methanolic extract at concentration of 100 mg/mL (Table 1). The human pathogenic bacteria, *K. oxytoca* and fungal, *C. albicans* proved high sensitivity against acetone extract at 100 mg/mL (Table 2). The

bacteria, *S. typhi* and fungal, *A. alternata* showed high sensitivity against dichloromethane extract at 100 mg/mL of *D. psammathodes* (Table 3). In ethanolic extracts of *D. psammathodes* (100 mg/mL), bacterial pathogen *K. oxytoca* and fungal pathogen *T. rubrum* showed maximum zone of inhibition among other bacteria (Table 4). In chloroform extract (100 mg/mL), bacterial pathogen *S. aureus* and fungal pathogen *C. albicans* exhibited maximum zone of inhibition of (7.20 \pm 0.12) mm and (12.20 \pm 0.01) mm respectively

Table 1

Antimicrobial activity of methanol extract of D. psammathodes against human pathogens.

Dathanna	_	Inhibi	tion zone of ex	tract/compound	l (mm)	Positive control	Nagativa control
Pathogens		25 mg/mL	50 mg/mL	75 mg/mL	100 mg/mL	Positive control	Negative control
Bacterial pathogens	s Staphylococcus aureus	$3.02{\pm}0.03$	3.08 ± 0.12	5.02 ± 0.16	$6.02{\pm}0.12$	10.02 ± 0.14	0
	Streptococcus aureus	2.11 ± 0.15	$2.01{\pm}0.10$	3.01±0.15	7.01 ± 0.11	13.01 ± 0.01	0
	E. coli	4 . 03±0 . 12	4.11±0.22	4 . 03±0 . 11	$4.02{\pm}0.02$	12 . 03±0 . 11	0
	S. typhi	3.12 ± 0.19	3.23 ± 0.33	7.15 ± 0.12	9.15±0.14	11.15 ± 0.10	0
	S. paratyphi	3.10 ± 0.12	3.15 ± 0.15	$5.02{\pm}0.18$	6.12 ± 0.15	10.11 ± 0.15	0
	K. oxytoca	$2.33{\pm}0.22$	2.03 ± 0.16	2.11 ± 0.20	3.11±0.13	14.02 ± 0.11	0
	V. cholerae	2.41 ± 0.15	3.12 ± 0.18	3.02 ± 0.11	7.12 ± 0.11	12 . 11±0 . 14	0
	V. parahaemolyticus	2.23 ± 0.33	2.11 ± 0.16	2.03 ± 0.33	2.13 ± 0.16	9.02±0.19	0
	K. pneumoniae	3.15 ± 0.15	6.05 ± 0.11	$6.01{\pm}0.05$	7.18 ± 0.15	12.02 ± 0.01	0
	P. mirabilis	3.13 ± 0.12	4.01 ± 0.22	$4.02{\pm}0.03$	5.16 ± 0.02	11.03 ± 0.15	0
Fungal pathogens	Penicillium sp.	$4.02{\pm}0.03$	$4.08{\pm}0.12$	$4.02{\pm}0.16$	5.02 ± 0.12	11.02 ± 0.12	0
	C. albicans	4.11±0.15	$4.01{\pm}0.10$	7.01 ± 0.15	7.11±0.11	11.01 ± 0.11	0
	C. tropicalis	$4.03{\pm}0.12$	4.11±0.22	5.03 ± 0.11	5.02 ± 0.02	10.13 ± 0.10	0
	A. niger	3.12±0.19	4.23±0.33	4 . 15±0 . 12	5.15 ± 0.14	11.25 ± 0.10	0
	A. flavus	1.10 ± 0.12	3.15 ± 0.15	$5.02{\pm}0.18$	5.12 ± 0.15	12.10 ± 0.10	0
	Rhizopus sp.	2.33 ± 0.22	3.03 ± 0.16	3.11±0.20	4.11±0.13	12.13 ± 0.01	0
	T. rubrum	3.41±0.15	3.12 ± 0.18	3.02±0.11	4.12±0.11	11.21 ± 0.20	0
	T. mentagrophytes	2.23 ± 0.33	2.11±0.16	2.03 ± 0.33	4 . 13±0 . 16	10.01 ± 0.12	0
	Mucor sp.	1.15±0.15	2.05 ± 0.11	$2.01{\pm}0.05$	2.18 ± 0.15	11.12 ± 0.11	0
	A. alternata	4.13±0.12	4.01±0.22	5.02±0.03	5.16 ± 0.02	12.13±0.12	0

3 replicates; Values are expressed in Mean \pm SD.

Table 2.

Antimicrobial activity of acetone extract of D. psammathodes against human pathogens.

Dathogon		Inhib	ition zone of ex	tract/compound	(mm)	- Positive control	Negative control
Pathogen		25 mg/mL	50 mg/mL	75 mg/mL	100 mg/mL	- Positive control	Negative control
Bacterial pathogens	s Staphylococcus aureus	3.12 ± 0.12	3.18±0.21	5.01 ± 0.11	$6.10{\pm}0.02$	10.02 ± 0.14	0
	Streptococcus aureus	$2.01{\pm}0.01$	2.11 ± 0.11	5.02 ± 0.01	6.20 ± 0.04	13.01 ± 0.01	0
	E. coli	$2.11{\pm}0.02$	5.13 ± 0.03	$6.20{\pm}0.02$	6.04±0.12	12.03 ± 0.11	0
	Salmonella typhi	3.04 ± 0.04	5.02 ± 0.01	6.02 ± 0.01	6.03±0.11	11.15 ± 0.10	0
	S. paratyphi	3.03 ± 0.05	3.01±0.15	6.03±0.01	$6.04 {\pm} 0.10$	10.11±0.15	0
	K. oxytoca	5.01 ± 0.01	5.11±0.11	$7.02{\pm}0.12$	9.06±0.11	14.02 ± 0.11	0
	V. cholerae	$4.02{\pm}0.03$	4 . 03±0 . 03	5.11 ± 0.10	6.02 ± 0.22	12.11±0.14	0
	V. parahaemolyticus	4.11±0.01	7.05 ± 0.01	7.12 ± 0.11	7.14 ± 0.11	9.02±0.19	0
	K. pneumoniae	$4.10{\pm}0.02$	4.14 ± 0.02	6.02 ± 0.01	6.11±0.10	12.02 ± 0.01	0
	P. mirabilis	3.15 ± 0.01	4.12 ± 0.10	6.02±0.13	$7.03{\pm}0.12$	11.03 ± 0.15	0
Fungal pathogens	Penicillium sp.	5.08 ± 0.12	6.14±0.11	6.11±0.12	$7.10{\pm}0.12$	11.02 ± 0.12	0
	C. albicans	5.12 ± 0.11	7.13 ± 0.12	7.01 ± 0.15	9.13±0.04	11.01 ± 0.11	0
	C. tropicalis	$4.01{\pm}0.11$	4.12 ± 0.10	6.03±0.13	7.14±0.13	10.13±0.10	0
	A. niger	3.11±0.13	6.11±0.21	6.11±0.01	8.10 ± 0.01	11.25 ± 0.10	0
	A. flavus	4.11±0.11	5.12 ± 0.13	6.03±0.15	$7.01{\pm}0.01$	12.10 ± 0.10	0
	Rhizopus sp.	$4.18{\pm}0.12$	4 . 12±0 . 11	6.11±0.10	6.16±0.15	12.13 ± 0.01	0
	T. rubrum	5.28 ± 0.13	6.11±0.01	$7.03{\pm}0.12$	7.12 ± 0.11	11.21 ± 0.20	0
	T. mentagrophytes	5.11 ± 0.03	5.11 ± 0.01	6.10±0.11	6.11±0.13	10.01 ± 0.12	0
	Mucor sp.	3.11 ± 0.10	4 . 13±0 . 15	6.01±0.12	7.01 ± 0.11	11.12 ± 0.11	0
	A. alternata	4.13±0.12	4.01±0.22	5.02±0.03	5.16±0.02	12.13±0.12	0

3 replicates; Values are expressed in Mean \pm SD.

Table 3	
Antimicrobial activity of dichloromethane extract of D. psammathodes against human pathogens	

ل م		Inhil	oition zone of ex	tract/compound	(mm)		N
Pathogen	-	25 mg/mL	50 mg/mL	75 mg/mL	100 mg/mL	Positive control	Negative control
Bacterial pathogens	s Staphylococcus aureus	2.02 ± 0.01	3.08±0.33	4.11±0.16	4 . 20±0 . 12	10.02±0.14	0
	Streptococcus aureus	2.11±0.02	3.10±0.15	3.12±0.11	3.20±0.14	13.01 ± 0.01	0
	E. coli	1.12 ± 0.01	3.11±0.02	4 . 08±0 . 02	4 . 40±0 . 10	12 . 03±0 . 11	0
	S. typhi	4.11±0.01	5.12±0.10	4 . 11±0 . 01	9.13±0.01	11.15±0.10	0
	S. paratyphi	1.09 ± 0.08	4 . 04±0 . 10	4 . 15±0 . 02	4 . 14±0 . 10	10.11±0.15	0
	K. oxytoca	1.06 ± 0.08	3.01±0.10	3 . 05±0 . 10	4.16 ±0.01	14 . 02±0 . 11	0
	V. cholerae	$2.08{\pm}0.06$	3.11±0.05	4.09 ±0.10	4.12±0.12	12 . 11±0 . 14	0
	V. parahaemolyticus	6.01±0.09	7.15±0.09	$6.01{\pm}0.01$	9.10±0.12	9 . 02±0 . 19	0
	K. pneumoniae	2.05 ± 0.21	3.01±0.08	3 . 09±0 . 21	3 . 10±0 . 10	12.02 ± 0.01	0
	P. mirabilis	3.02±0.11	4 . 01±0 . 10	4 . 02±0 . 11	4 . 23±0 . 22	11.03±0.15	0
Fungal pathogens	Penicillium sp.	4.11±0.02	6 . 80±0 . 10	8.12±0.10	9.01±0.11	11.02±0.12	0
	C. albicans	1.17±0.02	2.10 ± 0.05	3.12 ± 0.10	4 . 12±0 . 11	11.01 ± 0.11	0
	C. tropicalis	3.06±0.15	4.11±0.12	4.11±0.12	6.11±0.01	10 . 13±0 . 10	0
	A. niger	4 . 03±0 . 11	6.12±0.01	6.11±0.15	6.01±0.02	11.25±0.10	0
	A. flavus	7.10 ± 0.01	8.21±0.05	9.12±0.12	$10.10{\pm}0.11$	12.10±0.10	0
	Rhizopus sp.	3.01±0.11	4 . 11±0 . 01	10 . 10±0 . 13	10.00 ± 0.11	12 . 13±0.01	0
	T. rubrum	3.11±0.13	4 . 03±0 . 01	6.01±0.06	7.10±0.11	11 . 21±0 . 20	0
	T. mentagrophytes	5.01±0.13	5.05±0.10	10 . 10±0 . 10	10.10±0.11	10 . 01±0 . 12	0
	Mucor sp.	3.10±0.10	4 . 10±0 . 14	5.13±0.01	6.01±0.01	11 . 12±0 . 11	0
	A. alternata	3.02±0.16	6.01±0.15	10 . 10±0 . 01	12.10±0.15	12.13±0.12	0

3 replicates; Values are expressed in Mean $\pm SD.$

 Table 4

 Antimicrobial activity of ethanol extract of *D. psammathodes* against human pathogens

n 1		Inhil	bition zone of ex	tract/compound	(mm)	~ 1	N! .]
Pathogen	-	25 mg/mL	50 mg/mL	75 mg/mL	100 mg/mL	 Positive control 	Negative control
Bacterial pathogens	s Staphylococcus aureus	3 . 08±0 . 02	4.0 4±0.11	5.12±0.13	7.10±0.12	10 . 02±0 . 14	0
	Streptococcus aureus	5.02 ± 0.01	6 . 03±0 . 14	6.12±0.05	6 . 30±0 . 14	13.01±0.01	0
	E. coli	4 . 03±0 . 11	4 . 02±0 . 13	5.3±0.03	6.40±0.15	12 . 03±0 . 11	0
	S. typhi	5.11±0.17	4 . 12±0 . 11	5.12 ± 0.08	6.30±0.11	11 . 15±0.10	0
	S. paratyphi	5.12 ± 0.14	5.11±0.12	6.13±0.05	6.01±0.10	10.11±0.15	0
	K. oxytoca	4 . 08±0 . 12	6 . 15±0 . 13	$7.08{\pm}0.10$	8.16±0.11	14.02 ± 0.11	0
	V. cholerae	4 . 08±0 . 13	6.13±0.06	6.01±0.20	6.12±0.12	12 . 11±0 . 14	0
	V. parahaemolyticus	4.01±0.03	7.15±0.03	7.10±0.10	7.11±0.10	9.02±0.19	0
	K. pneumoniae	4.1±0.12	4.12±0.05	5.04±0.11	6.21±0.10	12.02 ± 0.01	0
	P. mirabilis	4.11±0.11	5.22±0.10	6.07±0.12	7.13±0.10	11.03±0.15	0
Fungal pathogens	Penicillium sp.	3.12 ± 0.01	3.18±0.11	4 . 08±0 . 10	6.01±0.10	11.02±0.12	0
	C. albicans	3.17±0.18	4.1±0.10	4.12±0.11	6.10±0.14	11.01 ± 0.11	0
	C. tropicalis	3.01±0.11	3.11±0.01	3.02±0.12	3.12±0.01	10 . 13±0 . 10	0
	A. niger	3.11±0.12	4.12±0.01	6.01±0.18	6.01±0.01	11 . 25±0.10	0
	A. flavus	3.11±0.01	4.11±0.05	6.02±0.15	7.11±0.10	12.10±0.10	0
	Rhizopus sp.	3.11±0.01	3.21±0.01	4.11±0.13	5.13±0.01	12 . 13±0.01	0
	T. rubrum	4.11±0.05	5.16±0.01	6.02±0.16	$7.12{\pm}0.10$	11.21±0.20	0
	T. mentagrophytes	3.01±0.01	4.1 8±0 . 14	4 . 01±0 . 01	5.10±0.11	10.01 ± 0.12	0
	Mucor sp.	4 . 01±0 . 02	5.15±0.14	5.13±0.11	$7.11{\pm}0.01$	11.12 ± 0.11	0
	A. alternata	3.11±0.11	3.11±0.13	3.17±0.12	3.12 ± 0.01	12.13±0.12	0

3 replicates; Values are expressed in Mean $\pm SD.$

Table 5

Antimicrobi	al activity c	of chl	loroform ext	tract of <i>l</i>	D. psai	mmathod	es against	human pat	hogens.
					- poor				00000

n d		Inhil	pition zone of ext	tract/compound	(mm)		
Pathogen	-	25 mg/mL	50 mg/mL	75 mg/mL	100 mg/mL	Positive control	Negative control
Bacterial pathogens	Staphylococcus aureus	3.22 ± 0.02	3.12±0.20	5.21±0.11	5.10±0.12	10 . 02±0 . 14	0
	Streptococcus aureus	3.21±0.11	5.15±0.12	7.12±0.09	7 . 20±0 . 12	13.01±0.01	0
	E. coli	3.01±0.01	4.11±0.13	4 . 10±0 . 01	6.01±0.13	12 . 03±0 . 11	0
	S. typhi	3.01±0.06	3.12±0.11	4 . 01±0 . 10	4 . 03±0 . 20	11.15 ± 0.10	0
	S. paratyphi	2.13 ± 0.15	3.10±0.10	5.01±0.10	4 . 14±0 . 10	10.11±0.15	0
	K. oxytoca	4.11±0.14	4 . 01±0 . 10	4 . 12±0 . 10	6.16±0.12	14.02 ± 0.11	0
	V. cholerae	3.22 ± 0.13	4 . 13±0 . 30	4 . 13±0 . 09	6.12±0.12	12 . 11±0 . 14	0
	V. parahaemolyticus	3.10 ± 0.01	$1.01{\pm}0.02$	5.11±0.10	5.10±0.13	9.02±0.19	0
	K. pneumoniae	3.10 ± 0.02	5.11±0.12	7.12 ± 0.11	$7.12{\pm}0.10$	12.02 ± 0.01	0
	P. mirabilis	4 . 12±0 . 01	5.12±0.10	4 . 12±0 . 11	7 . 13±0 . 11	11.03±0.15	0
Fungal pathogens	Penicillium sp.	4 . 10±0 . 11	4 . 12±0 . 11	6.02 ± 0.01	7 . 10±0 . 01	11.02±0.12	0
	C. albicans	7.10 ± 0.01	8.11±0.12	9.12±0.11	$12.20{\pm}0.01$	11.01 ± 0.11	0
	C. tropicalis	6.15±0.02	7.11 ± 0.01	$7.12{\pm}0.02$	9 . 04±0 . 11	10 . 13±0 . 10	0
	A. niger	3.14 ± 0.01	3.01±0.11	5.02±0.11	7 . 13±0 . 11	11.25 ± 0.10	0
	A. flavus	5.13 ± 0.15	5.11±0.05	7.13 ± 0.01	7.04 ± 0.10	12 . 10±0 . 10	0
	Rhizopus sp.	6.11±0.05	6.11±0.15	$6.02{\pm}0.02$	6.06 ± 0.10	12 . 13±0.01	0
	T. rubrum	$7.12{\pm}0.07$	8.03±0.13	9.11±0.01	10.20 ± 0.20	11.21±0.20	0
	T. mentagrophytes	1.15±0.01	3 . 05±0 . 11	5.02±0.11	6.10±0.11	10.01±0.12	0
	Mucor sp.	6.10±0.12	6.10±0.12	9 . 02±0 . 01	$10.10{\pm}0.10$	11.12 ± 0.11	0
	A. alternata	6.12±0.11	9.11±0.10	9.12±0.13	11.30±0.10	12 . 13±0 . 12	0

3 replicates; Values are expressed in Mean $\pm SD.$

 Table 6

 Antimicrobial activity of n- Butanol extract of *D. psammathodes* against human pathogens.

~ 1		Inhil	oition zone of ex	tract/compound	(mm)	~ · · · 1	
Pathogen	-	25 mg/mL	50 mg/mL	75 mg/mL	100 mg/mL	- Positive control	Negative control
Bacterial pathogens	Staphylococcus aureus	2.02 ± 0.02	5.08±0.01	6 . 00±0 . 10	6.10±0.01	10.02±0.14	0
	Streptococcus aureus	5.07 ± 0.08	6 . 10±0 . 01	6 . 20±0 . 11	8.10±0.14	13.01 ± 0.01	0
	E. coli	$2.01{\pm}0.12$	$2.16{\pm}0.02$	3.12 ± 0.12	5.14 ± 0.10	12.03 ± 0.11	0
	S. typhi	2.14 ± 0.14	3.12 ± 0.10	3.01±0.11	4 . 01±0 . 10	11.15 ± 0.10	0
	S. paratyphi	3.13±0.01	3.01 ± 0.05	3.02 ± 0.11	4 . 14±0 . 10	10.11 ± 0.15	0
	K. oxytoca	4 . 11±0 . 01	4 . 01±0 . 01	5.12 ± 0.11	5.16 ± 0.01	14.02 ± 0.11	0
	V. cholerae	3.01±0.01	3 . 13±0 . 01	$5.01{\pm}0.60$	6.12±0.12	12 . 11±0 . 14	0
	V. parahaemolyticus	2.01±0.11	2.15 ±0.11	2.01 ± 0.10	4 . 10±0 . 01	9.02±0.19	0
	K. pneumoniae	3.10±0.12	3 . 18±0 . 12	4 . 03±0 . 01	4.01±0.10	12.02 ± 0.01	0
	P. mirabilis	2.10 ± 0.11	$2.01{\pm}0.10$	3.07±0.12	4 . 13±0 . 10	11.03±0.15	0
Fungal pathogens	Penicillium sp.	5.02 ± 0.01	6 . 10±0 . 01	9 . 18±0 . 01	12.1±0.10	11.02±0.12	0
	C. albicans	6.12±0.11	10.1±0.10	11.10 ± 0.01	13.2±0.10	11.01 ± 0.11	0
	C. tropicalis	5.01 ± 0.01	6.01±0.01	$7.02{\pm}0.02$	$11.20{\pm}0.01$	10 . 13±0 . 10	0
	A. niger	6.01±0.02	7 . 10±0 . 01	10.10 ± 0.12	11.50 ± 0.01	11 . 25±0.10	0
	A. flavus	5.01±0.11	6.01±0.15	7.12±0.15	$10.10{\pm}0.10$	12 . 10±0.10	0
	Rhizopus sp.	7.01±0.11	8.11±0.11	9.01±0.13	11.10±0.01	12.13±0.01	0
	T. rubrum	5.01±0.05	7.12±0.01	8.12±0.16	9.12±0.10	11.21±0.20	0
	T. mentagrophytes	6.10±0.11	6.12±0.04	9.11±0.01	11 . 10±0 . 10	10.01±0.12	0
	Mucor sp.	7.01±0.12	8.15±0.04	11.10±0.13	12.10±0.01	11.12 ± 0.11	0
	A. alternata	5.01±0.01	6.01±0.13	6.12±0.12	9.11±0.11	12 . 13±0 . 12	0

3 replicates; Values are expressed in Mean $\pm SD.$

Table 7	
Antimicrobial activity of ethyl acetate extracts of D. psammathodes against hum	ian nathogens.

D-4		Inhil	oition zone of ex	tract/compound	(mm)	D:	Negative control
Pathogen	-	25 mg/mL	50 mg/mL	75 mg/mL	100 mg/mL	- Positive control	Negative control
Bacterial pathogen	s Staphylococcus aureus	-	-	3.10±0.01	6.10±0.12	10.02 ± 0.14	0
	Streptococcus aureus	2.10 ± 0.11	$2.01{\pm}0.01$	4.01±0.03	5.20±0.14	13.01 ± 0.01	0
	E. coli	$2.10{\pm}0.12$	2.14 ± 0.01	3.12 ± 0.12	3.04 ± 0.02	12.03 ± 0.11	0
	S. typhi	1.14 ± 0.14	1.01 ± 0.05	1.12 ± 0.10	2.13 ± 0.01	11.15 ± 0.10	0
	S. paratyphi	$2.01{\pm}0.01$	$2.01{\pm}0.05$	3.13±0.10	4 . 14±0 . 15	10.11 ± 0.15	0
	K. oxytoca	-	2.12 ± 0.01	3.12 ± 0.11	3.03±0.15	14.02 ± 0.11	0
	V. cholerae	-	2.10 ± 0.01	$4.10{\pm}0.10$	4 . 22±0 . 12	12.11±0.14	0
	V. parahaemolyticus	-	-	-	1.11 ± 0.11	9.02±0.19	0
	K. pneumoniae	1.10 ± 0.01	2.1 ± 0.12	3.20 ± 0.11	3.13±0.10	12.02 ± 0.01	0
	P. mirabilis	-	-	-	1.13 ± 0.11	11.03 ± 0.15	0
Fungal pathogens	Penicillium sp.	4 . 14±0 . 12	5.04 ± 0.10	6.11±0.13	8.11±0.23	11.02 ± 0.12	0
	C. albicans	3.12 ± 0.11	3.10 ± 0.04	4.02 ± 0.05	7.13±0.04	11.01 ± 0.11	0
	C. tropicalis	4 . 13±0 . 13	4.12±0.13	6.03±0.03	6.14 ± 0.05	10.13 ± 0.10	0
	A. niger	$4.10{\pm}0.01$	4 . 12±0 . 11	5.12 ± 0.18	6.30 ± 0.01	11.25 ± 0.10	0
	A. flavus	$2.12{\pm}0.02$	3.11±0.11	3.12 ± 0.05	4.02 ± 0.01	12.10 ± 0.10	0
	Rhizopus sp.	4 . 18±0 . 12	4 . 02±0 . 13	$4.18{\pm}0.10$	7.16 ± 0.01	12.13 ± 0.01	0
	T. rubrum	4 . 02±0 . 13	4.12 ± 0.06	5.10 ± 0.20	7.22 ± 0.10	11.21 ± 0.20	0
	T. mentagrophytes	4.11±0.13	4 . 05±0 . 13	$4.10{\pm}0.10$	5.21 ± 0.01	10.01 ± 0.12	0
	Mucor sp.	4.10±0.15	5.12 ± 0.15	5.14 ± 0.01	7.11±0.11	11.12 ± 0.11	0
	A. alternata	5.11±0.01	6.02±0.01	6.17±0.02	6.11±0.12	12.13±0.12	0

3 replicates; Values are expressed in Mean \pm SD; -: nil.

Table 8

Antimicrobial activity of methanolic extracts of D. psammathodes against fish pathogens.

Datharma		Inhil	bition zone of ex	tract/compound	(mm)	De sitione e control	No optione oppositional
Pathogen		25 mg/mL	50 mg/mL	75 mg/mL	100 mg/mL	Positive control	Negative control
Bacterial pathogens	s V. cholerae	$1.01 {\pm} 0.15$	1.01 ± 0.01	2.020 ± 0.040	4.020±0.010	11.12 ± 0.12	0
	V. parahaemolyticus	1.02 ± 0.04	1.03 ± 0.03	$3.010{\pm}0.100$	5.010 ± 0.150	9.01±0.11	0
	P. mirabilis	1.01 ± 0.33	1.01 ± 0.10	2.030 ± 0.030	3.030 ± 0.040	14.22 ± 0.11	0
	A. hydrophila	$2.02{\pm}0.04$	2.20 ± 0.01	2.060 ± 0.060	5.020 ± 0.110	11.19±0.12	0
	Vibrio sp.1	1.03 ± 0.01	3.01±0.01	4.030 ± 0.140	4.010 ± 0.150	16.20±0.15	0
	Proteus sp.1	1.02 ± 0.01	1.03 ± 0.01	3.030 ± 0.040	5.200 ± 0.011	12.22 ± 0.33	0
	Streptococcus sp.	1.03 ± 0.03	1.03 ± 0.03	2.010 ± 0.150	5.020 ± 0.040	10.33±0.12	0
	Micrococcus sp.	1.10 ± 0.08	2.02 ± 0.01	4.030 ± 0.100	6.020 ± 0.100	12.12 ± 0.12	0
	Aeromonas sp.1	1.10 ± 0.04	$2.02{\pm}0.03$	2.030 ± 0.020	6.100 ± 0.120	14.06 ± 0.01	0
	Aeromonas sp.2	1.10 ± 0.08	1.02 ± 0.03	$2.030{\pm}0.010$	3.020 ± 0.120	13.01 ± 0.02	0
Fungal pathogens	Microsporum sp.	1.02 ± 0.01	$2.05{\pm}0.02$	2.020 ± 0.030	3.020 ± 0.120	11.13 ± 0.05	0
	Aspergillus fumigatus	$2.03{\pm}0.04$	3.08±0.23	3.000 ± 0.124	4.020 ± 0.020	10.20 ± 0.18	0
	Aspergillus flaves	1.01 ± 0.05	2.04 ± 0.12	3.020 ± 0.030	3.040 ± 0.010	11.12 ± 0.11	0
	Aspergillus niger	1.05 ± 0.06	1.04 ± 0.01	3.200 ± 0.060	4.010 ± 0.060	14.24 ± 0.12	0
	Ichthyophonus sp.	$1.01 {\pm} 0.02$	2.02 ± 0.15	3.120 ± 0.050	5.220 ± 0.040	10.12 ± 0.12	0
	Rhizopus sp.	1.05 ± 0.11	3 . 06±0 . 11	4.160 ± 0.010	5.010 ± 0.020	12.02 ± 0.11	0
	Rhizopus sp.1	1.02 ± 0.12	1.01 ± 0.01	2.180 ± 0.010	3.010 ± 0.080	10.23±0.24	0
	Fusarium sp.	1.06 ± 0.12	$2.06{\pm}0.02$	3.120 ± 0.010	3.020 ± 0.120	10.13±0.15	0
	Aspergillus sp.1	1.07 ± 0.15	2.01 ± 0.05	4 . 170±0 . 120	5.040 ± 0.020	10.12 ± 0.15	0
	Aspergillus sp.2	2.04 ± 0.02	2.01±0.33	3.160±0.020	4 . 010±0 . 060	11.03±0.11	0

3 replicates; Values are expressed in Mean \pm SD.

(Table 5). The bacteria, *S. aureus* and fungal, *C. albicans* showed high sensitivity against n- butanol extract of *D. psammathodes* at concentration of 100 mg/mL (Table 6). In ethyl acetate extracts (100 mg/mL), bacterial pathogen *Staphylococcus aureus* and fungal pathogen *Penicillium* sp. exhibited zone of inhibition at (6.10 \pm 0.12) mm and (8.11 \pm 0.23) mm (Table 7).

Among the fish pathogen tested, bacteria Aeromonas sp.1

and fungal *Ichthyophonus* sp. exhibited high sensitivity against methanolic extracts of *D. psammathodes* (100 mg/mL) (Table 8). In acetone extract (100 mg/mL), bacterial pathogen *Streptococcus* sp. and fungal pathogen *Ichthyophonus* sp. exhibit high zone of inhibition at (5.22 \pm 0.15) mm and (7.12 \pm 0.01) mm (Table 9). The bacteria, *Aeromonas* sp.2 and fungal, *Rhizopus* sp.1 showed high sensitivity against dichloromethane extract at concentration of 100 mg/mL

Table 9

Antimicrobial activity of acetone extracts of D. psammathodes against fish pathogens.

Dathagan	_	Inhib	oition zone of ex	tract/compound	(mm)	Desitive control	Negative control
Pathogen	-	25 mg/mL	50 mg/mL	75 mg/mL	100 mg/mL	- Positive control	Negative control
Bacterial pathogens	V. cholerae	1.03±0.15	3.02±0.15	3.02±0.33	4.01±0.33	11.12±0.12	0
	V. parahaemolyticus	1.02 ± 0.11	1.20 ± 0.33	2.02 ± 1.2	3.02 ± 0.01	9.01±0.11	0
	P. mirabilis	3.01 ± 0.05	3.02 ± 0.12	4.2±0.03	5.01 ± 0.01	14.22 ± 0.11	0
	A. hydrophila	1.02 ± 0.02	3.01±0.14	3.12 ± 0.23	4 . 10±0 . 06	11.19±0.12	0
	Vibrio sp.1	1.02 ± 0.10	$1.04 {\pm} 0.36$	4.01±0.15	5.15±0.12	16 . 20±0 . 15	0
	Proteus sp.1	1.03 ± 0.05	2.01 ± 0.15	3.05 ± 0.15	4.11±0.12	12.22 ± 0.33	0
	Streptococcus sp.	1.08 ± 0.33	1.23 ± 0.18	3.06 ± 0.18	5.22 ± 0.15	10.33 ± 0.12	0
	Micrococcus sp.	$1.06 {\pm} 0.15$	2.15 ± 0.22	2.16 ± 0.05	3.02 ± 0.11	12.12 ± 0.12	0
	Aeromonas sp.1	1.01 ± 0.22	1.02 ± 0.15	4.02 ± 0.11	4.05±0.05	14.06 ± 0.01	0
	Aeromonas sp.2	1.02 ± 0.02	1.11 ± 0.05	1.02 ± 1.2	2.02 ± 0.12	13.01 ± 0.02	0
Fungal pathogens	Microsporum sp.	1.02 ± 0.15	2.02 ± 0.11	5.12 ± 0.18	$6.02{\pm}0.02$	11.13 ± 0.05	0
	A. fumigatus	1.03 ± 0.03	3.01 ± 0.01	4 . 13±0 . 05	5.05 ± 0.08	10.20 ± 0.18	0
	A. flaves	1.15 ± 0.05	4 . 17±0 . 13	$\textbf{4.02} \pm \textbf{0.12}$	5.15 ± 0.05	11.12 ± 0.11	0
	A. niger	1.06 ± 0.02	2.14 ± 0.01	3 . 15±0 . 04	5.01 ± 0.03	14.24 ± 0.12	0
	Ichthyophonus sp.	$1.04 {\pm} 0.15$	1.01 ± 0.12	5.45 ± 0.14	7.12 ± 0.01	10.12 ± 0.12	0
	Rhizopus sp.	1.05 ± 0.11	3.06 ± 0.15	5.13 ± 0.12	5.21 ± 0.16	12.02 ± 0.11	0
	Rhizopus sp.1	$2.07{\pm}0.06$	2.01 ± 0.12	3.42 ± 0.06	5.11 ± 0.15	10 . 23±0 . 24	0
	Fusarium sp.	1.09 ± 0.11	1.04 ± 0.12	2.13 ± 0.13	4.12±0.12	10.13 ± 0.15	0
	Aspergillus sp.1	$1.07 {\pm} 0.15$	1.11 ± 0.15	2.15 ± 0.12	$4.02{\pm}0.02$	10.12 ± 0.15	0
	Aspergillus sp.2	1.04 ± 0.11	2.05 ± 0.12	4.16±0.02	5.07±0.23	11.03±0.11	0

3 replicates; Values are expressed in Mean \pm SD.

Table 10

Antimicrobial activity of dichloromethane extracts of D. psammathodes against fish pathogens.

ъd		Inhil	oition zone of ex	р.'.: , l			
Pathogen –		25 mg/mL 50 mg/mL		75 mg/mL	100 mg/mL	Positive control	Negative control
Bacterial pathogens	V. cholerae	1.02 ± 0.08	4 . 02±0 . 01	5.02 ± 0.02	5.10±0.02	11.12 ± 0.12	0
	V. parahaemolyticus	$2.01{\pm}0.04$	$2.02{\pm}0.03$	4.20 ± 0.01	$6.01{\pm}0.02$	9.01±0.11	0
	P. mirabilis	1.11 ± 0.45	3.02 ± 0.01	$4.02{\pm}0.05$	5.06 ± 0.01	14.22 ± 0.11	0
	A. hydrophila	1.18 ± 0.01	$2.01{\pm}0.01$	3.20 ± 0.33	$4.02{\pm}0.04$	11.19 ± 0.12	0
	Vibrio sp.1	1.02 ± 0.15	3.16 ± 0.06	$4.02{\pm}0.18$	5.06 ± 0.01	16 . 20±0 . 15	0
	Proteus sp.1	$2.23{\pm}0.08$	$2.04{\pm}0.08$	3.20 ± 0.26	4 . 03±0 . 03	12.22 ± 0.33	0
	Streptococcus sp.	3.12 ± 0.01	3.05 ± 0.08	3.02 ± 0.45	4 . 05±0 . 03	10.33 ± 0.12	0
	Micrococcus sp.	1.02 ± 0.03	2.04 ± 0.18	3.02 ± 0.15	5.06 ± 0.01	12.12 ± 0.12	0
	Aeromonas sp.1	1.02 ± 0.11	3.01±0.26	$4.02{\pm}0.01$	5.03 ± 0.02	14.06 ± 0.01	0
	Aeromonas sp.2	1.01 ± 0.01	2.06 ± 0.15	4.12±0.13	6.06 ± 0.01	13.01 ± 0.02	0
Fungal pathogens	Microsporum sp.	1.02 ± 0.03	2.02 ± 0.01	$2.02{\pm}0.08$	5.02 ± 0.01	11.13 ± 0.05	0
	A. fumigatus	1.01 ± 0.01	2.01 ± 0.02	2.01 ± 0.04	$3.02{\pm}0.03$	10.20 ± 0.18	0
	A. flaves	1.23 ± 0.01	1.06 ± 0.01	2.11±0.45	3.02 ± 0.01	11.12 ± 0.11	0
	A. niger	2.15 ± 0.51	3.05 ± 0.04	3.18 ± 0.01	$4.01{\pm}0.01$	14.24 ± 0.12	0
	Ichthyophonus sp.	1.16 ± 0.06	2.02 ± 0.18	2.02 ± 0.15	3.16 ± 0.06	10.12 ± 0.12	0
	Rhizopus sp.	2.11 ± 0.01	3.01±0.15	3.23 ± 0.08	$5.04{\pm}0.18$	12.02 ± 0.11	0
	Rhizopus sp.1	3.16±0.08	4 . 06±0 . 31	4.12 ± 0.01	5.05 ± 0.08	10 . 23±0 . 24	0
	Fusarium sp.	1.33 ± 0.05	2.01 ± 0.16	$3.02{\pm}0.03$	$5.04{\pm}0.18$	10.13±0.15	0
	Aspergillus sp.1	1.15 ± 0.02	2.05 ± 0.12	2.06 ± 0.15	3.01±0.26	10.12 ± 0.15	0
	Aspergillus sp.2	1.16 ± 0.12	2.01 ± 0.02	3.01±0.01	4 . 06±0 . 15	11 . 03±0 . 11	0

3 replicates; Values are expressed in Mean \pm SD.

(Table 10). In ethanolic extracts (100 mg/mL) bacterial pathogen *Aeromonas* sp.2 and fungal pathogen *A. flaves* showed high zone of inhibition at (5.02 \pm 0.15) mm and (6.04 \pm 0.01) mm (Table 11). In chloroform extract (100 mg/mL), bacterial pathogen *Proteus* sp.1 and fungal pathogen *A. niger* exhibited high zone of inhibition at (5.02 \pm 0.05) mm and (4.11 \pm 0.14) mm (Table 12). The bacteria, *Micrococcus* sp.

and fungal, Aspergillus sp.2 showed high sensitivity against n– Butanol extract (100 $\,\mu$ g/mL) of D. psammathodes at (5.11 \pm 0.12) mm and (9.11 \pm 0.18) mm (Table 13). In ethyl acetate extracts, bacterial pathogen Aeromonas sp.1 and fungal pathogen Rhizopus sp.1 exhibit high zone of inhibition at (4.03 \pm 0.02) mm and (5.05 \pm 0.12) mm (Table 14).

Table 11	
Antimicrobial activity of ethanol extracts of D. psammathodes against fish pathogens	

Dath and		Inhib	ition zone of ex	tract/compound	Positive control	N I		
Pathogen	-	25 mg/mL	50 mg/mL			Positive control	Negative control	
Bacterial pathogen	s V. cholerae	1.10±0.15	1.01±0.01	2.100±0.140	4.02±0.10	11.12±0.12	0	
	V. parahaemolyticus	1.02 ± 0.04	1.03 ± 0.03	$2.010{\pm}0.110$	5.02 ± 0.05	9.01±0.11	0	
	P. mirabilis	1.10 ± 0.13	1.10 ± 0.01	$2.300{\pm}0.030$	4.20 ± 0.04	14.22 ± 0.11	0	
	A. hydrophila	1.20 ± 0.14	1.20 ± 0.01	3.060 ± 0.160	4.20 ±0.11	11.19±0.12	0	
	Vibrio sp.1	1.03 ± 0.01	1.01 ± 0.01	2.030 ± 0.040	4 . 01±0 . 15	16 . 20±0 . 15	0	
	Proteus sp.1	1.20 ± 0.01	1.03 ± 0.01	2.030 ± 0.050	4.20 ±0.01	12.22±0.33	0	
	Streptococcus sp.	1.05 ± 0.05	1.03 ± 0.08	2.200 ± 0.150	4 . 02±0 . 04	10 . 33±0.12	0	
	Micrococcus sp.	$2.01{\pm}0.18$	3.02±0.11	3.300±0.110	5.02 ± 0.10	12.12±0.12	0	
	Aeromonas sp.1	1.10 ± 0.14	1.02 ± 0.13	2.030 ± 0.120	4 . 10±0 . 12	14.06 ± 0.01	0	
	Aeromonas sp.2	1.10 ± 0.06	1.02 ± 0.01	3.030±0.010	5.02±0.15	13.01±0.02	0	
Fungal pathogens	Microsporum sp.	1.02 ± 0.01	$2.05{\pm}0.02$	$3.020{\pm}0.030$	4 . 02±0 . 12	11.13 ± 0.05	0	
	A. fumigatus	$2.03{\pm}0.04$	3.08±0.23	3.000 ± 0.124	$4.02{\pm}0.02$	$10.20{\pm}0.18$	0	
	A. flaves	1.01 ± 0.05	$2.04{\pm}0.12$	4.020 ± 0.030	6.04±0.01	11.12 ± 0.11	0	
	A. niger	1.05 ± 0.06	1.04 ± 0.01	3.200 ± 0.060	5.01 ± 0.06	14 . 24±0.12	0	
	Ichthyophonus sp.	1.01 ± 0.02	2.02±0.15	5.120±0.050	5.22±0.04	10.12 ± 0.12	0	
	Rhizopus sp.	2.05 ± 0.11	3.06±0.11	$3.160{\pm}0.010$	4.01±0.02	12.02 ± 0.11	0	
	Rhizopus sp.1	2.02 ± 0.12	1.01 ± 0.01	$2.180{\pm}0.010$	2.02 ± 0.08	10 . 23±0 . 24	0	
	Fusarium sp.	1.06±0.12	$2.06{\pm}0.02$	3.120±0.010	4.02±0.12	10.13±0.15	0	
	Aspergillus sp.1	1.07±0.15	2.01±0.05	3.170±0.120	5.04±0.02	10.12 ± 0.15	0	
	Aspergillus sp.2	2.04 ± 0.02	2.01±0.33	3.160±0.020	4 . 01±0 . 06	11.03±0.11	0	

3 replicates; Values are expressed in Mean \pm SD.

Table 12

Antimicrobial activity of chloroform extracts of *D. psammathodes* against fish pathogens.

Pathogen –		Inhib	oition zone of ex				
		25 mg/mL 50 mg/mL 75 mg/mL 100		100 mg/mL	• Positive control	control Negative control	
Bacteriail pathogens	s V. cholerae	1.02 ± 0.11	2.02 ± 0.11	2.03±0.11	3.02±0.13	11.12±0.12	0
	V. parahaemolyticus	1.01 ± 0.03	$2.06{\pm}0.01$	$2.05{\pm}0.12$	3 . 03±0 . 12	9.01±0.11	0
	P. mirabilis	1.21 ± 0.01	1.02 ± 0.01	1.04 ± 0.13	$2.21{\pm}0.01$	14 . 22±0.11	0
	A. hydrophila	1.02 ± 0.13	3.01 ± 0.05	3.02 ± 0.15	4.11±0.15	11.19±0.12	0
	Vibrio sp.1	1.06±0.11	2.03 ± 0.01	3.06±0.05	4.21±0.03	16 . 20±0 . 15	0
	Proteus sp.1	2.04 ± 0.15	$2.02{\pm}0.01$	3.02 ± 0.5	5.02 ± 0.05	12.22 ± 0.33	0
	Streptococcus sp.	1.02 ± 0.18	1.04 ± 0.05	$2.01{\pm}0.03$	3.12 ± 0.02	10 . 33±0 . 12	0
	Micrococcus sp.	1.06±0.09	1.02 ± 0.01	3.22 ± 0.04	$4.02{\pm}0.08$	12.12±0.12	0
	Aeromonas sp.1	1.33±0.12	$2.01{\pm}0.02$	3.02 ± 0.03	3 . 03±0 . 15	14.06 ± 0.01	0
	Aeromonas sp.2	2.12 ± 0.11	1.02 ± 0.03	$2.03{\pm}~0.63$	3.04 ± 0.03	13.01 ± 0.02	0
Fungal pathogens	Microsporum sp.	$1.01{\pm}0.02$	1.1±0.12	1.03 ± 0.15	2.02 ± 0.15	11.13±0.05	0
	A. fumigatus	1.12 ± 0.14	1.22 ± 0.21	1.13 ± 0.11	3.21±0.33	10 . 20±0 . 18	0
	A. flaves	1.11 ± 0.16	2.11±0.15	2.02 ± 0.15	3.12 ± 0.12	11.12 ± 0.11	0
	A. niger	1.06 ± 0.01	1.11 ± 0.08	3.22 ± 0.12	4 . 11±0 . 14	14.24±0.12	0
	Ichthyophonus sp.	1.08 ± 0.12	1.01 ± 0.01	3.32 ± 0.01	4 . 04±0 . 36	10.12±0.12	0
	Rhizopus sp.	1.02 ± 0.15	2.02 ± 0.01	3.02 ± 0.5	3.11±0.15	12 . 02±0 . 11	0
	Rhizopus sp.1	1.02±0.25	1.01±0.25	2.08±0.03	3.13±0.18	10 . 23±0 . 24	0
	Fusarium sp.	1.33±0.15	1.13±0.11	1.09±0.05	1.25±0.22	10 . 13±0 . 15	0
	Aspergillus sp.1	1.12 ± 0.02	1.14±0.21	1.01±0.12	2.02±0.15	10 . 12±0 . 15	0
	Aspergillus sp.2	2.02 ± 0.22	2.22±0.13	2.02 ± 0.12	3.21±0.05	11.03±0.11	0

3 replicates; Values are expressed in Mean $\pm SD.$

Tab	le	13	
Antin	mi.	mol	hia

Antimicrol	bial	l activity o	f n–	Butanol	extracts	of	D.	psammat	hod	les i	against	fisl	n pat	hogens	5

Pathogen –		Inhib	oition zone of ex				
		25 mg/mL 50 mg/mL		75 mg/mL	100 mg/mL	Positive Control	Negative Control
Bacterial pathogens	s V. cholerae	1.01 ± 0.01	1.10 ± 0.14	2.02 ± 0.10	2.02 ± 0.14	11 . 12±0 . 12	0
	V. parahaemolyticus	1.03 ± 0.03	1.10 ± 0.11	2.03 ± 0.05	2.11±0.13	9.01±0.11	0
	P. mirabilis	1.10 ± 0.01	2.30 ± 0.03	1.20 ± 0.04	4.10 ± 0.02	14.22 ± 0.11	0
	A. hydrophila	1.20 ± 0.01	1.06 ± 0.16	1.20 ± 0.11	3.01±0.11	11.19±0.12	0
	Vibrio sp.1	1.01 ± 0.01	$1.03 {\pm} 0.04$	2.01 ± 0.15	4.01±0.12	16.20±0.15	0
	Proteus sp.1	1.03 ± 0.01	$1.03 {\pm} 0.05$	1.20 ± 0.01	3.02 ± 0.31	12.22 ± 0.33	0
	Streptococcus sp.	1.03 ± 0.08	1.20 ± 0.15	3.02±0.04	$4.02{\pm}0.01$	10.33±0.12	0
	Micrococcus sp.	1.02 ± 0.11	1.30±0.11	2.02 ± 0.12	5.11±0.12	12.12±0.12	0
	Aeromonas sp.1	1.02 ± 0.13	1.03 ± 0.12	3.10±0.12	4.02 ± 0.12	14.06 ± 0.01	0
	Aeromonas sp.2	1.02 ± 0.01	1.03 ± 0.01	3.02±0.15	4.01±0.11	13.01 ± 0.02	0
Fungal pathogens	Microsporum sp.	1.12 ± 0.11	2.15 ± 0.11	3.20±0.13	4.12±0.12	11 . 13±0 . 05	0
	A. fumigatus	1.03 ± 0.14	3.18±0.22	4.20±0.22	5.23 ± 0.01	10.20 ± 0.18	0
	A. flaves	2.11 ± 0.12	2.14 ± 0.23	3.20±0.13	4.22 ± 0.04	11.12 ± 0.11	0
	A. niger	1.05 ± 0.06	2.14 ± 0.12	3.20±0.60	5.12 ± 0.05	14 . 24±0 . 12	0
	Ichthyophonus sp.	1.11 ± 0.02	2.32 ± 0.01	4 . 20±0 . 17	5.13 ± 0.01	10.12 ± 0.12	0
	Rhizopus sp.	2.15 ± 0.15	3.22 ± 0.08	4.26±0.10	5.12 ± 0.08	12.02 ± 0.11	0
	Rhizopus sp.1	1.12 ± 0.01	2.21±0.19	4.10±0.01	5.11±0.01	10 . 23±0 . 24	0
	Fusarium sp.	2.16 ± 0.10	4 . 26±0 . 22	5.02 ± 0.01	7.13 ± 0.05	10 . 13±0 . 15	0
	Aspergillus sp.1	2.17±0.03	4.11±0.17	5.14±0.12	8.12±0.14	10.12±0.15	0
	Aspergillus sp.2	1.14 ± 0.01	4.11±0.13	4.13±0.02	9.11±0.18	11.03±0.11	0

3 replicates; Values are expressed in Mean $\pm SD.$

Table 14

Antimicrobial activity of ethyl acetate extracts of D. psammathodes against human pathogens

n d		Inhib	ition zone of ext	tract/compound			
Pathogen	-	25 mg/mL	50 mg/mL 75 mg/mL 100 mg/mL		Positive control	Negative control	
Bacterial pathogen	s V. cholerae	1.02 ± 0.16	2.12 ± 0.02	2.02 ± 0.18	3.02±0.03	11.12 ± 0.12	0
	V. parahaemolyticus	1.02 ± 0.12	1.11 ± 0.12	2.03 ± 0.15	2.13 ± 0.01	9.01±0.11	0
	P. mirabilis	1.33 ± 0.15	2.06 ± 0.22	2.01 ± 0.32	3.03 ± 0.08	14.22 ± 0.11	0
	A. hydrophila	1.15 ± 0.15	2.04 ± 0.48	2.02 ± 0.21	3.05 ± 0.04	11.19 ± 0.12	0
	Vibrio sp.1	2.11 ± 0.03	$2.02{\pm}0.01$	3.20 ± 0.25	$4.02{\pm}0.05$	16 . 20±0 . 15	0
	Proteus sp.1	1.22 ± 0.13	1.15 ± 0.02	1.02 ± 0.12	2.06 ± 0.22	12.22 ± 0.33	0
	Streptococcus sp.	1.02 ± 0.33	1.02 ± 0.22	1.11 ± 0.33	2.01 ± 0.31	10.33 ± 0.12	0
	Micrococcus sp.	$2.03{\pm}0.02$	$2.02{\pm}0.12$	2.15 ± 0.15	3.06±0.12	12.12 ± 0.12	0
	Aeromonas sp.1	$2.02{\pm}0.22$	2.03 ± 0.33	3.02 ± 0.03	$4.03{\pm}0.02$	14.06 ± 0.01	0
	Aeromonas sp.2	1.11 ± 0.01	$2.02{\pm}0.12$	3.32 ± 0.12	$4.02{\pm}0.03$	13.01 ± 0.02	0
Fungal pathogens	Microsporum sp.	$1.01{\pm}0.02$	1.02 ± 0.18	2.02 ± 0.2	2.05 ± 0.06	11.13 ± 0.05	0
	A. fumigatus	1.05 ± 0.06	2.05 ± 0.22	2.05 ± 0.15	$2.03{\pm}0.01$	10.20 ± 0.18	0
	A. flaves	1.02 ± 0.05	2.09 ± 0.15	2.15 ± 0.10	3.02 ± 0.15	11.12 ± 0.11	0
	A. niger	$2.11{\pm}0.03$	2.04 ± 0.05	3.12 ± 0.30	3.05 ± 0.15	14.24 ± 0.12	0
	Ichthyophonus sp.	1.10 ± 0.12	1.04 ± 0.12	1.16 ± 0.15	1.02 ± 0.36	10.12 ± 0.12	0
	Rhizopus sp.	1.12 ± 0.15	2.01 ± 0.15	3.18±0.26	3.14 ± 0.12	12.02 ± 0.11	0
	Rhizopus sp.1	1.10 ± 0.03	$2.02{\pm}0.10$	3.06±0.11	5.05 ± 0.12	10.23±0.24	0
	Fusarium sp.	1.20 ± 0.12	3.02 ± 0.14	3.02±0.15	4 . 05±0 . 16	10.13±0.15	0
	Aspergillus sp.1	1.10 ± 0.15	1.02 ± 0.08	$1.01{\pm}0.08$	3.02 ± 0.01	10.12 ± 0.15	0
	Aspergillus sp.2	1.02 ± 0.03	2.02 ± 0.01	$2.03{\pm}0.02$	3.02±0.02	11 . 03±0 . 11	0

3 replicates; Values are expressed in Mean \pm SD.

4. Discussion

Marine organisms have been found to produce a great diversity of novel bioactive secondary metabolites and be potential source for new drug discovery. The fact that many marine invertebrate secondary metabolites have presented antibiotic and cytotoxic activities is not only a consequence of their intrinsic activity, but also because research towards the search for new drugs has focused mainly on these bioassays^[18]. Extensive investigations of ascidians in chemical and pharmacology have been published in sufficient literature. Several drug discovery projects have screened ascidians for antibiotic activities. Chemical antibacterial defense has been suggested as one of an array of defenses potentially available to sessile invertebrates. The extracts from *D. psammathodes* show promising results against isolated human and fish pathogens.

Our finding are consistent with previous studies on ascidians. Antibacterial activity has been previously reported from extracts of some ascidians. Overall, ascidian extracts caused growth inhibition in gram positive and gram negative bacteria, indicating that these extracts do not selectively inhibit one group of microorganism. Prem Anand and Patterson Edward reported that comparatively the ascidians D. psammathodes seems to be a promising source of antibacterial compound^[19]. Santhana Ramasamy and Murugan has reported that for the crude methanol extract of *D. psammathodes*, the range of inhibition of the bacteria varied from 6 to 10 mm with an average of 7.1 mm^[20]. Prem Anand and Patterson Edward^[19] reported that in D. psammathodes the highest activity was seen against P. mirabilis, Shigella flexneri and S. typhi. Abdul Jaffar Ali *et al*^[21] reported the maximum antibacterial activity of the crude methanol extracts of the test and mantle bodies of *Phallusia nigra* (*P. nigra*) against the Gram positive Staphylococcus aureus with inhibitory zones of (12.3 ± 0.8) mm and (8.2 ± 0.8) mm in diameter, respectively. Antibacterial activity has previously been detected in methanol/ dichloromethane extracts of the ascidians H. pyriformis and a mixture of two *Styela* species where one of the species was *Styela rustica*^[22]. Abdul Jaffar Ali^[23] reported that the test body of *P. nigra* harboured smaller number of total heterotrophic bacteria compared to that of the surrounding water medium. Paul *et al*^[24] reported that the tunicates have the potential to yield novel compounds with ecological, chemical and biomedical interest. Many studies have been conducted to examine the antimicrobial activity of ascidians against bacteria, fungi even tumor cells. In this respect, it was reported that the fungi are more resistant than the bacterial strains to the tested compound^[25]. This could be due to the nature of fungal cell wall made up of chitin. The hard cover of the exoskeletons of the arthropods are also made up of chitin, which is relatively resistant, including microbial decomposition. The ascidian D. psammathodes seems to be a promising source of antimicrobial compounds. These results indicate that ascidians exhibits remarkable activity against microbes. Therefore the current studies exposed the presence of potent antimicrobial compounds from star fishes of Tuticorin coast. Hence further purification may lead to the discovery of novel antimicrobial compounds.

Conflict of interest statement

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

Authors are truthful to Prof. T Balasubramanian, Dean and Director of Center of advance studies in Marine Biology, Faculty of Marine Sciences, Annamalai University, parangipettai, Tamil Nadu India.

References

- Koehn FE, Carter GT. The evolving role of natural products drug discovery. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2005; 4: 206–220.
- [2] Fabricant DS, Farnsworth NR. The value of plants used in traditional medicine for drug discovery. *Environ Health Perspect* 2001; 109: 69-75.
- [3] Blunt JW, Copp BR, Hu WP, Munro MHG, Northcote PT, Prinsep MR. Marine natural products. Nat Prod Rep 2007; 24: 31–86.
- [4] Davis AR, Bremner JB. Potential antifouling natural products from ascidian: A review. In: Thompson MF, Sarojini R, Nagabhushanam

R, editors. *Bioactive compounds from marine organisms*. New Delhi: Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd; 1999, p. 259–310.

- [5] Bulet P, Stocklin R, Menin L. Anti-microbial peptides: from invertebrates to vertebrates. *Immunol Rev* 2004; 198: 169–184.
- [6] Lupetti A, Danesi R, van't Wout JW, van Dissel J, Senesi TS, Nibbering PH. Antimicrobial peptides: therapeutic potential for the treatment of *Candida* infections. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs* 2002; **11**: 309–318.
- [7] Viejo-Diaz M, Andres MT, Fierro JF. Different anti-Candida activities of two human lactoferrin-derived peptides, Lfpep and kaliocin-1. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005; 49: 2583-2588.
- [8] Mayer AMS, Rodriguez AD, Berlinck R, Hamann MT. Compounds with anthelminitic, antibacterial, anticoagulant, antifungal, anti-infl ammatory, antimalarial, antiplatelet, antiprotozoal, antituberculosis, and antiviral activities; affecting the cardiovascular, immune and nervous systems, and other miscellaneous mechanisms of action. *Comp Biochem Physiol* 2007; 145C: 553-581.
- [9] Chellaram C, Gnanambal ME, Patterson Edward JK. Antibacterial activity of the winged oyster *Pteria chinensis* (Pterioida: Pteridae). *Indian J Mar Sci* 2004; **33**(4): 369–372.
- [10] Holt JG, Krieg NR, Sneathm PHA, Staley JT, Williams ST. Bergey's manual of determinative bacteriology. 9th ed. Baltimore: Williams and William; 1994.
- [11] Mahony BJ, Chernesky AM. In: P.R. Murray. Editor, Manual of Clinical Microbiology, ASM Press 1999, pp. 202-214.
- [12] Dulger B, Gonuz A. Antimicrobial activity of certain plants used in Turkish traditional medicine. Asian J Plant Sci 2004; 3: 104–107.
- [13] Parekh J, S Chanda. In vitro antimicrobial activity of Trapa natans
 L. fruit rind extracted in different solvents. Afr J Biotechnol 2007;
 6: 766–770.
- [14] Laouer H, Meriem EK, Parado S, Baldovini N. An antibacterial and antifungal phenylpropanoid from *Carum montaum* (Coss. et Dur.) Benth. et Hook. *Phytother Res* 2009; 23: 1726–1730.
- [15] National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. Method for antifungal disk diffusion susceptibility testing in yeasts. Approved guideline M-44-A. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2006, p. M100-S16.
- [16] Kott P. The Australian ascidiacea part 4, Aplousobranchia (3), Didemnidae. Memoirs of the Queensland Museum 2001; 47(1): 1-408.
- [17] Cole L, Lambert G. Tunicata (Urochordata) of the gulf of Mexico.In: DL Felder, DK Camp, editors. *Gulf of Mexico: Origin, Waters, and Biota. Biodiversity.* Texas: Texas A&M Press; 2009, p. 1209–1216.
- [18] Newman DJ, Cragg GM, Snader KM. Natural products as sources of new drugs over the period 1981–2002. J Nat Prod 2003; 66: 1022–1037.
- [19] Prem Anand T, Patterson Edward JK. Antibacterial activity in the tissue extracts of five species of cowries *Cypraea* spp. (Mollusca: Gastropoda) and ascidian *Didemnum psammathodes* (Tunicata: Didemnidae) Indian. *Indian J Mar Sci* 2002; **31**: 239–242.
- [20] Murugan A, Santhana Ramasamy M. Biofouling deterrent activity of the natural product from ascidian, *Distaplia nathensis* (chordata). *Indian J Mar Sci* 2003; **3**: 162–164.
- [21] Abdul Jaffar Ali H, Tamilselvi M, Sivakumar V. Antibacterial activity of the marine ascidians *Phallusia nigra* and *Herdmania pallida* from the Tuticorin coast, India. J Biol Res-Thessaloniki 2008; 10: 171 – 179.
- [22] Lippert H, Brinkmeyer R, Iken K. Antimicrobial activity in sub-Arctic marine invertebrates. *Polar Biol* 2003; 26: 591–600.
- [23] Abdul Jaffar Ali H. Comparative study on the ecology of *Phallusia nigra* Savigny, 1818 from Tuticorin (South east coast) and Vizhinjam (South west coast). Ph. D. Thesis. India: Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, 2004.
- [24] Paul VJ, Puglisi MP, Ritson-Williams R. Marine chemical ecology. Nat Prod Rep 2008; 25: 662-695.
- [25] Ronald MA. Principles of Microbiology. Dubuque, Iowa: William C Brown Pub; 1997, p. 1298.