

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine

journal homepage:www.elsevier.com/locate/apjtb



Document heading

Antimicrobial properties of sea anemone Stichodactyla mertensii and Stichodactyla gigantea from Mandapam coast of India

S Thangaraj, S Bragadeeswaran*, K Suganthi, N Sri Kumaran

Centre of Advanced Study in Marine Biology, Annamalai University, Parangipettai - 608 502, Tamil Nadu, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 July 2011 Received in revised form 1 August 2011 Accepted 20 August 2011 Available online 10 September 2011

Keywords: Marine ecosystem Bioactive Antibacterial Antifungal Mandapam

1. Introduction

Marine organisms have proven to be rich sources of organic compounds with interesting biological activities[1]. The marine environment provides novel substances to control bacterial, fungal and viral diseases and cancer chemotherapy. The cnidaria is a large, diverse and ecologically important phylum. It includes about 9400 species, of which 68% are members of the class Anthozoa[2]. In common with all animals, anthozoans need to protect themselves against the lethal or debilitating consequences of microbial or parasitic invasion. Indeed, a recent reports offers evidence that bleaching, one of the most destructive pathological conditions affecting reef corals may be caused by bacterial infection^[3]. Concern about the health of ecologically important anthozoans, mainly scleractinian corals^[4]. has stimulated interest in the way these animals overcome or avoid opportunistic or pathogenic infection. However, unlike their coelomate relatives, anthozoans have received little attention with respect to their anti-microbial and anti-parasitic defenses.

Sea anemones produced various biologically active

ABSTRACT

Objective: To investigate the antimicrobial activities of the methanol and aqueous extract of sea anemone Stichodactyla mertensii (S. mertensii) and Stichodactyla gigantea (S. gigantea). Methods: The sea anemone S. mertensii and S. gigantea were subjected to extraction by using methanol and distilled water. They were evaluated for antimicrobial activity against bacterial and fungal pathogens. **Results:** In antibacterial activity, S. gigantea exhibited significantly inhibitory activity against Pseudomonas aeruginosa than the S. mertensii of butanolic extract. In antifungal activity, the S. mertensii extract showed good activity against Aspergillus niger (A. niger) compared with other strains. Whereas S. gigantea recorded maximum and minimum zone of inhibition against Botrytis cinerea, A. niger and Cladosporium cucumerinum respectively. Conclusions: The results support that the sea anemones S. mertensii and S. gigantea extracts for treatment of some bacterial and fungal diseases as an ethanomedicinal source.

> polypeptides^[5]. In recent years, cytolytic toxins of sea anemone attracts a great interest of researchers, because they exhibit antitumor, antiparasitic, antimicrobial, dermatonectrotic and other types of biological activities due to the powerful membranolytic action[6-7]. Sea anemones have tentacles that surround a central mouth opening and these are used to catch and transfer food items such as crustaceans, molluscs and small fish to their mouth. The nematocysts present on the edges of the tentacles expel specific toxins^[8]. The present study was aimed at determining the antimicrobial activity of tropical sea anemones such as Stichodactyla mertensii (S. mertensii) and Stichodactyla gigantea (S. gigantea) from Mandapam coast of India.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study site

The study was performed at Mandapam area, Ramanadhapuram district of Tamilnadu, India, situated at the Southeast coast of Bay of Bengal (Latitude 09° 16' N and Longitude 72 ° 12' E) (Figure 1).

2.2. Sampling

^{*}Corresponding author: Dr. S Bragadeeswaran, Assistant Professor, Centre of Advanced Study in Marine Biology, Annamalai University, Parangipettai- 608 502, Tamil Nadu, India.

Tel: +91 4144 243223;

Fax: +91 4144 243555;

E-mail: drpragathi@gmail.com

The two sea anemones *S. mertensii* Brandt, 1835 and *S. gigantea* Forsskal, 1775 were collected in the summer of February and March, 2009 by scuba diving at the depth ranging from 3 to 4 meter. The samples were thoroughly washed with sea water and brought to the laboratory with sterilised sea water in an air tightened pack for extraction and the specimens were identified by following the standard literature of Indo–Pacific coral reef field guide^[9].



Figure 1. General view of Mandapam coastal region.

2.3. Preparation of organic extracts

2.3.1. Methanolic extract

Crude extract was prepared according to the method of Sunahara *et al*^[10]. The sea anemone *S. mertensii* was fully immersed in methanol and maintained for five days. The methanol extract was decanted and the anemone material was extracted at room temperature. The methanol extract was filtered through Whatman[®]No.1 Filter paper and the solvent was concentrated by rotary evaporator (VC100A Lark Rotavapor[®] at 30 °C) with reduced pressure to give predominantly an aqueous suspension and stored at 4 °C for further use.

2.3.2. Aqueous extract

Aqueous extraction of *S. gigantea* was carried out according to the method of Yano *et al*^[11]. The live animal was kept inside the glass bowl along with some amount of distilled water in an ice container for 15 minutes. During stress condition the nematocysts were collected from the tentacles and same procedure was repeated for thrice. The collected nematocysts containing toxins were filtered by Whatman No.1 filter paper. Residues were centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 15 min. The supernatant was collected in separate cleaned beakers for lyophilisation and stored at 4 $^{\circ}$ until further use.

2.4. Microorganism

Antimicrobial activity of sea anemone extract was determined against ten bacterial stains viz., Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus), Salmonella typhi (S. typhi), Salmonella paratyphi (S. paratyphi), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (P. aeruginosa), Klebsiella oxytoca (K. oxytoca), Klebsiella pneumonia (K. pneumonia), Vibrio cholerae (V. cholerae), Escherichia coli (E.coli) and Proteus mirabilis (P. mirabilis) and 10 fungal stains viz., Aspergillus niger (A. niger), Aspergillus fumigatus (A. fumigatus), Botrytis cinerea (B. cinerea), Cladosporium cucumerinum (C. cucumerinum), Penicillium expansum (P. expansum), Rhizopus oryzae (R. oryzae), Trichoderma harzianum (T. harzianum), Trichoderma koningii (T. koningii), Pneumocystis jirovecii (P. jirovecii) and Stachybotrys chartarum (S. chartarum) were the pathogenic microorganisms included in this study. The bacterial cultures were obtained in pure form from the Rajah Muthiah Medical College, Annamalai Nagar and fungal strains were obtained from the ESMA Institute of Technology, Karur.

2.5. Antimicrobial assay

The antibacterial and antifungal activity was carried out by disc diffusion method^[12–15]. The extracts were applied to 6 mm sterile discs in aliquots of 30 μ L of solvent, allowed to dry at room temperature and placed on nutrient agar plates seeded with microorganisms and incubated at 32 $^{\circ}$ C for 4 h. Zones of growth inhibition were measured in mm using vernier caliper or a scale and recorded.

3. Results

The results of the antibacterial and antifungal activity against the tested pathogens of the two species of sea anemones are given in Table 1 and 2.

3.1. Antibacterial activity

In the present study *S. mertensii* and *S. gigantea* were showed to be a promising source of antibacterial compound and were screened to evaluate antibacterial activity in crude, methanol, dichloromethane, ethanol, butanol and acetone. It showed moderate antibacterial activity against 9 bacterial pathogens. From the bacteria tested, inhibition zones were showing maximum of (8.3 ± 0.8) mm against *E. coli* and *P. mirabilis* of butanol and acetone extract of *S. mertensii* and the minimum was noticed at (1.3 ± 0.4) mm against *V. cholerae* in methanolic extract. In the case of *S. gigantea* the inhibition zone was maximum at (12.0 ± 0.8) mm against *P. aeruginosa* in butanol and the minimum was recorded at (2.3 ± 0.4) mm in butanol and acetone extract against *S. typhi* and *K. oxytoca* (Table 1).

3.2. Antifungal activity

In vitro antifungal activity of S. mertensii and S. gigantea extracts was active against ten species of fungal pathogens represented in Table 2. The different solvent extract of S. mertensii was active against pathogenic fungal. Among them the MeOH extracts active against nine species. The maximum zone of inhibition was noticed against A. niger and minimum was observed against P. expansum. Similarly, dichloromethane and acetone extracts showed the maximum inhibition of (4.2 ± 0.2) mm against R. oryzae, T. koningi, A. fumigatus, P. expansum and T. harzianum.

In the case of S. gigantea, the maximum zone of inhibition

Table 1		
Antibacterial activity of S.	mertensii and S. gigante	<i>a</i> against human pathogens.

	Zone of inhibition (mm)									
Pathogens	S. mertensii				S. gigantea					
	М	DCM	Е	А	В	М	DCM	Е	А	В
S. aureus	$\textbf{5.0} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{4.0} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	-	-	-	$\textbf{2.6} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	6.0 ± 0.8	-	-	$\textbf{7.3}\pm\textbf{0.4}$
S. typhi	$\textbf{3.3} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	$\textbf{3.3} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	$\textbf{2.3} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	-	7.0 ± 0.8	$\textbf{3.3}\pm\textbf{0.4}$	$\textbf{3.3} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	-	$\textbf{6.0} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{2.3}\pm\textbf{0.4}$
S. paratyphi	-	-	$\textbf{5.0} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{5.0} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{6.3} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	7.3 ± 0.4	$\textbf{5.0} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	-	$\textbf{5.0} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	3.3 ± 0.4
K. pneumonia	-	$\textbf{7.3} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	$\textbf{2.3} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	$\textbf{2.3} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	-	$\textbf{3.3}\pm\textbf{0.4}$	$\textbf{6.0} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	-	$\textbf{4.3} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	$\textbf{5.0} \pm \textbf{0.8}$
K. oxytoca	-	-	$\textbf{5.0} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{5.0} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{3.3}\pm\textbf{0.4}$	$\textbf{3.3}\pm\textbf{0.4}$	$\textbf{3.3} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	$\textbf{3.3}\pm\textbf{0.4}$	$\textbf{2.3} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	5.0 ± 0.8
P. aeruginosa	-	-	$\textbf{4.0} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	-	6.3 ± 0.4	$\textbf{4.7} \pm \textbf{0.2}$	$\textbf{8.3}\pm\textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{5.0} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{4.3} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	12.0 ± 0.8
V. cholerae	1.3 ± 0.4	$\textbf{3.3} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	$\textbf{5.0} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{4.0} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{6.3} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	$\textbf{3.3}\pm\textbf{0.4}$	$\textbf{3.3} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	$\textbf{5.0} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{4.3} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	$\textbf{4.3} \pm \textbf{0.4}$
E. coli	-	$\textbf{4.0} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{6.0} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{4.0} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{8.3}\pm\textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{3.3}\pm\textbf{0.4}$	$\textbf{6.0} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	-	-	-
P. mirabilis	-	_	-	$\textbf{8.3}\pm\textbf{0.8}$	6.0 ± 0.8	_	_	_	$\textbf{4.3} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	$\textbf{4.3}\pm\textbf{0.4}$

M= Methanol; DCM= Dichloromethane; E= Ethanol; A= Acetone; B= Butanol.

Table 2

Antifungal activity of S. mertensii and S. gigantea against fungal pathogens.

_	Zone of inhibition (mm)								
Pathogens		S. met	rtensii		S. gigantea				
	М	DCM	А	В	М	DCM	Α	В	
A. niger	10.3 ± 1.2	$\textbf{2.1}\pm\textbf{0.6}$	2.8 ± 0.2	-	1.1 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.2	-	1.1 ± 0.2	
B. cinerea	6.2 ± 0.5	1.5 ± 0.4	1.5 ± 0.4	-	7.3 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.2	$\textbf{2.3}\pm\textbf{0.6}$	-	
C. cucumerinum	$\textbf{4.8} \pm \textbf{0.2}$	$\textbf{2.1}\pm\textbf{0.6}$	3.2 ± 0.5	-	1.1 ± 0.2	$\textbf{3.8} \pm \textbf{0.6}$	-	1.1 ± 0.2	
P. expansum	1.5 ± 0.4	$\textbf{2.1}\pm\textbf{0.6}$	$\textbf{4.2}\pm\textbf{0.2}$	-	2.3 ± 0.6	1.1 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.2	$\textbf{2.3}\pm\textbf{0.6}$	
R. oryzae	8.2 ± 0.5	$\textbf{4.2}\pm\textbf{0.2}$	2.1 ± 0.6	-	2.3 ± 0.6	1.1 ± 0.2	-	$\textbf{3.8} \pm \textbf{0.6}$	
T. harzianum	$\textbf{6.9} \pm \textbf{0.1}$	3.2 ± 0.2	$\textbf{4.2} \pm \textbf{0.2}$	-	3.8 ± 0.6	3.8 ± 0.6	$\textbf{2.3} \pm \textbf{0.6}$	$\textbf{4.4} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	
T. koningi	$\textbf{2.1} \pm \textbf{0.6}$	$\textbf{4.2}\pm\textbf{0.2}$	3.2 ± 0.5	-	2.3 ± 0.6	1.1 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.2	2.3 ± 0.6	
A. fumigatus	$\textbf{2.1} \pm \textbf{0.6}$	4.2 ± 0.2	-	-	2.3 ± 0.6	4.4 ± 0.4	$\textbf{2.3} \pm \textbf{0.6}$	-	
P. jirovecii	5.2 ± 0.5	$\textbf{2.1} \pm \textbf{0.6}$	3.2 ± 0.5	-	3.8 ± 0.6	3.8 ± 0.6	$\textbf{2.3} \pm \textbf{0.6}$	2.3 ± 0.6	
S. chartarum	-	_	-	2.1 ± 0.6	2.3 ± 0.6	_	_	-	

M= Methanol; DCM= Dichloromethane; A= Acetone; B= Butanol.

was recorded against *B. cinerea* (7.3 ± 0.2) mm and minimum (1.1 ± 0.2) mm against *A. niger* and *C. cucumerinum* respectively.

4. Discussion

The antibacterial and antifungal activities were prominated in the crude extract of the *S. mertensii* and *S. gigantea*. The butanol and acetone extract of *S. mertensii* showed roughly 8 mm zone of inhibition against *E. coli* and *P. mirabilis* in methanolic extract. Similar results were found in *P. indicus*, *P. sinensis*, *Heteractis magnifica* and *Stichodactyla haddoni* (*S. haddoni*) reported by Rajiv Chandra Rajak and Balaji^[16,17]. In the case of *S. gigantea*, it showed about 12 mm zone of inhibition against *P. aeruginosa* of butanol extract. Yano *et al*^[11] have showed that some spieces and herbs' extract such as *Coriandrum sativum* and *Cuminum cyminum* inhibit the growth against *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* (*V. parahaemolyticus*) and *E. coli*.

As an earlier report has been made, the crude extract of *S. haddoni* showed good activity against Gram-negative bacteria by Sureshkumar *et al*^[18]. Prakash Williams *et al*^[19] reported that the tissue extract of sea anemone showed highly inhibition activity (20 mm) against *K. pneumonia* and 24 mm with the hexane tissue extract against fish pathogen. Burholder *et al*^[20] isolated 2 bromo-compounds from some sponge extracts *Verongi fistularies* and *Verongi vauliformis*

that inhibited the growth of Gram-positive and Gramnegative bacteria.

Boobathy et al^[21] have reported moderate activity from the aqueous and methanolic extracts of sponge Callyspongia diffusa against 4 human pathogens, P. aeruginosa, E. coli, V. parahaemolyticus and V. cholera. Patterson Edward and Murugan^[22] have reported broad-spectrum antibacterial activity of aqueous ink extract of the cephalopods Loligo duvaucelii and Sepia pharaonis against nine human pathogens. Murugan et al^[23-32] have reported the antibacterial activity from Rapana rapiformis against eight human pathogens. Murugan and Santhana Ramasamy^[14] have reported the crude methanol extract of ascidian Didemnum psammathodes, respond the range of inhibition varied from 6 to 10 mm with an average of 7.1 mm.

Touati et al^[33] have studied the antibacterial activity of seven marine sponge from Tunisian coast against Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria. Ethyl acetate extract of Axinella damicornis exhibited significant activity against all bacterial strains. Rifai et al^[34] have studied antibacterial and antifungal activity from ten specie of sponges Hippospongia communis, Ircinia variabilis, Penares candida, Spongia officinalis, Stella dorigena, Geodia cydonium, Haliclona offoculata, Paratetilla bacca, Spongia ceylonica and Tetilla japonica from Gulf of Thailand.

Marine organisms collected from the Southeast coast of India have been shown to possess a number of biological activities. In our studies, the most interesting species are that *S.mertensii* and *S.gigantea*. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report demonstrating the antimicrobial activity of most of the sea anemones taken up in this study, with few exceptions. These organisms are currently undergoing detailed investigations with the objective of isolating biologically active molecules along with the search for novel compounds. Furthermore, the encouraging biological activities seen in this study show that the Indian coastline is a potential source of variety of marine organisms worthy of further investigation.

Conflict of interest statement

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

References

- Shimizu Y. Bioactive marine natural products, with emphasis on handling of water-soluble compounds. J Nat Prod 1985; 48(2): 223-235.
- [2] Banister K, Campbell H. Encyclopaedia of underwater life. Oxfordshire, England: Andromeda Oxford Limited; 1985, p. 287.
- [3] Kushmaro A, Loya Y, Fine M, Rosenberg E. Bacterial infection and coral bleaching. *Nature* 1996; **380**: 396-399.
- [4] Grigg RW, Dollar SJ. Natural and anthropogenic disturbance of coral reef. In: Dubinsky Z, editor. *Ecosystem of the world, Coral reef.* Amsterdam: Elsevier; 1990: 439–452.
- [5] Anderluh G, Macek P. Cytolytic peptide and protein toxins from sea anemones Anthozoa: Actiniaria. *Toxicon* 2002; 40: 111–124.
- [6] Turk T. Cytolytic toxins from sea anemones. J Toxicol Toxin Rev 1991; 10: 223–262.
- [7] Kem WR, Pennington MW, Norton RS.Sea anemone toxins as templates for the design of immunosuppressant drugs. *Perspect Drug Discov Des* 1999; 16: 111-129.
- [8] Manoleras N, Norton RS. Sea anemones. Three-dimensional structure in solutions of neurotoxin III from the sea anemone Anemonia sulcata. *Biochemistry* 1994; 33: 11051-11061.
- [9] Gerald R Allen, Roger Steene, Roger C Steene. Indo-Pacific coral reef field guide. California, USA: Odyssey Publishing Company; 1994, p. 378.
- [10] Sunahara S, Muramoto K, Tenma K, Kamiya H. Amino acid sequence of two sea anemone toxins from *Anthopleura fuscoviridis*. *Toxicon* 1987; 25: 211–219.
- [11] Yano Y, Satomi M, Oikawa S. Antimicrobial effect of species and herbs on Vibrio parahaemolyticus. Int J Food Microbiol 2006; 111(1): 6–11.
- [12] McCaffrey EJ, Erdean R. Antimicrobial activity of tropical and subtropical sponges. *Mar Biol* 1985; 89: 1–8.
- [13] Becerro MA, Lopez NI, Turon X, Uniz MJ. Antimicrobial activity and surface bacterial film in marine sponges. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 1994; 179: 195–205.
- [14] Murugan A, Santhana Ramasamy M. Biofouling deterrent natural products from the ascidians *Distaplia nathensis*. *Indian J Mar Sci* 2003; **32**: 162–164.
- [15] Utz CJ, Shadaomy S. Antifungal activity of 5-fluorocytosine as measured by disc diffusion susceptibility testing. J Infect Dis 1977; 135(6): 970–974.
- [16] Rajiv Chandra Rajak. Pharmacological and biomedical properties of sea anemone toxin from Paracondactylis indicus and Paracondactylis sinensis. M. Sc., Thesis. Parangipettai, India: Centre of Advanced Study in Marine Biology, Annamalai

University; 2009, p. 44.

- [17] Balaji D. Pharmacological properties of sea anemone *Heteractis magnifica* and *Stichodactyla haddoni*, M. Sc., Thesis, Centre of Advanced Study in Marine Biology, Annamalai University, parangipettai, India 2009, p.32.
- [18] Sureshkumar S, Christopher John JA, Ravikumar S. Antimicrobial activity of seaweed extracts from south west coast of India. *Seaweed Research and Utilization* 2002; 24: 111–115.
- [19] Prakash Williams G, Babu S, Ravikumar S, Kathiresan K, Arul Prathap S, Chinnapparaj S, et al. Antimicrobial activity of tissue and associated bacteria from benthic sea anemone *Stichodactyla haddoni* against microbial pathogens. J Environ Biol 2007; 28(4): 789–793.
- [20] Burkholder PR. The ecology of marine antibiotic and coral reefs. In: Jones OA, Endean R, editors. *Biology and geology of coral reefs*. New York, London: Academic Press; 1973, p. 117–182.
- [21] Boobathy S, Ajithkumar TT, Kathiresan K. Isolation of symbiotic bacteria and bioactive proteins from the marine sponge *Callyspongia diffusa. Indian J Biotechnol* 2009; 8: 272–275.
- [22] Patterson Edward JK, Murugan A. Screening of cephalopods for bioactivity. *Phuket Mar Biol Cent Spec* 2000; 21(1): 253–256.
- [23] Murugan A, Patterson Edward JK, Ayyankannu K. Ecological, biochemical and antibacterial data from India. *Phuket Mar Biol Cent Spec Publ* 1991; **19**: 108–110.
- [24] Saad S, Taher M, Susanti D, Qaralleh H, Rahim NABA. Antimicrobial activity of mangrove plant (Lumnitzera littorea). Asian Pac J Trop Med 2011; 4(7): 523-525.
- [25] Raja RDA, Jeeva S, Prakash JW, Antonisamy JM, Irudayaraj V. Antibacterial activity of selected ethnomedicinal plants from South India. Asian Pac J Trop Med 2011; 4(5): 375-378.
- [26] Bragadeeswaran S, Priyadharshini S, Prabhu K, Rani SRS. Antimicrobial and hemolytic activity of fish epidermal mucus Cynoglossus arel and Arius caelatus. Asian Pac J Trop Med 2011; 4(4): 305-309.
- [27] Madhumitha G, Saral AM. Preliminary phytochemical analysis, antibacterial, antifungal and anticandidal activities of successive extracts of *Crossandra infundibuliformis*. Asian Pac J Trop Med 2011; 4(3): 192–195.
- [28] Johnson M, Wesely EG, Kavitha MS, Uma V. Antibacterial activity of leaves and inter-nodal callus extracts of *Mentha arvensis* L. *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2011; 4(3): 196–200.
- [29] Peixoto JRO, Silva GC, Costa RA, de Sousa Fontenelle JL, Vieira GHF, Filho AAF, et al. *In vitro* antibacterial effect of aqueous and ethanolic *Moringa* leaf extracts. *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2011; 4(3): 201–204.
- [30] Kumar SC, Bhattacharjee I, Chandra G. Isolation and identification of bioactive antibacterial components in leaf extracts of *Vangueria spinosa* (Rubiaceae). Asian Pac J Trop Med 2011; 4(1): 35-40.
- [31] Irudayaraj V, Janaky M, Johnson M, Selvan N. Preliminary phytochemical and antimicrobial studies on a spike-moss *Selaginella inaequalifolia* (hook. & grev.) Spring. *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2010; **3**(12): 957–960.
- [32] Mandal S, DebMandal M, Kumar NP, Saha K. Antibacterial activity of honey against clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi. *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2010; 3(12): 961–964.
- [33] Touati K, Chaieb K, Bakhrouf A, Gaddour K. Screening of antimicrobial activity of marine sponge extracts collected from Tunisian coast. J Med Mycol 2007; 17: 183–187.
- [34] Rifai S, Fassouane A, Abbouyi AE, Wardani A, Kijjoa A, Soest RV. Screening of antimicrobial activity of marine sponge extracts. J Med Mycol 2005; 15: 33–38.