Full Length Research Paper

Assessing the Awareness Regarding Disaster Management Plan among an Interprofessional Team in a University Hospital

Ibrahim Hegazy¹, Monira Taha², Ahmed Abou Zeid², Ezzat El-Taher³, Nahla Hassan^{4*}

¹ Department of Emergency Medicine, ALazher University, Cairo, Egypt ²Department of Emergency Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt ³Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt ⁴Department of Medical Education, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt

Abstract

Disaster can be defined as a sudden extraordinary event that brings great damage, loss, destruction and injury to people and their environment. Interprofessional collaboration can have a positive impact on the quality of patient care. All employees need to be oriented with the disaster management plan of their organization. The aim of the study is to assess the awareness of the health team members about disaster management plan in the Suez Canal University Hospital. The study conducted at Suez Canal University Hospital using a cross-sectional design. It included medical staff and non-medical staff members (doctors, nurses, laboratory, radiology technicians, security officers, registration officers, and housekeepers n=400). The data collection tool is a questionnaire that included 22 questions categorized into seven sections. According to the study, the awareness of various categories of the study candidates about the emergency response plan of the hospital was generally low. There was a low awareness of the meaning of disaster among all categories. There is a high awareness among doctors, nurses, laboratory, radiology technicians regarding infection control section, while the awareness was low among security officers, registration officers, and housekeepers. There is a lack of awareness of hospital staff members regarding emergency response plan and the meaning of disaster at the Suez Canal University Hospital. The study recommends that hospital administrations should give more attention to the problem of disasters, disaster plan. More emphasis should be paid for training programs regarding disaster management for all responsible team in the hospital.

Keywords: Disaster, Disaster Management Plan, Awareness, Interprofessional Team.

INTRODUCTION

Disasters are not being expected, but they happen. Although disasters, human-made or natural, are inevitable, there are ways to prevent and manage how people and their communities respond to a disaster. A disaster can be defined as a sudden extraordinary event that brings great damage, loss, destruction and injury to people and their environment (Stanhope and Lancaster, 2008). Hospitals must prepare for the possibility of disaster within the facility as a requirement of

*Corresponding Author Email: nahlah_med@yahoo.com

accreditation and licensure. Healthcare professionals have been less inclined to confront the possibility that disruption may occur within the facility itself and undermine their ability to provide care (Sternberg, 2008). All over the world, hospitals have been involved in disasters, both internal and external. These two types of disasters are independent, but not mutually exclusive. Internal disasters are isolated to the hospital and occur more frequently than do external disasters. External disasters affect the community as well as the hospital (Milesten, 2000). Disaster management plan is an agreed set of arrangements for preparing for responding to and recovering from emergencies and involves the description of responsibilities, management structures,

strategies, and resource and information management. Disaster planning is about protecting life, property, and the environment (Keine and Phyne, 2001). The interprofessional collaborative practice has been defined as a process which includes communication and decision-making, enabling a synergistic influence of grouped knowledge and skills. Collaborative interactions exhibit a blending of professional cultures and are achieved through sharing skills and knowledge to improve the quality of patient care. There are important characteristics that determine team effectiveness, including members seeing their roles as important to the team, open communication, the existence of autonomy, and equality of resources. In addition, poor interprofessional collaboration can have a negative impact on the quality of patient care (Bridges et al., 2011). All employees need to know the disaster management plan of their organization. Healthcare facilities are required to have regular, periodic fire and disaster drills to allow their staff to practice emergency skills (Kowalski and Bunker, 2003).

The aim of this study was to assess the awareness of the health team members about disaster management plan in the Suez Canal University Hospital.

METHODS

Study setting and design

A cross-sectional descriptive design was used in this study. This study conducted at Suez Canal University Hospital.

Study subjects

The study included a group of hospital staff, medical and non-medical. Medical staff selected from doctors of these departments (emergency, internal medicine, gynecology, general surgery, pediatrics, orthopedic, urology. cardiology. cardiothoracic surgery, dermatology, neurosurgery, psychiatry, E.N.T. ophthalmology, radiology, clinical pathology, oncology and nuclear medicine. rheumatology and anesthesia) pharmacists, nurses, laboratory and radiology technicians. In addition to non-medical staffs, which work in the emergency department, include registration officers, Housekeepers and security officers to assess their awareness about disaster plan. The sample size was 400 Candidates. The research protocol was approved by the Research and Ethics Committee at FOMSCU.

Instruments

The data collection tool used in this research was a self-

administered questionnaire. The questionnaire was considered a modified form of the hospital emergency response checklist (Brain, 2011). The modification was done based on an extensive search in the relevant literature. It consisted of two parts. Part 1: aimed at collecting data serving in the description of the candidates included in the study sample. It included questions about gender, gualification, job position, and years of experience. Part 2: (Candidate's awareness): aimed at collecting data regarding study candidates awareness about disaster management plan in the studied hospital. It was designed in such a way to elicit responses of study candidates to statements with options of "yes," "no," or "unknown." The tool included 22 questions categorized into the following seven sections: Emergency response plan (1 item), The meaning of disaster (1 item), Command and control (2 items), Communication (7 items), Safety and security (3 items). Triage (5 items). Infection control (3 items).

Statistical analysis

Data were fed to the computer and analyzed using IBM *SPSS software package version 20.0.* Qualitative data were described using number and percent. Comparison between different groups regarding categorical variables was tested using Chi-square test. When more than 20% of the cells have expected count less than 5, correction for chi-square was conducted using Fisher's Exact test or Monte Carlo correction. The significance test results are quoted as two-tailed probabilities. Significance of the obtained results was judged at the 5% level.

RESULTS

Regarding the awareness of various categories of the study candidates about the emergency response plan of the hospital, all candidates answered unknown except doctors and nurses answered no (27.9%), (10.5%) respectively as shown in table 1.

Regarding knowledge of the various categories of the study sample candidates about the meaning of disaster, all categories answered no except doctors (57.3%), and nurses (19.8%) answered yes as shown in table 2.

Regarding the awareness of various categories of the study candidates about command and control section related to presence of a hospital command center, there is low awareness among different groups, the lowest percentages of awareness were between registration officers (100.0%), housekeepers (100.0%), and radiology technicians (100.0%)laboratory, answered unknown. Regarding the presence of job description sheet, it shows high awareness among doctors (68.7%), nurses (58.1%), laboratory, radiology technicians (80%) and security officers (83.3%)

	Doctor (n=262)		or Nurs 2) (n=86		Techniciar Rac (r	n laboratory & liology n=10)	Sec of (n	curity ficer =12)	Regis of (n	stration ficer =10)	Housekeeper (n=20)		
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Q1					Does you	r hospital has an e	mergency	response	olan?				
Unknown	189	72.1	77	89.5	10	100.0	12	100.0	10	100.0	20	100.0	
No	73	27.9	9	10.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Yes	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
X ²						27.43	37 [*]						
^{мс} р						<0.0	D1 [*]						

Table 1. Comparison between the different studied groups according to the awareness regarding emergency response plan

 χ^2 : value of Chi square MC: Monte Carlo test *: Statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05

Table 2. Comparison between the different studied groups according to the awareness of the meaning of disaster

Doctor (n=262)		Nurse (n=86)		ian laboratory ology (n=10)	Security officer (n=12)			Registra officer (n	tion =10)	Housekeeper (n=20)	
). %	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
			Do	you know the m	eaning	g of disast	ter?				
0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
2 42.7	69	80.2	10	100.0	12	100.0	10	100.0	20	100.0	
0 57.3	17	19.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
				80.2	248 [*]						
				<0.)01 [*]						
	Doctor (n=262) % 0.0 2 42.7 0 57.3	Doctor Nu (n=262) (n= . % No. 0.0 0 2 42.7 69 0 57.3 17	Doctor Nurse (n=262) (n=86) . % No. % 0.0 0 0.0 2 2 42.7 69 80.2 0 57.3 17 19.8	Doctor Nurse Technic (n=262) (n=86) & Radi . % No. % No. 0.0 0 0.0 0 0 2 42.7 69 80.2 10 0 57.3 17 19.8 0	Doctor Nurse (n=262) Technician laboratory & Radiology (n=10) . % No. % 0.0 0 0.0 % 0.0 0 0.0 0 2 42.7 69 80.2 10 100.0 0 57.3 17 19.8 0 0.0 80.2 80.2 0.0 <td< td=""><td>Doctor Nurse Technician laboratory Sec (n=262) (n=86) & Radiology (n=10) Sec No. No. No. No. No. 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 2 42.7 69 80.2 10 100.0 12 0 57.3 17 19.8 0 0.0 0 80.248[°] 60.001[°] 10 100.0 12</td><td>Doctor Nurse (n=262) Technician laboratory & Radiology (n=10) Security offic (n=12) . % No. % No. % . 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 2 42.7 69 80.2 10 100.0 12 100.0 0 57.3 17 19.8 0 0.0 0 0.0 80.248[*] <</td></td<>	Doctor Nurse Technician laboratory Sec (n=262) (n=86) & Radiology (n=10) Sec No. No. No. No. No. 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 2 42.7 69 80.2 10 100.0 12 0 57.3 17 19.8 0 0.0 0 80.248 [°] 60.001 [°] 10 100.0 12	Doctor Nurse (n=262) Technician laboratory & Radiology (n=10) Security offic (n=12) . % No. % No. % . 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 2 42.7 69 80.2 10 100.0 12 100.0 0 57.3 17 19.8 0 0.0 0 0.0 80.248 [*] <	Doctor (n=262) Nurse (n=86) Technician laboratory & Radiology (n=10) Security officer (n=12) No. No. % No. % No. % No. 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 2 42.7 69 80.2 10 100.0 12 100.0 10 0 57.3 17 19.8 0 0.0 0	Doctor Nurse Technician laboratory Security officer Registra (n=262) (n=86) & Radiology (n=10) (n=12) officer (n . % No. % </td <td>Doctor (n=262) Nurse (n=86) Technician laboratory & Radiology (n=10) Security officer (n=12) Registration officer (n=10) . % No. %</td>	Doctor (n=262) Nurse (n=86) Technician laboratory & Radiology (n=10) Security officer (n=12) Registration officer (n=10) . % No. %	

 χ^2 : valu of Chi square MC: Monte Carlo test *: Statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05

answered yes. While registration officers and housekeepers answered no (80%) as shown in table 3.

Regarding the communication, the awareness of various categories of the study sample candidates about presence of a place for press conferences in the time of event. It shows high awareness among doctors (62.2%) and security officers (83.3%) answered yes. while nurses (93%), laboratory, radiology technicians, and registration officers (80.0%) and housekeepers (75%) answered no as shown in table 4.

Concerning the awareness of various categories of the study candidates about safety and security section related to ability of the hospital to receive chemical incidents. More than half of categories answered no. where nurses (69.8%), laboratory, radiology technicians (70%), security officers (66.7%), registration officers (60%), and housekeepers (65%) answered no as shown in table 5.

Concerning triage section, the awareness of various categories of the study sample candidates about presence of triage area at the entrance to emergency department, the highest percentages of awareness were among security officers, registration officers (100%) laboratory, radiology technicians (90%) moreover, nurses (87.2%) answered yes as shown in table 6.

Regarding the awareness of various categories of the study candidates about infection control section related to meaning of infection control. It shows high awareness between doctors, nurses, laboratory, radiology technicians (100%) answered yes. While, security officers (100%), registration officers (100%), and housekeepers (50%) answered no as shown in table 7.

DISCUSSION

The interprofessional team with diversity of the groups included in the present study doctors, nurses and technicians are in line Chockshi et al. (2008) stated that emergency response providers include first responders, a subset of emergency professionals, including paramedics and technicians who respond to the incident scene and first receivers, and a set of healthcare workers who receive the disaster victims at hospital facilities.

In our study results regarding knowledge of health team members that the hospital has emergency response plan, showed low knowledge where more than 50% of all the groups did not know if the hospital has emergency response plan as following: doctors (72.1%), (89.5%), technicians, security nurses officers. registration officers and housekeepers (100%). O'sulivan et al. (2008) stated that approximately 40% of studied nurses were unaware that their hospital has an emergency plan. Moreover, nurses reported inadequate access to resources to support disaster response capacity and expressed a low degree of confidence in the preparedness of Canadian health care institutions for

	Doctor (n=262)		Nurse (n=86)		Technician laboratory & Radiology (n=10)		Security officer (n=12)		Registration officer (n=10)		Housekeeper (n=20)		
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Q3		Is there	chosen	a hospita	al comma	nd center for meeti	ng of c	ommand	lers in tl	ne time of	incident?		
Unknown	68	26.0	16	18.6	10	100.0	2	16.7	10	100.0	20	100.0	
No	194	74.0	70	81.4	0	0.0	10	83.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Yes	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
χ^2_{-}	98.570												
^{мс} р						<0.001 [*]							
Q4			Are	e there jo	b descrip	tion sheets that list	duties	of hospi	tal merr	bers?			
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
No	82	31.3	36	41.9	2	20.0	2	16.7	8	80.0	16	80.0	
Yes	180	68.7	50	58.1	8	80.0	10	83.3	2	20.0	4	20.0	
X ²						31.829 [*]							
^{мс} р						<0.001 [*]							
χ ² : value of Chi squar	e MC	C: Monte C	Carlo test	*: S	tatistically	significant at p ≤ 0.	05						

Table 3. Comparison between the different studied groups according to the awareness about Command and Control.

 Table 4. Comparison between the different studied groups according to the awareness about Communication.

	Doctor (n=262)		Nurse (n=86)		Techni &Rac	cian laboratory liology (n=10)	Sec office	surity r (n=12)	Regis n of (n=	stratio ficer :10)	Housek (n=2	eeper 20)
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Q5		ls	s there a	i place de	esigned for	hospital set up pro	ess confe	erences ir	n the tim	ne of ever	nt?	
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
No	99	37.8	80	93.0	8	80.0	2	16.7	8	80.0	15	75.0
Yes	163	62.2	6	7.0	2	20.0	10	83.3	2	20.0	5	25.0
χ^2						95.746 [*]						
^{мс} р						<0.001 [*]						
Q6		Is the	re comm	nunication	n with othe	r hospitals for trans	sportatio	n of patie	nts if no	available	e beds?	
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
No	105	40.1	39	45.3	4	40.0	8	66.7	6	60.0	15	75.0
Yes	157	59.9	47	54.7	6	60.0	4	33.3	4	40.0	5	25.0
X ²						13.081 [*]						
^{мс} р						0.023 [*]						
Q7				Is the	ere code bl	ue team in the hos	pital for r	apid resp	onse?			
Unknown	42	16.0	26	30.2	10	100.0	12	100.0	10	100.0	20	100.0
No	220	84.0	60	69.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Yes	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
X ²						14.00						
^{мс} р						0.023*						
08	Are t	here com	municati	ion syste	ms (teleph	one lines, internet	connecti	ons, and	two-way	y radios)	to commu	inicate
40				with	hospital s	taff in the hospital	or outsid	e the hos	pital?			
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
No	54	20.6	8	9.3	2	20.0	2	16.7	2	20.0	5	25.0
Yes	208	79.4	78	90.7	8	80.0	10	83.3	8	80.0	15	75.0
X						6.266						
™°p						0.185						
Q9		Is there d	esignate	ed inform	ation cente	er in hospital to col	lect infor	mation at	out pat	ients and	diseases	?

Table 4.	Continue
----------	----------

Unknown	62	23.7	16	18.6	2	20.0	12	100.0	0	0.0	15	75.0
No	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Yes	200	76.3	70	81.4	8	80.0	0	0.0	10	100.0	5	25.0
χ^2						64.692 [*]						
^{мс} р						<0.001 [*]						
Q10				Is there of	ommuni	cation with poison ce	enter in o	chemical i	ncident	s?		
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
No	262	100.0	86	100.0	10	100.0	12	100.0	10	100.0	20	100.0
Yes	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Q11	ls t	there activ	vated co	ode blue fo	or rapid i	recall doctors or any l	hospital	staff from	differe	nt sites in tl	he hosp	ital?
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
No	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	16.7	2	20.0	8	40.0
Yes	262	100.0	86	100.0	10	100.0	10	83.3	8	80.0	12	60.0
χ ²						122.795						
^{MC} p						<0.001 [*]						
χ ² : value of Chi squa	re MC	: Monte C	Carlo te	st *: S	Statistica	ally significant at $p \le 0$).05					

Table 5. Comparison between the different studied groups according to awareness about safety and security

	Do	ctor	Nu	Irse	Technic	ian laboratory &	Sec	urity	Registration	Housekeeper		ber
	(II= No	202)	 No	=00) %	No	0/2 1010gy (11=10)	No	(II=12) %	No	(No	0/
	Con t	10 the heari	tol rocci	70 Vo ohomi	ool ingidon	to (contents of prot	notion: ob		tootivo quita boo		and he	70
Q12	Can	ine nospi	laitecei			shoes and a	lasses)?	enncarpro		ivy gioves	anune	avy
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
No	84	32.1	60	69.8	7	70.0	8	66.7	6	60.0	13	65.0
Yes	178	67.9	26	30.2	3	30.0	4	33.3	4	40.0	7	35.0
χ^2_{-}	178 67.9 26 50.2 3 50.0 4 55.5 4 40.0 48.042 [*] <0.001 [*] Are there areas for radioactive, biological, and chemical decontamination? 72 27.5 27 31.4 10 100.0 12 100.0 10 100.0											
^{мс} р						<0.00)1 [*]					
Q13				Are there	areas for	radioactive, biologic	al, and cl	hemical d	econtamination?			
Unknown	72	27.5	27	31.4	10	100.0	12	100.0	10	100.0	16	80.0
No	190	72.5	59	68.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	20.0
Yes	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
χ^2						81.91	4					
^{мс} р						<0.00)1					
Q14		Are the	ere guid	ance sigr	ns at the er	ntrance to emergene	cy departr	ment you	should know by it	any secti	on?	
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
No	56	21.4	19	22.0	2	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Yes	206	78.6	67	78.0	8	80.0	12	100.0	10	100.0	20	100.0
χ^2_{a}						11.05	8					
™°p						0.028	3					

 χ^2 : value of Chi square MC: Monte Carlo test *: Statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05

Table 6. Comparison between the different studied groups according to the awareness about Triage.

	Doctor (n=262)		Nurse (n=86)		Technic & Radi	Technician laboratory & Radiology (n=10)		Security officer (n=12)		Registration officer (n=10)		Housekeeper (n=20)	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Q15		Is the	ere an a	rea of sci	reening pa	tients (triage) at	the entra	ance to eme	rgency de	epartment	?		
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
No	40	15.3	11	12.8	1	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	25.0	
Yes	222	84.7	75	87.2	9	90.0	12	100.0	10	100.0	15	75.0	
X ²						6.067							
^{мс} р						0.371							
Q16				Are	there entr	ance and exit ro	utes clea	arly identified	1?				

Table 6. Continue

Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
No	40	15.3	16	18.6	3	30.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	25.0	
Yes	222	84.7	70	81.4	7	70.0	12	100.0	10	100.0	15	75.0	
χ ²						7.393							
^{ínc} p						0.193							
017	Is there	designated	d traum	a resuscita	ation ro	om (contents: puls	e oxime	try, source of	oxygen,	portable >	k-ray, fo	ocused	
QII				abdom	ninal ult	rasonography for t	rauma a	ind chest tube	e)?				
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
No	172	65.6	61	70.9	6	60.0	9	75.0	7	70.0	12	60.0	
Yes	90	34.4	25	29.1	4	40.0	3	25.0	3	30.0	8	40.0	
χ ²						1.852							
^{йс} р	0.872												
Q18			ls	there desi	gnated	separated exposu	re and s	uturing wound	ds area?				
Unknown	59	22.5	20	23.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	10.0	
No	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Yes	203	77.5	66	76.7	10	100.0	12	100.0	10	100.0	18	90.0	
X ²						10.743							
^{мс} р						0.061							
Q19						Is there chosen be	urn ward	1?					
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
No	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Yes	262	100.0	86	100.0	10	100.0	12	100.0	10	100.0	20	100.0	
2													

χ²: value of Chi square MC: Monte Carlo test *: Sta

*: Statistically significant at $p \le 0.05$

Table 7. Comparison between the different studied groups according to the awareness about infection control

	Doctor (n=262)		Nurse (n=86)		Technicia & Radio	Technician laboratory & Radiology (n=10)		ecurity er (n=12)	Re off	gistration icer (n=10	Ho)	ousekeeper (n=20)	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Q20					Do you	know what infe	ction co	ontrol is?					
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
No	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	12	100.0	10	100.0	10	50.0	
Yes	262	100.0	86	100.0	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	10	50.0	
X ²						332.065	5*						
^{мс} р						<0.001	*						
Q21		Dose the hospital has an infection control program?											
Unknown	99	37.8	0	0.0	2	20.0	12	100.0	10	100.0	13	65.0	
No	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Yes	163	62.2	86	100.0	8	80.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	35.0	
X ²						98.121							
^{мс} р						<0.001	e .						
Q22				Do hos	spital staff m	nembers receive	e infecti	on control	training	j?			
Unknown	99	37.8	0	0.0	2	20.0	12	100.0	10	100.0	13	65.0	
No	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Yes	163	62.2	86	100.0	8	80.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	35.0	
χ^2						98.121							
™ср						<0.001	•						

 χ^2 : value of Chi square MC: Monte Carlo test *: Statistically significant at p \leq 0.05

future outbreaks. Therefore, the authors recommended that more training is needed to enhance preparedness for frontline health care workers.

The lack of awareness about the disaster plan and its related items is quite alarming. The shortage in the required local knowledge and capacity would lead to the inability to manage disasters even if there is a written plan. In this respect, knowledge management has not been at the core of the healthcare business model despite healthcare being a knowledge-intensive business (Russ, 2005).

However, hospitals are becoming aware of the need

for capturing the knowledge of their staff and focusing on the efficient and effective use of information technology. Meanwhile, very early, referred to the "paper-plan syndrome", the belief that disaster preparedness can be achieved simply by the filing of a written plan, and strongly cautioned that such plans prove more useful in practice when they rely upon not as documents to be suddenly consulted in an emergency, but as forms of training complemented with additional forms of preparedness (Auf der Heide, 1989).

Concerning the meaning of disaster, the present study revealed a high level of awareness about the meaning of disaster between doctors where (57.3%) know the meaning of disaster. While low knowledge between nurses, technicians, security officers, registration officers and housekeepers, where less than (50%) do not know the meaning of disaster. The present study findings are in incongruence with the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations 2006, that requires accredited facilities to develop an emergency preparedness plan, and further requires that facility staff receive education about the plan, and possess the skills moreover, responsibilities necessary of called upon to implement the plan.

study findings demonstrated Our that communication system was present in the emergency department and recognized by more than (50%) of all categories of respondents. The findings highlight a major deficiency of knowledge in communication with other hospitals between security officers, registration officers, and housekeepers. The findings highlight a major deficiency in communication with poisoning center, which is the backbone of disaster plan. Without efficient communication, nothing could do in disaster management. In this regard, the role of effective communication, comprehensive approach that reflects both communication and teamwork behaviors, as well as a quantitative assessment of surge capacity, supplies, and equipment might be required for disaster management (Kaji et al., 2008).

Although there is a generally high level of availability of equipment and supplies. On the same line, difficulties facing hospitals in disaster preparedness may arise from the multiagency and multi-organizational capacities on which the hospital may have to draw during the crisis. An especially insidious challenge is the uncertainty about the background of external conditions and community resources on which the facility hopes to be able to depend during the crisis (Milesten, 2000).

These present study findings point to major deficiencies in most areas pertinent to disaster preparedness. It was important to collect this information in order to set a plan that is applicable and custom-made for the study setting. In this respect, the documents may give the impression that disaster plans can sufficiently anticipate, perhaps even predict, the contingencies accompanying an extreme event.

In our studied hospital, concerning hospital receiving

and preventive measures for chemical accidents, study results showed wide differences among various categories, where (67.9%) of doctors see that the hospital can receive chemical incidents while less than (50%) between nurses, radiology, laboratory technicians, security, registration officers, and housekeepers see that the hospital cannot receive chemical incidents. However, there were no plans to deal with such accidents as radiation or chemical. In this regard, prearranging for fire or explosion allows the nursing to respond quickly to the victims, and triage and evacuation when needed (Michael, 2003). In incongruence with this, half of the studied nurses were aware about the daily maintenance to avoid explosions. There are many variables in a disaster, such as damage to facilities, loss of critical staff members, and overwhelming numbers of causalities. Each medical treatment facility should have a plan for everything from caring for staff members to getting the laundry done and providing enhanced security or mortuary services. Communication and agreements with local, regional, and federal agencies are vital. Then staff must be trained to shape the tools to impose order on chaos and to provide the most care to the greatest number (Baker, 2007).

Our study findings point to high awareness of the most of the study groups, where more than (50%) were aware about entry and exits routs. High percentages of awareness in security and registration officers (100%) were aware about entry and exits routs. The findings are in congruence with every hospital needs a security plan for the support of immobile patients who do not possess autonomous escape capabilities, little information exists to assist in the development of practical patient evacuation methods. The authors concluded that experiences from a hospital during an evacuation exercise should provide decision criteria for changes in the disaster preparedness plan (Gretenkort et al., 2003).

According to the results of our study, doctors, nurses, and technicians have high awareness about presence of infection control program and training in the studied hospital (62.2%), (100%), (80%) respectively, while low awareness between security, registration officers and housekeepers more than(50%) did not know about the presence of infection control program and training in the studied hospital. In this respect, infection control professionals' role in disaster preparedness and response is essential, even in non-infectious disease emergencies. Infection control issues. such as overcrowding, food-borne illness, inadequate environmental procedures, difficulty assessing cleaning disease outbreaks, inability to isolate potentially contagious patients, and too few hand hygiene supplies could contribute to secondary disease transmission (Rebmann et al., 2008). Other important topics on which infection control professionals need to train include surge capacity, and employees' health and safety.

Infection control must continue to partner with public health and other responding agencies to address gaps in

disaster planning.

Furthermore, findings that are more recent indicated that United States hospitals have made little progress in infectious disease emergency planning since 2005. These authors' study indicated that many of these American hospitals have infection control representation on their disaster planning committee, access to infection control consultation, interim negative-pressure room surge capacity plans, participation in a bioterrorism exercise, and inclusion of health care workers in prioritization plans for vaccinations and medication during a pandemic (Rebmann et al., 2008).

Regarding command and control no disaster management control center. Regarding of the observation of the hospital there was a 24-hour lab, 24-hour X-ray, and no mobile X-ray units in the emergency department, No ambulance preparedness, and no documentation for disasters. Conversely, for chemical and radiation accidents, the hospital cannot deal with them.

The findings are in partial agreement with disaster preparedness and surge capacity is limited in hospitals in Los Angeles County (Kaji, 2006). These included failure to communicate updated plan changes to hospital staff, few opportunities for cross training staff, no plan for work quarantine, and failure to develop plans to designate staff limited to treat infected patients during a pandemic. The authors also added that many hospitals lacked surge capacity measures, including laboratory, long-term negative-pressure rooms, staff, and medical supplies surge capacity.

In order to develop a disaster preparedness plan, According to preparedness assessments, should include elements of disaster planning; emergency coordination; communication; training; expansion of hospital surge capacity; personnel; availability of equipment; and expansion of laboratory capacities (Adini, 2006).

CONCLUSION

There is a major deficiency in the awareness of hospital staff members regarding the emergency response plan of Suez Canal University Hospital and the meaning of disaster. The study recommends that hospital administrations should give more attention to the problem of disasters, disaster plan. More emphasis should be paid for training programs for all categories of hospital staff regarding disaster management, and regular drills. Training programs for infection control and increasing of the surge capacity of the hospital.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank medical and non medical staff in Suez Canal University Hospital who participated in this research for their cooperation.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

REFERENCES

- Adini B, Goldberg A, Laor D, Cohen R, Zadok R, Bar-and Dayan Y (2006). Assessing levels of hospital emergency preparedness. Prehosp Disaster Med., 21(6):451-7.
- Auf der Heide E (1989). Disaster Response: Principles of Preparation and Coordination. St. Louis, MO: CV Mosby (pp, 34–35).
- Baker MS (2007). Creating Order from Chaos: Part II: Tactical Planning for Mass Casualty and Disaster Response at Definitive Care Facilities. Military Medicine., 172, 237-243.
- Brain S (2011). Hospital emergency response checklist. 10-25. At WWW. euro.who-int/pubrequest.
- Bridges D, Davidson R, Odegard P,Maki I, Tomkowiak J. (2011). Interprofessional collaboration: three best practice models of interprofessional education. Med Educ Online. v6.
- Chockshi NK, Behar S, Nager AL, Dorey F, Upperman JS (2008). Disaster management among pediatric surgeons: preparedness, training, and involvement. American journal of disaster medicine 3(1):5-14.
- Gretenkort P, Harke H, Blazejak J, Pache B, Leledakis G (2002). Interface between hospital and fire authorities--a concept for management of incidents in hospitals. Prehosp Disaster Med., 17(1):42-7.
- Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (2006). Comprehensive Accreditation Manual for Hospitals: The Official Handbook.OakbrookTerrace,IL:JointCommissionResources;EC-4-20.
- Kaji AH, Lewis RJp (2006). Hospital disaster preparedness in Los Angeles County. Acad Emerg Med., 13:1198-1203.
- Kaji AH, Langford V, Lewis RJ (2008). Assessing Hospital Disaster Preparedness: A Comparison of an On-Site Survey, Directly Observed Drill Performance, and Video Analysis of Teamwork. Ann Emerg Med., 52:195-201.
- Keine Š, Phyne LA (2001). The emergency nurse and disaster medical assistance teams. J. E. Nurse., 76(5): 16-25.
- Kowalski M, Bunker C (2003). Textbook of basic nursing, 8th ed., New York, London: Lippincott William and Wilkins (pp. 461-464).
- Michael S (2003). Relation between hospital design and hospital infection. First international conference on clinical engineering: Safety in hospital environment, February 17th-19th Cairo, Egypt (pp. 35-47).
- Milesten A (2000). Hospital response to acute onset disasters: A review. Pre Hospital and Disaster Medicine., 15(1): 32-45.
- O'Sullivan TL, Dow D, Turner MC, Lemyre L, Corneil W, Krewski D, Phillips KP, Amaratunga CA (2008). Disaster and emergency management: Canadian nurses' perceptions of preparedness on hospital front lines. Prehosp Disaster Med., 23(3):s11-8.
- Rebmann T, Wilson R, LaPointe S, Russell B, Moroz D (2008). Hospital infectious disease emergency preparedness: Survey of infection control professionals. AM J Infect Control., (37)271-81.
- Russ M (2005). A typology of knowledge management strategies for hospital preparedness: what lessons can be learned ? International Journal of Emergency Management 2(4).
- Stanhope M, Lancaster J (2008). Publish health nursing, population centered health care in the community. 7th ed., Canada: Mosby Elsevier (pp. 545-455).
- Sternberg E (2003). Planning for resilience in hospital internal disaster. Prehosp Disast Med., 18(4):291–300.

How to cite this article: Hegazy I, Taha M, Abou Zeid A, El-Taher E, Hassan N (2016). Assessing the Awareness Regarding Disaster Management Plan among an Interprofessional Team in a University Hospital. Int. Inv. J. Med. Med. Sci. Vol. 3(2): 32-39