Former BULLETIN OF THE SOCIETY OF MATHEMATICIANS BANJA LUKA ISSN 0354-5792 (o), ISSN 1986-521X (p)

A GENERAL FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR PAIRS OF MAPPINGS IN ORBITALLY 0 - COMPLETE PARTIAL METRIC SPACES

Valeriu Popa and Alina-Mihaela Patriciu

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to prove a general fixed point theorem for two pairs of mappings satisfying implicit relations in orbitally 0 - complete partial metric space, which include also a result of Hardy - Rogers type.

1. Introduction

In 1974, Ćirić [7] has first introduced orbitally complete metric spaces and orbitally continuous function. Let f be a self mapping of a metric spaces (X, d). If $x_0 \in X$, every Cauchy sequence of the orbit $O_{x_0}(f) = \{x_0, fx_0, f^2x_0, ...\}$ is convergent to a point $y \in X$, then X is said to be orbitally complete in x_0 . If f is orbitally complete at each $x \in X$, then X is said to be f - orbitally complete. Every complete metric space is f - orbitally complete for every function f. An orbitally complete metric space may not be a complete metric space ([21], Example 4.5).

Some fixed point results for mappings in orbitally complete metric spaces are obtained in [2], [8], [15], [16] and in other papers.

In 1994, Matthews [13] introduced the concept of partial metric space as a part of the study of denotional semantics of dataflow networks and proved the Banach contraction principle in such spaces. Recently, in [1], [4], [5], [11], [12] and in other papers, some fixed point theorems under various contractive conditions are proved.

Romaguera [20] introduced the notion of 0 - Cauchy sequence, 0 - complete partial metric space and proved some characterizations of partial metric spaces in terms of completeness and 0 - completeness.

Some fixed point theorems for mappings in 0 - complete partial metric spaces are proved in [3], [14], [22].

Key words and phrases. fixed point, 0 - complete partial metric space, implicit relation.

85

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54H25; Secondary 47H10.

Several classical fixed point theorems and common fixed point theorems have been unified considering a general condition by an implicit relation in [16], [17].

Some fixed point results for mappings satisfying implicit relations in partial metric spaces are obtained in [9], [10], [22] and [6].

The purpose of this paper is to prove a general fixed point theorem for two pairs of mappings satisfying implicit relations in 0 - complete partial metric spaces, which include also a result of Hardy - Rogers type.

2. Preliminaries

DEFINITION 2.1 ([13]). Let X be a nonempty set. A function $p: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is said to be a partial metric on X if for any $x, y, z \in X$, the following conditions hold:

 $\begin{array}{l} (P_1): p(x,x) = p(y,y) = p(x,y) \text{ if and only if } x = y, \\ (P_2): p(x,x) \leqslant p(x,y), \\ (P_3): p(x,y) = p(y,x), \\ (P_4): p(x,z) \leqslant p(x,y) + p(y,z) - p(y,y). \\ \text{The pair } (X,p) \text{ is called a partial metric space.} \end{array}$

If p(x,y) = 0 then by (P_1) and (P_2) , x = y, but the converse does not always hold.

Each partial metric p on X generates a T_0 - topology τ_p which has as base the family of open p - balls $\{B_p(x,\varepsilon) : x \in X, \varepsilon > 0\}$, where $B_p(x,\varepsilon) = \{y \in X : p(x,y) \leq p(x,x) + \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in X \text{ and } \varepsilon > 0\}$.

If p is a p - metric on X, then the function $d_p(x, y) = 2p(x, y) - p(x, x) - p(y, y)$ is a metric on X.

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a partial metric space (X, p) converges to a point $x \in X$ $(x_n \to x)$ with respect to τ_p if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty} p(x_n, x) = p(x, x)$.

LEMMA 2.1 ([1], [12]). Let (X, p) be a partial metric space and $\{x_n\}$ a sequence in X such that $x_n \to z$ as $n \to \infty$, where p(z, z) = 0. Then, $\lim_{n\to\infty} p(x_n, y) = p(z, y)$ for every $y \in X$.

DEFINITION 2.2 ([13], [19]). a) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a partial metric space (X, p) is called Cauchy if $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} p(x_n, x_m)$ exists and is finite.

b) (X, p) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges with respect to τ_p to a point $x \in X$.

c) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, p) is called 0 - Cauchy if $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} p(x_n, x_m) = 0$.

d) (X, p) is called 0 - complete if every 0 - Cauchy sequence in X converges with respect to τ_p to a point x such that p(x, x) = 0.

LEMMA 2.2 ([13], [19], [20]). Let (X, p) be a partial metric space and $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X.

a) $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, p) if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence in metric space (X, d_p) . b) (X,p) is complete if and only if (X, d_p) is complete. Furthermore, $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_p(x_n, x) = 0$ if and only if

$$p(x,x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} p(x,x_n) = \lim_{n,m \to \infty} p(x_n,x_m).$$

- c) Every 0 Cauchy sequence in (X, p) is Cauchy in (X, d_p) .
- d) If (X, p) os complete, then is 0 complete.

DEFINITION 2.3 ([14]). Let S and T be two self mappings on a partial metric space (X, p).

1) If for a point $x \in X$, a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_{2n+1} &= S x_{2n}, \\ z_{2n+2} &= T x_{2n+1}, \ n = 0, 1, 2, ... \end{aligned}$$

then the set $O_{x_0}(S,T) = \{x_n : n = 0, 1, 2, ...\}$ is called the orbit of (S,T) in x_0 .

2) The space (X, p) is said to be (S, T) - orbitally 0 - complete at x_0 if every 0 - Cauchy sequence in $O_{x_0}(S, T)$ converges to a point $z \in X$ such that p(z, z) = 0.

3. Implicit relations

DEFINITION 3.1. Let \mathcal{F}_{R0} be the set of all continuous functions $F(t_1, ..., t_6)$: $\mathbb{R}^6_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying:

 (F_1) : F is nonincreasing in variables t_5 and t_6 ,

 (F_2) : (F_{2a}) : There exists $h_1 \in [0, 1)$ such that for all $u, v \ge 0$ and $F(u, v, v, u, u + v, v) \le 0$ implies $u \le h_1 v$;

 (F_{2b}) : There exists $h_2 \in [0,1)$ such that for all $u, v \ge 0$ and

 $F(u, v, u, v, v, u + v) \leq 0 \text{ implies } u \leq h_2 v,$ $(F_3) : F(t, t, 0, 0, t, t) > 0, \ \forall t > 0.$

In the following examples the property (F_1) is obviously.

EXAMPLE 3.1. $F(t_1, ..., t_6) = t_1 - at_2 - bt_3 - ct_4 - dt_5 - et_6$, where $a, b, c, d, e \ge 0$ and a + b + c + 2d + 2e < 1.

 $(F_2): \text{Let } u, v \ge 0 \text{ be such that } F(u, v, v, u, u + v, v) = u - av - bv - cu - d(u + v) - ev \le 0. \text{ Then } u \le h_1 v, \text{ where } 0 \le h_1 = \frac{a + b + d + e}{1 - (c + d)} < 1.$

Similarly, $u, v \ge 0$ and $F(u, v, u, v, v, u + v) \le 0$ implies $u \le h_2 v$, where $0 \le h_2 = \frac{a+c+d+e}{b} < 1$.

$$c[1 - (b + e)] (F_3): F(t, t, 0, 0, t, t) = t[1 - (a + b + d + e)] > 0, \ \forall t > 0.$$

EXAMPLE 3.2. $F(t_1, ..., t_6) = t_1 - k \max\{t_2, t_3, t_4, ..., t_6\}$, where $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$. (F_2) : Let $u, v \ge 0$ be such that $F(u, v, v, u, u + v, v) = u - k(u + v) \le 0$ which implies $u \le h_1 v$, where $0 \le h_1 = \frac{k}{1-k} < 1$.

Similarly, $u, v \ge 0$ and $F(u, v, u, v, v, u + v) \le 0$ implies $u \le h_2 v$, where $0 \le h_2 = h_1 < 1$.

$$(F_3): F(t,t,0,0,t,t) = t(1-k) > 0, \ \forall t > 0.$$

EXAMPLE 3.3. $F(t_1, ..., t_6) = t_1 - \max\{ct_2, ct_3, ct_4, at_5 + bt_6\}$, where $c \in (0, 1), a, b \ge 0$ and 2a + 2b < 1.

 (F_2) : Let $u, v \ge 0$ be such that $F(u, v, v, u, u + v, v) = u - \max\{cu, cv, a (u + v) + bv\} \le 0$. If u > v, then $u[1 - \max\{c, 2a + b\}] \le 0$, a contradiction. Hence $u \le h_1 v$, where $0 \le h_1 = \max\{c, 2a + b\} < 1$.

Similarly, $u, v \ge 0$ and $F(u, v, u, v, v, u + v) \le 0$ implies $u \le h_2 v$, where $0 \le h_2 = \max\{c, a + 2b\} < 1$.

 $(F_3): F(t,t,0,0,t,t) = t(1 - \max\{c, a+b\}) > 0, \ \forall t > 0.$

EXAMPLE 3.4. $F(t_1, ..., t_6) = t_1^2 - a \max\left\{t_2^2, t_3^2, t_4^2\right\} - bt_5t_6$, where $a, b \ge 0$ and a + 2b < 1.

 (F_2) : Let $u, v \ge 0$ be such that $F(u, v, v, u, u + v, v) = u^2 - a \max\{u^2, v^2\} - bv(u+v) \le 0$. If u > v, then $u^2[1 - (a+2b)] \le 0$, a contradiction. Hence $u \le v$ which implies $u \le h_1 v$, where $0 \le h_1 = \sqrt{a+2b} < 1$.

Similarly, $u, v \ge 0$ and $F(u, v, u, v, v, u + v) \le 0$ implies $u \le h_2 v$, where $0 \le h_2 = h_1 < 1$.

 $(F_3): F(t,t,0,0,t,t) = t^2[1-(a+b)] > 0, \ \forall t > 0.$

EXAMPLE 3.5. $F(t_1, ..., t_6) = t_1^3 - at_2t_3t_4 - bt_3t_4t_5 - ct_4t_5t_6$, where $a, b, c \ge 0$ and a + 2b + 2c < 1.

 (F_2) : Let $u, v \ge 0$ be such that $F(u, v, v, u, u + v, v) = u^3 - auv^2 - buv(u + v) - cuv(u + v) \le 0$. If u > v, then $u^3[1 - (a + 2b + 2c)] \le 0$, a contradiction. Hence $u \le v$ which implies $u \le h_1 v$, where $0 \le h_1 = \sqrt[3]{a + 2b + 2c} < 1$.

Similarly, $u, v \ge 0$ and $F(u, v, u, v, v, u + v) \le 0$ implies $u \le h_2 v$, where $0 \le h_2 = h_1 < 1$.

 $(F_3): F(t,t,0,0,t,t) = t^3 > 0, \ \forall t > 0.$

EXAMPLE 3.6. $F(t_1, ..., t_6) = t_1^2 + \frac{t_1}{t_5 + t_6} - (at_2^2 + bt_3^2 + ct_4^2)$, where $a, b, c \ge 0$ and a + b + c < 1.

 $(F_2): \text{Let } u, v \ge 0 \text{ be such that } F(u, v, v, u, u + v, v) = u^2 + \frac{u}{u + 2v} - \frac{u}{u + 2v} = 0$

 $(av^2 + bv^2 + cu^2) \leq 0$, which implies $u^2 - (av^2 + bv^2 + cu^2) \leq 0$. If u > v, then $u^2[1 - (a + b + c)] \leq 0$, a contradiction. Hence $u \leq v$ which implies $u \leq h_1 v$, where $0 \leq h_1 = \sqrt{a + b + c} < 1$.

Similarly, $u, v \ge 0$ and $F(u, v, u, v, v, u + v) \le 0$ implies $u \le h_2 v$, where $0 \le h_2 = h_1 < 1$.

$$(F_3): F(t,t,0,0,t,t) = t^2 + \frac{1}{2} - at^2 = t^2(1-a) + \frac{1}{2} > 0, \ \forall t > 0.$$

4. Main results

THEOREM 4.1. Let (X, p) be a partial metric space and $T, S : X \to X$ be two mappings satisfying inequality

(4.1)
$$F(p(Tx, Sy), p(x, y), p(x, Tx), p(y, Sy), p(x, Sy), p(y, Tx)) \leq 0,$$

for all $x, y \in \overline{O_{x_0}(S,T)}$ for some $x_0 \in X$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}_{R0}$. If (X,p) is (S,T) orbitally 0 - complete at x_0 , then T and S have a common fixed point z such that p(z,z) = p(z,Tz) = p(z,Sz) = 0. If moreover, each common fixed point z of S and T in $O_{x_0}(S,T)$ satisfies p(z,z) = 0, then the common fixed point of S and T in $O_{x_0}(S,T)$ is unique.

PROOF. First we prove that if z = Sz and p(z, z) = 0, then z is a common fixed point of S and T.

By (4.1) we obtain

$$F(p(Tz, Sz), p(z, z), p(z, Tz), p(z, Sz), p(z, Sz), p(z, Tz)) \leq 0,$$

$$F(p(Tz, z), 0, p(z, Tz), 0, 0, p(z, Tz)) \leq 0.$$

By (F_{2a}) we obtain p(z,Tz) = 0 which implies z = Tz and z is a common fixed point of S and T.

We define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X as follows

(4.2)
$$x_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n}$$
 and $x_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+1}$, for $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$

If there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p(x_{n_0}, Sx_{n_0}) = 0$ or $p(x_{n_0}, Tx_{n_0}) = 0$ for $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, then S and T have a common fixed point. We suppose that $p(x_n, x_{n+1}) \neq 0$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By (4.1) and (4.2) for $x = x_{2n+1}$ and $y = x_{2n}$ we obtain

$$F(p(Tx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n}), p(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}), p(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), p(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), p(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n}), p(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})) \leq 0,$$

(4.3)
$$F(p(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}), p(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}), p(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), p(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), p(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}), p(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})) \leq 0.$$

By (P_2) ,

$$p(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) \leq p(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n})$$

and by (P_4)

$$p(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) \leq p(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + p(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n})$$

By (F_1) and (4.3) we obtain

$$F(p(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}), p(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}), p(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), p(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), p(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), p(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})) \leq 0.$$

By (F_{2b}) we obtain

$$p(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}) \leq hp(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n})$$
, where $h = \max\{h_1, h_2\}$.

By (4.1) and (4.2) for $x = x_{2n-1}$ and $y = x_{2n}$, for n = 1, 2, ... we obtain

$$F(p(Tx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n}), p(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}), p(x_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}), p(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), p(x_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n}), p(x_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1})) \leq 0,$$

(4.4)
$$F(p(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), p(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}), p(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}), p(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}), p(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1}), p(x_{2n}, x_{2n})) \leq 0$$

By (P_2)

$$p(x_{2n}, x_{2n}) \leq p(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})$$

and by (P_4)

$$p(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1}) \leq p(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + p(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$$

By (F_1) and (4.4) we obtain

 $F(p(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), p(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}), p(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}), p(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}), p(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), p(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + p(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), p(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})) \leq 0.$

By (F_{2a}) we obtain

$$p(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq hp(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})$$

Hence

(4.5)

$$p(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leqslant hp(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leqslant \dots \leqslant h^n p(x_0, x_1).$$

Then for each $m > n \in \mathbb{N}$, by (4.5) and (P_4) we have

$$p(x_n, x_{n+m}) \leq p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + p(x_{m-1}, x_m)$$

$$\leq h^n (1 + h + \dots + h^{m-1}) p(x_0, x_1)$$

$$\leq \frac{h^n}{1 - h} p(x_0, x_1).$$

Thus $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} p(x_n, x_m) = 0$. This implies that $\{x_n\}$ is a 0 - Cauchy sequence in the partial metric space $O_{x_0}(S,T)$. Since X is (S,T) - orbitally 0 - complete at x_0 , then there exists $z \in X$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = z$ and p(z,z) = 0.

We prove that z is a fixed point for S.

By (4.1) for $x = x_{2n+1}$ and y = z we obtain

$$F(p(Tx_{2n+1}, Sz), p(x_{2n+1}, z), p(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), p(z, Sz), p(x_{2n+1}, Sz), p(z, Tx_{2n+1})) \leq 0,$$

$$F(p(x_{2n+2}, Sz), p(x_{2n+1}, z), p(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), p(z, Sz), p(x_{2n+1}, Sz), p(z, x_{2n+2})) \leq 0.$$

Letting n tends to infinity, by Lemma 2.1 and (4.5) we obtain

$$F(p(z, Sz), 0, 0, p(z, Sz), p(z, Sz), 0) \leq 0.$$

By (F_{2a}) we obtain p(z, Sz) = 0 which implies z = Sz. By the first part of the proof we have z = Tz and z is a common fixed point of S and T.

Now suppose that each common fixed point z of T and S in $O_{x_0}(S,T)$ satisfy p(z,z) = 0. We claim that S and T have a unique common fixed point. Assume that p(u, Su) = p(u, Tu) = 0 and p(v, Tv) = p(Sv, v) = 0 but $u \neq v$. Then, by (4.1) for x = u and y = v we have

$$F(p(Tu, Sv), p(u, v), p(u, Tu), p(v, Sv), p(u, Sv), p(v, Tu)) \leq 0, F(p(u, v), p(u, v), 0, 0, p(u, v), p(u, v)) \leq 0,$$

a contradiction of (F_3) . Hence, u = v.

REMARK 4.1. By Theorem 4.1 and Example 3.1 we obtain a fixed point theorem of Hardy - Rogers type.

If S = T by Theorem 4.1 we obtain

90

THEOREM 4.2. Let (X, p) be a partial metric space such that X is T - orbitally 0 - complete at some $x_0 \in X$ and

$$F(p(Tx, Ty), p(x, y), p(x, Tx), p(y, Ty), p(x, Ty), p(y, Tx)) \leq 0,$$

for all $x, y \in \overline{O_{x_0}(T)}$ and F satisfies properties $(F_1), (F_{2a})$ and (F_3) . Then T has a fixed point. If moreover, each fixed point $z \in X$ in $\overline{O_{x_0}(T)}$ satisfies p(z, z) = 0, then the fixed point is unique.

EXAMPLE 4.1. Let X = [0,1] be and $p(x,y) = max\{x,y\}$. Then (X,p) is a partial metric space. Consider the following mappings: $S(x) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot x$ and $T(x) = \frac{1}{5} \cdot x$. If $x_0 = 1$ then $O_1(S,T) = \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^k \cdot \left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^m : k, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ and $\overline{O_1(S,T)} \subset O_1(S,T) \cup \{0\}$. 1) If x > y, then $p(Sx,Ty) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot x$ and p(x,y) = x. Hence $p(Sx,Ty) \leq k_1 \cdot p(x,y)$, for $k_1 \in \left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, which implies

$$p(x,y) \leq k_1 \max\{p(x,y), p(Sx,x), p(Ty,y) \cdot p(x,Ty), p(y,Ax)\}, \text{ for } k_1 \in \left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

2) If $\frac{3}{5} \cdot y < x < y$ then $p(Sx, Ty) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot x$ and p(x, Sx) = x. Hence $p(Sx, Ty) \leq k_1 p(x, Sx)$, which implies

$$p(Sx,Ty) \leq k_1 max \{ p(x,y), p(x,Sx), p(y,Ty), p(x,Ty)p(y,Sx) \}, \text{ for } k_1 \in \left\lfloor \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

3) If $x \leq \frac{3}{5} \cdot y$ then $p(Sx,Ty) = \frac{1}{5} \cdot y$ and p(y,Ty) = y. Hence $p(Sx,Ty) \leq k_2 \cdot p(y,Ty)$, for $k_2 \in [\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2})$, which implies

$$p(Sx,Ty) \leqslant k_2 \max\{p(x,y), p(x,Sx) \cdot p(y,Ty), p(x,Ty), p(y,Sx)\}$$

Hence

$$p(Sx,Ty) \leqslant kmax \left\{ p(x,y), p(x,Sx), p(y,Ty), p(x,Ty), p(y,Sx) \right\}$$

where $k \in \left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.

By Example 3.1 and Theorem 4.1, S and T have a unique common fixed point z = 0 and p(z, z) = 0.

References

- T. Abdeljawad, E. Karapinar and K. Tas. Existence and uniqueness of a common fixed point on a partial metric space. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 24(11)(2011), 1900–1904.
- [2] J. Achari. Ćirić's non unique fixed point. Mat. Vesnik, 13(28)(1976), 255–257.
- [3] A. E. B. Ahmad, Z. M. Fadail, V. C. Rajić and S. Radenović: Nonlinear contractions in 0 complete partial metric spaces, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, Vol. 2012(2012), Article ID 451239.
- [4] I. Altun and H. Simsek. Some fixed point theorems on dualistic metric spaces. J. Adv. Math. Stud., 1(2008), 1–8.
- [5] I. Altun, F. Sola and H. Simsek. Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces. *Topology* Appl., 157(18)(2010), 2778–2785.

- [6] H. Aydi, M. Jellali and E. Karapinar. Common fixed points for generalized α implicit contractions in partial metric spaces: consequences and application. Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Fsicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemticas, **109**(2)(2015), 367–384.
- [7] L. Ćirić. On some maps with nonunique fixed points. Publ. Inst. Math. New Ser., 17(31)(1974), 52–58.
- [8] L. Ćirić and N. Jotić. A further extension of maps with nonunique fixed points. Mat. Vesnik, 50(1-2)(1998), 1–4.
- [9] S. Gülyaz and E. Karapinar. Coupled fixed point results in partial ordered metric spaces through implicit functions. *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.*, 42(4)(2013), 347–357.
- [10] S. Gülyaz, E. Karapinar and S. Yüce. A coupled coincidence point theorem in partially ordered metric spaces with an implicit relation. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2013, 2013:38.
- [11] Z. Kadelburg, H. K. Nashine and S. Radenović. Fixed point results under various contractive conditions in partial metric spaces. *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Fsicas* y Naturales. Serie A. Matemticas, **107**(2)(2013), 241–256.
- [12] E. Karapinar and I. M. Erhan. Fixed point theorems for operators on partial metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 24(11)(2011), 1894–1899.
- [13] S. G. Matthews. Partial metric topology. In S. Andima et.al. (Eds.) Proc. 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications, Ann. New York Acad. Sci., 728(1994), 183–197.
- [14] H. K. Nashine, W. Sintunavarat, Z. Kadelburg and P. Kumam. Fixed point theorems in orbitally 0 - complete partial metric spaces via rational contractive conditions. *Afr. Math.* DOI 10110007/s13370-014-2097.
- [15] H. K. Pathak. Some nonunique fixed point theorems for a new class of mappings, Ranchi Univ. Math. J., 17(1986), 65–70.
- [16] H. K. Pathak. On some nonunique fixed point theorems for the maps of Dhage type. Pure Appl. Math. Sci., 27(1988), 41–47.
- [17] V. Popa. Fixed point theorems for implicit contractive mappings, Stud. Cercet. Ştiinţ., Ser. Mat., Univ. Bacău, 7(1997), 129–133.
- [18] V. Popa. Some fixed point theorems for compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation, Demonstr. Math., 32(1)(1999), 159–163.
- [19] S. Romaguera. A Kirk type characterization of completeness for partial metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2010(2010), Article ID 493298.
- [20] S. Romaguera. Matkowski's type theorems for generalized contractions on (ordered) partial metric spaces. Appl. Gen. Topol., 12(2)(2011), 213–220.
- [21] D. Türkoğlu, O. Özer and B. Fisher. Fixed point theorems for T orbitally complete metric spaces. Stud. Cercet. Stiint., Ser. Mat., Univ. Bacău, 9(1999), 211–218.
- [22] C. Vetro and F. Vetro. Common fixed points of mappings satisfying implicit relations in partial metric spaces. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 6(2013), 156–161.

Received by editors 04.08.2016; Revised version 21.09.2016; Available online 03.10.2016.

"VASILE ALECSANDRI" UNIVERSITY OF BACĂU, BACĂU, ROMANIA *E-mail address*: vpopa@ub.ro

Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Faculty of Sciences and Environment, "Dunărea de Jos" University of Galați, Galați, Romania

E-mail address: Alina.Patriciu@ugal.ro

92