

SIGN DOMINATING SWITCHED INVARIANTS OF A GRAPH

B. Chaluvvaraju and V. Chaitra

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we newly constructed the sign dominating outer (inner) switched graph $\mu_d^o(G)$ ($\mu_d^i(G)$) of a graph $G = (V, E)$ and establish their properties. Also we determine number of edges and its relation between $\mu_d^o(G)$ and $\mu_d^i(G)$ in some special classes of graphs are explored.

1. INTRODUCTION

All the graphs considered in this paper are finite, nontrivial, simple and undirected. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph with vertex set $V(G) = V$ of order $|V| = n$, edge set $E(G) = E$ of size $|E| = m$ and let v be a vertex of V . The *open neighborhood* of v is $N(v) = \{u \in V / uv \in E(G)\}$ and *closed neighborhood* of v is $N[v] = \{v\} \cup N(v)$. The graph G^c is called *complement* of a graph G , if G and G^c have the same vertex set and two vertices are adjacent in G if and only if they are not adjacent in G^c . A subset S of V is called *vertex independent set* if no two vertices in S are adjacent in G . A *clique* in a graph is an induced complete subgraph. The maximum order of a clique in the graph G is called the clique number of G , denoted by $\omega(G)$. A collection of independent edges of a graph G is called a matching of G . If there is a matching consists of all vertices of G it is called a *perfect matching*. For standard terminology and notation in graph theory, we refer [6].

A sign dominating function of a graph G is a function $f : V \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ such that $f(N[v]) \geq 1$ for all $v \in V$. The *sign domination number* of a graph G is $\gamma_s(G) = \min\{w(f) : f \text{ is sign dominating function}\}$. The concept of sign domination was initiated by Dunbar et al. [5]. For complete review on theory of domination and its related parameters, we refer [7], [8] and [13].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C69, 05C70.

Key words and phrases. Domination, sign domination, k -complement, $k(i)$ -complement.
Communicated by ...

In a graph G , let $P = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k\}$ be a partition of V of order $k \geq 1$. The k -complement of a graph G denoted as G_k^P is defined as follows: for all V_i and V_j in $P, i \neq j$ remove the edges between V_i and V_j and add the edges which are not in G . The $k(i)$ -complement of a graph G denoted as $G_{k(i)}^P$ is defined as: for each set V_r in the partition P , remove the edges of G inside V_r and add the missing edges between them. The concept of generalized complement of a graph was studied by Sampathkumar et al. [9] and [10]. Analogously, the concept of 2-complement and 2(i)-complement of a graph is also known as switched graph, where switching of G assigns +1 or -1 to each vertex of a graph G . This type of switched graph was introduced by Van-Lint et al. [12].

Further, let V_1 and V_{-1} be set of vertices assigned 1 and -1 in G respectively. We denote $\rho^+(G) = \rho^+ = |V_1|$ and $\rho^-(G) = \rho^- = |V_{-1}|$. For more details on Generalized complements (switched invariants) and its related concept, we refer [1], [3], [4], [5] and [11].

2. Sign dominating outer switched graph

The sign dominating outer switched graph of G denoted as $\mu_d^o(G)$ is defined as: for V_1 and V_{-1} of G remove the edges between V_1 and V_{-1} and add the edges which are not there in G .

THEOREM 2.1. *Let G be a nontrivial graph. Then*

- (i) $\mu_d^o(G)$ is a totally disconnected graph if and only if G is totally disconnected graph.
- (ii) V_1 is independent set if and only if $\mu_d^o(G)$ is totally disconnected graph.

PROOF. (i) Let G be totally disconnected graph. Every vertex of G belongs to V_1 . In construction of $\mu_d^o(G)$, no new edge is added or any edge is deleted. Hence $G \cong \mu_d^o(G)$. Conversely, if $\mu_d^o(G)$ is totally disconnected graph, then in G no two vertices of V_1 (or V_{-1}) are connected. Also in G , vertices of V_1 and V_{-1} cannot be connected as a vertex v of V_1 which is adjacent to a vertex of V_{-1} should be adjacent to at least one vertex of V_1 such that $f(N[v]) \geq 1$. Hence G is totally disconnected graph.

(ii) If $\mu_d^o(G)$ is totally disconnected graph, then it is obvious that V_1 is independent set as every vertex of $\mu_d^o(G)$ is assigned 1. Conversely, suppose V_1 is independent set. To prove $\mu_d^o(G)$ is totally disconnected, we shall prove G is totally disconnected. Suppose G is not totally disconnected and a vertex $v \in V_1$ be adjacent to a vertex of V_{-1} . But this vertex v should be adjacent to another vertex in V_1 as $f(N[v]) \geq 1$ which is a contradiction to V_1 being independent. Hence G is totally disconnected. \square

THEOREM 2.2. *Let G be a nontrivial graph. Then, there is no perfect matching between vertices of V_1 and V_{-1} .*

PROOF. In a graph G , a vertex assigned -1 is adjacent to at least two vertices assigned 1, there cannot be a perfect matching between V_1 and V_{-1} . Thus the required result follows. \square

THEOREM 2.3. For any nontrivial graph G ,

$$(\mu_d^o(G))^c \cong \mu_d^o(G^c).$$

PROOF. Let u and v be two non adjacent vertices of G . Then they are adjacent in G^c . We prove the result in following cases:

Case 1. If u and v belongs to same set V_1 or V_{-1} , then they are non adjacent in $\mu_d^o(G)$, implies they are adjacent in $(\mu_d^o(G))^c$. Also they are adjacent in $\mu_d^o(G^c)$.

Case 2. If u and v belongs to different sets, then they are adjacent in $\mu_d^o(G)$, implies they non adjacent in $(\mu_d^o(G))^c$. Also they are non adjacent in $\mu_d^o(G^c)$.

From above two cases, the required result follows. \square

THEOREM 2.4. Let $G = K_{p,q}$ be a complete bipartite graph with bipartition P_1 and P_2 such that $|P_1| = p$ and $|P_2| = q$ with $p \leq q$. If $\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor = r$, then

$$m(\mu_d^o(G)) = (q - r)(p + r).$$

PROOF. Let $G = K_{p,q}$. Since $p \leq q$, degree of every vertex of P_1 is greater than or equal to degree of every vertex of P_2 . Let every vertex of P_1 be assigned 1. Since a vertex assigned -1 should be adjacent to at least two vertices assigned 1, number of vertices assigned -1 in P_2 should be $\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor = r$. p vertices of P_1 and $(q - r)$ vertices of P_2 which are assigned 1 forms an induced bipartite graph. Now in $\mu_d^o(G)$, $(q - r)$ vertices assigned 1 and r vertices assigned -1 are adjacent. These $(q - r)$ vertices are adjacent to p vertices in $\mu_d^o(G)$. Hence $\mu_d^o(G)$ is complete bipartite graph K_{r_1, r_2} , where $|r_1| = q - r$ and $|r_2| = r + p$. \square

To prove our next result we make use of the following result due to Bohdan Zelinka [2].

THEOREM 2.5. Let $G = K_{p,q}$ be a complete bipartite graph with bipartition P_1 and P_2 such that $|P_1| = p$ and $|P_2| = q$, with $p \leq q$. Then

$$(i) \text{ for } p = 1, \gamma_s(G) = q + 1.$$

$$(ii) \text{ for } 2 \leq p \leq 3, \gamma_s(G) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } q \text{ is even,} \\ p + 1 & \text{if } q \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

$$(iii) \text{ for } p \geq 4, \gamma_s(G) = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if both } p \text{ and } q \text{ are even,} \\ 6 & \text{if both } p \text{ and } q \text{ are odd,} \\ 5 & \text{if one out of } p \text{ or } q \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 2.6. Let $G = K_{p,q}$ be a complete graph with $p \leq q$. If $\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor = r$, $r_1 = q - r$ and $r_2 = p + r$, then

$$(i) \text{ for } r_2 = 1, \gamma_s(\mu_d^o(G)) = r_1 + 1.$$

$$(ii) \text{ for } 2 \leq r_2 \leq 3, \gamma_s(\mu_d^o(G)) = \begin{cases} r_2 & \text{if } r_1 \text{ is even,} \\ r_2 + 1 & \text{if } r_1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

$$(iii) \text{ for } r_2 \geq 4, \gamma_s(\mu_d^o(G)) = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if both } r_1 \text{ and } r_2 \text{ are even,} \\ 6 & \text{if both } r_1 \text{ and } r_2 \text{ are odd,} \\ 5 & \text{if one out of } r_1 \text{ or } r_2 \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. From Theorem 2.4, if G is complete bipartite graph, then $\mu_d^o(G)$ is also complete bipartite graph isomorphic to K_{r_1, r_2} , where $r_1 = q - r$ and $r_2 = p + r$. From Theorem 2.5, the desired results follows. \square

THEOREM 2.7. *Let G be a nontrivial graph. If $G \cong K_n$ with $n \geq 3$ vertices, then*

$$(i) \mu_d^o(G) \not\cong K_n.$$

$$(ii) \rho^-(G) = \begin{cases} \frac{n-2}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

$$(iii) \mu_d^o(G) = G_{\rho^+} + G_{\rho^-}, \text{ where } G_{\rho^+} \text{ and } G_{\rho^-} \text{ are clique graphs of } G \text{ with}$$

$$m(\mu_d^o(G)) = \begin{cases} \rho^+(G) = \frac{n+2}{2} \text{ and } \rho^-(G) = \frac{n-2}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \rho^+(G) = \frac{n+1}{2} \text{ and } \rho^-(G) = \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

$$(iv) m(\mu_d^o(G)) = \begin{cases} \frac{n^2 - 2n + 4}{4} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{n^2 - 2n + 1}{4} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

$$(v) \gamma_s(\mu_d^o(G)) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if both } \rho^+(G) \text{ and } \rho^-(G) \text{ are odd,} \\ 4 & \text{if both } \rho^+(G) \text{ and } \rho^-(G) \text{ are even,} \\ 3 & \text{if one is odd and other is even.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. (i) If possible let $\mu_d^o(G) \cong K_n$ with $n \geq 3$ vertices, then we consider following two cases:

Case 1. Let v be a vertex of $V_1(G)$ and w be a vertex of $V_{-1}(G)$. In $\mu_d^o(G)$ adjacency of vertices within V_1 and within V_{-1} are retained as it is in G . Remove edge connecting vertices v and w and connect v to vertices other than w in V_{-1} . Hence in $\mu_d^o(G)$, v is not connected to w which is a contradiction to $\mu_d^o(G)$ being complete graph.

Case 2. Suppose $V = V_1$ or V_{-1} . As $V \neq V_{-1}$ implies $V = V_1$. Hence $G \cong \mu_d^o(G)$. But G is not a complete graph with $V = V_1$ for $n \geq 3$. Hence $\mu_d^o(G) \not\cong K_n$ for $n \geq 3$.

(ii) Let v be a vertex of a graph G . Then consider the following two cases:

Case 3. If n is even, then v is adjacent to odd number of vertices. Out of which $\frac{n-2}{2}$ vertices are assigned 1 and $\frac{n-2}{2}$ vertices are assigned -1 . The remaining $(n-1)^{th}$ vertex cannot be assigned -1 as it makes the weight of every vertex either 0 or -2 depending on v being assigned 1 or -1 . So the $(n-1)^{th}$ vertex is assigned 1 and v is also assigned 1. Hence $\rho^-(G) = \frac{n-2}{2}$.

Case 4. If n is odd, then v is adjacent to even number of vertices. Out of which $\frac{n-1}{2}$ vertices are assigned 1, remaining $\frac{n-1}{2}$ vertices are assigned -1 and v is assigned 1 so as $f(N[v]) \geq 1$ for all $v \in V$. Hence $\rho^-(G) = \frac{n-1}{2}$.

(iii) Any vertex v in a graph G is adjacent to $n-1$ vertices, in $\mu_d^o(G)$ we remove edges between vertices of V_1 and V_{-1} and no new edge is added. Hence $\mu_d^o(G) = G_{\rho^+} + G_{\rho^-}$, where G_{ρ^+} is graph induced by vertices of V_1 and G_{ρ^-} is graph induced by vertices of V_{-1} in G . Also in $\mu_d^o(G)$, each G_{ρ^+} and G_{ρ^-} is complete. From (ii), if n is even, then $\rho^+(G) = \frac{n+2}{2}$ and $\rho^-(G) = \frac{n-2}{2}$. And if n is odd, then $\rho^+(G) = \frac{n+1}{2}$ and $\rho^-(G) = \frac{n-1}{2}$.

(iv) when n is even:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^+(G) &= \frac{n+2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho^-(G) = \frac{n-2}{2}. \\ m(\mu_d^o(G)) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{n+2}{2} \left(\frac{n+2}{2} - 1 \right) + \frac{n-2}{2} \left(\frac{n-2}{2} - 1 \right) \right]. \\ m(\mu_d^o(G)) &= \frac{1}{4} (n^2 - 2n + 4). \end{aligned}$$

when n is odd:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^+(G) &= \frac{n+1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho^-(G) = \frac{n-1}{2}. \\ m(\mu_d^o(G)) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{n+1}{2} \left(\frac{n+1}{2} - 1 \right) + \frac{n-1}{2} \left(\frac{n-1}{2} - 1 \right) \right]. \\ m(\mu_d^o(G)) &= \frac{1}{4} (n^2 - 2n + 1). \end{aligned}$$

(v) Since

$$\gamma_s(K_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Case 5. If both $\rho^+(G)$ and $\rho^-(G)$ are odd, then

$$\gamma_s(\mu_d^o(G)) = \gamma_s(G_{\rho^+}) + \gamma_s(G_{\rho^-}) = 2.$$

Case 6. If both $\rho^+(G)$ and $\rho^-(G)$ are even, then

$$\gamma_s(\mu_d^o(G)) = \gamma_s(G_{\rho^+}) + \gamma_s(G_{\rho^-}) = 4.$$

Case 7. If one of $\rho^+(G)$ or $\rho^-(G)$ is odd and the other is even, then

$$\gamma_s(\mu_d^o(G)) = \gamma_s(G_{\rho^+}) + \gamma_s(G_{\rho^-}) = 3.$$

From all the above cases, the required results follows. \square

THEOREM 2.8. *For a cycle C_n with $n \geq 3$ vertices,*

$$m(\mu_d^o(G)) = -r^2 + r(n - 4) + n,$$

where $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor = r$.

PROOF. Let $G \cong C_n$ with $n \geq 3$ vertices. In a cycle C_n , number of vertices assigned -1 are $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor = r$ and number of vertices assigned 1 are $n - r$.

Construction of $\mu_d^o(G)$ is as follows: In G , since degree of vertex is 2 , no two vertices assigned -1 are adjacent as $f(N[v]) \geq 1$ for every vertex $v \in V$.

Step 1. A vertex assigned -1 is adjacent to exactly two vertices assigned 1 . In $\mu_d^o(G)$, remove two edges for one vertex assigned -1 . Since there are r such vertices, number of edges removed are $2r$ and number of edges remaining in $\mu_d^o(G)$ are $n - 2r$.

Step 2. In $\mu_d^o(G)$ a vertex assigned -1 is adjacent to $n - r - 2$ vertices so we connect these by $n - r - 2$ edges. Repeat this process for all r vertices assigned -1 . Therefore number of edges connecting vertices assigned -1 and vertices assigned 1 in $\mu_d^o(G)$ are $r(n - r - 2)$ edges.

From above two steps, $m(\mu_d^o(G)) = n - 2r + r(n - r - 2) = -r^2 + r(n - 4) + n$ follows. \square

THEOREM 2.9. *For a path P_n with $n \geq 2$ vertices,*

$$m(\mu_d^o(G)) = -r^2 + n(r + 1) - 4r - 1,$$

where $\lfloor \frac{n-4}{3} \rfloor = r$.

PROOF. Let $G \cong P_n$ with $n \geq 2$ vertices, then end vertices and support vertices of a graph G cannot be assigned -1 as $f(N[v]) \geq 1$. Hence such vertices belongs to V_1 . After this assignment number of vertices left for assignment are $n - 4$. In a path, since degree of any vertex other than end vertex is 2 , a vertex assigned -1 should have exactly two neighbours assigned 1 . So for a minimum of 3 vertices, only one vertex as -1 provided $f(N[v]) \geq 1$ for every $v \in V(G)$. Hence $\rho^-(G) = \lfloor \frac{n-4}{3} \rfloor = r$ (say) and $\rho^+(G) = n - r$.

Construction of $\mu_d^o(G)$ is as follows:

Step 1. *Remove the edges between vertices of V_1 and V_{-1} :*

A vertex assigned -1 is adjacent to exactly two vertices assigned 1 , so remove two edges connecting them. This process is repeated for r vertices of V_{-1} . Then total number of edges deleted are $2r$ and edges left in $\mu_d^o(G)$ are $n - 1 - 2r$.

Step 2. *Add edges between vertices of V_{-1} and V_1 which are non adjacent in G :*

A vertex of V_{-1} is adjacent to exactly two vertices of V_1 and no vertex assigned -1 . Hence in $\mu_d^o(G)$ a vertex of V_{-1} is adjacent to $n - r - 2$ vertices of V_1 . This process is repeated for r vertices of V_{-1} . Hence total edges added are $r(n - r - 2)$.

From above two steps, $m(\mu_d^o(G)) = -r^2 + n(r + 1) - 4r - 1$ follows. \square

THEOREM 2.10. *Let G be a nontrivial graph with $m(\mu_d^o(G)) = m(G)$. Then one of the following condition holds:*

- (i) $G \cong \mu_d^o(G)$.
- (ii) $\rho^-(G) = 0$.
- (iii) *For every $v \in V_{-1}$, number of vertices adjacent to v is same as number of vertices of V_1 non adjacent to v .*

PROOF. For a graph G ,

(i) If $G \cong \mu_d^o(G)$, then $m(G) = m(\mu_d^o(G))$.

(ii) If $\rho^-(G) = 0$, then every vertex of G is assigned 1. Hence in $\mu_d^o(G)$ no new edges are added or deleted.

(iii) If any two vertices of V_{-1} (or V_1) are adjacent in G , then they are adjacent in $\mu_d^o(G)$. Now if a vertex v of V_{-1} is adjacent to k vertices of V_1 in G , then in $\mu_d^o(G)$, k edges are removed and if v is non adjacent to k vertices of V_1 , then k edges are added in $\mu_d^o(G)$. This holds for every vertex of V_{-1} . Hence total number of edges added and deleted are same in $\mu_d^o(G)$. Therefore $m(\mu_d^o(G)) = m(G)$. \square

THEOREM 2.11. *For any nontrivial graph G ,*

$$\gamma_s(\mu_d^o(G)) \leq n.$$

Further equality is obtained if every vertex of G is an end vertex or a support vertex.

PROOF. If G is a graph with n vertices, then $\mu_d^o(G)$ is also a graph with n vertices for which $\gamma_s(\mu_d^o(G)) \leq n$ is obvious. If every vertex of G is either an end vertex or support vertex, then these vertices belong to V_1 . The equality follows. \square

3. Sign dominating inner switched graph

The Sign dominating inner switched graph of G denoted as $\mu_d^i(G)$ is defined as: remove the edges of G inside V_1, V_{-1} and add the missing edges joining vertices inside V_1 and V_{-1} .

THEOREM 3.1. *Let G be a nontrivial graph. Then*

- (i) $\mu_d^i(G) \cong K_n$ if $G \cong K_n^c$.
- (ii) $\mu_d^i(G) \not\cong K_n^c$.

PROOF. (i) Let G be totally disconnected graph, then every vertex of G belongs to V_1 . In $\mu_d^i(G)$, every vertex of G is connected to remaining $n - 1$ vertices of G . Hence $\mu_d^i(G)$ is complete graph.

(ii) On the contrary, if $\mu_d^i(G) \cong K_n^c$, then following cases arise

Case 1. In G , there are no edges between vertices of V_1 and between vertices of V_{-1} .

Case 2. In G , $\langle V_1 \rangle$ is complete.

Case 3. In G , $\langle V_{-1} \rangle$ is complete.

Since a vertex of V_{-1} should be adjacent to atleast two vertices of V_1 . Hence, Case 1 and Case 3 are not possible. If $\langle V_1 \rangle$ is complete, then $\gamma_s(G)$ is not minimum, which is a contradiction of our assumption. Thus the results follows. \square

THEOREM 3.2. *For any nontrivial graph G ,*

- (i) $\mu_d^i(G)^c \cong \mu_d^i(G^c)$.
- (ii) $(\mu_d^o(G))^c \cong \mu_d^i(G)$.
- (iii) $m(\mu_d^o(G)) + m(\mu_d^i(G)) = \binom{n}{2}$.

PROOF. (i) Let u and v be two non adjacent vertices of a graph G . Then they are adjacent in G^c . We prove the result in following cases:

Case 1. If vertices u and v belongs to same set V_1 or V_{-1} , then they are adjacent in $\mu_d^i(G)$, implying that they are non adjacent in $(\mu_d^i(G))^c$. Also they are non adjacent in $\mu_d^i(G^c)$.

Case 2. If u and v belongs to different sets, then they are non adjacent in $\mu_d^i(G)$, implying they are adjacent in $(\mu_d^i(G))^c$. Also they are adjacent in $\mu_d^i(G^c)$.

From above two cases (i) follows.

(ii) Let u and v be two non adjacent vertices in $\mu_d^o(G)$.

$\iff u$ and v are adjacent in $(\mu_d^o(G))^c$.

\iff If both u and v belongs to V_1 or V_{-1} , then they are non adjacent in G , implies they are adjacent in $\mu_d^i(G)$.

\iff If u and v belongs to different sets, then they are adjacent in G implies they are adjacent in $\mu_d^i(G)$. Thus (ii) follows.

(iii) From (ii), as graph $\mu_d^i(G)$ is complement of $\mu_d^o(G)$, sum of their edges should be equal to nC_2 . \square

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $G \cong K_{p,q}$ be a complete bipartite graph with bipartition P_1 and P_2 such that $|P_1| = p$ and $|P_2| = q$ with $p \leq q$. If $r = \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor$, then*

$$m(\mu_d^i(G)) = \frac{1}{2} [p(p-1) + q(q-1) + 2r(p-q+r)].$$

PROOF. From Theorems 3.2 and 2.4,

$$m(\mu_d^i(G)) = \frac{(p+q)(p+q-1)}{2} - m(\mu_d^o(G)).$$

$$m(\mu_d^i(G)) = \frac{(p+q)(p+q-1)}{2} - (p+r)(q-r).$$

$$m(\mu_d^i(G)) = \frac{p(p-1) + q(q-1) + 2r(p-q+r)}{2}.$$

\square

THEOREM 3.4. For any graph $G \cong K_n$ with $n \geq 3$ vertices,

$$m(\mu_d^i(G)) = \begin{cases} \frac{n^2 - 4}{4} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{n^2 - 1}{4} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. From Theorem 3.2, $m(\mu_d^i(G)) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - m(\mu_d^o(G))$.
From Theorem 2.7, for n being even

$$m(\mu_d^i(G)) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \frac{n^2 - 2n + 4}{4} = \frac{n^2 - 4}{4},$$

and for n being odd

$$m(\mu_d^i(G)) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \frac{n^2 - 2n + 1}{4} = \frac{n^2 - 1}{4}.$$

□

THEOREM 3.5. For a cycle C_n with $n \geq 3$ vertices,

$$m(\mu_d^i(G)) = \frac{1}{2} [n^2 - 3n + 2r^2 - 2r(n-4)],$$

where $r = \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$.

PROOF. From Theorem 3.2 and 2.8,

$$m(\mu_d^i(G)) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - m(\mu_d^o(G)).$$

$$m(\mu_d^i(G)) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - [r^2 + r(n-4) + n].$$

$$m(\mu_d^i(G)) = \frac{1}{2} [n^2 - 3n + 2r^2 - 2r(n-4)].$$

□

THEOREM 3.6. For a path P_n with $n \geq 2$ vertices,

$$m(\mu_d^i(G)) = \frac{1}{2} [n^2 + 2r^2 - 3n - 2nr + 2(4r+1)],$$

where $r = \lfloor \frac{n-4}{3} \rfloor$.

PROOF. From Theorem 3.2 and 2.9,

$$m(\mu_d^i(G)) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - m(\mu_d^o(G)).$$

$$m(\mu_d^i(G)) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - [r^2 + n(r+1) - 4r - 1].$$

$$m(\mu_d^i(G)) = \frac{1}{2} [n^2 + 2r^2 - 3n - 2nr + 2(4r+1)].$$

□

THEOREM 3.7. *Let G be a nontrivial graph. Then $m(\mu_d^o(G)) = m(\mu_d^i(G))$ if and only if $(2n - 1)^2 - 1$ is a multiple of 16.*

PROOF. If $m(\mu_d^o(G)) = m(\mu_d^i(G)) = k$, then from Theorem 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} 2k &= \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \\ n^2 - n - 4k &= 0 \\ n &= \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 16k}}{2} \end{aligned}$$

On simplifying, n is a positive integer for $(2n - 1)^2 - 1$ a multiple of 16.

Conversely, if $(2n - 1)^2 - 1$ is a multiple of $16k$, then $n = \frac{1 + \sqrt{16k + 1}}{2}$.

For $n = 4, 5, \dots$, we can generate the graph with $m(\mu_d^o(G)) = m(\mu_d^i(G))$. \square

Open problem: Characterize the graphs for which

$$m(G) = m(\mu_d^i(G)).$$

Acknowledgement: The authors wish to thank Professor E. Sampathkumar for his valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] B. D. Acharya. On characterizing graphs switching equivalent to acyclic graphs. *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, **12**(10)(1981), 1187-1191.
- [2] B. Zelinka. Signed and Minus domination in bipartite graphs. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, **56**(2)(2006), 587-590.
- [3] B. Chaluvvaraju. 2-global and $2(i)$ -global dominating sets in graphs. *Ultra scientist of Phy. Sc. - Section A: Mathematics*, **20**(2)(2008), 481-488.
- [4] B. Chaluvvaraju, C. Nandeesh Kumar and V. Chaitra, *Special kind of colorable complements in Graphs*, International J. Math. Combin., **3** (2013), 35-43.
- [5] J.E. Dunbar, S.T. Hedetniemi, M.A. Henning, P.J. Slater. Signed domination in graphs. In: Y. Alavi, A. Schwenk (Eds.), *Graph Theory, Combinatorics, and Algorithms, Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference in Graph Theory, Combinatorics, Algorithms, and Applications*, Kalamazoo, MI, 1992, (pp. 311-321). WileyInterscience, New York 1995.
- [6] F. Harary. *Graph theory*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, MA, 1969.
- [7] T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi and P. J. Slater. *Fundamental of Domination in Graphs*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York 1998.
- [8] V. R. Kulli, *Theory of domination in graphs*, Vishwa Internat. Publ., Gulbarga 2010.
- [9] E. Sampathkumar and L. Pushpalatha. Complement of a graph: A generalization. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, **14**(4)(1998), 377-392.
- [10] E. Sampathkumar, L. Pushpalatha, C. V. Venkatachalam and Pradeep Bhat. Generalized Complements of a graph. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **29**(6)(1998) 625-639.
- [11] N. D. Soner, B. Janakiram and B. Chaluvvaraju. Domination in 2-complement ($2(i)$ -complement) of a graph. *Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math., Kyungshang*, **7**(2)(2003), 145-154.
- [12] J. H. Van-Lint and J. J. Seidel. Equilateral point sets in elliptic geometry. *Proceedings of the Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen: Series A: Mathematical Sciences*, **69**(3)(1966), 335-348.
- [13] L. Volmann and B. Zelinka. Signed domatic number of a graph. *Discrete Appl. Math.* **150**(1-3)(2005), 261-267.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BANGALORE UNIVERSITY, JNANA BHARATHI CAMPUS, BANGALORE - 560 056, INDIA

E-mail address: bchalumaraju@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, B. M. S. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, BASAVANGUDI, BANGALORE - 560 019, INDIA

E-mail address: chaitrashok@gmail.com